### FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS

### Combined Financial Report for the Year Ended December 31, 2012

This Combined Financial Report provides financial information on the Federal Home Loan Banks. Investors should use this Combined Financial Report with other information provided by the Federal Home Loan Banks when considering whether or not to purchase Federal Home Loan Bank consolidated bonds and consolidated discount notes (collectively referred to as consolidated obligations).

Consolidated obligations are the joint and several obligations of all 12 Federal Home Loan Banks, even though each Federal Home Loan Bank is a separately chartered entity with its own board of directors and management. This means that each individual Federal Home Loan Bank is responsible for the payment of principal and interest on all consolidated obligations issued by the Federal Home Loan Banks. There is no centralized, system-wide management or oversight by a single board of directors of the Federal Home Loan Banks.

Federal Home Loan Bank consolidated obligations are not obligations of the United States and are not guaranteed by either the United States or any government agency.

The Securities Act of 1933 does not require the registration of consolidated obligations; therefore, no registration statement has been filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Neither the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, the Federal Housing Finance Agency nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this report is truthful or complete.

Carefully consider the risk factors provided in this Combined Financial Report. Neither the Combined Financial Report nor any offering material provided on behalf of the Federal Home Loan Banks describes all the risks of investing in Federal Home Loan Bank consolidated obligations. Investors should consult with their financial and legal advisors about the risks of investing in these consolidated obligations.

This Combined Financial Report is available on the Federal Home Loan Banks Office of Finance web site at <u>www.fhlb-of.com</u>. This web site address is provided as a matter of convenience only, and its contents are not made part of this report and are not intended to be incorporated by reference into this report.

Investors should direct questions about Federal Home Loan Bank consolidated obligations or the Combined Financial Report to the Federal Home Loan Banks Office of Finance at (703) 467-3600.

(This page intentionally left blank)

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Explanatory Statement about Federal Home Loan Banks Combined Financial Report	1
Business	2
General Information	2
Historical Perspective	4
Advances	4
Investments	6
Mortgage Loans	6
Consolidated Obligations	7
Deposits	10
Capital, Capital Rules and Dividends	10
Use of Interest-Rate Exchange Agreements	14
Audits and Examinations	14
Other Mission-Related Activities	16
Competition	17
Tax Status and Assessments	18
Office of Finance	18
Employees	19
Risk Factors	20
Properties and Geographic Distribution	29
Legal Proceedings	30
Market for Capital Stock and Related Stockholder Matters	31
Selected Financial Data	35
Financial Discussion and Analysis of Combined Financial Condition and Combined Results of Operations	36
Forward-Looking Information	36
Executive Summary	37
Combined Financial Condition	40
Combined Results of Operations	55
Capital Adequacy	65
Liquidity	68
Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Other Commitments	69
Contractual Obligations	69
Critical Accounting Estimates	70
Recent Accounting Developments	79
Legislative and Regulatory Developments	79
Recent Rating Agency Actions	85
Risk Management	85
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	112
Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	119
Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Combined Accounting and Financial Disclosures	119
Controls and Procedures	120
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	121
Principal Accounting Fees and Services	122

	Tuge
Office of Finance Audit Committee Report	F-1
Independent Auditor's Report	F-2
Combined Financial Statements	F-3
Combined Statement of Condition	F-3
Combined Statement of Income	F-4
Combined Statement of Comprehensive Income	F-5
Combined Statement of Capital	F-6
Combined Statement of Cash Flows	F-8
Notes to Combined Financial Statements	F-10
Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	F-10
Note 2 - Recently Issued and Adopted Accounting Guidance	F-20
Note 3 - Cash and Due From Banks	F-22
Note 4 - Trading Securities	F-23
Note 5 - Available-for-Sale Securities	F-23
Note 6 - Held-to-Maturity Securities	F-26
Note 7 - Other-than-Temporary Impairment Analysis	F-29
Note 8 - Advances	F-34
Note 9 - Mortgage Loans	F-35
Note 10 - Allowance for Credit Losses	F-36
Note 11 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities	F-44
Note 12 - Deposits	F-52
Note 13 - Consolidated Obligations	F-52
Note 14 - Affordable Housing Program (AHP)	F-55
Note 15 - Resolution Funding Corporation (REFCORP)	F-56
Note 16 - Subordinated Notes	F-56
Note 17 - Capital	F-57
Note 18 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	F-64
Note 19 - Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans	F-64
Note 20 - Fair Value	F-70
Note 21 - Commitments and Contingencies	F-82
Note 22 - Subsequent Events	F-83
Condensed Combining Schedules	F-84
Supplemental Information	S-1
FHLBank Management and Compensation	S-1
Individual Federal Home Loan Bank Selected Financial Data and Financial Ratios	S-27
Index of Tables Contained in the Combined Financial Report	Index

Dago

Consolidated obligations issued under the Federal Home Loan Banks' Global Debt Program may be listed on the Euro MTF market of the Luxembourg Stock Exchange. The Luxembourg Stock Exchange has allocated the number 2306 to the Federal Home Loan Banks' Global Debt Program for listing purposes. Under the Federal Home Loan Banks' agreement with the underwriter(s) of a particular series of consolidated obligations, any series of consolidated obligations listed on the Luxembourg Stock Exchange may be delisted if the continuation of the listing has become unduly onerous in the opinion of the issuer, and the issuer has agreed with the underwriter(s) that it will use reasonable efforts to list the consolidated obligations on another stock exchange.

#### EXPLANATORY STATEMENT ABOUT FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS COMBINED FINANCIAL REPORT

The Federal Home Loan Banks Office of Finance (Office of Finance) is responsible for preparing the Combined Financial Report of the 12 Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLBanks). Each FHLBank is responsible for the financial information and underlying data it provides to the Office of Finance for inclusion in the Combined Financial Report. The Office of Finance is responsible for combining the financial information it receives from each of the FHLBanks.

The FHLBanks Combined Financial Report is intended to be used by investors in consolidated obligations (consolidated bonds and consolidated discount notes) of the FHLBanks as these are the joint and several obligations of all 12 FHLBanks. This Combined Financial Report is provided using combination accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This combined presentation in no way indicates that these assets and liabilities are under joint management and control as each individual FHLBank manages its operations independently.

Because of the FHLBank System's structure, the Office of Finance does not prepare consolidated financial statements. Consolidated financial statements are generally considered to be appropriate when a controlling financial interest rests directly or indirectly in one of the enterprises included in the consolidation. This is the case in the typical holding company structure, where there is a parent corporation that owns, directly or indirectly, one or more subsidiaries. However, the FHLBanks do not have a parent company that controls each of the FHLBanks. Instead, each of the FHLBanks is owned by its respective members and former members and is managed independently.

Each FHLBank is a separately chartered cooperative with its own board of directors and management and is responsible for establishing its own accounting and financial reporting policies in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The FHLBanks' accounting and financial reporting policies and practices are not necessarily identical because alternative policies and presentations are permitted under GAAP in certain circumstances. Statements in this report may be qualified by a term such as "generally," "primarily," "typically" or words of similar meaning to indicate that the statement is generally applicable, but may not be applicable to all FHLBanks or transactions as a result of their different business practices and accounting and financial reporting policies under GAAP.

An investor may not be able to obtain easily a system-wide view of the FHLBanks' business, risk profile and financial information because there is no centralized, system-wide management or centralized board of director oversight of the individual FHLBanks. This decentralized structure is not conducive to preparing disclosures from a system-wide view in the same manner that is generally expected of U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) registrants. For example, a conventional Management's Discussion and Analysis is not provided in this Combined Financial Report; instead, this report includes a "Financial Discussion and Analysis" prepared by the Office of Finance using information provided by each FHLBank.

Each FHLBank is subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, and must file periodic reports and other information with the SEC. Each FHLBank prepares an annual financial report, filed on SEC Form 10-K, and quarterly financial reports, filed on SEC Form 10-Q. Those reports contain additional information that is not contained in this Combined Financial Report. FHLBank financial reports are made available on the web site of each FHLBank and on the SEC's web site at <u>www.sec.gov</u>. This web site address is provided as a matter of convenience only, and its contents are not made part of this report and are not intended to be incorporated by reference into this report.

An investor should review available information on individual FHLBanks to obtain additional detail on each FHLBank's business, risk profile, and accounting and financial reporting policies.

#### BUSINESS

#### **General Information**

The 12 FHLBanks are government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs) of the United States of America, organized under the authority of the Federal Home Loan Bank Act of 1932, as amended (FHLBank Act). The Office of Finance is a joint office of the FHLBanks established to facilitate the issuance and servicing of the FHLBanks' consolidated obligations and to prepare the FHLBanks' quarterly and annual combined financial reports. The FHLBanks and the Office of Finance are regulated by the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA). (See <u>Audits and Examinations</u> - FHLBanks' Regulator for more information regarding the FHFA.)

The mission of the FHLBanks is to provide financial products and services to their members and eligible non-members, including but not limited to advances, that assist and enhance their financing of: (1) housing, including single-family and multi-family housing serving consumers at all income levels; and (2) community lending. FHFA regulations require each FHLBank's board of directors to have in effect, at all times, a strategic business plan that describes how the business activities of that FHLBank will achieve the mission of FHLBanks. The FHFA has communicated its interest in keeping the FHLBanks focused on mission-related activities and has requested that each FHLBank develop a draft addendum to its most recent strategic plan identifying appropriate benchmarks for its core mission-related assets.

The FHLBanks serve the general public by providing liquidity to members through secured loans (advances), thereby increasing the availability of credit for residential mortgages, community investments, and other services for housing and community development. The FHLBanks provide a readily available, low-cost source of funds to their members. In addition, some of the FHLBanks provide members with a means of enhancing liquidity by purchasing or funding home mortgages through mortgage programs developed for their members. Under these programs, the FHLBanks purchase mortgage loans from, and fund mortgage loans through, participating member institutions and housing associates. Members can also borrow from an FHLBank to fund low-income housing, helping the members satisfy their regulatory requirements under the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA). Finally, some of the FHLBanks offer their members a variety of services, including:

- correspondent banking, which includes security safekeeping, wire transfers and settlements;
- cash management;
- letters of credit; and
- derivative intermediation.

#### Table 1 - FHLBanks' Asset Composition

Percentage of Combined Total Assets	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Advances	55.8%	54.6%
Investments(1)	34.9%	35.4%
Mortgage loans held for portfolio, net	6.5%	7.0%
Other assets	2.8%	3.0%
Combined total assets	100.0%	100.0%

(1) Investments consist of interest-bearing deposits, securities purchased under agreements to resell, Federal funds sold, trading securities, available-for-sale securities and held-to-maturity securities.

The FHLBanks fund their assets and operations principally through the sale of consolidated obligations, which are debt instruments issued through the Office of Finance to the public. Each FHLBank is jointly and severally liable with the other FHLBanks for all consolidated obligations issued. Consolidated obligations are not obligations of the United States, and the U.S. government does not guarantee them. Additional funds are provided by member deposits and the issuance of capital stock.

#### Table 2 - FHLBanks' Liability and Capital Composition

Percentage of Combined Total Liabilities and Capital	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Consolidated obligations		
Discount notes	28.4%	24.8%
Bonds	62.4%	66.2%
Total consolidated obligations	90.8%	91.0%
Deposits	1.8%	1.6%
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock	0.9%	1.1%
Other liabilities	0.9%	1.1%
Total liabilities	94.4%	94.8%
Total GAAP capital(1)	5.6%	5.2%
Combined total liabilities and capital	100.0%	100.0%

(1) The FHLBanks' combined regulatory capital-to-assets ratio was 6.69% at December 31, 2012 and 6.91% at December 31, 2011. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for details on regulatory capital requirements.)

The FHLBanks are cooperatives that are privately and wholly owned by their members and former members. Each FHLBank operates as a separate entity within a defined geographic region of the country, known as its district, with its own board of directors, management and employees. As a condition of membership, each member must purchase and maintain capital stock. To the extent declared by an FHLBank's board of directors, a member may receive dividends on its investment in capital stock from the earnings of its FHLBank.

Membership in an FHLBank is voluntary and limited to regulated depositories, insurance companies, and community development financial institutions (CDFIs). A CDFI is eligible to become a member if it has been certified by the CDFI Fund of the U.S. Department of the Treasury (U.S. Treasury). CDFIs include community development loan funds, community development venture capital funds, and state-chartered credit unions without federal insurance. (See <u>Market for Capital Stock</u> <u>and Related Stockholder Matters</u> - Table 6 - Membership by Type of Member, which identifies members of the FHLBanks by type of financial institution.) Eligible financial institutions may only be a member of one FHLBank, and generally may purchase capital stock only in the FHLBank whose district includes the state where the member's principal place of business is located. Some financial institution holding companies may have one or more subsidiaries, each of which may be a member of the same or a different FHLBank.

As cooperative institutions, each FHLBank conducts its credit and mortgage program businesses almost exclusively with its stockholders. An FHLBank may also purchase short-term investments, Federal funds and mortgage-backed securities from members, or their affiliates. All investments are purchased at then-current market prices and all mortgage-backed securities are purchased through securities brokers or dealers. The FHLBanks manage their primary objective of fulfilling their public purpose by enhancing the value of membership for member institutions. The value of membership may be derived from access to readily available credit and other services from the FHLBanks and the value of the cost differential between an FHLBank's advances and other potential sources of funds, as well as the potential for dividends received on a member's investment in an FHLBank's capital stock.

In keeping with their cooperative philosophy, the FHLBanks price their advances at relatively small spreads over their cost of funds and historically returned a portion of their net income to their members in the form of dividends (excluding the requirement for 20% of each FHLBank's net income to be allocated to a separate restricted retained earnings account effective in the third quarter of 2011). Accordingly, the FHLBanks' net income and balance of retained earnings are relatively small as they relate to total assets and total liabilities. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Capital Adequacy* - *Dividend and Excess Stock Limitations* for a discussion of dividend payment limitations for certain FHLBanks.)

The primary source of revenue for the FHLBanks is interest income earned on advances, mortgage loans held for portfolio and investments. The primary items of expense for the FHLBanks are interest paid on consolidated obligations; operating expenses, including employee compensation and benefits; and assessments. The FHLBanks may also recognize non-interest gains and losses, such as net other-than-temporary impairment losses, net gains (losses) on trading securities and net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities. A key driver of net interest income and net income is the return the FHLBanks receive on invested member capital because there is no related interest expense.

#### **Historical Perspective**

The fundamental business of the FHLBanks is to provide a readily available, low-cost source of funds in a wide range of maturities to meet the demands of members and eligible non-member housing associates. Congress created the FHLBanks in 1932 to improve the availability of funds to support home ownership.

Congress originally granted access to advances only to those institutions with the potential to make and hold long-term, amortizing home mortgage loans. Those institutions were primarily Federally- and state-chartered savings and loan associations, cooperative banks, and state-chartered savings banks (thrift institutions). As a result, FHLBanks and their member thrift institutions became an integral part of the home mortgage financing system in the United States. However, a variety of factors, including a severe recession, record-high interest rates, and unsafe and unsound practices following thrift deregulation, resulted in significant losses for thrift institutions in the 1980s. In reaction to the significant cost to the American taxpayers of resolving failed thrift institutions, Congress restructured the home mortgage financing system in 1989 by passing the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act. Congress reaffirmed the housing finance mission of the FHLBanks, and expanded membership eligibility in the FHLBanks to include commercial banks and credit unions with a commitment to housing finance.

On July 30, 2008, the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (Housing Act) was enacted and was designed to, among other things, address the then-current housing finance crisis, expand the financing authority of the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) and address GSE reform issues. With respect to the FHLBanks, the Housing Act created the FHFA, which became the regulator of the FHLBanks and the Office of Finance, with broad authority over FHLBank issues such as: board of director composition and executive compensation, risk-based capital standards and prompt corrective action enforcement provisions, membership eligibility for community development financial institutions and low-income housing goals.

#### **Advances**

The FHLBanks serve as a source of liquidity by making loans, known as advances, to their members and eligible nonmember housing associates on the security of mortgages and other collateral pledged by the borrowing institutions. Access to FHLBank advances can reduce the amount of low-yielding liquid assets a member would otherwise hold to ensure the same amount of liquidity. Advances are the FHLBanks' largest asset category on a combined basis, representing 55.8% and 54.6% of combined total assets at December 31, 2012 and 2011. Advances are collateralized generally by mortgages held in FHLBank member portfolios (See <u>Financial Discussion and Analysis - Risk Management - Credit Risk - Advances</u> for additional information on advances collateral). Because portfolio lenders may originate loans that they are unwilling or unable to sell in the secondary mortgage market, FHLBank advances can serve as a funding source for a variety of mortgages. FHLBank advances support important housing markets, including those focused on very low-, low- and moderate-income households. For those members that choose to sell or securitize their mortgages, FHLBank advances can provide interim funding.

Each FHLBank develops its advance programs to meet the particular needs of its members. Each FHLBank offers a wide array of fixed- and variable-rate advances, with maturities typically ranging from one day to 30 years, consistent with its safe and sound operation.

#### **Advance Products**

- <u>Fixed-Rate Advances.</u> These advances are available over a variety of terms and are used to fund both the short- and long-term liquidity needs of borrowers. Typically, interest is paid monthly or quarterly and the principal is paid at maturity.
- <u>Variable-Rate Advances.</u> These advances have interest rates that reset periodically to a specified interest rate index such as the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), or other standard indices, and are used to fund both the short- and long-term liquidity needs of borrowers. Typically, interest is paid monthly or quarterly and the principal is paid at maturity.
- <u>Hybrid Advances.</u> Advances with a one-time option to embed either a floor or cap at any time during the life of the advance. This advance may be either fixed- or variable-rate at the time of issuance.
- <u>Convertible Advances.</u> These advances allow an FHLBank to convert an advance from one interest-payment term structure to another. When issuing convertible advances, an FHLBank may purchase put options from a member that

allow that FHLBank to convert the fixed-rate advance to a variable-rate advance at the current market rate or another structure after an agreed-upon lockout period. A convertible advance carries a lower interest rate than a comparable-maturity fixed-rate advance without the conversion feature. Variable- to fixed-rate convertible advances have a defined lockout period during which the interest rates adjust based on a spread to LIBOR. At the end of the lockout period, these advances may convert to fixed-rate advances.

- <u>Fixed-Rate Amortizing Advances.</u> These advances are medium- or long-term fixed-rate loans with amortizing schedules structured to match the payment characteristics of a mortgage loan or portfolio of mortgage loans. The principal and interest are repaid monthly, quarterly, semi-annually or annually over the term of the advances. Fixed-rate amortizing advances may be fully amortizing to the maturity date, or may have a balloon payment due at maturity.
- <u>Overnight Advances</u>. These advances are used primarily to fund the short-term liquidity needs of borrowers. An overnight advance may automatically renew until the member pays down the advance, or it may mature on the next business day. Interest rates are set daily.

In addition to these advance products, the FHLBanks' advance programs may include products with embedded option features such as interest-rate caps and floors and call and put options, advances with non-standard interest-rate indices and advances with a combination of these features.

#### **Advances to Members and Non-Members**

In addition to advances to members, the FHLBanks are permitted to make advances to non-members that are approved mortgagees under Title II of the National Housing Act (housing associates, which are generally state and local housing agencies). In addition, to be eligible for advances from an FHLBank, housing associates must also:

- be chartered under law and have succession;
- be subject to inspection and supervision by a governmental agency; and
- lend their own funds as their principal activity in the mortgage field.

Housing associates are not subject to certain provisions applicable to members under the FHLBank Act. For example, they are not required or permitted to purchase capital stock in an FHLBank. However, the same regulatory lending requirements that apply to members also generally apply to housing associates.

FHLBank advances can provide funding to smaller lenders that lack diverse funding sources because they often do not have access to all of the funding alternatives available to larger financial entities. The FHLBanks give these lenders access to wholesale funding at competitive prices.

FHLBank credit products also help members in the management of their assets and liabilities. The FHLBanks can offer advances that are matched to the maturity and prepayment characteristics of mortgage loans. These advances can reduce a member's interest-rate risk associated with holding long-term, fixed-rate mortgages. In addition, an FHLBank may make commitments for advances to a member covering a predefined period. This program aids members and their FHLBank in cash flow planning and enables members to reduce their funding risk.

The FHLBanks help members meet their responsibilities under the CRA. Through the Community Investment Program (CIP), the Community Investment Cash Advance (CICA) programs and the Affordable Housing Program (AHP), members have access to subsidized and other low-cost funding to create affordable rental and homeownership opportunities and for commercial and economic development activities that benefit very low-, low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, thereby contributing to the revitalization of these communities.

Approximately \$45.7 billion in FHLBank-supported lending for housing development has financed approximately 745 thousand housing units from the establishment of the CIP in 1990 and the establishment of CICA in 1998, through December 31, 2011, the latest information available on the FHFA's web site. In addition to housing developments, over \$18.7 billion in FHLBank-supported community lending has helped finance thousands of local community development projects.

Since its inception in 1990, the AHP has provided significant resources for housing development across the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and U.S. territories. From 1990 through June 30, 2012, the latest information available on the FHFA's web site, the FHLBanks have awarded approximately \$4.6 billion in AHP subsidies and grants to facilitate development of affordable

housing projects designed to create over 783 thousand housing units. (See <u>Note 14 - Affordable Housing Program (AHP)</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements.)

#### **Investments**

The FHLBanks maintain portfolios of investments for liquidity purposes, to use balance sheet capacity and to provide additional earnings. This investment income also bolsters the FHLBanks' capacity to meet their commitments to affordable housing and community investment, and to support operating expenses.

The FHLBanks maintain short-term investment portfolios to provide funds to meet the credit needs of their members and to maintain liquidity. Within the portfolio of short-term investments, the FHLBanks' primary credit risk is related to unsecured credit exposure on certain investments. These portfolios may include:

- interest-bearing deposits;
- securities purchased under agreements to resell;
- Federal funds sold;
- U.S. Treasury obligations;
- commercial paper;
- certificates of deposit; and
- GSE obligations.

The FHLBanks also enhance interest income and support operating expenses by holding long-term investments. These investments generally provide the FHLBanks with higher returns than those available on short-term investments. Within the portfolio of long-term investments, the FHLBanks are primarily subject to credit risk related to private-label mortgage-backed securities that are either directly or indirectly supported by underlying mortgage loans.

Total investments represented 34.9% of the FHLBanks' combined total assets at December 31, 2012 and 35.4% of the FHLBanks' combined total assets at December 31, 2011.

FHFA regulations prohibit the FHLBanks from investing in certain types of securities and limit the FHLBanks' investment in mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and asset-backed securities (ABS). (See <u>Financial Discussion and Analysis - Risk</u> <u>Management - Credit Risk - Investments</u> for information on these restrictions and limitations.)

#### Mortgage Loans

The FHLBanks have programs to purchase mortgage loans from, or fund mortgage loans through, members or housing associates called participating financial institutions (PFIs). The primary programs are the Mortgage Partnership Finance<sup>®</sup> (MPF) Program ("Mortgage Partnership Finance," "MPF," "MPF Shared Funding," "eMPF" and "MPF Xtra" are registered trademarks of the FHLBank of Chicago) and the Mortgage Purchase Program (MPP). Through the MPP and MPF Program, the FHLBanks invest principally in qualifying 5-year to 30-year conventional and government-guaranteed or -insured fixed-rate mortgage loans and participations in pools of these mortgage loans, secured by one-to-four family residential properties. Government mortgage loans are insured or guaranteed by the Federal Housing Administration, the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Rural Housing Service of the Department of Agriculture, or the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

The MPP and MPF Program were developed to support the FHLBanks' housing mission, diversify their assets and provide an additional source of liquidity to their members. As such, these programs serve as a secondary mortgage structure for those FHLBank members originating mortgage loans that they choose to sell into the secondary mortgage market rather than hold in their own loan portfolios.

Currently, the FHLBanks of Atlanta, Chicago, Dallas, San Francisco and Seattle are not accepting additional master commitments to acquire loans for their own portfolio or purchasing additional mortgage loans under either the MPP or MPF Program, except for certain FHLBanks' purchases of MPF Loans to support affordable housing. The remaining FHLBanks participating in the MPP or MPF Program continue to have the ability to purchase and fund both conventional and government-guaranteed or -insured fixed-rate mortgage loans. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the FHLBanks had invested in MPF Loans and MPP Loans in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Mortgage loans held for portfolio represented 6.5% and 7.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total assets at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Under the MPP and MPF Program, each FHLBank manages the interest-rate risk, prepayment option risk and liquidity risk of the fixed-rate mortgage loans in which it holds an interest, while the PFI manages the origination and servicing activities. For conventional mortgage loans, each FHLBank holding an interest, and the PFI selling or originating the mortgage loan, share in the credit risk pursuant to a master commitment as the PFI is required to provide a measure of credit-loss protection to the FHLBank(s) holding interests in loans generated by the PFI. For government mortgage loans, the servicer provides and maintains insurance or a guarantee from the applicable government agency. The servicer is responsible for compliance with all government agency requirements and for obtaining the benefit of the applicable insurance or guarantee with respect to defaulted government mortgage loans. Any losses incurred on these loans that are not recovered from the issuer or the guarantor are absorbed by the servicer. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Risk Management - Credit Risk - Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio* and *Note 10 - Allowance for Credit Losses - Credit Enhancements* to the accompanying combined financial statements for a detailed discussion of the credit enhancement and risk sharing arrangements and loan product information for these programs.)

#### **MPF** Program

One or more MPF FHLBanks may acquire or participate in all or a portion of the acquired mortgage loans from a PFI of another MPF FHLBank. The FHLBank of Chicago acts as "MPF Provider" and provides programmatic and operational support to the MPF FHLBanks and each of their PFIs. There are currently five MPF Program portfolio products, and the MPF Xtra product under which mortgage loans are sold concurrently to Fannie Mae. Five of these six products (Original MPF, MPF 125, MPF Plus, MPF Government and MPF Xtra) are closed loan products in which the MPF FHLBank purchases loans that have been acquired or have already been closed by the PFI with its own funds. For the sixth product, MPF 100, the loans were previously acquired as table-funded loans whereby the MPF FHLBank funded and originated the loans because the PFI acted as the agent for MPF FHLBank. Unlike other conventional MPF Program products, under the MPF Xtra product the FHLBank of Chicago purchases eligible MPF Loans from PFIs and concurrently sells these MPF Loans to Fannie Mae as a third-party investor. PFIs are not required to provide credit enhancement and do not receive credit enhancement fees with the MPF Xtra product.

#### MPP

Each participating FHLBank may acquire mortgage loans from its approved PFIs that can also be third-party servicers for the FHLBank's MPP. Also, each MPP FHLBank is responsible for operating its own program, including the marketing and funding of MPP loans, and establishing the loan origination, underwriting and servicing criteria of the loans acquired through its MPP. The MPP FHLBanks neither service the acquired loans, nor own any servicing rights. However, an MPP FHLBank must approve any servicer, including a member-servicer, and any transfers of servicing to third parties. The MPP FHLBanks have engaged JPMorgan Chase Bank as the MPP master servicer.

#### **Consolidated Obligations**

Consolidated obligations consist of consolidated bonds and consolidated discount notes, which are debt instruments issued through the Office of Finance. Consolidated obligations are the principal funding source used by the FHLBanks to make advances, and to purchase mortgage loans and investments. Consolidated obligations outstanding represented 90.8% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 91.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 91.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 91.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 91.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 91.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 91.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 91.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 91.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 91.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 91.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 91.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 91.0% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2011.

The use of consolidated bonds or consolidated discount notes to provide funding is generally determined based on the desired maturity of the obligation. All consolidated obligations are issued through the Office of Finance on behalf of the 12 FHLBanks. The Office of Finance can issue consolidated obligations only when an FHLBank provides a request for and agrees to accept the funds. An FHLBank is generally prohibited by regulation from purchasing, directly or indirectly, securities from the initial issuance of a consolidated obligation. The FHFA and the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury have oversight over the issuance of FHLBank debt.

The capital markets have traditionally considered the FHLBanks' consolidated obligations to be equivalent to federal agency debt. As a result, although the U.S. government does not guarantee the FHLBanks' debt, the FHLBanks have traditionally had ready access to funding at relatively favorable rates. The FHLBanks' ability to access the capital markets through the issuance of consolidated obligations, using a variety of debt structures and maturities, allows the FHLBanks to manage their balance sheets effectively and efficiently.

<u>Credit Ratings.</u> Consolidated obligations are currently rated Aaa/P-1 by Moody's and AA+/A-1+ by S&P. These ratings reflect the FHLBanks' status as GSEs and indicate that these rating agencies believe the FHLBanks have a strong capacity to meet their commitments to pay principal and interest on consolidated obligations. The FHLBanks' consolidated obligations have historically received the same credit rating as the government bond credit rating of the United States even though the consolidated obligations are not obligations of the United States. These ratings have not been affected by rating actions taken with respect to individual FHLBanks. Investors should note that a rating issued by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and that the ratings may be revised or withdrawn by the nationally recognized statistical rating organization at any time. Investors should evaluate the rating of each nationally recognized statistical rating organization independently.

Interest Rate. Consolidated obligations can be issued with either fixed-rate coupon payment terms or variable-rate coupon payment terms that use a variety of indices for interest-rate resets, including the federal funds effective rate, LIBOR and others. When consolidated obligations are issued with variable-rate coupon payment terms that use the federal funds effective rate, the FHLBanks typically simultaneously enter into derivatives that effectively convert the federal funds effective rate to LIBOR.

The federal funds effective rate is based upon transactional data relating to the Federal funds sold market. An increase in commercial bank reserves combined with the rate of interest paid on those reserves has contributed to a decline in the volume of transactions in the overnight Federal funds market. In the aggregate, the FHLBanks may comprise a significant percentage of the Federal funds sold market, including the brokered portion, at any one time. However, each FHLBank manages its investment portfolio separately. (See <u>Note 11 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements.)

Interest-Rate Exchange Agreements. To meet the expected demand of certain investors in consolidated obligations, both fixed-rate and variable-rate consolidated bonds may contain features that result in complex coupon payment terms and call or put options. When such consolidated obligations are issued, the FHLBanks typically enter into derivatives containing offsetting features that effectively convert the terms of the consolidated bond to better match the interest-rate risk management objectives of the issuing FHLBank(s).

<u>Other Transactions and Services.</u> Certain securities dealers and banks or their affiliates enter into other transactions with and perform other services for the FHLBanks. These services include the purchase and sale of investment securities. In some cases, some or all of the net proceeds from an issue of consolidated obligations may be loaned to a member that is affiliated with the securities dealer involved in underwriting that issue.

<u>Joint and Several Liability</u>. Although each FHLBank is primarily liable for its portion of consolidated obligations (i.e., those issued on its behalf), each FHLBank is also jointly and severally liable with the other 11 FHLBanks for the payment of principal and interest on all consolidated obligations of each of the FHLBanks. The FHFA, at its discretion, may require any FHLBank to make principal or interest payments due on any consolidated obligation whether or not the consolidated obligation represents a primary liability of that FHLBank. Although it has never occurred, to the extent that an FHLBank makes any payment on a consolidated obligation on behalf of another FHLBank that is primarily liable for such consolidated obligation, FHFA regulations provide that the paying FHLBank is entitled to reimbursement from the non-complying FHLBank for any payments made on its behalf and other associated costs (including interest to be determined by the FHFA). However, if the FHFA determines that the non-complying FHLBank is unable to satisfy its repayment obligations, then the FHFA may allocate the outstanding liabilities of the non-complying FHLBank among the remaining FHLBanks on a pro-rata basis in proportion to each FHLBank's participation in all consolidated obligations outstanding. The FHFA reserves the right to allocate the outstanding liabilities for the consolidated obligations between the FHLBanks in any other manner it may determine to ensure that the FHLBanks operate in a safe and sound manner.

<u>Regulatory Requirements.</u> FHFA regulations require that each FHLBank maintain the following types of assets, free from any lien or pledge, in an amount at least equal to the amount of that FHLBank's participation in consolidated obligations outstanding:

- cash;
- obligations of, or fully guaranteed by, the United States;
- secured advances;

- mortgages, which have any guaranty, insurance or commitment from the United States or any agency of the United States;
- investments described in Section 16(a) of the FHLBank Act (e.g., securities that a fiduciary or trust fund may purchase under the laws of the state in which the FHLBank is located); and
- other securities that are assigned a rating or assessment by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization that is
  equivalent or higher than the rating or assessment assigned by that nationally recognized statistical rating organization
  to consolidated obligations.

Any assets subject to a lien or pledge for the benefit of the holders of any issue of consolidated obligations are treated as if they were free from lien or pledge for purposes of compliance with these regulations. In addition, each FHLBank must adhere to the leverage limits set by the FHLBank Act and regulatory limits set by the FHFA. At December 31, 2012, each FHLBank was in compliance with these requirements.

#### **Consolidated Discount Notes**

On a daily basis, FHLBanks may request that specific amounts of consolidated discount notes with specific maturity dates be offered by the Office of Finance for sale through certain securities dealers. The Office of Finance commits to issue consolidated discount notes on behalf of the requesting FHLBanks after dealers submit orders for the specific consolidated discount notes offered for sale. The FHLBanks receive funding based on the time of their request, the rate requested for issuance, the trade date, the settlement date and the maturity date. However, an FHLBank may receive less than requested (or may not receive any funding) because of investor demand and competing FHLBank requests for the particular funding that the FHLBank is requesting. These consolidated discount notes presently are available in maturities of one year or less and can be sold at or below par.

Twice weekly, one or more of the FHLBanks may also request that specific amounts of consolidated discount notes with fixed maturities of four, nine, 13 and 26 weeks be offered by the Office of Finance through competitive auctions conducted with securities dealers in the consolidated discount note selling group. The consolidated discount notes offered for sale through competitive auction are not subject to a limit on the maximum costs the FHLBanks are willing to pay. The FHLBanks receive funding based on their requests at a weighted-average rate of the winning bids from the dealers. If the bids submitted are less than the total of the FHLBanks' requests, an FHLBank receives funding based on that FHLBank's regulatory capital relative to the regulatory capital of other FHLBanks offering consolidated discount notes.

#### **Consolidated Bonds**

Consolidated bonds are issued primarily to raise intermediate- and long-term funds for the FHLBanks. They can be issued and distributed through negotiated or competitively bid transactions with approved underwriters or bidding group members. Consolidated bonds generally carry fixed- or variable-rate payment terms and have maturities typically ranging up to 30 years, although there is no statutory or regulatory limitation on their maturity.

<u>TAP Issue Program</u>. The FHLBanks use the TAP Issue Program to issue fixed-rate, noncallable (bullet) bonds. This program uses specific maturities that may be reopened daily through competitive auctions. The goal of the TAP Issue Program is to aggregate frequent smaller fixed-rate funding needs into a larger bond issue that may have greater market liquidity.

<u>Global Bullet Consolidated Bonds.</u> The FHLBanks issue global bullet consolidated bonds. The FHLBanks and the Office of Finance maintain a debt issuance process for scheduled issuance of global bullet consolidated bonds. As part of this process, management from each FHLBank will determine and communicate a firm commitment to the Office of Finance for an amount of scheduled global bullet debt to be issued on its behalf. If the FHLBanks' orders do not meet the minimum debt issue size, each FHLBank receives an allocation of proceeds equal to the larger of the FHLBank's commitment or the ratio of the individual FHLBank's regulatory capital to total regulatory capital of all of the FHLBanks. If the FHLBanks' commitments exceed the minimum debt issue size, then the proceeds are allocated based on relative regulatory capital of the FHLBanks with the allocation limited to the lesser of the allocation amount or actual commitment amount. The FHLBanks can, however, pass on any scheduled calendar slot and decline to issue any global bullet consolidated bonds upon agreement of at least eight of the 12 FHLBanks.

#### **Deposits**

The FHLBanks offer demand, overnight and term deposit programs to their members and to qualifying non-members. The FHLBank Act allows each FHLBank to accept deposits from:

- its members;
- any institution for which it is providing correspondent services;
- other FHLBanks; and
- other U.S. government instrumentalities.

Deposit programs, although not as significant as other funding sources, provide some of the funding resources for the FHLBanks. To a much lesser extent than consolidated obligations, deposits also provide funding for advances and investments. At the same time, they offer members a low-risk earning asset that satisfies their regulatory liquidity requirements. Deposits represented 1.8% and 1.6% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### Capital, Capital Rules and Dividends

#### Capital Structure under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLB Act)

The GLB Act amended the FHLBank Act to permit each FHLBank to issue one or two classes of capital stock, each with subclasses. Class A capital stock (Class A stock) is redeemable on six months written notice from a member and Class B capital stock (Class B stock) is redeemable on five years written notice from a member. If a member withdraws its membership from an FHLBank, it may not acquire shares of any FHLBank for five years after the date on which its divestiture of capital stock is completed. This restriction does not apply if the member is transferring its membership from one FHLBank to another FHLBank on an uninterrupted basis. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements.)

The FHFA's final regulation that implemented a capital structure for the FHLBanks, as required by the GLB Act, had the following effects:

- it established risk-based and leverage capital requirements for the FHLBanks;
- it permitted each FHLBank to issue different classes of stock with different rights and preferences; and
- it required each FHLBank to submit a capital plan for approval by the FHFA.

As of December 31, 2012, each FHLBank had implemented its respective capital plan. (See each individual FHLBank's SEC Form 10-K for a complete description of each FHLBank's capital plan structure.) The FHLBank of Chicago implemented a new capital structure as mandated by the GLB Act, effective January 1, 2012. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - FHLBank of Chicago Regulatory Actions to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information.)

#### Capital Adequacy under the GLB Act

Under the GLB Act and the FHFA's regulation, each FHLBank is subject to certain risk-based capital requirements. Each FHLBank is required to ensure that it operates in a safe and sound manner, with sufficient permanent capital and reserves to manage risks that arise in the operations and management of that FHLBank. The FHLBanks are subject by regulation to the following three regulatory capital requirements:

- risk-based capital;
- total regulatory capital; and
- leverage capital.

<u>Risk-Based Capital.</u> The GLB Act defines permanent capital for each FHLBank as the amount paid-in for Class B stock, plus the amount of an FHLBank's retained earnings, as determined in accordance with GAAP. Mandatorily redeemable capital stock is considered capital for regulatory purposes. Each FHLBank must maintain at all times permanent capital in an amount at least equal to the sum of its credit risk, market risk, and operations risk capital requirements, all of which are calculated in accordance with the rules and regulations of the FHFA. *Credit Risk.* Each FHLBank's credit risk capital requirement shall equal the sum of its credit risk capital charges for all assets, off-balance sheet items and derivative contracts. These computations are based on, among other things, the credit risk percentages assigned to each item as required by the FHFA.

*Market Risk.* Each FHLBank's market risk capital requirement shall equal the sum of the market value of its portfolio at risk from market movements, primarily interest rates, that could occur during times of market stress plus the amount, if any, by which the current market value of its total capital is less than 85% of its book value of total capital.

Each FHLBank must calculate the market value of its portfolio at risk and the current market value of its total capital by using either an internal market risk model or internal cash flow model approved by the FHFA. Although each FHLBank models its own market risk, the FHFA has reviewed and approved the modeling approach and underlying assumptions used by each FHLBank and reviews these modeling approaches on an ongoing basis.

*Operations Risk.* Each FHLBank's operations risk capital requirement shall at all times equal 30% of the sum of its credit risk and market risk capital requirements. The FHFA can approve a reduction in this percentage if an FHLBank meets alternative requirements.

<u>Total Regulatory Capital.</u> The GLB Act specifies a four percent minimum total regulatory capital-to-assets ratio. Capital for regulatory capital adequacy purposes under the GLB Act is defined as the sum of each FHLBank's:

- permanent capital;
- amounts paid-in for Class A stock;
- general loss allowance, if consistent with GAAP and not established for specific assets; and
- other amounts from sources determined by the FHFA as available to absorb losses.

<u>Leverage Capital.</u> Each FHLBank is required to maintain at all times a leverage capital-to-assets ratio of at least five percent. Leverage capital is defined as the sum of permanent capital weighted 1.5 times and all other capital without a weighting factor.

The FHFA may require an FHLBank to maintain greater minimum capital levels than is required based on FHFA rules and regulations.

Each FHLBank was in compliance with these capital requirements at December 31, 2012. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - FHLBank of Seattle Capital Classification and Consent Arrangement to the accompanying combined financial statements for a description of the FHLBank of Seattle's Consent Arrangement with the FHFA.)

An FHLBank may not redeem or repurchase any of its capital stock without the FHFA's approval if that FHLBank's board of directors determines that the FHLBank has incurred or is likely to incur losses that result in or are expected to result in charges against the capital of that FHLBank. This restriction applies even if that FHLBank is in compliance with its minimum capital requirements. As a result, repurchases (at an FHLBank's discretion at any time before the end of the redemption period) or redemptions (at a member's request, completed at the end of a redemption period) of a member's capital stock in an FHLBank will depend on whether the FHLBank is in compliance with its three regulatory capital requirements (risk-based capital, total regulatory capital, and leverage capital). In addition, some FHLBanks have agreed with the FHFA either to maintain higher total capital-to-assets ratios and/or limit dividend payments as part of their retained earnings policies. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Capital Adequacy - Dividend and Excess Stock Limitations* for a discussion of dividend payment limitations and/or excess stock purchase restrictions for certain FHLBanks.)

#### Summary of Individual FHLBank's Capital Plan Structure under the GLB Act

<u>Single Class of Class B Stock.</u> Each of the FHLBanks of Pittsburgh, Cincinnati, Des Moines, Dallas and San Francisco offers a single class of Class B stock. Each FHLBank requires its members to maintain a membership and/or activity-based stock balance based upon the terms of its capital plan.

<u>Sub-Classes of Class B Stock.</u> Each of the FHLBanks of New York, Atlanta, Indianapolis and Chicago offers two sub-classes of Class B stock, Class B1 and Class B2, which represent either membership or activity-based stock requirements based upon the terms of each FHLBank's capital plan. Class B1 and Class B2 stockholders may or may not have the same voting rights and dividend rates, depending on the terms of each FHLBank's capital plan.

<u>Class A and Class B Stock.</u> Each of the FHLBanks of Boston and Topeka may offer a single series of Class A stock and a single series of Class B stock, although the FHLBank of Boston has not issued, and does not intend to issue, any Class A stock at this time. Usage of Class A stock and Class B stock to meet membership and activity-based requirements, as well as dividend rates and voting rights for each class of stock, would be determined based upon the terms of each FHLBank's capital plan.

The FHLBank of Seattle currently offers a single series of Class B stock, but has an outstanding balance of Class A stock and Class B stock. On May 12, 2009, as part of the FHLBank of Seattle's efforts to correct its then risk-based capital deficiency, the board of directors of the FHLBank of Seattle suspended the issuance of Class A stock to support new advances, effective June 1, 2009. New advances must be supported by Class B stock, which, unlike Class A stock, can be used to increase the FHLBank of Seattle's permanent capital. Class A and Class B stockholders have the same voting rights. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - FHLBank of Seattle Capital Classification and Consent Arrangement to the accompanying combined financial statements for a description of the FHLBank of Seattle's Consent Arrangement with the FHFA that restricts excess stock repurchases and redemptions and dividend payments.)

See also <u>Financial Discussion and Analysis - Capital Adequacy</u> - Dividend and Excess Stock Limitations for changes to certain FHLBanks' capital plans.

#### **Capital Classification Determination**

The FHFA has implemented the prompt corrective action provisions of the Housing Act. The FHFA rule defined four capital classifications for the FHLBanks: adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized, and the FHFA issued a regulation implementing the prompt corrective action provisions that apply to FHLBanks that are not deemed to be adequately capitalized. The FHFA determines each FHLBank's capital classification on at least a quarterly basis. If an FHLBank is determined to be other than adequately capitalized, that FHLBank becomes subject to additional supervisory authority by the FHFA. (See *Note 17 - Capital - FHLBank of Seattle Capital Classification and Consent Arrangement* to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information on the FHLBank of Seattle's capital classification.) Before implementing a reclassification, the Director of the FHFA is required to provide that FHLBank with written notice of the proposed action and an opportunity to submit a response. For a discussion of an individual FHLBank's capital classification, see that FHLBank's periodic report filed with the SEC.

#### **Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock**

An FHLBank generally reclassifies capital stock subject to redemption from capital to a mandatorily redeemable capital stock liability upon expiration of a grace period, if applicable, after a member exercises a written redemption right, or gives notice of intent to withdraw from membership, or attains non-member status by merger or acquisition, relocation, charter termination, or involuntary termination from membership. Shares of capital stock meeting these conditions are reclassified to mandatorily redeemable capital stock at fair value. The fair value of capital stock subject to mandatory redemption is generally equal to its par value as indicated by contemporaneous member purchases and sales at par value. Fair value also includes an estimated dividend earned at the time of reclassification from capital to a liability, until such amount is paid, and any subsequently declared dividend. Dividends related to capital stock classified as mandatorily redeemable capital stock are accrued at the expected dividend rate and reported as interest expense in the Combined Statement of Income. Mandatorily redeemable capital stock was \$6.9 billion at December 31, 2012, a decrease of 13.5% from \$8.0 billion at December 31, 2011, representing 0.9% of the FHLBanks' combined total liabilities and capital at December 31, 2012.

#### Statutory and Regulatory Restrictions on Capital Stock Redemptions and Repurchases

In accordance with the FHLBank Act, each class of FHLBank stock is considered putable by the member, and an FHLBank may repurchase, in its sole discretion, any member's stock investments that exceed the required minimum amount. However, there are significant statutory and regulatory restrictions on the obligation to redeem, or right to repurchase, the outstanding stock. As a result, whether or not a member may have its capital stock in an FHLBank repurchased (at an FHLBank's discretion at any time before the end of the redemption period) or redeemed (at a member's request, completed at the end of a redemption period) will depend on whether the FHLBank is in compliance with the following restrictions.

 An FHLBank may not redeem or repurchase any capital stock if, following such redemption or repurchase, the FHLBank would fail to satisfy any of its minimum capital requirements. By law, no FHLBank stock may be redeemed or repurchased if the FHLBank becomes undercapitalized, so only a minimal portion of outstanding stock qualifies for redemption or repurchase consideration. • An FHLBank may not redeem or repurchase any capital stock without approval of the FHFA if either its board of directors, or the FHFA, determines that it has incurred, or is likely to incur, losses resulting, or expected to result, in a charge against capital while such charges are continuing or expected to continue.

Additionally, an FHLBank may not redeem or repurchase shares of capital stock from any member of the FHLBank if:

- the principal or interest due on any consolidated obligation has not been paid in full when due;
- the FHLBank fails to certify in writing to the FHFA that it will remain in compliance with its liquidity requirements and will remain capable of making full and timely payment of all of its current obligations;
- the FHLBank notifies the FHFA that it cannot provide the foregoing certification, projects it will fail to comply with statutory or regulatory liquidity requirements or will be unable to timely and fully meet all of its obligations; or
- the FHLBank actually fails to comply with statutory or regulatory liquidity requirements or to timely and fully meet all of
  its current obligations, or enters or negotiates to enter into an agreement with one or more FHLBanks to obtain
  financial assistance to meet its current obligations.

If an FHLBank is liquidated, after payment in full to the FHLBank's creditors, the FHLBank's stockholders will be entitled to receive the par value of their capital stock. In addition, the FHLBank's Class B stockholders will be entitled to any retained earnings in an amount proportional to the stockholder's share of the total shares of capital stock. In the event of a merger or consolidation, the board of directors shall determine the rights and preferences of the FHLBank's stockholders, subject to any terms and conditions imposed by the FHFA.

In addition to possessing the authority to prohibit stock redemptions, an FHLBank's board of directors has the right to call for the FHLBank's members, as a condition of membership, to make additional capital stock purchases as needed to satisfy statutory and regulatory capital requirements under the GLB Act.

Each FHLBank's board of directors has a statutory obligation to review and adjust member capital stock requirements in order to comply with the FHLBank's minimum capital requirements, and each member must comply promptly with any such requirement. However, a member could reduce its outstanding business with the FHLBank as an alternative to purchasing stock.

If, during the period between receipt of a stock redemption notification from a member and the actual redemption (which may last indefinitely if an FHLBank is undercapitalized, does not have the required credit rating, etc.), an FHLBank is either liquidated or forced to merge with another FHLBank, the redemption value of the stock will be established after the settlement of all senior claims. Generally, no claims would be subordinated to the rights of FHLBank stockholders.

#### **Dividends and Retained Earnings**

According to FHFA regulations and the terms of the Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement, as amended (Capital Agreement), an FHLBank's board of directors may declare and pay dividends, in either cash or capital stock, only from previously retained earnings or current net earnings that are classified as unrestricted retained earnings. These rules prohibit an FHLBank from paying a dividend if it has failed to meet any capital requirements or would fail to meet such a requirement after paying the dividend. FHFA regulations also limit the ability of an FHLBank to create excess capital stock under certain circumstances. Excess capital stock is defined as the amount of stock held by a member (or former member) in excess of that institution's minimum investment requirement. An FHLBank may not pay dividends in the form of capital stock or issue new excess capital stock to members if that FHLBank's excess capital stock exceeds one percent of its total assets, or if the issuance of excess capital stock would cause that FHLBank's excess capital stock to exceed one percent of its total assets.

The Capital Agreement is intended to enhance the capital position of each FHLBank by allocating that portion of each FHLBank's earnings historically paid to satisfy its REFCORP obligation to a separate retained earnings account at that FHLBank. On August 5, 2011, the FHFA certified that the FHLBanks fully satisfied their REFCORP obligation. In accordance with the Capital Agreement, starting in the third quarter of 2011, each FHLBank allocates 20% of its net income to a separate restricted retained earnings account. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - Restricted Retained Earnings to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information.) In addition, several FHLBanks have implemented actions to suspend dividend payments

and/or repurchases/redemptions of excess capital stock. (See <u>Financial Discussion and Analysis - Capital Adequacy</u> - Dividend and Excess Stock Limitations for a discussion of these actions.)

#### Use of Interest-Rate Exchange Agreements

Interest-rate exchange agreements (also referred to as derivatives) are an integral part of each FHLBank's financial management strategies to reduce identified risks inherent in its lending, investing and funding activities. FHFA regulations and each FHLBank's risk management policy are intended to limit credit risk arising from these instruments and prohibit trading in, or the speculative use of, derivative instruments. The types of derivatives an FHLBank may use include: interest-rate swaps, swaptions, interest-rate cap and floor agreements, options, and futures and forward contracts. Each FHLBank may enter into derivatives to:

- reduce funding costs for consolidated obligations;
- manage interest-rate risk exposure inherent in otherwise unhedged asset or liability positions;
- manage prepayment risk;
- achieve the FHLBank's risk management objectives; and
- act as an intermediary between FHLBank members and counterparties.

An FHLBank may use interest-rate exchange agreements in its overall interest-rate risk management activities to adjust the interest-rate sensitivity of its assets to correspond to the interest-rate sensitivity of its liabilities. In addition to using interest-rate exchange agreements to manage mismatches between the coupon features of its assets and liabilities, an FHLBank also uses interest-rate exchange agreements to manage embedded options in its assets and liabilities and to preserve the market value of its existing assets and liabilities.

An FHLBank may enter into interest-rate exchange agreements concurrently with the issuance of consolidated obligations. This strategy of issuing consolidated obligations while simultaneously entering into interest-rate exchange agreements enables an FHLBank to offer a wider range of attractively priced advances to its members. The continued attractiveness of FHLBank debt depends on yield relationships between the consolidated obligation and interest-rate exchange markets. As conditions in these markets change, the FHLBank may alter the types or terms of the consolidated obligations issued. An FHLBank uses interest-rate exchange agreements extensively to align the timing, structure and amount of its members' credit needs with the investment requirements of its creditors. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Risk Management - Credit Risk - Derivatives and Counterparty Ratings* for information on credit exposure on derivatives and *Note 11 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities* to the accompanying combined financial statements.)

#### **Audits and Examinations**

#### **FHLBanks' Regulator**

The Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), an independent agency in the executive branch of the U.S. government, supervises and regulates the FHLBanks and the Office of Finance. The FHFA's mission, with respect to the FHLBanks, is to provide effective supervision, regulation and housing mission oversight of the FHLBanks to promote their safety and soundness, support housing finance and affordable housing, and support a stable and liquid mortgage market. (See <u>Note 17</u> - <u>Capital</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information on the FHFA's regulatory requirements and actions related to the FHLBanks of Chicago and Seattle.)

The FHFA is headed by a Director appointed by the President of the United States, by and with the advice and consent of the U.S. Senate, to serve a five-year term. The Director of the FHFA must have a demonstrated understanding of financial management or oversight, and have a demonstrated understanding of capital markets, including the mortgage securities markets and housing finance.

The Federal Housing Finance Oversight Board advises the Director of the FHFA with respect to overall strategies and policies in carrying out the duties of the Director of the FHFA. The Federal Housing Finance Oversight Board is comprised of four board members: the Secretary of the U.S. Treasury; the Secretary of HUD; the Chairman of the SEC; and the Director of the FHFA, who serves as the chairman of the board.

The FHFA is financed by assessments from the regulated entities, including the FHLBanks. No tax dollars or other appropriations are directed to support the operations of the FHFA or the FHLBanks. To assess the safety and soundness of the

FHLBanks, the FHFA conducts annual on-site examinations of each FHLBank and the Office of Finance, as well as periodic offsite reviews. In accordance with the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, as amended by the Housing Act, the FHFA has the requirement to present the findings of the agency's annual examinations of the 12 FHLBanks and the Office of Finance to the U.S. Congress. In addition, each FHLBank is required to submit monthly financial information on its financial condition and results of operations to the FHFA.

The principal duties of the Director of the FHFA, with respect to the FHLBanks, are to:

- oversee the prudential operations of the FHLBanks;
- ensure that each FHLBank operates in a safe and sound manner, including maintenance of adequate capital and internal controls;
- ensure that the operations and activities of each FHLBank foster liquid, efficient, competitive, and resilient national housing finance markets (including activities relating to mortgages on housing for low- and moderate-income families involving a reasonable economic return that may be less than the return earned on other activities);
- ensure that each FHLBank complies with the FHLBank Act and the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, as amended by the Housing Act, and the applicable rules, regulations, guidelines and orders issued under those Acts;
- ensure that each FHLBank carries out its statutory mission only through activities that are authorized under and consistent with the FHLBank Act and the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, as amended by the Housing Act; and
- ensure that the activities of each FHLBank and the manner in which each FHLBank is operated are consistent with the public interest.

The FHFA is located at 400 7th Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20024, and its web site is <u>www.fhfa.gov</u>. This web site is provided as a matter of convenience only, and its contents are not made part of this report and are not intended to be incorporated by reference into this report.

#### **Government Corporation Control Act**

The Government Corporation Control Act provides that, before a government corporation issues and offers obligations to the public, the Secretary of the U.S. Treasury shall prescribe the form, denomination, maturity, interest rate, and conditions of the obligations; the method and time issued; and the selling price. The FHLBanks meet the definition of government corporations under the Government Corporation Control Act.

Each FHLBank and the Office of Finance has an internal audit department and an audit committee of its board of directors. An independent registered public accounting firm audits the annual financial statements of each FHLBank and the annual combined financial statements of the FHLBanks prepared by the Office of Finance. The independent registered public accounting firm conducts these audits following standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and generally accepted government auditing standards. The FHLBanks and certain members of the U.S. Congress all receive the audited financial statements of the FHLBanks. In addition, each FHLBank is required to submit an annual management report to the President of the United States, the U.S. Congress, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, and the Comptroller General of the United States. These reports include financial statements, a statement on internal accounting and administrative control systems, and the report of the independent registered public accounting firm on the financial statements.

The Government Corporation Control Act provides that the Comptroller General of the United States may review any audit of the financial statements conducted by an independent registered public accounting firm and shall report to the U.S. Congress, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, and the FHLBank under review regarding the results of the review and make any recommendation the Comptroller General of the United States considers appropriate. The Comptroller General of the United States may also audit the financial statements of an FHLBank at the discretion of the Comptroller General or at the request of a committee of the U.S. Congress. In addition, the Comptroller General of the United States has the authority under the FHLBank Act to audit or examine the FHFA and the FHLBanks to determine the extent to which they fairly and effectively fulfill the purposes of the FHLBank Act.

#### **Other Mission-Related Activities**

In addition to supporting residential mortgage lending, one of the core missions of the FHLBanks is to support community development through affordable housing and community investment. The following are descriptions of a number of programs administered by the FHLBanks targeted to fulfill that mission. These programs have provided affordable home ownership and rental opportunities for hundreds of thousands of very low- to moderate-income families and have strengthened communities across the United States and its territories.

#### **Housing Programs**

There are two key FHLBank housing programs that provide members with grants and other low-cost funds to finance housing. Funds from each of these programs can be used for the purchase, construction or rehabilitation of very low- to moderate-income owner-occupied or rental housing.

- The Affordable Housing Program (AHP) is a subsidy program that provides grants and interest-rate subsidies on loans to members.
- The Community Investment Program (CIP) for housing is a lending program that allows members to borrow at a discounted rate of interest, or to obtain letters of credit, from the FHLBanks. An advance under the CIP for housing is offered to a member at the FHLBank's cost of funds plus reasonable administrative costs. Members use CIP advances to fund the purchase, construction, rehabilitation, refinancing or pre-development financing of owner-occupied and rental housing for households with incomes at or below 115% of the area median income.

The AHP subsidizes the cost of owner-occupied housing for individuals and families with incomes at or below 80% of the area median income, and rental housing in which at least 20% of the units are reserved for households with incomes at or below 50% of the area median income. The subsidy may be in the form of a grant or a below-cost or subsidized interest rate on an advance. AHP funds are primarily available through a competitive application program at each of the FHLBanks.

In the competitive AHP application program, members submit applications on behalf of one or more sponsors of eligible housing projects. Projects must meet certain eligibility requirements and score successfully in order to obtain funding under the AHP competitive application program. AHP funds are also awarded through the homeownership set-aside program. Under this program, an FHLBank may set aside up to the greater of \$4.5 million or 35% of its AHP funds each year to assist low- and moderate-income households to purchase homes, provided that at least one-third of the FHLBank's set-aside allocation is made available to assist first-time home buyers. Members obtain the AHP homeownership set-aside funds from the FHLBank and then use those funds as grants to eligible households. Set-aside funds may be used for down payment, closing costs, counseling or rehabilitation assistance in connection with the household's purchase or rehabilitation of an owner-occupied unit. Each FHLBank sets its own maximum grant amount, which may not exceed \$15,000 per household. All 12 of the FHLBanks have AHP homeownership set-aside programs.

If an FHLBank fails to use or commit the full amount it is required to contribute to the AHP program in any year, then 90% of the unused or uncommitted amount shall be deposited by the FHLBank in an Affordable Housing Reserve Fund established and administered by the FHFA. The remaining 10% of the unused and uncommitted amount retained by the FHLBank should be fully used or committed by the FHLBank during the following year, and any remaining portion must be deposited in the Affordable Housing Reserve Fund. The Affordable Housing Reserve Fund has never been activated.

#### **Economic Community Development Programs**

In addition to housing, the CIP can be used for economic development in low- to-moderate income neighborhoods. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the FHLBanks had \$6.5 billion and \$6.2 billion of CIP housing advances and \$0.3 billion and \$0.3 billion of CIP commercial and economic development advances outstanding.

The FHLBanks also offer funding to members, often at below-market interest rates and for long terms, through Community Investment Cash Advance (CICA) programs. CICA programs provide financing for projects that are targeted to certain economic development activities. Economic development projects include commercial, industrial, manufacturing, social service, infrastructure, and public facility projects and activities. CICA lending is targeted to specific beneficiaries, including small businesses and certain geographic areas. Two types of CICA programs benefit households at specified income levels:

- *Rural Development Funding.* Projects in rural areas for beneficiaries with incomes at or below 115% of the area median income; and
- Urban Development Funding Program. Projects in urban areas for targeted beneficiaries with incomes at or below 100% of the area median income.

Currently, all of the FHLBanks offer the CIP and one or more other types of CICA programs for economic development. Members may use the proceeds of CICA funding to finance targeted economic development projects directly (loan originations and purchases) or indirectly (lending to other lenders for eligible purposes). Each FHLBank has a Community Lending Plan that describes its program objectives for economic development. Approved housing associates may use certain CICA programs. Some FHLBanks have additional community lending programs designed to retain or create jobs or otherwise improve the economic status of communities.

#### **Community Support Program**

To retain access to long-term credit from an FHLBank, members are required to meet standards of community support activities, which they document by submitting a Community Support Statement to the FHFA approximately every two years. These standards take into account each member's performance under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 and the member's record of lending to first-time home buyers.

#### **Competition**

#### Advances

Demand for FHLBank advances is affected by, among other things, the cost of other sources of liquidity available to FHLBank members, including deposits. Each FHLBank individually competes with its members' depositors as well as suppliers of secured and unsecured wholesale funding. These competitors may include investment banks, commercial banks, Federal Reserve Banks and, in certain circumstances, one or more other FHLBanks, when affiliates of their members are members of other FHLBanks. Both small and large FHLBank members typically have access to brokered deposits and repurchase agreements, each of which presents a competitive alternative to advances. Larger members also have greater access to other competitive sources of funding and asset/liability management facilitated by the domestic and global credit markets. These sources include subordinated debt, interbank loans, covered bonds, interest-rate swaps, options, bank notes, and commercial paper.

The availability of alternative funding sources to members can significantly influence the demand for FHLBank advances and this availability can vary as a result of:

- market conditions;
- products and structures available in the marketplace;
- member creditworthiness;
- availability of collateral; and
- new government programs or changes to existing ones.

The FHLBanks' competitive environment continues to be affected by the low interest-rate environment and the extent to which FHLBank members use advances as part of their core financing, rather than just as a back-up source of liquidity. Also, various fiscal and monetary stimulus programs and financial guarantees provided by the federal government are, have been or may become sources of competition for advances.

#### **Mortgage Loans**

The activities of the FHLBanks' mortgage loan programs are subject to significant competition in purchasing conventional fixed-rate mortgage and government-guaranteed/insured loans. The FHLBanks face competition in customer service, the prices paid for these assets, and ancillary services such as automated underwriting. The most direct competition for mortgages comes from other housing GSEs that also purchase conventional fixed-rate mortgage loans, specifically Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, which are the dominant purchasers of residential fixed-rate conventional mortgages. The FHLBanks primarily

compete on the basis of transaction structure, price, products and services offered. The FHLBanks regularly reassess their potential for success in attracting and retaining customers for their mortgage products and services.

#### **Debt Issuance**

Each FHLBank also competes primarily with the U.S. government, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and other GSEs, as well as corporate, sovereign, sub-sovereign and supranational entities, for funds raised through the issuance of unsecured debt in the domestic and global debt markets. If the supply of competing debt products increases without a corresponding increase in demand, or if certain investors change their view of investing in FHLBank debt, debt costs may rise, or less debt may be issued at the same cost. In addition, regulatory initiatives, which tend to reduce investments by certain depository institutions in unsecured debt with greater price volatility or interest-rate sensitivity than fixed-rate, fixed-maturity instruments of the same maturity, may adversely affect the availability and cost of funds raised through the issuance of certain types of unsecured debt. An increase in U.S. Treasury issuance also affects the FHLBanks' ability to raise funds because it provides alternative investment options. Further, a perceived or actual higher level of government support for other GSEs and other issuers may increase demand for their debt securities relative to similar FHLBank debt securities. Although the available supply of funds has kept pace with the funding needs of the FHLBanks' members (as expressed through FHLBank debt issuance), investors should not rely on the belief that this will necessarily continue to be the case in the future.

The issuance of callable debt and the simultaneous execution of callable interest-rate exchange agreements that mirror the debt issued has been an important source of competitive funding for the FHLBanks. As such, the availability of markets for callable debt and interest-rate exchange agreements may be an important factor in determining the FHLBanks' relative cost of funds. There is considerable competition in the markets for callable debt and for interest-rate exchange agreements among issuers with high credit quality. Investors should not rely on the belief that these markets will necessarily be sustained in the future. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Legislative and Regulatory Developments* for more information about recent regulatory developments, including those pertaining to the Dodd-Frank Act.)

#### Tax Status and Assessments

The FHLBanks are exempt from all corporate federal, state, and local taxation, except for local real estate tax. However, by regulation, the FHLBanks must annually set aside for the AHP the greater of the aggregate of \$100 million or 10% of net earnings (income before assessments, plus interest expense related to mandatorily redeemable capital stock, less the assessment for REFCORP until the FHLBanks' obligation was satisfied). Prior to the satisfaction of the FHLBanks' REFCORP obligation, each FHLBank was also required to make payments to REFCORP (20% of annual GAAP net income before REFCORP assessments and after payment of AHP assessments). On August 5, 2011, the FHFA certified that the FHLBanks had fully satisfied their REFCORP obligation with their payments made on July 15, 2011, which were accrued as applicable in each FHLBank's June 30, 2011 financial statements. The AHP assessment was \$296 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The combined REFCORP and AHP assessments were \$348 million and \$727 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. (See <u>Note 14 - Affordable Housing Program (AHP)</u> and <u>Note 15 - Resolution Funding Corporation (REFCORP)</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements.)

Cash dividends received by FHLBank members from the FHLBanks are taxable and do not benefit from the exclusion for corporate dividends received.

#### Office of Finance

The consolidated obligations of the FHLBanks are issued through the Office of Finance. In addition to facilitating and executing the issuance of the consolidated obligations, the Office of Finance also:

- services all consolidated obligations;
- prepares the FHLBanks' quarterly and annual combined financial reports;
- serves as a source of information for the FHLBanks on capital markets developments;
- administers REFCORP and the Financing Corporation (two tax-exempt government corporations created during the savings and loan crisis in the 1980s);
- manages relationships with the rating agencies and U.S. Treasury as they relate to the consolidated obligations; and
- performs various debt marketing activities, including investor presentations and conferences.

Pursuant to FHFA regulations, the Office of Finance, often in conjunction with the FHLBanks, has adopted policies and procedures for consolidated obligations. These policies and procedures relate to the frequency and timing of consolidated obligations issuance, issue size, minimum denomination, selling concessions, underwriter qualifications and selection, issuance currency, coupon features, call or put features, principal amortization features, and selection and retention of outside counsel. The Office of Finance has responsibility for facilitating and approving the issuance of the consolidated obligations in accordance with these policies and procedures. In addition, the Office of Finance has the authority to redirect, limit or prohibit the FHLBanks' requests to issue consolidated obligations if it determines that the action is inconsistent with FHFA regulations. The FHFA requires consolidated obligations to be issued efficiently and at the lowest all-in funding cost over time, consistent with:

- prudent risk-management practices, prudential debt parameters, short- and long-term market conditions, and the FHLBanks' role as GSEs;
- maintaining reliable access to the short-term and long-term capital markets; and
- positioning the issuance of debt to take advantage of current and future capital market opportunities.

#### **Employees**

#### Table 3 - Employees

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011			
FHLBank	Full-time	Part-time	Total	Full-time	Part-time	Total	Change
Boston	186	1	187	184	1	185	2
New York	269	3	272	273	3	276	(4)
Pittsburgh	206	3	209	208	3	211	(2)
Atlanta	338	11	349	357	9	366	(19)
Cincinnati	195	4	199	193	3	196	2
Indianapolis	192	4	196	174	5	179	18
Chicago	320	9	329	290	6	296	30
Des Moines	212	7	219	211	7	218	1
Dallas	198	2	200	206	2	208	(8)
Topeka	197	4	201	200	4	204	(3)
San Francisco	255	9	264	268	6	274	(13)
Seattle	142	1	143	135	1	136	7
Office of Finance	98	1	99	98	1	99	—
Total	2,808	59	2,867	2,797	51	2,848	11

#### **RISK FACTORS**

The following discussion summarizes certain risks and uncertainties facing the FHLBanks as they potentially affect investors in the FHLBanks' consolidated obligations. There may be other risks and uncertainties that are not described in these risk factors. If any of these risks or uncertainties is realized, it could negatively affect an FHLBank's, and possibly the entire FHLBank System's, financial condition or results of operations. As a result, there could be a reduction in the value of FHLBank membership or an adverse effect on an FHLBank's, or the entire FHLBank System's, ability to pay its obligations when due. Additional discussion and analysis of other risks and uncertainties are set forth throughout this Combined Financial Report. (See each FHLBank's 2012 SEC Form 10-K under *Item 1A-Risk Factors* for a discussion regarding its risk factors.)

#### **Business Risk-General**

### A prolonged downturn in the U.S. housing market and other economic conditions, and related U.S. government policies, could adversely affect the FHLBanks' business activities and earnings.

The FHLBanks' business and results of operations are sensitive to the condition of the housing and mortgage markets, as well as general business and economic conditions. Unfavorable conditions and trends in the U.S. economy, including the declines in real estate values, illiquid mortgage markets, and volatility in both debt and equity capital markets, have adversely affected the business of many FHLBank members as well as the FHLBanks' business and results of operations. In particular, there is significant uncertainty related to the U.S. government's actions to address the "fiscal cliff," a combination of tax increases and automatic spending cuts, and its potential effects on the recovery of the U.S. economy and housing market. Additionally, global activities, including effects from the European sovereign debt crisis, may adversely affect the global financial markets, including the level of business the FHLBanks transact with European counterparties. If these conditions remain unchanged or deteriorate, the FHLBanks' business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

In 2012, adverse trends that the U.S. housing market experienced in 2010 and 2011 began to reverse, as evidenced by stabilization and improvement in home prices. If this recovery is not sustained and adverse trends reappear in the mortgage lending sector, including declines in home prices or performance trends, a reduction could occur in the value of collateral securing member credit to each FHLBank and the fair value of its mortgage-backed security investments. This could increase the possibility of under-collateralization, increasing the risk of loss in case of a member's failure, or increase the risk of loss on the FHLBanks' MBS investments because of additional credit impairment charges. Also, further deterioration in the residential mortgage markets could negatively affect the value of the FHLBanks' mortgage loan portfolios, resulting in an increase in the allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans and possible additional realized losses should the FHLBanks be forced to liquidate their mortgage portfolios.

In addition, the FHLBanks' businesses and results of operations are affected significantly by the fiscal and monetary policies of the U.S. government and its agencies, including the Federal Reserve Board through its regulation of the supply of money and credit in the United States. The Federal Reserve Board's policies either directly or indirectly influence the yield on interestearning assets and the cost of interest-bearing liabilities and the demand for FHLBank debt. In response to the recent financial crisis, the Federal Reserve Board has taken several measures intended to depress short-term and long-term interest rates to stabilize the U.S. housing market. During the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) meeting in December 2012, the Federal Reserve Board extended its guidance that the federal funds target rate would remain at exceptionally low levels through mid-2015, later noting that a future increase in the federal Reserve Board continued to purchase \$40 billion in agency MBS each month. The Federal Reserve Board also announced that it will continue to purchase longer-term Treasury securities at a pace of \$45 billion per month after its current program to extend the average maturity of its holdings is completed at December 31, 2012. The Federal Reserve Board also continued its existing policy to reinvest principal payments on its agency debt and agency MBS holdings back into agency MBS, and in January 2013, the Federal Reserve Board will resume rolling over maturing Treasury securities at auction.

These measures as well as other systemic events, such as the European sovereign debt crisis, could adversely affect the FHLBanks through lower yields on their investments. Additionally, the FHLBanks are affected by the global economy through member ownership and investment patterns. Changes in investors' perceptions in either the value of the U.S. economy or availability of investment in overseas capital markets could lead to changes in foreign investors' interest in FHLBank consolidated obligations.

#### **Business Risk-Legislative**

### Changes in laws or changes in the application of current law could restrict the FHLBanks' business operations and negatively affect their earnings and the value of FHLBank membership.

As GSEs, the FHLBanks are organized under the authority of the FHLBank Act and are governed by U.S. federal laws and regulations of the FHFA, an independent agency within the executive branch of the U.S. government. Also, the U.S. government enacted the Housing Act in response to the financial instability of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac as well as to improve regulatory oversight of the GSEs, including the FHLBanks. Subsequently, the FHFA has issued several regulations that changed how the FHLBanks conduct business activities as part of carrying out their housing finance mission.

As a result, the legislative and regulatory environment for FHLBanks and their members has changed rapidly over the past few years, driven principally by the Housing Act and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act). The Dodd-Frank Act made significant changes to the overall regulatory framework of the U.S. financial system. There are several provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act that could affect the FHLBanks or their members, depending on how the various regulators decide to implement this federal law through the issuance of regulations and their enforcement activities. For example, the Financial Stability Oversight Council (Oversight Council), established by the Dodd-Frank Act, issued a final rule that established the standards and procedures for determining whether to designate a nonbank financial company for supervision by the Federal Reserve Board. In its determination, the Oversight Council will consider whether the nonbank financial company is subject to oversight by a primary financial regulatory agency (e.g., FHFA). If an FHLBank is designated as a nonbank financial company, it would be subject to additional Federal Reserve Board prudential standards and may be further restricted in its operations and business activities. In addition, the Oversight Council has proposed recommendations for structural reforms to money market funds that may affect the demand for the FHLBanks' consolidated obligations. Because the Dodd-Frank Act requires several regulatory bodies to carry out its provisions, the full effect of this law on the FHLBanks and their members remains uncertain until after the required regulations and reports to Congress are issued and implemented. (See Financial Discussion and Analysis - Legislative and Regulatory Developments for more information about recent regulatory developments, including those that pertain to the Dodd-Frank Act.)

### Changes in the regulation or status of GSEs and their debt issuance could reduce demand or increase the cost of the FHLBanks' debt issuance and adversely affect their earnings.

The FHLBanks are GSEs organized under the authority of the FHLBank Act and are authorized to issue debt securities to fund their operations and finance housing development in the U.S. During the recent financial crisis, the FHLBank System's debt pricing came under pressure as investors perceived GSE debt securities, including those securities issued by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, as bearing increased risk. This increased perception of risk resulted from the negative financial performance of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the FHFA's action to place them into conservatorship in September 2008. In addition, certain FHLBanks had negative financial performance, resulting from MBS credit impairment losses and noncompliance with regulatory capital requirements.

In response to the general decline in the U.S. housing market and overall adverse effect on the GSEs, the U.S. government initiated several programs. One example is the U.S. Treasury's financing arrangements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to help them pay their obligations and fund their business activities. These financing arrangements, along with other programs initiated to stabilize the housing market, are considered temporary until the U.S. government can address reform of the GSEs, potentially including the FHLBanks.

In February 2011, the U.S. Treasury and HUD issued a joint report to the U.S. Congress as mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act. This report provided the U.S. Congress with options to consider, including a plan to wind down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac as well as significantly reduce the U.S. government's role in housing finance. This plan outlined key reforms to address several areas in the current U.S. mortgage market with the aim to improve consumer protection, transparency to investors, underwriting standards and other critical measures. Although the FHLBanks are not the primary focus of these housing finance reforms, there are several recommendations that could affect the FHLBanks' current business activities with their members, particularly large financial institutions. These recommendations include limiting the level of advances outstanding to individual members and restricting membership to allow each financial institution, inclusive of its affiliates, to be an active member in only a single FHLBank.

In February 2012, the FHFA issued a strategic plan that declared Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (together, the Enterprises) as no longer financially viable and presented plans to create a single securitization platform and establish national standards for

mortgage securitization. Additionally, in August 2012, the U.S. Treasury announced a set of modifications to the preferred stock purchase agreements between it and the FHFA, as conservator. These modifications are designed to enhance stability in the housing finance market while reducing the role of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac in this market over time.

During 2013, the new U.S. Congress is expected to continue consideration of possible reforms to the secondary mortgage market, including the resolution of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Although the prior U.S. Congress had introduced several legislative proposals that would have affected the GSEs in a variety of significant ways, none would have directly affected the FHLBanks. The ultimate effects of legislative actions on the FHLBanks are unknown at this time and will depend on the legislation, if any, that is finally enacted.

Given the current uncertainty surrounding the timing and pace of these reforms, the FHLBanks' funding costs and access to funds could be adversely affected by changes in investors' perceptions of the risks associated with the housing GSEs. Additionally, investor concerns about U.S. agency debt and the U.S. agency debt market may also adversely affect the FHLBanks' competitive position and result in higher funding costs, which could negatively affect the FHLBanks' earnings. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Legislative and Regulatory Developments* for more information regarding recent and pending legislative and regulatory developments, including housing finance and GSE reforms.)

#### **Business Risk-Regulatory**

## Failure to meet minimum regulatory capital requirements could affect the FHLBanks' ability to conduct business and could adversely affect their earnings.

Each FHLBank is subject to certain minimum capital requirements under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999, which amended the FHLBank Act, and FHFA rules and regulations that include total capital, leverage capital and risk-based capital requirements. The risk-based capital requirement is equal to the sum of an FHLBank's credit-risk, market-risk and operationsrisk capital requirements. Only permanent capital, which is defined as retained earnings and the amounts paid for Class B stock, can satisfy the risk-based capital requirement. The operations-risk capital requirement is affected by increases in creditrisk and market-risk capital requirements because the operations-risk capital requirement is equal to 30 percent of the sum of the credit-risk and market-risk capital requirements.

Rating downgrades on individual investments may cause the total credit-risk-based capital requirement to rise. Declines in the fair value of an FHLBank's investments below certain levels increase that FHLBank's market-risk capital requirement. A decline in the market value of private-label mortgage-backed securities may significantly increase an FHLBank's market-risk, credit-risk, and operations-risk capital requirements, which could lead to a risk-based capital deficiency.

If an FHLBank is unable to satisfy its minimum capital requirements, that FHLBank would be subject to certain capital restoration requirements and prohibited from paying dividends and redeeming or repurchasing capital stock without the prior approval of the FHFA, which could adversely affect a member's investment in FHLBank capital stock. Furthermore, any suspension of dividends and/or capital stock repurchases and redemptions could decrease FHLBank member confidence, which in turn could reduce advance demand and net income should members elect to use alternative sources of wholesale funding. As a result of a risk-based capital shortfall, investors could perceive an increased level of risk or deterioration in the performance of an FHLBank, which could result in a downgrade in that FHLBank's outlook or its short- or long-term credit ratings. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Capital Adequacy* and *Note 17 - Capital* to the accompanying combined financial statements for additional information on the FHLBanks' capital requirements.)

## The FHLBanks may not be able to pay dividends or repurchase or redeem members' capital stock at rates consistent with past practices, causing a decrease in members' demand for advances or an increase in member withdrawals from the FHLBanks.

Under FHFA regulation, an FHLBank may pay dividends on its capital stock only out of previously retained earnings or current net income. The payment of dividends is subject to certain statutory and regulatory restrictions (including that an FHLBank is in compliance with all minimum capital requirements) and is highly dependent on an FHLBank's ability to continue to generate future net income and maintain adequate retained earnings and capital levels. Furthermore, events such as changes in the FHLBanks' market risk profile, credit quality of assets held and increased volatility of net income may affect the adequacy of the FHLBanks' retained earnings. These changes may require the FHLBanks to increase their target level of retained earnings and correspondingly reduce their dividends from historical dividend payout ratios in order to achieve and maintain the targeted amounts of retained earnings. These actions may cause a decline in the value of the FHLBank

membership, a reduction in members' demand for advances or an increase in member withdrawals from the FHLBanks. (See <u>Financial Discussion and Analysis - Capital Adequacy</u> and <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for additional information on the FHLBanks' dividend and excess stock limitations.)

## Compliance with regulatory contingency liquidity guidance could restrict investment activities and adversely affect the FHLBanks' net interest income.

The FHFA requires the FHLBanks to maintain sufficient liquidity through short-term investments in an amount at least equal to an FHLBank's cash outflows under two different scenarios for the treatment of maturing advances. This regulatory guidance is designed to provide sufficient liquidity and to protect against temporary disruptions in the capital markets that affect the FHLBanks' access to funding. To satisfy these two scenarios, the FHLBanks maintain balances in shorter-term investments, which may earn lower interest rates than alternate investment options. As a result, the FHLBanks may need to fund shorter-term advances with short-term discount notes that have maturities beyond those of the related advances, thus increasing the FHLBanks' short-term advance pricing or reducing net income through lower net interest spreads. To the extent these increased prices make FHLBank advances less competitive, advance levels and net interest income may be negatively affected. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Liquidity* for more discussion regarding the FHLBanks' liquidity requirements.)

### The FHLBanks' Affordable Housing Programs and other related community investment programs could become a larger proportional burden if the FHLBanks' annual net income is reduced or eliminated.

Each FHLBank is required to establish an Affordable Housing Program (AHP). Each FHLBank provides subsidies in the form of direct grants or below-market interest rate loans to members who use the funds to assist in the purchase, construction or rehabilitation of housing for low- to moderate-income households. Annually, the FHLBanks must set aside an aggregate of the greater of \$100 million or 10% of net earnings for the AHP. As an FHLBank's net income is reduced, the amount of funding available through the AHP is also reduced, limiting the FHLBanks' ability to satisfy its mission. As a result, the FHLBanks could be required to set aside a minimum of \$100 million per year in the aggregate, even if one or more FHLBanks are unprofitable for that year. (See <u>Note 14 - Affordable Housing Program (AHP)</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information about this funding requirement.)

#### **Business Risk-Strategic**

### Increased competition or reduced demand could adversely affect the FHLBanks' primary business activity to provide funding at attractive prices while maintaining sufficient net interest margins.

The FHLBanks' primary business is making advances to their members. Each FHLBank competes with other suppliers of wholesale funding, including investment banks, commercial banks, Federal Reserve Banks and other FHLBanks. Following the recent financial crisis, the FHLBanks experienced a sharp decrease in demand from their members for advances. This is largely due to members' ability to access alternative funding sources and an increase in deposits from members' banking customers that resulted from FDIC actions. These actions provided most FHLBank members with lower-cost funding sources by increasing the FDIC standard maximum deposit insurance amount to \$250,000 and providing unlimited FDIC deposit insurance through December 31, 2012 for non-interest-bearing transaction accounts. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has implemented policies that may suppress short-term and long-term interest rates until the middle of 2015. These funding sources and monetary policies offered or continue to offer more favorable terms than the FHLBanks.

The FHLBanks may be required to change policies, programs and agreements affecting members' access to advances, mortgage purchase programs, the AHP and other credit programs that could cause members to obtain financing from alternative sources. Many competitors are not subject to the same regulations, which may enable those competitors to offer products and terms that the FHLBanks are not able to offer. Additionally, some of the FHLBanks compete with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, as well as other FHLBanks, to purchase mortgage loans from members or affiliates of members. This increased competition may reduce the amount of available mortgage loans that FHLBanks can purchase, resulting in lower income from this part of their businesses.

Additionally, each FHLBank also competes with the U.S. Treasury, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and other GSEs, as well as corporate, state and local, sovereign, sub-sovereign and supranational entities, for funds through the issuance of unsecured debt in the national and global debt markets. Increases in the supply of competing debt products could result in higher debt costs or lower amounts of debt issued at the same cost. Increased competition could adversely affect the FHLBanks' ability to access funding, reduce the amount of funding available to the FHLBanks, or increase the cost of funding available to the

FHLBanks. Any of these effects could adversely affect the FHLBanks' financial condition and results of operations, and the value of FHLBank membership.

### A loss or change of business activities with large members or consolidation of membership could adversely affect the FHLBanks' combined financial condition or results of operations.

Some FHLBanks have a high concentration of advances and capital with large members, and certain large members have affiliates that are members of other FHLBanks. A loss of some of these members due to withdrawal from membership, acquisition by a non-member or failure could result in a reduction of the FHLBanks' total combined assets, capital, and net income. Withdrawal of members could occur as a result of the failure of members or increased consolidation in the financial services industry. Industry consolidation could also lead to the concentration of large members in some FHLBanks' districts and a related decrease in membership and significant loss of business for certain FHLBanks. If advances are concentrated in a smaller number of members, an FHLBank's risk of loss resulting from a single event would become proportionately greater. Industry consolidation could also cause an FHLBank. In turn, an FHLBank might be forced to seek a merger with another FHLBank or to make other significant changes. As a result, the FHLBanks' combined financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected by a continued loss or change in business activities with large members or consolidation in the financial services industry. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Risk Management - Business Risk - FHLBank Member Concentration Risk* for more discussion regarding the FHLBanks' exposure to member concentration risk.)

#### Credit Risk

## Increased delinquency rates and loan modifications or legal actions could result in additional credit losses on mortgage loans that back mortgage-backed securities investments and adversely affect the yield or value of related FHLBank investments.

FHLBanks have invested in both U.S. agency and private-label mortgage-backed securities that are backed by prime, subprime, and Alt-A hybrid and pay-option adjustable-rate mortgage loans. Although the FHLBanks only invested in senior tranches of these private-label mortgage-backed securities, having the highest long-term debt rating at the time of purchase, many of those securities have subsequently been downgraded and have realized or projected credit losses due to current economic conditions and housing market trends. The depth and duration of these trends continues to affect the market for these private-label mortgage-backed securities, resulting in relatively lower market prices than those of securities initially purchased, even though improvement in the combined fair value of these securities has been experienced.

Although the U.S. housing market showed continued signs of recovery during 2012, it remains fragile and susceptible to high rates of loan delinquencies and loan modifications (including reductions in principal or interest payments) on the mortgage loans backing the private-label mortgage-backed securities. As a result, the FHLBanks continued to recognize additional credit losses in their combined results of operations, albeit at a lower level than in the initial years of the financial credit losses from other-than-temporary impairments. For example, a slower economic recovery, in either the United States as a whole or in specific regions of the country, or delays in foreclosures could result in higher delinquencies, increasing the risk of credit losses that adversely affect the yield or value of these securities.

Federal and state government authorities, as well as private entities that include financial institutions and residential mortgage loan servicers, have proposed, commenced or promoted programs designed to provide homeowners with assistance in avoiding residential mortgage loan foreclosures. Loan modification programs, as well as future legislative, regulatory or other actions, including amendments to the bankruptcy laws, could also result in the modification of outstanding mortgage loans. In addition, the U.S. Treasury announced in early 2013 that it is expanding refinancing programs for homeowners whose mortgages are greater than their home value to include mortgages underlying private-label MBS. Further, recent settlements involving banking regulators, the federal government, states' attorneys general and large mortgage servicers are also likely to focus on loan modifications and principal write-downs.

These actions may adversely affect the value of and the returns on these private-label mortgage-backed securities and U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities, including those securities issued by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. In addition, legal actions relating to loan modifications, such as the settlement between the U.S. government, state attorneys general and large loan servicers, could adversely affect the value of certain FHLBanks' mortgage-backed securities if loan modifications or foreclosure activities occur that result in lower payments than previously expected for these securities. (See <u>Financial</u>

<u>Discussion and Analysis - Risk Management - Credit Risk - Investments</u> for more discussion and analysis about the FHLBanks' exposure to credit risk related to investments and their management of this risk.)

## Increased credit risk exposure resulting from increased defaults on mortgage loans or FHLBank member failures could adversely affect the FHLBanks' earnings and financial condition.

The FHLBanks have exposure to credit risk as part of their normal business operations through:

- funding advances;
- funding or purchasing mortgage loans; and
- extending credit with open lines of credit, standby letters of credit and other commitments.

The FHLBanks require that advances, mortgage loans and other extensions of credit, as noted, are fully secured with collateral. The FHLBanks evaluate the types of collateral pledged by their borrowers and assign a borrowing capacity to the collateral, generally based on a percentage of its market value. However, the devaluation or inability to liquidate the collateral in the event of a default could cause an FHLBank to incur a credit loss and adversely affect the financial condition and results of operations of that FHLBank.

Although the financial markets have stabilized somewhat, the U.S. housing market remains exposed to significant credit risk. As a result, many financial institutions continue to be under financial stress exposing the FHLBanks to member and counterparty risk, as well as the risk of default. Even though the financial services industry experienced a lower rate of FHLBank member failures in 2012, the higher level of mergers and consolidations compared to years immediately prior to the recent financial crisis has adversely affected the FHLBanks' membership and business volume.

During 2012, the U.S. housing market continued to show signs of recovery; however, it remains susceptible to high delinquency and modification rates on mortgage loans, including reductions in principal or interest payments. As a result, certain FHLBanks have experienced higher defaults on their mortgage loan programs, recognizing credit losses after considering any credit enhancements. In addition, certain FHLBanks' credit risk is concentrated geographically, resulting in greater exposure to suppressed real estate collateral values. In order for advances to remain fully collateralized, the FHLBanks require members to pledge additional collateral, when deemed necessary. This requirement may adversely affect those members that lack additional assets to pledge as collateral. If members are unable to secure their obligations with an FHLBank, that FHLBank's advance levels could decrease, negatively affecting its financial condition, results of operations, and value of FHLBank membership.

If an FHLBank's member defaults on its obligations, or the FDIC fails either to promptly repay all of that failed institution's obligations or to assume the outstanding advances, then that FHLBank may be required to liquidate the collateral pledged by the failed institution. The volatility of market prices and interest rates could affect the value of the collateral held by the FHLBank as security for the obligations of its members. The proceeds realized from the liquidation of pledged collateral may not be sufficient to fully satisfy the amount of the failed institution's obligations or the operational cost of liquidating the collateral. Default by a member with significant obligations to an FHLBank could result in significant losses, which would adversely affect the FHLBanks' results of operations and financial condition. (See <u>Financial Discussion and Analysis - Risk</u> <u>Management</u> - Credit Risk for more discussion and analysis about the FHLBanks' exposure to credit risk and their management of this risk.)

## Defaults by one or more institutional counterparties on its obligations to the FHLBanks could adversely affect results of operations and financial condition.

The FHLBanks face the risk that one or more of their institutional counterparties may fail to fulfill their contractual obligations. The primary exposures to institutional counterparty risk are with:

- unsecured money market transactions or short-term investments with domestic and foreign counterparties;
- derivative counterparties;
- mortgage servicers that service the loans the FHLBanks hold as collateral on advances; and
- third-party providers of credit enhancements on private-label MBS investments, including mortgage insurers, bond insurers, and financial guarantors.

A counterparty default could result in losses if the FHLBanks' credit exposure to that counterparty was unsecured or undercollateralized, or if the FHLBanks' credit obligations associated with derivative positions were over-collateralized. The insolvency or other inability of a significant counterparty to perform its obligations under these transactions or other agreements could have an adverse effect on the FHLBanks' results of operations and financial condition. The European debt crisis, which has resulted in deteriorating economic conditions in Europe and rating agencies' downgrades of several institutional counterparties as well as European countries' sovereign debt ratings, has increased the FHLBanks' exposure to this foreign credit risk. If this crisis becomes prolonged and is not fully resolved, it could have direct adverse effects on the FHLBanks' institutional counterparties and on the U.S. economy. In turn, the FHLBanks could also experience adverse effects on their credit performance given their relationship with these counterparties and the possibility of negative consequences for the U.S. economy.

In addition, the FHLBanks' ability to engage in routine derivatives, funding and other transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty and other relationships. As a result, actual and potential defaults of one or more financial services institutions could lead to market-wide disruptions, making it difficult for the FHLBanks to find counterparties for transactions. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Risk Management* - *Credit Risk* for more discussion and analysis about the FHLBanks' exposure to credit risk and their management of this risk.)

#### Market Risk

### A sustained period of low interest rates, rapid changes in interest rates or an inability to successfully manage interest-rate risk could have a material adverse effect on the FHLBanks' net interest income.

The FHLBanks realize income primarily from the spread between interest earned on their outstanding advances and investments less the interest paid on their consolidated obligations and other liabilities. The FHLBanks' financial performance is affected by fiscal and monetary policies of the U.S. government and its agencies, in particular, the Federal Reserve Board's policies to depress short-term and long-term interest rates to stabilize the U.S. housing market. Therefore, an FHLBank's ability to anticipate changes regarding the direction and speed of interest rate changes, or to hedge the related exposures, significantly affects the success of its asset and liability management activities and its level of net interest income. An FHLBank may use a number of measures to monitor and manage interest rate risk, including income simulations and duration/market value sensitivity analyses.

Given the unpredictability of the financial markets, capturing all potential outcomes in these analyses is extremely difficult. Key assumptions include, but are not limited to, loan volumes and pricing, market conditions for an FHLBank's consolidated obligations, interest rate spreads and prepayment speeds, and cash flows on mortgage-related assets. These assumptions are inherently uncertain and they cannot precisely estimate net interest income and the market value of equity. Actual results will differ from simulated results due to the timing, magnitude, and frequency of interest rate changes and changes in market conditions and management strategies, among other factors. Volatility and disruption in the credit markets may have resulted in a higher level of volatility in the FHLBanks' interest-rate risk profile and could negatively affect the FHLBanks' ability to manage interest-rate risk effectively.

The effect of interest rate changes can be exacerbated by prepayment and extension risk, which is the risk that mortgagebased investments will be refinanced by the borrower in low interest-rate environments or will remain outstanding longer than expected at below-market yields when interest rates increase. Decreases in interest rates typically cause mortgage prepayments to increase and may result in increased premium amortization expense and substandard performance in an FHLBank's mortgage portfolio as an FHLBank experiences a return of principal that it must re-invest in a lower rate environment. While these prepayments would reduce the asset balance, the associated debt may remain outstanding. (See *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk* for additional discussion and analysis regarding the FHLBanks' sensitivity to interest rate changes and the use of derivatives to manage their exposure to interest-rate risk.)

The Dodd-Frank Act has resulted in new statutory and regulatory requirements for derivative transactions, including those transactions used by an FHLBank to hedge its interest rate and other risks. As a result of these requirements, certain interest-rate swap transactions are required to be cleared through a third-party central clearinghouse and traded on regulated exchanges or new swap execution facilities beginning in June 2013. The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) is expected to issue additional mandatory clearing determinations for other derivative transactions. While the FHLBanks expect to continue to enter into uncleared trades on a bilateral basis, those trades will be subject to additional regulatory requirements, including new mandatory reporting requirements and new minimum margin and capital requirements imposed

by bank and other federal regulators. Any of these margin and capital requirements could adversely affect the liquidity and pricing of certain uncleared derivative transactions entered into by the FHLBanks, making uncleared trades more costly and less attractive as risk management tools for the FHLBanks. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Legislative and Regulatory Developments* - *Dodd-Frank Act* for additional information regarding new requirements for the FHLBanks' derivative transactions.)

# The FHLBanks' ability to access the capital markets, as their primary source of funding, on acceptable terms could be adversely affected by any market disruptions that could occur if credit ratings on FHLBank System consolidated obligations change.

The FHLBank System's consolidated obligations are rated Aaa/P-1 with a negative outlook by Moody's and AA+/A-1+ with a negative outlook by S&P. Rating agencies may from time to time change a rating or issue negative reports. Because all of the FHLBanks have joint and several liability for all FHLBank consolidated obligations, negative developments at any FHLBank may affect these credit ratings or result in the issuance of a negative report regardless of an individual FHLBank's financial condition and results of operations. In addition, because of the FHLBanks' GSE status, the credit ratings of the FHLBank System and the FHLBanks are generally constrained by the long-term sovereign credit rating of the United States.

In assigning a negative outlook to the U.S. government's long-term credit rating, S&P noted that a higher public debt trajectory than is currently assumed by S&P could lead it to lower the U.S. government's long-term rating again. If the U.S. government fails to adequately address, based on the credit rating agencies' criteria, its fiscal budget process or statutory debt limits, further downgrades to the U.S. sovereign credit rating may occur. As a result, similar downgrades in the credit ratings on the FHLBanks and the FHLBank System's consolidated obligations may also occur even though they are not obligations of the United States.

Although credit rating actions in 2011 have not yet had a material effect on the FHLBanks' funding costs, uncertainty remains regarding possible longer-term effects resulting from these downgrades. Any future downgrades in credit ratings and outlook may result in higher funding costs or disruptions in the FHLBanks' access to capital markets, including additional collateral posting requirements under certain derivative instrument agreements. (See <u>Note 11 - Derivatives and Hedging</u> <u>Activities</u> - Managing Credit Risk on Derivatives to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information about the FHLBanks' additional collateral requirements.) Furthermore, member demand for certain FHLBank products could weaken. To the extent that the FHLBanks cannot access funding when needed on acceptable terms to effectively manage their cost of funds, their financial condition and results of operations and the value of FHLBank membership could be negatively affected.

#### Liquidity Risk

## Disruptions in the short-term capital markets could have an adverse effect on the FHLBanks' ability to refinance their consolidated obligations or to manage their liquidity positions to meet members' needs on acceptable terms.

The FHLBanks' primary source of funds is the sale of consolidated obligations in the capital markets, including the shortterm discount note market. The FHLBanks' ability to obtain funds through the sale of consolidated obligations depends in part on prevailing conditions in the capital markets. The severe financial and economic disruptions during the most recent financial crisis, and the U.S. government's dramatic measures enacted to mitigate their effects, have affected the FHLBanks' funding costs and practices. Each FHLBank's ability to operate its business, meet its obligations and generate net interest income depends primarily on the ability to issue debt frequently to meet member demand and to refinance existing outstanding consolidated obligations at attractive rates, maturities and call features when needed.

The FHLBanks are exposed to liquidity risk if there is any significant disruption in the short-term debt markets. If this disruption is prolonged, the FHLBanks may not be able to obtain funding on acceptable terms. Without access to the short-term debt markets, the alternative longer-term funding, if available, would increase funding costs and likely cause the FHLBanks to increase advance rates, adversely affecting demand for advances. If the FHLBanks cannot access funding when needed on acceptable terms, their ability to support and continue their operations could be adversely affected. As a result, an FHLBank's inability to manage its liquidity position or its contingency liquidity plan to meet its obligations, as well as the credit and liquidity needs of its members, could adversely affect that FHLBank's financial condition and results of operations, and the value of FHLBank membership. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Liquidity* for more discussion regarding the FHLBanks' liquidity requirements.)

#### **Operational Risk**

# Each FHLBank relies on business and financial models to manage its financial risks, to make business decisions and to value assets and liabilities. An FHLBank's business could be adversely affected if those models fail to produce reliable projections or valuations.

Each FHLBank makes significant use of business and financial models for managing different risks. Each FHLBank uses models to measure and monitor exposures to various risks, including interest rate, prepayment and other market risks, as well as credit risk. Each FHLBank also uses models in determining the fair value of financial instruments when independent price quotations are not available or reliable. The information provided by these models is also used in making business decisions relating to strategies, initiatives, transactions and products and in financial statement reporting. Because models use assumptions to project future trends and performance, they are inherently imperfect predictors of actual results.

Changes in any models or in their underlying assumptions, judgments or estimates may cause the results generated by the models to be materially different. If the models are not reliable, an FHLBank could make poor business decisions, including poor asset and liability management decisions that could result in an adverse financial effect on the FHLBanks' business. Furthermore, strategies that an FHLBank employs to attempt to manage the risks associated with the use of models may not be effective. The models used by each FHLBank to determine the fair values of its assets, liabilities and derivatives may differ from the models used by the other FHLBanks. The use of different models or assumptions by individual FHLBanks, as well as changes in market conditions, could result in materially different valuation estimates or other estimates even when similar or identical assets and liabilities are being measured, and could have materially different effects on the net income and retained earnings of each of the FHLBanks.

For example, the current uncertainty in the housing and mortgage markets increases the FHLBanks' exposure to the inherent risks associated with the reliance on internal models that use key assumptions to project future trends and performance. Although each FHLBank adjusts its internal models when necessary to reflect changes in economic conditions, housing market and other key factors, the risk remains that an FHLBank's internal models could produce unreliable results or estimates that vary considerably from actual results. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Critical Accounting Estimates* for more discussion about the FHLBanks' use of financial models in determining critical accounting estimates.)

### Failures or interruptions in the information systems and other technology used by each FHLBank and the Office of Finance could adversely affect the FHLBanks' ability to effectively conduct and manage their businesses.

Each FHLBank and the Office of Finance relies heavily on its information systems and other technology to conduct and manage its business. A failure or interruption, including an event caused by a cyberattack or other breach of technology security, in any of these systems or other technology could prevent the FHLBanks from conducting and managing their business effectively. Although each of the FHLBanks and the Office of Finance has implemented a business continuity plan, it may not be able to prevent or mitigate the negative effects of a failure or interruption. A failure or interruption could adversely affect an FHLBank's member relations, risk management, and profitability, which could negatively affect the FHLBanks' ability to conduct and manage their businesses effectively.

# Failures or circumventions of the financial reporting controls and procedures that each of the FHLBanks and the Office of Finance maintain, and that the Office of Finance relies upon to prepare the FHLBanks Combined Financial Report, could adversely affect the accuracy and meaningfulness of the information contained in this Combined Financial Report.

Each FHLBank is responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting with respect to the information and financial data provided to the Office of Finance. Currently, the Office of Finance is not required to establish and maintain disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting at the FHLBank System level in the same manner as those maintained by each FHLBank. Instead, the Office of Finance relies on each FHLBank management's certification and representation regarding the accuracy and completeness of its data submitted to the Office of Finance and has established controls and procedures concerning: (1) each FHLBank's submission of information and financial data to the Office of Finance, (2) the process of combining the financial statements of the individual FHLBanks and (3) the review of such information. However, an FHLBank's or the Office of Finance's failure to detect material weaknesses or circumventions of its respective key controls could have an adverse effect on the accuracy and meaningfulness of the information provided by that FHLBank to the Office of Finance for preparation of the FHLBank's management assessment of its individual internal control over financial reporting and evaluation of its disclosure controls and procedures, and the Office of Finance's controls and procedures for more information regarding each FHLBank's management assessment of its individual internal control over financial reporting and evaluation of its disclosure controls and procedures, and the Office of Finance's controls and procedures, and the Office of Finance's controls and procedures over the combined financial reporting combining process.)

#### **PROPERTIES AND GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION**

The FHLBanks operate in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and U.S. territories. Each FHLBank serves members whose principal place of business is located in its specifically-defined geographic district. In addition to their principal business location, each of the FHLBanks and the Office of Finance also maintain leased, off-site, back-up facilities.

#### **Table 4 - Properties and Geographic Distribution**

FHLBank	Address	Owned/ Leased	States and Territories	Number of Members
Boston	800 Boylston St. 9th Fl. Boston, MA 02199	Leased	Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont	452
New York(1)	101 Park Ave. New York, NY 10178-0599	Leased	New Jersey, New York, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands	339
Pittsburgh	601 Grant St. Pittsburgh, PA 15219-4455	Leased	Delaware, Pennsylvania, West Virginia	294
Atlanta	1475 Peachtree St., N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309	Owned	Alabama, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia	1,018
Cincinnati	221 East Fourth St. 1000 Atrium Two Cincinnati, OH 45202	Leased	Kentucky, Ohio, Tennessee	742
Indianapolis	8250 Woodfield Crossing Blvd. Indianapolis, IN 46240	Owned	Indiana, Michigan	411
Chicago	200 East Randolph Dr. Chicago, IL 60601	Leased	Illinois, Wisconsin	762
Des Moines	Skywalk Level 801 Walnut Street Ste. 200 Des Moines, IA 50309-3513	Leased	Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota	1,207
Dallas	8500 Freeport Pkwy. South Ste. 600 Irving, TX 75063-2547	Owned	Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, Texas	891
Topeka	One Security Benefit Pl. Ste. 100 Topeka, KS 66606-2444	Leased	Colorado, Kansas, Nebraska, Oklahoma	818
San Francisco	600 California St. San Francisco, CA 94108	Leased	Arizona, California, Nevada	363
Seattle	1501 Fourth Ave. Ste. 1800 Seattle, WA 98101	Leased	Alaska, American Samoa, Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Northern Mariana Islands, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming	338
Office of Finance	1818 Library Street Ste. 200 Reston, VA 20190	Leased		

(1) The FHLBank of New York's off-site computer operations center was moved to its headquarters in the fourth quarter of 2012 due to the impact of Hurricane Sandy. The FHLBank of New York re-established an off-site back-up data center in the first quarter of 2013.

See Market for Capital Stock and Related Stockholder Matters for more information on FHLBanks' members.

#### LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The FHLBanks are subject to various pending legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. The FHLBanks and the Office of Finance are not a party to, nor are they subject to, any pending legal proceedings, except the following identified proceedings, where the ultimate liability of the FHLBanks, if any, arising out of these proceedings is likely to have a material effect on the results of operations, financial condition or liquidity of the FHLBanks or that are otherwise material to the FHLBanks. (See each FHLBank's 2012 SEC Form 10-K under *Part I. Item 3-Legal Proceedings* for additional information, including updates, to its legal proceedings.)

#### Legal Proceedings Relating to the Purchase of Certain Private-label MBS

As of December 31, 2012, each of the FHLBanks of Boston, Pittsburgh, Atlanta, Indianapolis, Chicago, San Francisco and Seattle is a plaintiff in continued legal proceedings that relate to its purchases of certain private-label MBS. Defendants in these lawsuits include entities and affiliates that buy, sell or distribute the FHLBanks' consolidated obligations or are derivative counterparties. These defendants and their affiliates may be members or former members of the plaintiff FHLBanks or other FHLBanks. In addition, certain defendants in some of these legal proceedings currently issue credit ratings on the FHLBanks and the FHLBank System's consolidated obligations.

#### Legal Proceedings Relating to the Lehman Bankruptcy

See <u>Note 21 - Commitments and Contingencies</u> - Lehman Bankruptcy to the accompanying combined financial statements for information on legal proceedings relating to bankruptcy proceedings involving Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc.

#### MARKET FOR CAPITAL STOCK AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

As a cooperative, each FHLBank conducts its advances business and mortgage loan programs almost exclusively with its members. Members and former members own all of the FHLBanks' capital stock. There is no established marketplace for the FHLBanks' stock and it is not publicly traded. FHLBank stock is purchased by members at the stated par value of \$100 per share and may be redeemed/repurchased at its stated par value of \$100 per share, subject to applicable redemption periods and certain conditions and limitations. (See *Financial Discussion and Analysis - Capital Adequacy - Dividend and Excess Stock Limitations* for a discussion of certain FHLBank actions regarding dividends and excess capital stock.)

At December 31, 2012, the FHLBanks had 337 million shares of capital stock outstanding. The FHLBanks are not required to register their securities under the Securities Act of 1933 (as amended). Each FHLBank is an SEC registrant and is subject to certain reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Table 5 presents combined regulatory capital stock, which includes mandatorily redeemable capital stock, held by type of member and Table 6 presents FHLBank membership by type of member.

#### Table 5 - Regulatory Capital Stock Held by Type of Member

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011			
	Percentage of Regulatory Capital Amount Stock			Amount	Percentage of Regulatory Capital Stock		
Commercial banks	\$	21,023	52.0%	\$	21,782	50.0%	
Thrifts		6,352	15.7%		7,692	17.7%	
Insurance companies		3,506	8.7%		3,492	8.0%	
Credit unions		2,650	6.5%		2,572	5.9%	
Community development financial institutions		4	-		4	-	
Total GAAP capital stock		33,535	82.9%		35,542	81.6%	
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock		6,929	17.1%		8,013	18.4%	
Total combined regulatory capital stock	\$	40,464	100.0%	\$	43,555	100.0%	

#### Table 6 - Membership by Type of Member

	December	r 31, 2012	December 31, 2011		
	Percentage of Total Number Members		Number	Percentage of Total Members	
Commercial banks	5,207	68.2%	5,345	68.8%	
Credit unions	1,180	15.5%	1,123	14.4%	
Thrifts	972	12.7%	1,048	13.5%	
Insurance companies	263	3.4%	250	3.2%	
Community development financial institutions	13	0.2%	8	0.1%	
Total	7,635	100.0%	7,774	100.0%	

During the year ended December 31, 2012, 11 FHLBank members withdrew from FHLBank membership for reasons other than merger or acquisition and 27 FHLBank members gave notice of intent to withdraw from FHLBank membership for reasons other than merger or acquisition.

The information on regulatory capital stock presented in Table 7 is accumulated at the holding-company level. The percentage of total regulatory capital stock identified in Table 7 for each holding company was computed by dividing all regulatory capital stock owned by subsidiaries of that holding company by total combined regulatory capital stock. These percentage concentrations do not represent ownership concentrations in an individual FHLBank.

### Table 7 - Top 10 Regulatory Capital Stockholders by Holding Company at December 31, 2012

(dollars in millions)

Holding Company Name(1)	FHLBank Districts(2)		atory Capital itock(3)	Percentage of Total Regulatory Capital Stock	Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock	
JPMorgan Chase & Co.	Pittsburgh, Cincinnati, Chicago, San Francisco, Seattle	\$	3,715	9.2%	\$	1,454
Citigroup Inc.	New York, Pittsburgh, Des Moines, Dallas, San Francisco		3,277	8.1%		2,359
Bank of America Corporation	Boston, New York, Atlanta, Indianapolis, San Francisco, Seattle		2,674	6.6%		233
Capital One Financial Corporation	Pittsburgh, Atlanta, Dallas		1,257	3.1%		263
Wells Fargo & Company	Des Moines, Dallas, Topeka, San Francisco, Seattle		1,078	2.7%		968
MetLife, Inc.	Boston, New York, Pittsburgh, Des Moines		902	2.2%		_
U.S. Bancorp	Cincinnati, Des Moines, Dallas, Topeka, San Francisco, Seattle		787	1.9%		183
Banco Santander, S.A.	New York, Pittsburgh		668	1.7%		_
UK Financial Investments Limited	Boston, Pittsburgh, Cincinnati		565	1.4%		_
The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.	New York, Pittsburgh, Atlanta, Cincinnati, Des Moines		563	1.4%		204
		\$	15,486	38.3%	\$	5,664

Holding company information was obtained from the Federal Reserve System's website, the National Information Center (NIC) and SEC filings. The NIC is a central repository (1) of data about banks and other institutions for which the Federal Reserve System has a supervisory, regulatory, or research interest, including both domestic and foreign banking organizations operating in the United States.

At December 31, 2012, each holding company had subsidiaries with regulatory capital stock holdings in these FHLBank districts. (2)

Includes FHLBank capital stock that is considered to be mandatorily redeemable, which is classified as a liability under GAAP. (3)

Five Largest Regulatory Capital Stockholders of Each FHLBank. Table 8 presents information on the five largest regulatory capital stockholders by FHLBank at December 31, 2012. The information presented on capital stock in Table 8 is for individual FHLBank capital stockholders. The data is not aggregated to the holding-company level. Some of the institutions listed are affiliates of the same holding company, and some of the institutions listed may have affiliates that are capital stockholders but that are not listed in the table. Each FHLBank describes its risk management policies, including disclosures about its concentration risk, if any, in its periodic reports filed with the SEC. (See Explanatory Statement about Federal Home Loan **Banks Combined Financial Report.**)

#### Table 8 - Top 5 Regulatory Capital Stockholders by FHLBank at December 31, 2012

(dollars in millions)

District	Name	Holding Company Name(1)	Regul	atory Capital Stock	Percentage of FHLBank Regulatory Capital Stock(2)	Mandato Redeemable Stock	Capital
	Bank of America Rhode Island, N.A.	Bank of America Corporation	\$	976	26.6%	\$	_
	RBS Citizens, N.A.	UK Financial Investments Limited		485	13.2%		—
Boston	First Niagara Bank, N.A.(3)			117	3.2%		117
BUSION	Webster Bank N.A.			105	2.9%		_
	TD Bank, N.A.(3)			80	2.2%		80
			\$	1,763	48.1%	\$	197
	Citibank, N.A.	Citigroup Inc.	\$	917	19.0%	\$	_
	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	MetLife, Inc.		735	15.2%		_
New York	New York Community Bank(4)			434	9.0%		—
New TOTK	Hudson City Savings Bank, FSB(4)			356	7.4%		-
	Astoria Federal Savings and Loan Association			171	3.6%		_
			\$	2,613	54.2%	\$	_

District	Name	Holding Company Name(1)	-	ory Capital tock	Percentage of FHLBank Regulatory Capital Stock(2)	Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock
	Sovereign Bank	Banco Santander, S.A.	\$	652	20.1%	\$ —
	PNC Bank, National Association(4)	The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.		359	11.1%	_
D'unde onde	Chase Bank USA, N.A.	JPMorgan Chase & Co.		330	10.2%	_
Pittsburgh	Ally Bank			272	8.4%	_
	Capital One, National Association (3)	Capital One Financial Corporation		263	8.1%	263
			\$	1,876	57.9%	\$ 263
	Capital One, National Association	Capital One Financial Corporation	\$	720	14.6%	\$ —
	Bank of America, National Association	Bank of America Corporation		472	9.6%	_
Atlanta	Branch Banking and Trust Company (4)			435	8.8%	_
Atlanta	Navy Federal Credit Union			402	8.1%	_
	Capital One Bank (USA), National Association	Capital One Financial Corporation		274	5.5%	_
			\$	2,303	46.6%	<u>\$</u>
			Ŷ	2,000		÷
	JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association	JPMorgan Chase & Co.	\$	865	20.5%	\$ —
۲ Cincinnati F	U.S. Bank N.A.	U.S. Bancorp		592	14.0%	_
	Fifth Third Bank			401	9.5%	-
	PNC Bank, National Association(3)	The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.		184	4.4%	184
	Keybank NA			179	4.2%	_
			\$	2,221	52.6%	\$ 184
	Flagstar Bank, FSB		Ş	302	14.5%	ś –
	LaSalle Bank Midwest NA(3)	Bank of America Corporation	Ŧ	225	10.8%	225
Cincinnati Indianapolis	Jackson National Life Insurance Company			115	5.5%	_
indianapolis	Fifth Third Bank(3)			97	4.6%	97
	Citizens Bank			95	4.6%	_
			\$	834	40.0%	\$ 322
	BMO Harris Bank National					
	Association		\$	225	13.6%	\$ —
	The Northern Trust Company			135	8.2%	-
Chicago	Associated Bank, National Association			96	5.8%	-
	State Farm Bank, F.S.B.			90	5.4%	-
	Cole Taylor Bank			63	3.8%	
			\$	609	36.8%	\$ —
	Transamerica Life Insurance Company		\$	138	6.7%	ć _
	Aviva Life and Annuity Company		Ļ	97	4.7%	-
	HICA Education Loan Corporation			95	4.7%	_
Des Moines	Principal Life Insurance Company			90	4.3%	_
	Superior Guaranty Insurance	Wells Fargo & Company				_
	Company		+	90	4.3%	
			\$	510	24.6%	<u>\$</u> —

District	Name	Holding Company Name(1)	tory Capital Stock	Percentage of FHLBank Regulatory Capital Stock(2)	Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock
	Comerica Bank		\$ 89	7.3%	\$ —
	Texas Capital Bank, National Association		85	7.0%	_
Dellas	International Bank of Commerce		40	3.3%	-
Dallas	ViewPoint Bank, National Association		38	3.1%	_
District       Dallas       Topeka       San Francisco       Seattle	Beal Bank USA		 38	3.1%	
			\$ 290	23.8%	\$ —
	MidFirst Bank		\$ 146	11.6%	\$ —
	Capitol Federal Savings Bank		131	10.3%	_
Topeka	Security Life of Denver Insurance Co.		56	4.4%	-
	Security Benefit Life Insurance Co.		39	3.1%	_
	United of Omaha Life Insurance Company		35	2.8%	-
			\$ 407	32.2%	\$ —
	Citibank, N.A.(3)(4)	Citigroup Inc.	\$ 2,246	26.4%	\$ 2,246
Topeka San Francisco	JPMorgan Bank & Trust Company, National Association	JPMorgan Chase & Co.	1,044	12.3%	-
Can Francisca	Wells Fargo Bank, NA(3)(4)	Wells Fargo & Company	909	10.7%	909
San Francisco	JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association(3)	JPMorgan Chase & Co.	695	8.2%	695
	Bank of America California, N.A.	Bank of America Corporation	409	4.8%	-
			\$ 5,303	62.4%	\$ 3,850
	JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association(3)	JPMorgan Chase & Co.	\$ 758	27.5%	\$ 758
	Bank of America Oregon, NA	Bank of America Corporation	592	21.5%	8
Seattle	Washington Federal Savings and Loan Association		152	5.5%	44
	Sterling Savings Bank		97	3.5%	_
	American Savings Bank, F.S.B.(4)		 96	3.5%	77
			\$ 1,695	61.5%	\$ 887

(1) The holding company name is only shown for each Top 5 regulatory capital stockholder that has its holding company listed in Table 7 - Top 10 Regulatory Capital Stockholders by Holding Company at December 31, 2012.

(2) For consistency with the individual FHLBank's presentation of its Top 5 capital stockholders at December 31, 2012, amounts used to calculate percentages of FHLBank regulatory capital stock may be based on numbers in thousands. Accordingly, recalculations using the amounts in millions as presented in Table 8 may not produce the same results.

(3) Non-member stockholder that is holding legacy capital stock due to out-of-district acquisition, merger or relocation.

(4) Indicates that an officer or director of the stockholder was an FHLBank director at December 31, 2012.

## SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

(dollars in millions)		2012	 2011		2010		2009	 2008
Selected Statement of Condition Data at December 31,								
Investments(1)	\$	265,825	\$ 271,265	\$	330,470	\$	284,351	\$ 305,913
Advances		425,750	418,157		478,589		631,159	928,638
Mortgage loans held for portfolio		49,557	53,515		61,277		71,469	87,376
Allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans		(132)	(138)		(86)		(32)	(15)
Total assets		762,454	766,086		878,109		1,015,583	1,349,053
Consolidated obligations								
Discount notes		216,282	190,149		194,431		198,532	439,895
Bonds		475,856	506,975		606,567		736,344	818,372
Total consolidated obligations		692,138	697,124		800,998		934,876	 1,258,267
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock		6,929	8,013		7,066		8,138	6,136
Subordinated notes(2)		1,000	1,000		1,000		1,000	1,000
Total capital stock(3)								
Class B putable		33,021	32,485		38,683		42,227	46,413
Class A putable		514	655		719		427	752
Pre-conversion putable		-	2,402		2,333		2,328	2,386
Total capital stock		33,535	 35,542		41,735		44,982	 49,551
Retained earnings		10,524	8,577		7,552		6,033	2,936
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(1,510)	(4,298)		(5,546)		(8,206)	(1,137)
Total capital(3)	_	42,549	 39,821	_	43,741	_	42,809	 51,350
Selected Statement of Income Data for the year ended December 31,								
Net interest income	\$	4,052	\$ 4,171	\$	5,222	\$	5,425	\$ 5,239
Provision (reversal) for credit losses		21	71		58		18	11
Net interest income after provision (reversal) for credit losses		4,031	4,100		5,164		5,407	5,228
Non-interest income (loss)		(160)	(1,102)		(1,424)		(1,779)	(2,346)
Non-interest expense		969	1,057		932		943	1,076
Assessments		296	348		727		830	600
Net income (loss)	\$	2,606	\$ 1,593	\$	2,081	\$	1,855	\$ 1,206
Selected Other Data for the year ended December 31,								
Cash and stock dividends	\$	659	\$ 568	\$	587	\$	641	\$ 1,975
Dividend payout ratio(4)		25.29%	35.66 %		28.21%		34.56%	163.76%
Return on average equity(5)		6.48%	3.77 %		4.82 %		3.95 %	2.17 %
Return on average assets		0.34%	0.19 %		0.22 %		0.16 %	0.09 %
Average equity to average assets		5.27 %	5.11%		4.54 %		4.00 %	4.12 %
Net interest margin(6)		0.53%	0.51%		0.55 %		0.46 %	0.40 %
Selected Other Data at December 31,								
Total GAAP capital-to-asset ratio		5.58%	5.20%		4.98 %		4.22 %	3.81%
Combined regulatory capital-to-assets ratio(7)		6.69 %	6.91%		6.53%		5.92 %	4.42 %

(1) Investments consist of interest-bearing deposits, securities purchased under agreements to resell, Federal funds sold, trading securities, available-for-sale securities and held-to-maturity securities.

(2) The FHLBank of Chicago has \$1.0 billion of subordinated notes outstanding that mature on June 13, 2016. The subordinated notes are not obligations of, and are not guaranteed by, the U. S. government or any of the FHLBanks other than the FHLBank of Chicago.

(3) FHLBank capital stock is redeemable at the request of a member subject to the statutory redemption periods and other conditions and limitations. The FHLBank of Chicago implemented its capital plan under the GLB Act effective January 1, 2012. The corresponding balances for capital stock pre-conversion putable relate solely to the FHLBank of Chicago. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements.)

(4) Dividend payout ratio is equal to dividends declared in the period expressed as a percentage of net income (loss) in the period. This ratio may not be as relevant to the combined balances because there are no shareholders at the FHLBank System-wide level.

(5) Return on average equity is equal to net income (loss) expressed as a percentage of average total capital.

(6) Net interest margin is equal to net interest income (loss) before provision (reversal) for credit losses, represented as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

(7) The regulatory capital-to-assets ratio is calculated based on the FHLBanks' combined regulatory capital as a percentage of total assets. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for a definition and discussion of regulatory capital.)

## FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF COMBINED FINANCIAL CONDITION AND COMBINED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Investors should read this financial discussion and analysis of combined financial condition and combined results of operations together with the combined financial statements and the accompanying notes in this Combined Financial Report. Each FHLBank discusses its financial condition and results of operations in its periodic reports filed with the SEC. Each FHLBank's Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC contains, as required by applicable SEC rules, a Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, commonly called MD&A. The SEC has noted that one of the principal objectives of MD&A is to provide a narrative explanation of a registrant's financial statements that enables investors to see the registrant through the eyes of its management and that "management has a unique perspective on its business that only it can present." Because there is no centralized management of the FHLBanks that can provide a system-wide "eyes of management" view of the FHLBanks as a whole, this Combined Financial Report does not contain a conventional MD&A. It includes, instead, a "Financial Discussion and Analysis of Combined Financial Condition and Combined Results of Operations" prepared by the Office of Finance using information provided by the individual FHLBanks. This Financial Discussion and Analysis does not generally include a separate description of how each FHLBank's operations affect the combined financial condition and combined results of operations. That level of information about each of the FHLBanks is addressed in each respective FHLBank's periodic reports filed with the SEC. (See *Explanatory* Statement about Federal Home Loan Banks Combined Financial Report and Supplemental Information - Individual FHLBank Selected Financial Data and Financial Ratios.)

The combined financial statements include the financial records of the 12 FHLBanks. (See the <u>Condensed Combining</u> <u>Schedules</u> for information regarding each individual FHLBank's results.) Transactions among the FHLBanks have been eliminated in accordance with combination accounting principles related to consolidation under GAAP. (See <u>Interbank</u> <u>Transfers of Consolidated Bonds and Their Effect on Combined Net Income</u> and <u>Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting</u> <u>Policies</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information.)

Unless otherwise stated, amounts disclosed in this Combined Financial Report represent values rounded to the nearest million; as such, amounts less than one million may not be reflected in this Combined Financial Report.

## Forward-Looking Information

Statements contained in this report, including statements describing the objectives, projections, estimates, or future predictions of the FHLBanks and Office of Finance, may be "forward-looking statements." These statements may use forward-looking terminology, such as "anticipates," "believes," "could," "estimates," "may," "should," "will," or their negatives or other variations on these terms. Investors should note that, by their nature, forward-looking statements involve risks or uncertainties, including those set forth in the <u>Risk Factors</u> section of this report. Therefore, the actual results could differ materially from those expressed or implied in these forward-looking statements or could affect the extent to which a particular objective, projection, estimate, or prediction is realized.

These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties including, but not limited to, the following:

- changes in the general economy, employment rates, housing market activity and housing prices, the size and volatility of the residential mortgage market, and uncertainty regarding the European sovereign debt crisis;
- volatility of market prices, interest rates, and indices or other factors that could affect the value of investments, including other-than-temporary impairment of private-label mortgage-backed securities, or collateral held by the FHLBanks resulting from the effects of, and changes in, various monetary or fiscal policies and regulations, including those determined by the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC, or a decline in liquidity in the financial markets;
- political events, including legislative, regulatory, judicial, or other developments that affect the FHLBanks, their members, counterparties or investors in the consolidated obligations of the FHLBanks, including changes in the FHLBank Act, housing finance and GSE reform, FHFA actions or regulations that affect FHLBank operations, and regulatory oversight;
- competitive forces, including other sources of funding available to FHLBank members, and other entities borrowing funds in the capital markets;

- demand for FHLBank advances resulting from changes in FHLBank members' deposit flows and credit demands;
- loss of large members and repayment of advances made to those members due to institutional failures, consolidations or withdrawals from FHLBank membership;
- changes in domestic and foreign investor demand for consolidated obligations or the terms of interest-rate exchange agreements and similar agreements, including changes in the relative attractiveness of consolidated obligations as compared to other investment opportunities and changes resulting from any modification of credit ratings;
- the availability of acceptable institutional counterparties for business transactions, including derivative transactions used to manage interest-rate risk;
- the ability to introduce new products and services and successfully manage the risks associated with those products and services, including new types of collateral used to secure advances; and
- the effect of new accounting guidance, including the development of supporting systems and related internal controls.

Neither the FHLBanks nor the Office of Finance undertakes any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements contained in this Combined Financial Report, whether as a result of new information, future events, changed circumstances, or any other reason.

## **Executive Summary**

This overview highlights selected information and may not contain all of the information that is important to readers of this Combined Financial Report. For a more complete understanding of events, trends and uncertainties, this executive summary should be read together with the Financial Discussion and Analysis section in its entirety and the FHLBanks' combined financial statements and related notes.

## Overview

The FHLBanks are GSEs, federally-chartered but privately capitalized and independently managed. The 12 regional FHLBanks together with the Office of Finance, the fiscal agent of the FHLBanks, comprise the FHLBank System. All FHLBanks operate under the supervisory and regulatory framework of the FHFA.

The FHLBanks are cooperative institutions, meaning that their stockholders are also the FHLBanks' primary customers. FHLBank capital stock is not publicly traded. It is purchased and redeemed by members or repurchased by an FHLBank at a par value of \$100 per share. The FHLBank System is generally designed to expand and contract in asset size as the needs of member financial institutions and their communities change over time.

Each FHLBank's primary business is to serve as a financial intermediary between the capital markets and its members. This intermediation process involves raising funds by issuing debt, known as consolidated obligations, in the capital markets and lending those proceeds to member institutions in the form of loans, known as advances. Each FHLBank's principal funding is obtained from consolidated obligations issued through the Office of Finance on behalf of the FHLBanks. Consolidated obligations are the joint and several obligation of each FHLBank.

The FHLBanks seek to maintain a balance between their public policy mission and their goal of providing adequate returns on member capital. The FHLBanks achieve this balance by providing value to their members through advances, other services and dividend payments. The interest spread between the cost of each FHLBank's liabilities and the yield on its assets, combined with the earnings on its capital invested, are the FHLBanks' primary sources of earnings. Due to the FHLBanks' cooperative structures, the FHLBanks generally earn narrow net spreads between the yield on assets and the cost of liabilities incurred to fund those assets.

## **Credit Ratings**

The FHLBank System's ability to raise funds in the capital markets at narrow spreads to the U.S. Treasury yield curve is due largely to the FHLBanks' status as GSEs, which is reflected in its consolidated obligations receiving the same credit rating as the government bond credit rating of the United States even though the consolidated obligations are not obligations of the United

States. In addition to ratings on the FHLBanks' consolidated obligations, each FHLBank is rated individually by Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) and Standard & Poor's Ratings Services (S&P). Investors should note that a rating issued by a rating agency is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and that the ratings may be revised or withdrawn by the rating agency at any time. Investors should evaluate the rating of each rating agency independently. FHLBank debt is neither the obligation of, nor is it guaranteed by, the United States or any government agency. Moody's, S&P or other rating organizations could downgrade the U.S. government and, in turn, GSEs, including the FHLBanks. Previous rating agency actions have not had a material adverse effect on the FHLBanks' operations, financial position, liquidity or funding costs. However, uncertainty remains regarding possible longer-term effects resulting from previous or any future rating agency actions.

#### **Business Environment**

The primary external factors that affected the FHLBanks' combined financial condition and operating performance during the year ended December 31, 2012 included: (1) the general state of the economy and financial markets; (2) the conditions in the housing markets; (3) interest rate levels and volatility; and (4) the legislative and regulatory environment. As a result of these factors, during the year ended December 31, 2012, the FHLBanks continued to face challenges with respect to levels of advance demand from members that are below those of previous years, low yields on interest-earning assets in the current low interest-rate environment, and the ongoing effect of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) related to certain private-label mortgage-backed securities.

<u>Economy and Financial Markets.</u> As part of their overall business strategy, the FHLBanks' members typically use wholesale funding in the form of advances along with other sources of funding, such as retail deposits, as sources of liquidity to make residential mortgage loans. The FHLBanks' overall results of operations are influenced by the economic and financial markets and, in particular, FHLBanks' member demand for wholesale funding. The slow economic recovery along with high retail deposit levels and weak lending activity continues to dampen members' wholesale borrowing needs. However, the demand for advances has shown some signs of regional stabilization and certain FHLBank members have increased their use of advances.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the economy suffered a number of temporary shocks including increases in energy prices during the beginning of the year, a severe drought during the summer, Hurricane Sandy in October, the ongoing sovereign debt crisis in Europe and a general slowdown in global growth. After thirteen quarters of real gross domestic product (GDP) growth in the United States, real GDP grew slightly during the quarter ended December 31, 2012, but not as much as in previous quarters due to lower defense spending, slower inventory growth and a widening trade deficit. These items offset increases in consumer spending, growth in residential investment and business capital spending. The labor market has shown signs of improvement during 2012 as the unemployment rate decreased to 7.8% in September 2012, a four-year low, and remained there through December 31, 2012. There are potential challenges to the ongoing recovery due to uncertainty about the U.S. fiscal situation, including possible sequester-related fiscal tightening, a temporary extension to the U.S. debt ceiling by Congress that will have to be revisited later in 2013, and possible additional setbacks in Europe.

Financial markets experienced both periods of volatility and periods of stability during the year ended December 31, 2012. During the periods of increased volatility, investors moved away from riskier assets in favor of assets such as short-term U.S. Treasuries and agency debt securities, including the FHLBanks' consolidated obligations. During the periods of increased stability, investors moved into riskier assets in pursuit of higher returns. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the FHLBank System maintained ready access to funding at attractive levels.

<u>Conditions in Housing Markets.</u> Conditions in the U.S. housing markets primarily affect the FHLBanks through the creation of demand for residential mortgage loans from members and the valuation of loan collateral underlying private-label mortgage-backed securities. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the U.S. housing sector has shown some signs of stabilization and improvement. Housing starts and home sales continued to trend higher during the year ended December 31, 2012. Record or near-record low mortgage interest rates, a relatively high level of housing affordability, and improved household wealth helped to boost demand for residential housing and supported broad-based improvement in the housing market. However, improvements in the housing market face headwinds from tight mortgage lending standards, some potential homebuyers being deterred by worries about their own finances, and the overall health of the economy.

The FHLBanks continue to face uncertainty with respect to certain private-label mortgage-backed securities as a result of actual and projected performance of the loan collateral underlying those securities. However, compared to the same period in 2011, credit-related OTTI charges were significantly lower during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Interest Rate Levels and Volatility. Changes in short-term interest rates affect the FHLBanks' interest income and interest expense because a considerable portion of the FHLBanks' assets and liabilities are either directly or indirectly tied to short-term interest rates. Short-term interest rates also directly affect the FHLBanks through earnings on capital invested. At December 31, 2012, short-, intermediate- and long-term interest rates have decreased compared to December 31, 2011.

The Federal Reserve Board, acting through its Federal Open Market Committee, indicated in December 2012 that it will maintain its target range for the federal funds rate at 0.00% to 0.25% and anticipates that this low range for the federal funds target rate will be appropriate as long as the unemployment rate remains above 6.5%, inflation between one and two years ahead is projected to be no more than 0.5% above the Federal Open Market Committee's 2% longer-run goal, and longer-term inflation expectations continue to be well anchored. The Federal Reserve Board announced in December 2012 that it will continue to purchase \$40 billion in agency MBS each month. The Federal Reserve Board also announced that it will continue to purchase longer-term Treasury securities at a pace of \$45 billion per month after its then-current program to extend the average maturity of its holdings ended at December 31, 2012. The Federal Reserve Board also continued its existing policy to reinvest principal payments on its agency debt and agency MBS holdings back into agency MBS, and, in January 2013, the Federal Reserve Board resumed rolling over maturing Treasury securities at auction.

Market interest rate levels, volatility and credit spreads affect the FHLBanks' profitability. Based on the average 2-year to 10-year spread, the U.S. Treasury yield curve slightly flattened during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The declines in interest rates over the last several years negatively affected the FHLBanks' profitability by resulting in a lower return on interest-earning assets. In addition, these lower interest rates have also decreased the cost to issue FHLBank consolidated obligations and lowered the cost of long-term advances to members.

On a weighted-average basis, compared to three-month LIBOR, monthly consolidated bond funding costs for new FHLBank issuances improved during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. While consolidated obligations are primarily fixed-rate contracts, the effect of derivatives and hedging activities allow the cost of debt to track market interest rates for those instruments that are in a qualifying hedge relationship. The annualized yield on total interest-bearing liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2012 was 87 basis points, 8 basis points lower than the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in the annualized yield on total interest-bearing liabilities was consistent with the decreases in short-, intermediate- and long-term interest rates during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The decline in interest rates allowed the FHLBanks to continue retiring higher-cost debt and replacing it with lower-cost debt, contributing to the decrease in annualized yield on total interest-bearing liabilities.

## Legislative and Regulatory Environment.

*Dodd-Frank Act.* The Dodd-Frank Act provides for new statutory and regulatory requirements for derivatives transactions, including those used by each FHLBank to hedge its interest-rate and other risks. As a result of these requirements, beginning on June 10, 2013, certain derivatives transactions will be required to be cleared through a third-party central clearinghouse, via clearing members who are permitted to process, clear and settle swap trades through that clearinghouse, and traded on regulated exchanges or new swap execution facilities. Derivatives transactions that are not required to be cleared will be subject to mandatory reporting, documentation and minimum margin and capital requirements.

See *Legislative and Regulatory Developments* for more information.

## **FHLBanks' Financial Highlights**

<u>Financial Condition.</u> Total assets were \$762.5 billion at December 31, 2012, a decrease of 0.5% from \$766.1 billion at December 31, 2011, led by declines in investments and mortgage loans, partially offset by increases in advances. Investments declined 2.0% to \$265.8 billion primarily due to the maturity of Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program securities and the runoff of residential MBS, partially offset by the increase in short-term investments. Mortgage loans declined 7.4% to \$49.4 billion as a result of principal repayments exceeding purchases. Advances increased 1.8% to \$425.8 billion as the demand for advances has shown some signs of regional stabilization and certain FHLBank members increased their use of advances.

FHLBank debt issuance is generally driven by members' needs for advances. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the FHLBanks maintained continual access to funding and adapted their debt issuance to meet the needs of their members. Consolidated obligations declined 0.7% to \$692.1 billion due to the reduction in funding needs resulting from the decline in total assets. This decline was primarily the result of a decrease in consolidated bonds, partially offset by an increase in consolidated discount notes.

Total GAAP capital was \$42.5 billion at December 31, 2012, an increase of 6.9% from \$39.8 billion at December 31, 2011, driven by an improvement in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and growth in retained earnings, partially offset by a reduction in capital stock outstanding. The total GAAP capital-to-assets ratio was 5.58% at December 31, 2012, an increase of 38 basis points from 5.20% at December 31, 2011. Total combined regulatory capital was \$51.0 billion at December 31, 2012, a decrease of 3.7% from \$52.9 billion at December 31, 2011, driven by reductions in capital stock and mandatorily redeemable capital stock outstanding, and the exclusion of the designated amount of subordinated notes from this calculation under the FHLBank of Chicago's new capital structure, partially offset by growth in retained earnings. The combined regulatory capital-to-assets ratio was 6.69% at December 31, 2012, a decrease of 22 basis points from 6.91% at December 31, 2011.

<u>Operating Results.</u> Net income for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$2,606 million, an increase of \$1,013 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. This increase was primarily driven by improvements in non-interest income (loss) and non-interest expense, partially offset by lower net interest income.

Net interest income after provision for credit losses for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$4,031 million, a decrease of 1.7% compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Net interest margin for the year ended December 31, 2012 was 0.53%, an increase of 2 basis points compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$10,194 million, a decrease of 11.2% compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. This decrease was driven by a reduction in the average balance of interest-earning assets and lower yields on investments and mortgage loans, partially offset by a higher yield on advances that was attributable to increased prepayment fees. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$6,142 million, a decrease of 15.9% compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. This decrease was driven by a reduction in the average balances of interest-bearing liabilities, as well as lower funding costs that were partially attributable to the cumulative effect of redemptions and refinancings of higher-cost consolidated obligations in a very low interest-rate environment. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in interest expense related to mandatorily redeemable capital stock.

Non-interest income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2012 was a loss of \$160 million, an improvement of \$942 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. This improvement was due primarily to lower credit-related OTTI charges as well as net gains on derivatives and hedging activities, partially offset by higher net losses on trading securities. Credit-related OTTI charges for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$112 million were attributable to projected incremental credit losses on collateral underlying certain private-label mortgage-backed securities. This decrease in credit-related OTTI charges for the year ended December 31, 2012 was due primarily to further stabilization of the housing and mortgage markets in 2012 compared to 2011, and to improved expectations for these markets. Market value fluctuations also contributed to the net losses recorded in non-interest income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2012. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the FHLBanks recorded net mark-to-market related losses on trading securities and financial instruments held under fair value option, partially offset by net gains on derivatives and hedging activities.

Non-interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$969 million, a decrease of 8.3% compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. This decrease primarily reflects a one-time \$50 million charge, reported in other expense in the fourth quarter of 2011, by the FHLBank of Chicago to supplement its affordable housing and community investment programs.

Assessments for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$296 million, which were all related to the Affordable Housing Program, a decrease of 14.9% compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. This decrease was driven by the August 2011 satisfaction of the FHLBanks' Resolution Funding Corporation obligation, partially offset by higher Affordable Housing Program assessments as a result of an increase in net income before assessments.

See <u>Combined Financial Condition</u> and <u>Combined Results of Operations</u> for further information.

## **Combined Financial Condition**

Total assets were \$762.5 billion at December 31, 2012, a decrease of 0.5% from \$766.1 billion at December 31, 2011, led by declines in investments and mortgage loans, partially offset by increases in advances. Total consolidated obligations were \$692.1 billion at December 31, 2012, a decrease of 0.7% from \$697.1 billion at December 31, 2011, due to the reduction in funding needs resulting from the decline in total assets. The following discussion contains information on the major categories of the FHLBanks' Combined Statement of Condition: advances, investments, mortgage loans, consolidated obligations, deposits and capital.

## Advances

The FHLBanks provide liquidity to members and eligible non-members through secured loans (advances), thereby increasing the availability of credit for residential mortgages, community investments, and other services for housing and community development. Each FHLBank makes advances based on the security of mortgage loans and other types of eligible collateral pledged, and the creditworthiness and financial condition of the borrowing institutions.

Table 9 presents advances outstanding by product type, some of which include advances that contain embedded put or call options. A member can either sell an embedded option to an FHLBank or it can purchase an embedded option from an FHLBank. (See <u>Note 8 - Advances</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for additional information on putable and callable advances and their potential effect on advance maturities.)

#### Table 9 - Advances Outstanding by Product Type

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012		31, 2012		December	er 31, 2011	
		Amount	Percentage of Total		Amount	Percentage of Total	
Fixed-rate	\$	249,314	60.3%	\$	256,496	63.6%	
Adjustable/variable-rate indexed		117,394	28.4%		93,350	23.2%	
Hybrid(1)		24,352	5.9%		25,082	6.2%	
Amortizing(2)/mortgage-matched		12,060	2.9%		11,649	2.9%	
Convertible		9,892	2.4%		16,139	4.0%	
Other advances		588	0.1%		570	0.1%	
Total par value		413,600	100.0%		403,286	100.0%	
Other(3)		12,150			14,871		
Total	\$	425,750		\$	418,157		
	_			_			

(1) A hybrid advance contains a one-time option to embed either a floor or cap at any time during the life of the advance. A hybrid advance may be either fixed- or variable-rate at the date of issuance.

(2) Amortizing advances include index-amortizing advances, which require repayment in accordance with predetermined amortization schedules linked to various indices. Generally, as market interest rates rise (fall), the maturity of an index-amortizing advance extends (contracts).

(3) Consists of hedging and fair value option valuation adjustments, unamortized premiums, discounts and commitment fees.

The outstanding carrying value of advances at December 31, 2012 increased \$7.6 billion or 1.8% from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012. The demand for advances has shown some signs of regional stabilization and certain FHLBank members increased their use of advances. However, the percentage of members with outstanding advances decreased to 57.3% at December 31, 2012 compared to 60.7% at December 31, 2011.

Table 10 presents cash flows related to advance originations and advance repayments. The increase in both advance originations and repayments during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the same periods in 2011 and 2010, is due primarily to members electing to restructure certain outstanding advances to take advantage of the low interest-rate environment. In addition, the FHLBanks issued more short-term advances, many having overnight maturities, during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the same periods in 2011 and 2010.

#### Table 10 - Advance Originations and Repayments

(dollars in millions)

		Y	nded December 31		Change					
	2012			2011	2010		2012 vs. 2011		2011 vs. 2010	
Advances originated	\$	2,919,466	\$	1,500,874	\$	1,404,056	\$	1,418,592	\$	96,818
Advances repaid		2,909,476		1,561,619		1,556,077		1,347,857		5,542
Net change	\$	9,990	\$	(60,745)	\$	(152,021)				

The FHLBanks lend advances primarily to their members. Table 11 presents advances at par value by type of borrower and Table 12 presents member borrowers by type of member.

#### Table 11 - Advances at Par Value by Type of Borrower

(dollars in millions)

	December	31, 2012	Decer	nber 31, 2011
	 Par Value	Percentage of Total Par Value of Advances	Par Value	Percentage of Total Par Value of Advances
Commercial bank members	\$ 232,825	56.3%	\$ 210,8	11 52.3%
Thrift members	85,762	20.7%	89,0	78 22.1%
Insurance company members	51,593	12.5%	46,8	40 11.6%
Credit union members	25,074	6.1%	24,1	54 6.0%
Community development financial institution members	41	—		39 —
Total member advances	 395,295	95.6%	370,9	22 92.0%
Non-member borrowers	17,952	4.3%	31,2	23 7.7%
Housing associates	353	0.1%	1,1	41 0.3%
Total par value	\$ 413,600	100.0%	\$ 403,2	36 100.0%

Table 12 - Member Borrowers by Type of Member

	December	31, 2012	Decembe	r 31, 2011
	Number	Percentage of Total Member Borrowers	Number	Percentage of Total Member Borrowers
Commercial banks	3,206	73.2%	3,473	73.7%
Thrifts	668	15.3%	754	16.0%
Credit unions	385	8.8%	387	8.2%
Insurance companies	112	2.6%	96	2.0%
Community development financial institutions	5	0.1%	5	0.1%
Total member borrowers	4,376	100.0%	4,715	100.0%
Total members	7,635		7,774	

Table 13 presents the FHLBanks' top ten advance holding borrowers at the holding-company level on a combined basis based on advances outstanding at par at December 31, 2012. The percentage of total advances for each holding company was computed by dividing the par value of advances by subsidiaries of that holding company by the total combined par value of advances. These percentage concentrations do not represent borrowing concentrations in an individual FHLBank.

# Table 13 - Top 10 Advance Holding Borrowers by Holding Company at December 31, 2012 (dollars in millions)

Holding Company Name(1)	FHLBank Districts(2)	Par Value	Percentage of Total Par Value of Advances
JPMorgan Chase & Co.	Pittsburgh, Cincinnati, San Francisco, Seattle	\$ 41,996	10.2%
Capital One Financial Corporation	Atlanta, Dallas	20,934	5.1%
Citigroup Inc.	New York, Dallas, San Francisco	20,356	4.9%
MetLife, Inc.	Boston, New York, Des Moines	15,367	3.7%
Bank of America Corporation	Boston, New York, Atlanta, Indianapolis, San Francisco, Seattle	14,406	3.5%
Banco Santander, S.A.	New York, Pittsburgh	13,430	3.2%
The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.	New York, Pittsburgh, Atlanta, Cincinnati, Des Moines	9,428	2.3%
BB&T Corporation	Atlanta	9,079	2.2%
New York Community Bancorp, Inc.	New York, Cincinnati	9,048	2.2%
Navy Federal Credit Union	Atlanta	8,354	2.0%
		\$ 162,398	39.3%

(1) Holding company information was obtained from the Federal Reserve System's website, the National Information Center (NIC) and SEC filings. The NIC is a central repository of data about banks and other institutions for which the Federal Reserve System has a supervisory, regulatory, or research interest, including both domestic and foreign banking organizations operating in the United States.

<sup>(2)</sup> At December 31, 2012, each holding company had subsidiaries with advance borrowings in these FHLBank districts.

<u>Five Largest Advance Holding Borrowers from Each FHLBank.</u> Table 14 presents information on the five largest borrowers from each FHLBank at December 31, 2012. The information presented on borrowings in Table 14 is for individual FHLBank advance holding borrowers. The data is not aggregated to the holding-company level. Some of the institutions listed are affiliates of the same holding company, and some of the institutions listed may have affiliates that are advance holding borrowers but that are not listed in the table. Each FHLBank describes its risk management policies, including disclosures about its concentration risk, if any, in its periodic reports filed with the SEC. (See <u>Explanatory Statement about Federal Home Loan Banks Combined Financial Report</u>.)

## Table 14 - Top 5 Advance Holding Borrowers by FHLBank at December 31, 2012

(dollars in millions)

District	Name	Holding Company Name(1)	Р	ar Value	Percentage of FHLBank Total Par Value of Advances(2)
	Webster Bank, National Association		\$	1,828	9.0%
	People's United Bank		,	857	4.2%
	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Co.			600	3.0%
Boston	Brookline Bank			584	2.9%
	Salem Five Cents Savings Bank			542	2.7%
			\$	4,411	21.8%
	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	MetLife, Inc.	\$	13,512	18.7%
	Citibank, N.A.	Citigroup Inc.	Ŧ	12,070	16.7%
	New York Community Bank(3)	New York Community Bancorp, Inc.		8,293	11.5%
New York	Hudson City Savings Bank, FSB(3)			6,025	8.3%
	Astoria Federal Savings and Loan Association			2,897	4.0%
			\$	42,797	59.2%
	Sovereign Bank	Banco Santander, S.A.	\$	13,205	33.3%
	Chase Bank USA, N.A.	JPMorgan Chase & Co.		6,500	16.4%
Pittsburgh	PNC Bank, National Association(3)	The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.		6,000	15.1%
	Ally Bank			4,800	12.1%
	Susquehanna Bank(3)		<u> </u>	1,192	3.0%
			\$	31,697	79.9%
	Capital One, National Association	Capital One Financial Corporation	\$	15,419	18.4%
	Bank of America, National Association	Bank of America Corporation		9,914	11.8%
Atlanta	Branch Banking and Trust Company(3)	BB&T Corporation		9,079	10.8%
Atlanta	Navy Federal Credit Union	Navy Federal Credit Union		8,354	10.0%
	Capital One Bank (USA), National Association	Capital One Financial Corporation		5,500	6.6%
			\$	48,266	57.6%
	JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association	JPMorgan Chase & Co.	\$	26,000	48.5%
	Fifth Third Bank			4,732	8.8%
C'astrony II	U.S. Bank, N. A.			4,586	8.6%
Cincinnati	PNC Bank, National Association(4)	The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.		2,986	5.6%
	Protective Life Insurance Company			1,071	2.0%
			\$	39,375	73.5%
	Flagstar Bank, FSB		\$	3,180	18.3%
	Jackson National Life Insurance Company			1,810	10.4%
	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company			1,350	7.8%
Indianapolis	Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan			1,038	6.0%
	Guggenheim Life and Annuity Company			1,038	6.0%
			\$	8,416	48.5%
				<u> </u>	

District	Name	Holding Company Name(1)	Pi	ar Value	Percentage of FHLBank Total Par Value of Advances(2)
	BMO Harris Bank National Association		\$	2,375	16.6%
	Associated Bank, National Association			1,925	13.4%
	State Farm Bank, F.S.B.			1,800	12.6%
Chicago	Cole Taylor Bank			1,265	8.8%
	Bankers Life and Casualty Company			750	5.2%
			\$	8,115	56.6%
	Transamerica Life Insurance Company		\$	2,875	11.0%
	HICA Education Loan Corporation			2,100	8.1%
	Aviva Life and Annuity Company			1,961	7.5%
Des Moines	Principal Life Insurance Company			1,800	6.9%
	TCF National Bank			1,550	5.9%
			\$	10,286	39.4%
	Comerica Bank		\$	2,000	11.2%
	Texas Capital Bank, National Association			1,650	9.2%
Dellas	ViewPoint Bank, National Association			895	5.0%
Dallas	Beal Bank USA			860	4.8%
	International Bank of Commerce			675	3.7%
			\$	6,080	33.9%
	MidFirst Bank		\$	2,898	18.0%
	Capitol Federal Savings Bank			2,550	15.8%
Topoko	Security Life of Denver Insurance Co.			1,100	6.8%
Topeka	Security Benefit Life Insurance Co.			765	4.7%
	United of Omaha Life Insurance Company			640	4.0%
			\$	7,953	49.3%
	Citibank, N.A.(3)(4)	Citigroup Inc.	\$	8,285	19.2%
	JPMorgan Bank & Trust Company, National Association	JPMorgan Chase & Co.		7,850	18.2%
San Francisco	OneWest Bank, FSB			3,651	8.5%
	First Republic Bank			3,225	7.5%
	Bank of the West			2,920	6.8%
			\$	25,931	60.2%
	Bank of America Oregon, NA	Bank of America Corporation	\$	2,591	29.1%
	Washington Federal Savings and Loan Association			1,880	21.2%
Seattle	Glacier Bank			992	11.2%
	Sterling Savings Bank			604	6.8%
	HomeStreet Bank			259	2.9%
			\$	6,326	71.2%

<sup>(1)</sup> The holding company name is only shown for each Top 5 advance holding borrower that has its holding company listed in Table 13 - Top 10 Advance Holding Borrowers by Holding Company at December 31, 2012.

(3)

Non-member advance holding borrower that is holding legacy advances due to out-of-district acquisition, merger or relocation. (4)

For consistency with the individual FHLBank's presentation of its Top 5 advance holders at December 31, 2012, amounts used to calculate percentages of FHLBank advances may be based on numbers in thousands. Accordingly, recalculations using the amounts in millions as presented in Table 14 may not produce the same results. Indicates that an officer or director of the borrower was an FHLBank director at December 31, 2012. (2)

#### Investments

The FHLBanks maintain investment portfolios for liquidity purposes, to use balance sheet capacity and to provide additional earnings. This investment income bolsters the FHLBanks' capacity to meet their commitments to affordable housing and community investment, and to support operating expenses. Table 15 presents the composition of investments and investment securities as of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

#### Table 15 - Total Investments

(dollars in millions)

				December 31,				
			2012			2011	2010	
	Due in 1 year or less	Due after 1 year through 5 years	Due after 5 years through 10 years	Due after 10 years	Carrying Value	Carrying Value	Carrying Value	
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 1,006	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,007	\$ 1,207	\$9	
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	35,839	-	-	-	35,839	12,675	16,400	
Federal funds sold	44,010	-	-	_	44,010	41,139	75,855	
Trading Securities								
Trading non-mortgage-backed securities								
U.S. Treasury obligations	1,003	_	_	_	1,003	1,061	3,068	
Commercial paper	60	-	_	_	60	599	2,349	
Certificates of deposit	325	—	_	_	325	1,020	7,075	
Other U.S. obligations	-	-	43	267	310	9	-	
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	1,549	6,369	_	65	7,983	9,697	12,355	
State or local housing agency obligations	-	1	1	_	2	3	3	
Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes	_	_	_	_	_	5,179	2,126	
Other	11	-	116	179	306	296	271	
Total trading non-mortgage-backed securities	2,948	6,370	160	511	9,989	17,864	27,247	
Trading mortgage-backed securities								
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS	-	_	3	35	38	43	49	
GSE residential MBS	-	6	308	540	854	549	765	
GSE commercial MBS	10	_	242	_	252	249	230	
Total trading mortgage-backed securities	10	6	553	575	1,144	841	1,044	
Total trading securities	2,958	6,376	713	1,086	11,133	18,705	28,291	
Available-for-Sale Securities								
Available-for-sale non-mortgage-backed securities								
Certificates of deposit	-	-	_	_	-	3,954	5,790	
Other U.S. obligations	-	156	363	1,560	2,079	1,015	984	
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	2,052	7,403	3,989	755	14,199	14,981	11,177	
State and local housing agency obligations	-	-	_	20	20	_	-	
Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes	_	_	-	_	_	9,546	10,576	
Federal Family Education Loan Program ABS	_	14	34	7,404	7,452	8,159	8,799	
Other	12	302	384	645	1,343	1,165	577	
Total available-for-sale non-mortgage-backed securities	2,064	7,875	4,770	10,384	25,093	38,820	37,903	

Due in Lyear         Due after 1 year through Syears         Due after 5 years         Due after 1 years         Due after 1 years         Carrying Value         Value         Valu		December 31,							
Due in 1 year or less         Due after 1 years syears         years types         years years         Due after 10 years         Carrying Value         Value         Value <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>2012</th><th></th><th></th><th>2011</th><th>2010</th></th<>				2012			2011	2010	
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS         -         -         66         3,321         3,387         3,118         3,13           GSE residential MBS         -         997         12,272         10,128         23,397         21,761         22,00           GSE commercial MBS         100         -         47         -         147         153         53           Private-label residential MBS         -         -         19         13,676         13,695         14,195         80,00           Home equity loan ABS         -         -         -         14         15         50,00         39,242         33,57         71,00         37,523         65,733         78,062         71,40         71,523         65,733         78,062         71,40         71,523         65,733         78,062         71,40         71,523         65,733         78,062         71,40         71,523         65,733         78,062         71,40         71,523         65,733         78,062         71,40         71,523         65,733         78,062         71,40         71,52         61,61,31         71,61         71,62         71,61         71,62         71,61         71,62         71,61         71,62         71,61         71,62         <			year through	years through 10				Carrying Value	
GSE residential MBS       -       997       12,272       10,128       23,397       21,761       22,0         GSE commercial MBS       100       -       47       -       147       153       33         Private-label residential MBS       -       -       19       13,676       13,695       14,195       8,0         Home equity loan ABS       -       -       -       14       14       15       50         Total available-for-sale mortgage-backed securities       2,164       8,872       17,174       37,523       65,733       78,062       71,4         Held-to-Maturity Securities       2,164       8,872       17,174       37,523       65,733       78,062       71,4         Held-to-maturity non-mortgage-backed securities       -       -       -       -       1,800       2,55         Commercial paper       -       -       -       -       -       1,800       2,55         Certificates of deposit       2,958       -       -       -       2,551       2,259       13,10         Other U.S. obligations       767       70       523       1,201       2,516       3,55         State or local housing agency obligations       14 <td< td=""><td>Available-for-sale mortgage-backed securities</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Available-for-sale mortgage-backed securities								
GSE commercial MBS       100       -       47       -       147       153       3         Private-label residential MBS       -       -       19       13,676       13,695       14,195       8,0         Home equity loan ABS       -       -       -       14       15       5         Total available-for-sale mortgage-backed securities       100       997       12,404       27,139       40,640       39,242       33,5         Total available-for-sale securities       2,164       8,872       17,174       37,523       65,733       78,062       71,4         Held-to-Maturity Securities       -       -       -       -       1,800       2,55         Certificates of deposit       2,958       -       -       -       2,958       7,209       13,1         GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations       165       1,957       -       309       3,131       2,616       3,135         State or local housing agency obligations       14       256       297       2,146       2,713       2,225       2,42         Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes       -       -       -       3,656       11,365       19,406       2,63      <	Other U.S. obligations residential MBS	-	_	66	3,321	3,387	3,118	3,179	
Private-label residential MBS         -         -         19         13,676         13,695         14,195         8,000000000000000000000000000000000000	GSE residential MBS	-	997	12,272	10,128	23,397	21,761	22,012	
Home equity loan ABS         -         -         14         14         15           Total available-for-sale mortgage-backed securities         100         997         12,404         27,139         40,640         39,242         33,5           Total available-for-sale securities         2,164         8,872         17,174         37,523         65,733         78,062         71,4           Held-to-Maturity Securities         -         -         -         -         -         1,800         2,55           Certificates of deposit         2,958         -         -         -         2,958         7,209         13,1           Other U.S. obligations         767         70         523         1,201         2,561         2,259         1,4           GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations         14         256         297         2,146         2,713         2,225         2,4           Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes         -         -         -         -         -         3,295         3,3           Other         -         2         -         -         2         2         -         -         -         3,295         3,25         3,24           O	GSE commercial MBS	100	-	47	-	147	153	303	
Total available-for-sale mortgage-backed securities         100         997         12,404         27,139         40,640         39,242         33,5           Total available-for-sale securities         2,164         8,872         17,174         37,523         65,733         78,062         71,4           Held-to-Maturity Securities         -	Private-label residential MBS	-	-	19	13,676	13,695	14,195	8,047	
securities         100         997         12,404         27,139         40,640         39,242         33,5           Total available-for-sale securities         2,164         8,872         17,174         37,523         65,733         78,062         71,4           Held-to-Maturity Securities         -	Home equity loan ABS			_	14	14	15	15	
Held-to-Maturity Securities           Held-to-maturity non-mortgage-backed securities           Commercial paper         -         -         -         1,800         2,95           Certificates of deposit         2,958         -         -         2,958         7,209         13,3           Other U.S. obligations         767         70         523         1,201         2,561         2,259         1,4           GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations         865         1,957         -         309         3,131         2,616         3,1           State or local housing agency obligations         14         256         297         2,146         2,713         2,225         2,4           Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes         -         -         -         -         3,295         3,5           Other         -         2         -         -         2         2         2           Total held-to-maturity non-mortgage-backed securities         4,604         2,285         820         3,656         11,365         19,406         26,51           Held-to-maturity mortgage-backed securities         -         -         3         454         457         521           Othe		100	997	12,404	27,139	40,640	39,242	33,556	
Held-to-maturity non-mortgage-backed securities         —         —         —         —         —         —         —         1,800         2,55           Certificates of deposit         2,958         —         —         —         2,958         7,00         13,1           Other U.S. obligations         767         70         523         1,201         2,561         2,259         1,4           GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations         865         1,957         —         309         3,131         2,616         3,1           State or local housing agency obligations         14         256         297         2,146         2,713         2,225         2,4           Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes         —         —         —         —         —         3,295         3,55           Other         —         —         —         —         —         3,295         3,55         1,365         19,406         2,55           Other         —         —         —         —         —         —         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2 <t< td=""><td>Total available-for-sale securities</td><td>2,164</td><td>8,872</td><td>17,174</td><td>37,523</td><td>65,733</td><td>78,062</td><td>71,459</td></t<>	Total available-for-sale securities	2,164	8,872	17,174	37,523	65,733	78,062	71,459	
Held-to-maturity non-mortgage-backed securities       —       —       —       —       —       —       1,800       2,958         Certificates of deposit       2,958       —       —       —       2,958       7,209       13,1         Other U.S. obligations       767       70       523       1,201       2,561       2,259       1,4         GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations       865       1,957       —       309       3,131       2,616       3,1         State or local housing agency obligations       14       256       297       2,146       2,713       2,225       2,4         Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes       —       —       —       —       3,295       3,5         Other       —       —       —       —       —       —       3,295       3,5         Other       —       —       —       —       —       —       3,295       3,5         Other U.S. obligations residential MBS       —       —       —       —       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       3,5       3,5       3,5       3,5       3,5									
Commercial paper       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       1,800       2,958         Certificates of deposit       2,958       —       —       —       2,958       7,209       13,10         Other U.S. obligations       767       70       523       1,201       2,561       2,259       1,4         GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations       865       1,957       —       309       3,131       2,616       3,1         State or local housing agency obligations       14       256       297       2,146       2,713       2,225       2,4         Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes       —       —       —       —       —       3,295       3,3         Other       _       2       —       —       —       3,295       3,5         Other       _       2       _       —       —       _       2,2       2       2         Total held-to-maturity non-mortgage-backed securities       _       _       310       1,747       7,052       9,109       9,444       8,5         Other U.S. obligations residential MBS       —       _       310       1,747       7,052       9	Held-to-Maturity Securities								
Certificates of deposit         2,958         -         -         -         2,958         7,209         13,1           Other U.S. obligations         767         70         523         1,201         2,561         2,259         1,4           GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations         865         1,957         -         309         3,131         2,616         3,3           State or local housing agency obligations         14         256         297         2,146         2,713         2,225         2,4           Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes         -         -         -         -         3,295         3,55           Other         -         2         -         -         2         2         2           Total held-to-maturity non-mortgage-backed securities         4,604         2,285         820         3,656         11,365         19,406         26,57           Held-to-maturity mortgage-backed securities         -         -         3         454         457         521           Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS         -         -         3         454         457         521           GSE residential MBS         -         88         704	Held-to-maturity non-mortgage-backed securities								
Other U.S. obligations         767         707         523         1,201         2,561         2,259         1,4           GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations         865         1,957         —         309         3,131         2,616         3,1           State or local housing agency obligations         14         256         297         2,146         2,713         2,225         2,4           Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes         —         —         —         —         —         —         3,055         11,365         19,406         2,51         2,61         2,713         2,225         2,44           Other         —         —         —         —         —         —         —         3,295         3,55           Other         —         —         —         —         —         2 <t< td=""><td>Commercial paper</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>_</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>1,800</td><td>2,500</td></t<>	Commercial paper	-	-	_	-	-	1,800	2,500	
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations       865       1,957       —       309       3,131       2,616       3,1         State or local housing agency obligations       14       256       297       2,146       2,713       2,225       2,4         Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes       —       —       —       —       —       3,295       3,5         Other       —       2       —       —       —       2       2       2         Total held-to-maturity non-mortgage-backed securities       4,604       2,285       820       3,656       11,365       19,406       26,1         Held-to-maturity mortgage-backed securities       —       —       310       1,747       7,052       9,109       9,444       8,5         Other U.S. obligations residential MBS       —       310       1,747       7,052       9,109       9,444       8,5         Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS       —       310       1,747       7,052       9,109       9,444       8,5         GSE residential MBS       —       819       7,634       61,800       70,253       71,305       72,5         GSE commercial MBS       —       29       927       10	Certificates of deposit	2,958	-	_	-	2,958	7,209	13,176	
State or local housing agency obligations       14       256       297       2,146       2,713       2,225       2,44         Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes       -       -       -       -       3,295       3,55         Other       -       2       -       -       2       2       2         Total held-to-maturity non-mortgage-backed securities       4,604       2,285       820       3,656       11,365       19,406       26,1         Held-to-maturity mortgage-backed securities       4,604       2,285       820       3,656       11,365       19,406       26,1         Other U.S. obligations residential MBS       -       310       1,747       7,052       9,109       9,444       8,5         Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS       -       -       3       454       457       521         GSE residential MBS       -       819       7,634       61,800       70,253       71,305       72,52         GSE commercial MBS       88       704       4,411       -       5,203       3,510       1,74         Private-label residential MBS       -       29       927       10,285       11,241       14,712       28,75 </td <td>Other U.S. obligations</td> <td>767</td> <td>70</td> <td>523</td> <td>1,201</td> <td>2,561</td> <td>2,259</td> <td>1,468</td>	Other U.S. obligations	767	70	523	1,201	2,561	2,259	1,468	
Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       3,295       3,3         Other       —       2       —       —       —       —       —       2       2       2         Total held-to-maturity non-mortgage-backed securities       4,604       2,285       820       3,656       11,365       19,406       26,1         Held-to-maturity mortgage-backed securities       4,604       2,285       820       3,656       11,365       19,406       26,1         Other U.S. obligations residential MBS       —       310       1,747       7,052       9,109       9,444       8,5         Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS       —       —       310       1,747       7,052       9,109       9,444       8,5         GSE residential MBS       —       —       310       1,747       7,052       9,109       9,444       8,5         GSE commercial MBS       —       —       310       1,747       7,052       9,109       9,444       8,5         GSE commercial MBS       —       819       7,634       61,800       70,253       71,305       72,5         GSE commercial MBS       —	GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	865	1,957	-	309	3,131	2,616	3,171	
debentures and promissory notes       -       -       -       -       -       3,295       3,510       1,775       3,510       1,775       3,510       1,775       3,510       1,775	State or local housing agency obligations	14	256	297	2,146	2,713	2,225	2,477	
Total held-to-maturity non-mortgage-backed securities         4,604         2,285         820         3,656         11,365         19,406         26,1           Held-to-maturity mortgage-backed securities                  26,1           Other U.S. obligations residential MBS           310         1,747         7,052         9,109         9,444         8,57           Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS             3454         457         521		_	_	_	_	_	3,295	3,379	
securities         4,604         2,285         820         3,656         11,365         19,406         26,1           Held-to-maturity mortgage-backed securities	Other	-	2	_	-	2	2	4	
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS       -       310       1,747       7,052       9,109       9,444       8,55         Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS       -       -       3       454       457       521         GSE residential MBS       -       819       7,634       61,800       70,253       71,305       72,53         GSE commercial MBS       88       704       4,411       -       5,203       3,510       1,747         Private-label residential MBS       -       29       927       10,285       11,241       14,712       28,74		4,604	2,285	820	3,656	11,365	19,406	26,175	
Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS       -       -       3       454       457       521         GSE residential MBS       -       819       7,634       61,800       70,253       71,305       72,33         GSE commercial MBS       88       704       4,411       -       5,203       3,510       1,75         Private-label residential MBS       -       29       927       10,285       11,241       14,712       28,75	Held-to-maturity mortgage-backed securities								
GSE residential MBS       -       819       7,634       61,800       70,253       71,305       72,33         GSE commercial MBS       88       704       4,411       -       5,203       3,510       1,73         Private-label residential MBS       -       29       927       10,285       11,241       14,712       28,73	Other U.S. obligations residential MBS	-	310	1,747	7,052	9,109	9,444	8,547	
GSE commercial MBS       88       704       4,411       -       5,203       3,510       1,7         Private-label residential MBS       -       29       927       10,285       11,241       14,712       28,7	Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS	-	-	3	454	457	521	53	
Private-label residential MBS         —         29         927         10,285         11,241         14,712         28,7	GSE residential MBS	-	819	7,634	61,800	70,253	71,305	72,361	
	GSE commercial MBS	88	704	4,411	_	5,203	3,510	1,780	
Private-label commercial MBS — — — — — 10 10 48 1	Private-label residential MBS	-	29	927	10,285	11,241	14,712	28,776	
	Private-label commercial MBS	-	-	_	10	10	48	160	
Manufactured housing loan ABS – – – 147 147 171 1	Manufactured housing loan ABS	-	-	_	147	147	171	196	
Home equity loan ABS 318 360	Home equity loan ABS			_	318	318	360	408	
Total held-to-maturity mortgage-backed         88         1,862         14,722         80,066         96,738         100,071         112,22		88	1,862	14,722	80,066	96,738	100,071	112,281	
Total held-to-maturity securities         4,692         4,147         15,542         83,722         108,103         119,477         138,4	Total held-to-maturity securities	4,692	4,147	15,542	83,722	108,103	119,477	138,456	
Total investment securities         9,814         19,395         33,429         122,331         184,969         216,244         238,2	Total investment securities	9,814	19,395	33,429	122,331	184,969	216,244	238,206	
Total investments         \$ 90,669         \$ 19,396         \$ 33,429         \$ 122,331         \$ 265,825         \$ 271,265         \$ 330,420	Total investments	\$ 90,669	\$ 19,396	\$ 33,429	\$ 122,331	\$ 265,825	\$ 271,265	\$ 330,470	

					December 31,			
				2012			2011	2010
	Due in 1 yea or less	r	Due after 1 year through 5 years	Due after 5 years through 10 years	Due after 10 years	Carrying Value	Carrying Value	Carrying Value
Total Investment Securities by Major Security Type								
Investment securities non-mortgage-backed securities								
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 1,003	-	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,003	\$ 1,061	\$ 3,068
Commercial paper	60		_	-	-	60	2,399	4,849
Certificates of deposit	3,283		_	-	-	3,283	12,183	26,041
Other U.S. obligations	767		226	929	3,028	4,950	3,283	2,452
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	4,466		15,729	3,989	1,129	25,313	27,294	26,703
State or local housing agency obligations	14		257	298	2,166	2,735	2,228	2,480
Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes	_		_	_	_	_	18,020	16,081
Federal Family Education Loan Program ABS	_		14	34	7,404	7,452	8,159	8,799
Other	23		304	500	824	1,651	1,463	852
Total investment securities non-mortgage- backed securities	9,616		16,530	5,750	14,551	46,447	76,090	91,325
Investment securities mortgage-backed securities								
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS	_		310	1,816	10,408	12,534	12,605	11,775
Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS	_		_	3	454	457	521	53
GSE residential MBS	_		1,822	20,214	72,468	94,504	93,615	95,138
GSE commercial MBS	198		704	4,700	-	5,602	3,912	2,313
Private-label residential MBS	_		29	946	23,961	24,936	28,907	36,823
Private-label commercial MBS	_		_	-	10	10	48	160
Manufactured housing loan ABS	_		—	_	147	147	171	196
Home equity loan ABS	_		_	-	332	332	375	423
Total investment securities mortgage-backed securities	198		2,865	27,679	107,780	138,522	140,154	146,881
Total investment securities	\$ 9,814		\$ 19,395	\$ 33,429	\$ 122,331	\$ 184,969	\$ 216,244	\$ 238,206
Yield on trading securities	1.75	%	1.79%	3.07%	3.09%			
Yield on available-for-sale securities	1.23	%	2.27%	3.70%	3.13%			
Yield on held-to-maturity securities	0.77	%	1.69%	2.84%	2.14%			
Yield on total investment securities	1.16	%	1.99%	3.29%	2.46%			

Total investments decreased by \$5.4 billion from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 due to a net decrease of \$31.3 billion in investment securities and a net increase of \$25.8 billion in interest-bearing deposits, securities purchased under agreements to resell and Federal funds sold. The decline in total investments was primarily due to the maturity of Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program securities and the runoff of residential mortgage-backed securities, partially offset by the increase in short-term investments.

<u>Short-term Investments.</u> The FHLBanks maintain short-term investment portfolios, which may provide funds to meet the credit needs of their members. These portfolios may include:

- interest-bearing deposits;
- securities purchased under agreements to resell;
- Federal funds sold;
- U.S. Treasury obligations;
- commercial paper;
- certificates of deposit; and
- GSE obligations.

The yield earned on these short-term investments is tied directly to short-term market interest rates. At December 31, 2012, the FHLBanks continued to maintain significant short-term investment balances as part of their ongoing strategy and to satisfy regulatory liquidity requirements. (See <u>Liquidity</u> for further discussion related to liquidity management.)

<u>Long-term Investments</u>. The FHLBanks also enhance interest income and support operating expenses by holding long-term investments. These investments generally provide the FHLBanks with higher returns than those available on short-term investments.

*Gross Unrealized Losses on Mortgage-Backed Securities.* Gross unrealized losses, including the net effect of non-creditrelated OTTI recognized in AOCI, on the FHLBanks' available-for-sale (AFS) MBS decreased \$2,010 million from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012. This decline was primarily driven by an increase in the fair value of certain private-label residential MBS.

Gross unrealized losses, including the net effect of non-credit-related OTTI recognized in AOCI, on the FHLBanks' held-tomaturity (HTM) MBS decreased \$1,402 million from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012. This decrease was primarily driven by an increase in the fair value of certain private-label residential MBS. However, the increase in the fair value of these securities is not recorded in the Combined Statement of Condition or in the Combined Statement of Comprehensive Income as these investments are held-to-maturity. Also contributing to the decrease was the accretion of the non-credit portion of OTTI losses, recorded in AOCI, on HTM securities that had experienced non-credit-related OTTI in previous periods. For these securities, the non-credit-related impairment is accreted prospectively, based on the amount and timing of future cash flows, over the remaining life of the security as an increase in its carrying value. There is no effect on earnings unless the security is subsequently sold or there are additional decreases in cash flows expected to be collected. (See <u>Note 5 - Available-for-Sale</u> <u>Securities</u> and <u>Note 6 - Held-to-Maturity Securities</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for discussion of those securities with unrealized losses.)

*OTTI on Investment Securities.* Each FHLBank evaluates its individual AFS and HTM investment securities holdings for OTTI on a quarterly basis. Private-label residential MBS, private-label commercial MBS, manufactured housing loan asset-backed securities (ABS) and home equity loan ABS (collectively referred to as private-label mortgage-backed securities) are those investment securities that generally carry the greatest risk of loss. For the year ended December 31, 2012, affected FHLBanks recognized \$112 million of net OTTI losses related to AFS and HTM private-label mortgage-backed securities. For the year ended December 31, 2011, affected FHLBanks recognized \$856 million of net OTTI losses related to AFS and HTM private-label mortgage-backed securities for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were recognized after each of these FHLBanks determined that it was likely that it would not recover the entire amortized cost basis of each of these securities.

In addition to those securities with OTTI, the FHLBanks have certain private-label mortgage-backed securities in unrealized loss positions at December 31, 2012. However, these declines are considered temporary, as each of the affected FHLBanks expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis on these securities and neither intends to sell these securities, nor considers it more likely than not that it would be required to sell these securities before its anticipated recovery of each security's remaining amortized cost basis. The FHLBanks' portfolio monitoring is ongoing and further deterioration in delinquency rates, loss rates and real estate values may cause an additional increase in recognized losses on investment securities.

See <u>Critical Accounting Estimates - OTTI for Investment Securities</u>, <u>Risk Management - Credit Risk - Investments</u>, and <u>Note 7 -</u> <u>Other-than-Temporary Impairment Analysis</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for additional information.

*Mortgage-Backed Securities to Total Regulatory Capital Ratio.* Current regulatory policy prohibits an FHLBank from purchasing MBS if its investment in MBS exceeds 300% of that FHLBank's previous month-end regulatory capital on the day it purchases the securities. On March 24, 2008, the Federal Housing Finance Board temporarily increased this limit from 300% to 600% for certain kinds of MBS under certain conditions; this temporary increase expired on March 31, 2010. At December 31, 2012, each of the FHLBanks of Chicago and Topeka had MBS holdings in excess of the current investment limit and are precluded from purchasing additional MBS investments until their respective MBS ratio declines below 300%. Each of these FHLBanks was not required to sell any previously purchased MBS. Each of the remaining FHLBanks was in compliance with the regulatory limit at the time of its respective MBS purchases and at December 31, 2012. The ratio of MBS (net of regulatory excluded MBS) to total regulatory capital for the FHLBanks on a combined basis at December 31, 2012 was 2.7.

In addition to this limitation, the FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors passed a resolution requiring that the FHLBank of Chicago obtain FHFA approval for any new investments that have a term to maturity in excess of 270 days until such time as the FHLBank of Chicago's MBS portfolio is less than three times its total regulatory capital and its advances represent more than 50% of its total assets.

See individual FHLBank 2012 SEC Forms 10-K for disclosures related to individual FHLBank investment holdings that exceed 10% of their respective total capital.

## Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio, Net

The FHLBanks purchase mortgage loans to support the FHLBanks' housing mission, diversify their investments and provide an additional source of liquidity to FHLBank members. The two primary programs are the Mortgage Purchase Program (MPP) and the Mortgage Partnership Finance<sup>®</sup> (MPF) Program. (See <u>*Risk Management - Credit Risk - Mortgage Loans Held for* <u>*Portfolio*</u> for more information.)</u>

## Table 16 - Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio, Net

(dollars in millions)

	December	31, 2012	Dece	ember 31, 2011	 Change
Mortgage loans held for portfolio	\$	49,557	\$	53,515	\$ (3,958)
Allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans		(132)		(138)	6
Total mortgage loans held for portfolio, net	\$	49,425	\$	53,377	\$ (3,952)

<u>Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio.</u> Mortgage loans were \$49.6 billion at December 31, 2012, a decrease of 7.4% from \$53.5 billion at December 31, 2011. The mortgage loans held for portfolio balance continued to decline from December 31, 2011, primarily due to principal paydowns continuing to exceed purchase volumes.

<u>Allowance for Credit Losses on Mortgage Loans.</u> Table 17 presents the characteristics and credit losses of mortgage loans held for portfolio. Periodically, each FHLBank evaluates the allowance for credit losses for its mortgage loans based on its policies and procedures to determine if an allowance for credit losses is necessary. The allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans decreased \$6 million compared to the balance at December 31, 2011, primarily due to a lower provision for credit losses and increased charge-offs by certain FHLBanks during 2012. The reduction in the provision for credit losses was due primarily to modest growth in national home prices which resulted in the stabilization of loss severities and contributed to the decrease in the number of loans with incurred losses.

#### Table 17 - Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio - Characteristics and Credit Losses

(dollars in millions)

			D	ecember 31,(1)			
	2012	2011		2010		2009	2008
Total past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest	\$ 559	\$ 729	\$	820	\$	946	\$ 578
Non-accrual loans(2)	\$ 650	\$ 674	\$	535	\$	371	\$ 165
Troubled debt restructurings (not included above)(3)	\$ 61	\$ 21	\$	6	\$	_	\$ _
		 Y	ear E	nded December 31	.,		 
	 2012	2011		2010		2009	2008
Allowance for credit losses, beginning of period	\$ 138	\$ 86	\$	32	\$	15	\$ 8
Charge-offs	(27)	(20)		(6)		(1)	(1)
Provision for credit losses(4)	21	72		60		18	8
Allowance for credit losses, end of period	\$ 132	\$ 138	\$	86	\$	32	\$ 15

(1) Balances reflect unpaid principal balance.

(2) Non-accrual mortgage loans are defined as conventional mortgage loans where either (a) the collection of interest or principal is doubtful, or (b) interest or principal is past due for 90 days or more, except when the loan is well secured and in the process of collection.

(3) Represents troubled debt restructured loans that are still performing as of the period-end presented.

(4) The provision (reversal) for credit losses includes only the provision (reversal) related specifically to mortgage loans and does not include the provision (reversal) for credit losses related to Banking on Business loans specific to the FHLBank of Pittsburgh.

#### Table 18 - Interest Shortfall on Nonaccrual Loans and Loans Modified in Troubled Debt Restructurings (dollars in millions)

			Yea	ar Ended Decemb	er 3:	,			
	 2012	2011		2010			2009	2008	
Gross amount of interest that would have been recorded based on original terms	\$ 30	\$ 3(	)	\$	22	\$	16	\$	7
Interest actually recognized in income during the period	12	1:	L		9		7		3
Shortfall	\$ 18	\$ 19	)	\$	13	\$	9	\$	4

See <u>Note 10 - Allowance for Credit Losses</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements and <u>Combined Results of</u> <u>Operations - Net Interest Income after Provision (Reversal) for Credit Losses</u> for more information.

## **Consolidated Obligations**

Consolidated obligations consist of consolidated bonds and consolidated discount notes, which are debt instruments issued through the Office of Finance. Consolidated obligations are the principal funding source used by the FHLBanks to make advances and to purchase mortgage loans and investments. At December 31, 2012, the carrying value of consolidated obligations was \$692.1 billion, a decrease of 0.7% from \$697.1 billion at December 31, 2011, due to the reduction in funding needs resulting from the decline in total assets. This decline was primarily the result of a decrease in consolidated bonds, partially offset by an increase in consolidated discount notes.

Consolidated bonds are issued primarily to raise intermediate- and long-term funds. Consolidated bonds generally carry fixed- or variable-rate payment terms and have maturities typically ranging from one month to 30 years. At December 31, 2012, the carrying value of consolidated bonds was \$475.9 billion, a decrease of 6.1% from \$507.0 billion at December 31, 2011. Consolidated bonds represented 68.8% and 72.7% of total consolidated obligations outstanding at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Consolidated discount notes are issued primarily to provide short-term funding. These consolidated discount notes presently have a maturity range of one day through one year, are sold at or below face value, and mature at par. Much of the consolidated discount note activity reflects the refinancing of overnight discount notes. At December 31, 2012, the carrying value of consolidated discount notes was \$216.3 billion, an increase of 13.7% from \$190.1 billion at December 31, 2011. Consolidated discount notes represented 31.2% and 27.3% of total consolidated obligations outstanding at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

## Table 19 - Consolidated Obligations Outstanding

(dollars in millions)

	Decem	ber 31, 2012	Decen	nber 31, 2011	 Change
Par value of consolidated obligations due in 1 year or less					
Consolidated discount notes	\$	216,335	\$	190,175	\$ 26,160
Consolidated bonds		238,921		228,480	10,441
Total		455,256		418,655	 36,601
Par value of long-term consolidated bonds(1)		232,444		273,004	(40,560)
Total par value		687,700		691,659	(3,959)
Other(2)		4,438		5,465	(1,027)
Total consolidated obligations	\$	692,138	\$	697,124	\$ (4,986)

(1) Includes \$1.4 billion and \$3.4 billion of index-amortizing notes as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(2) Consists of hedging and fair value option valuation adjustments, and unamortized premiums and discounts.

Table 20 presents cash flows related to consolidated obligations, which illustrates the continued trend of payments exceeding proceeds, resulting in lower consolidated obligation balances. The change in the consolidated obligations mix is a result of shorter-term maturities having more attractive funding costs than longer-term maturities.

Table 20 - Net Proceeds and Payments for Consolidated Obligations

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,							Change				
		2012		2011		2010		2012 vs. 2011		2011 vs. 2010		
Net proceeds from issuance of consolidated obligations												
Discount notes	\$	3,557,821	\$	4,142,367	\$	6,754,406	\$	(584,546)	\$	(2,612,039)		
Bonds		418,255		409,198		533,165		9,057		(123,967)		
Net proceeds		3,976,076	_	4,551,565		7,287,571	\$	(575,489)	\$	(2,736,006)		
Net payments for maturing and retiring consolidated obligations												
Discount notes		3,531,705		4,146,624		6,758,372	\$	(614,919)	\$	(2,611,748)		
Bonds		448,280		509,255		662,620		(60,975)		(153,365)		
Net payments		3,979,985		4,655,879		7,420,992	\$	(675,894)	\$	(2,765,113)		
Net change	\$	(3,909)	\$	(104,314)	\$	(133,421)			_			

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the daily average balance outstanding for consolidated discount notes and consolidated bonds with original maturities of one year or less increased when compared to the daily average balances for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, due to a number of factors including member advance demand, investment opportunities and funding costs.

## Table 21 - Short-Term Consolidated Obligations Outstanding

(dollars in millions)

	Conse	olidate	ed Discount No	otes(1	)	Сог	nsolidated Bon	h Original Ma or Less(2)	turitie	s of One Year
	2012		2011		2010		2012	2011		2010
Outstanding at end of the period	\$ 216,282	\$	190,149	\$	194,431	\$	100,370	\$ 73,325	\$	72,452
Weighted-average interest rate at end of the period	0.12%		0.05%		0.15%		0.19%	0.17%		0.33%
Daily average outstanding for the period	\$ 201,718	\$	181,590	\$	192,613	\$	87,142	\$ 74,643	\$	86,918
Weighted-average interest rate for the period	0.26%		0.29%		0.35%		0.19%	0.25%		0.45%
Highest outstanding at any month-end	\$ 217,237	\$	192,798	\$	206,702	\$	100,370	\$ 85,297	\$	135,591

(1) Values are derived using the carrying value of the consolidated discount notes.

(2) Values are derived using the par value of the consolidated bonds.

<u>Consolidated Bonds.</u> Consolidated bonds often have investor-determined features. The decision to issue a consolidated bond using a particular structure is based upon the desired amount of funding and the ability of the FHLBank(s) receiving the proceeds of the consolidated bonds issued to hedge the risks. The issuance of a consolidated bond with a simultaneously-transacted interest-rate exchange agreement usually results in a funding vehicle with a lower cost than an FHLBank could otherwise achieve. The continued attractiveness of such debt/swap transactions depends on pricing relationships in both the consolidated bond and interest-rate exchange markets. If conditions in these markets change, the FHLBanks may alter the types or terms of the bonds issued. The increase in funding alternatives available to the FHLBanks through negotiated debt/ swap transactions is beneficial to the FHLBanks because it may diversify the investor base, reduce funding costs and/or provide additional asset/liability management tools.

### Table 22 - Par Value of Consolidated Bonds Outstanding by Payment Terms

(dollars in millions)

		December	r 31, 2012	December 31, 2011				
	P	ar Value(1)	Percentage of Total		Par Value(1)	Percentage of Total		
Fixed-rate, noncallable	\$	309,065	65.6%	\$	326,872	65.1%		
Single-index, non-capped variable-rate		78,403	16.6%		49,958	10.0%		
Fixed-rate, callable		57,971	12.3%		93,367	18.6%		
Step-up/step-down		22,685	4.8%		24,598	4.9%		
Index-amortizing notes		1,362	0.3%		3,383	0.7%		
Other(2)		2,083	0.4%		3,514	0.7%		
Total	\$	471,569	100.0%	\$	501,692	100.0%		

(1) Consolidated bonds outstanding have not been adjusted for interbank holdings totaling \$204 million at December 31, 2012 and \$208 million at December 31, 2011.

(2) Primarily consists of conversion, capped variable-rate and range consolidated bonds.

The types of consolidated bonds issued can fluctuate based on comparative changes in their cost levels, supply and demand conditions, advance demand and the FHLBanks' individual balance sheet management strategies. Table 23 presents the bond types the FHLBanks relied upon for their bond funding needs.

## Table 23 - Percentage of Total Consolidated Bonds Issued by Bond Type

	Y	Year Ended December 31,							
	2012	2011	2010						
Fixed-rate, callable	39.0%	42.9%	42.6%						
Fixed-rate, fixed-term, noncallable (bullet)	34.1%	36.5%	27.9%						
Step-up/step-down(1)	11.2%	12.2%	13.1%						
Single-index, variable-rate	15.6%	7.4%	15.4%						
Other	0.1%	1.0%	1.0%						
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%						
lotal	100.0%	100.0%	100.0						

(1) Primarily consists of callable step-up bonds.

The FHLBanks may use callable swaps to hedge against the interest-rate risk associated with the callable bonds. The hedged callable bond is generally called if the call feature of the derivative is exercised. These call features could require the FHLBanks to refinance a substantial portion of outstanding liabilities during times of decreasing interest rates. Call options on unhedged callable consolidated bonds generally are exercised when the bond can be replaced at a lower cost. The callable bond enables the FHLBanks to meet its funding needs at costs not otherwise directly attainable solely through the issuance of non-callable debt.

<u>Consolidated Discount Notes.</u> Consolidated discount notes are issued primarily to provide short-term funds. The issuance of such consolidated discount notes is intended to fund, for example:

- advances with short-term maturities or repricing intervals;
- convertible advances or callable/putable advance programs;
- variable-rate advance programs; or
- money-market investments.

Debt Financing Activity. The FHLBanks have diversified sources and channels of funding based on the need for funding from the capital markets. The Global Debt Program issued \$199.2 billion and \$161.4 billion at par in term funds during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The TAP Issue Program consolidates issuances through daily auctions of bullet consolidated bonds of common maturities by re-opening previously issued consolidated bonds. TAP issues generally remain open for three months, after which a new series of TAP issues is opened to replace them. This program has reduced the number of separate bullet consolidated bonds issued, but more importantly has enhanced market awareness through increased issue size and secondary market activity, while providing enhanced funding diversification for the FHLBanks. Through this program, the Office of Finance seeks to enhance the liquidity of these issues. During the year ended December 31, 2012, \$22.0 billion of consolidated bonds were issued through the TAP Issue Program. This issuance represents an increase of \$7.6 billion from the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in TAP issuance during the year ended December 31, 2012 is due to general re-issuance activity driven by the lower interest rate environment.

Consolidated bonds can be negotiated individually or auctioned competitively through approximately 72 underwriters. Consolidated bonds can be offered daily through auction and include fixed-rate bullets (through the TAP Issue Program) and American-style callables, which are bonds that are redeemable continuously on and after the first redemption date until maturity. Underwriters may contact the Office of Finance if there is a structure/coupon target they need to meet investor demand, although many times they negotiate directly with the FHLBanks. Competitively-bid transactions are generally initiated when an FHLBank needs funds of a particular structure and size. Dealers are invited to bid and the trade is executed by the Office of Finance if the FHLBank's funding parameters are satisfied.

#### Table 24 - Percentage of Total Consolidated Bonds Issued by Transaction Type

		Year Ended December 3	1,
	2012	2011	2010
Negotiated transactions	85.3%	88.9%	84.0%
Competitive bid	14.7%	11.1%	16.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Deposits

The FHLBanks offer demand, overnight and term deposit programs to their members and to qualifying non-members. At December 31, 2012, deposits totaled \$13.7 billion, an increase of \$1.2 billion or 9.2% from December 31, 2011. These deposits represent a relatively small portion of the FHLBanks' funding. Deposits vary depending upon market factors, such as the attractiveness of the FHLBanks' deposit pricing relative to the rates available on alternative money market instruments, FHLBank members' investment preferences with respect to the maturity of their investments and FHLBank members' liquidity. Interest-bearing demand and overnight deposits represented 80.2% and 93.9% of deposits at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, with the remaining deposits primarily being term deposits and non-interest bearing deposits.

Table 25 presents term deposits issued in amounts of \$100 thousand or more at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### Table 25 - Term Deposits Issued in Amounts of \$100 Thousand or More

(dollars in millions)

	December	31, 2012	December	31, 2011
3 months or less	\$	557	\$	111
Over 3 months through 6 months		66		48
Over 6 months through 12 months		51		97
Over 12 months		36		35
Total	\$	710	\$	291

## Capital

#### Table 26 - Total Capital and Capital-to-Assets Ratios

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012		Decer	nber 31, 2011	Change
Capital stock	\$	33,535	\$	35,542	\$ (2,007)
Retained earnings		10,524		8,577	1,947
AOCI		(1,510)		(4,298)	2,788
Total GAAP capital		42,549		39,821	2,728
Exclude:					
AOCI		1,510		4,298	(2,788)
Add:					
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock		6,929		8,013	(1,084)
Subordinated notes(1)		_		800	(800)
Other(2)		1		4	(3)
Total regulatory capital	\$	50,989	\$	52,936	\$ (1,947)
Total assets	\$	762,454	\$	766,086	\$ (3,632)
GAAP capital-to-assets ratio		5.58%		5.20%	
Regulatory capital-to-assets ratio		6.69%		6.91%	

(1) Consists of the Designated Amount of subordinated notes of \$800.0 million as of December 31, 2011, which the FHLBank of Chicago was allowed to include in determining its regulatory capital. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for information on regulatory capital requirements.)

(2) Represents rounding adjustments.

<u>GAAP Capital.</u> Total GAAP capital was \$42.5 billion at December 31, 2012, an increase of 6.9% from \$39.8 billion at December 31, 2011, driven by an improvement in AOCI and growth in retained earnings, partially offset by a reduction in capital stock outstanding. The total GAAP capital-to-assets ratio was 5.58% at December 31, 2012, an increase of 38 basis points from 5.20% at December 31, 2011.

*Capital Stock.* The decrease in capital stock outstanding was primarily the result of excess capital stock repurchases and redemptions, net of capital stock issuances of \$830 million, which primarily result from a decline in borrowers' advances, and net shares reclassified to mandatorily redeemable capital stock of \$1,211 million, driven by changes in membership composition, such as mergers, acquisitions and liquidations.

*AOCI*. The improvement in AOCI was primarily the result of \$2,122 million in subsequent net fair value increases on previously other-than-temporarily impaired AFS securities and \$572 million in net fair value increases on AFS securities not classified as other-than-temporarily impaired.

*Retained Earnings.* The increase in retained earnings was driven by net income of \$2,606 million, partially offset by cash dividends of \$625 million.

<u>Regulatory Capital.</u> Total combined regulatory capital was \$51.0 billion at December 31, 2012, a decrease of 3.7% from \$52.9 billion at December 31, 2011, driven by reductions in capital stock and mandatorily redeemable capital stock outstanding, and the exclusion of the designated amount of subordinated notes from the calculation under the FHLBank of Chicago's new capital structure, partially offset by growth in retained earnings. The combined regulatory capital-to-assets ratio was 6.69% at December 31, 2012, a decrease of 22 basis points from 6.91% at December 31, 2011.

#### Table 27 - GAAP Capital Components as a Percentage of Total Capital

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Capital stock	78.8 %	89.3 %
Retained earnings	24.7 %	21.5 %
AOCI	(3.5)%	(10.8)%
Total GAAP capital	100.0 %	100.0 %

## **Combined Results of Operations**

## **Net Income**

The primary source of each FHLBank's earnings is net interest income, which is the interest earned on advances, mortgage loans and investments, less the interest paid on consolidated obligations and other borrowings. Net income for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$2,606 million, an increase of 63.6% compared to the same period in 2011. This increase was due primarily to improvements in non-interest income (loss) and non-interest expense, partially offset by lower net interest income. Net income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$1,593 million, a decrease of 23.5% compared to the same period in 2010. This decrease was due to lower net interest income and higher non-interest expense, partially offset by a lower loss recorded in non-interest income (loss) and lower assessments.

#### Table 28 - Changes in Net Income

(dollars in millions)

	Y	'ear E	Ended December 31	Change					
	2012		2011		2010		2012 vs. 2011		2011 vs. 2010
Net interest income after provision (reversal) for credit losses	\$ 4,031	\$	4,100	\$	5,164	\$	(69)	\$	(1,064)
Non-interest income (loss)	(160)		(1,102)		(1,424)		942		322
Non-interest expense	969		1,057		932		(88)		125
Assessments	296		348		727		(52)		(379)
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,606	\$	1,593	\$	2,081	\$	1,013	\$	(488)

#### Net Interest Income after Provision (Reversal) for Credit Losses

Net interest income after provision (reversal) for credit losses for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$4,031 million, a decrease of 1.7% compared to the same period in 2011 resulting from lower average balances on interest-earning assets, partially offset by higher net interest margin. Net interest income after provision (reversal) for credit losses for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$4,100 million, a decrease of 20.6% compared to the same period in 2010 resulting from lower net interest margin and lower average balances on interest-earning assets.

#### Table 29 - Net Interest Income after Provision (Reversal) for Credit Losses

(dollars in millions)

		Ye	ar Ended December 31		Char	nge	
	2012		2011	2010		2012 vs. 2011	2011 vs. 2010
Interest income							
Advances	\$ 3,10	6	\$ 3,340	\$ 4,594	\$	(234)	\$ (1,254)
Prepayment fees on advances, net	34	1	257	533	5	84	(276)
Interest-bearing deposits	1	9	9	15	5	10	(6)
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	5	3	17	42	2	36	(25)
Federal funds sold	7	8	101	150	)	(23)	(49)
Trading securities	31	6	404	343	5	(88)	61
Available-for-sale securities	1,51	8	1,448	1,268	8	70	180
Held-to-maturity securities	2,57	1	3,258	4,362	2	(687)	(1,104)
Mortgage loans	2,18	9	2,639	3,187	,	(450)	(548)
Other		3	2	4	Ļ	1	(2)
Total interest income	10,19	4	11,475	14,498	3	(1,281)	(3,023)
Interest expense							
Consolidated obligations - Discount notes	52	4	529	667	,	(5)	(138)
Consolidated obligations - Bonds	5,47	1	6,645	8,462	2	(1,174)	(1,817)
Deposits		5	8	17	,	(3)	(9)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	-	_	17	18	3	(17)	(1)
Subordinated notes	5	7	57	57	,	_	_
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock	8	5	48	54	Ļ	37	(6)
Other borrowings	-	_	_	1		_	(1)
Total interest expense	6,14	2	7,304	9,276	;	(1,162)	(1,972)
Net interest income	4,05	2	4,171	5,222	2	(119)	(1,051)
Provision (reversal) for credit losses	2	1	71	58	3	(50)	13
Net interest income after provision (reversal) for credit losses	\$ 4,03	1	\$ 4,100	\$ 5,164	\$	(69)	\$ (1,064)

Table 30 presents average balances and yields of the major categories of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities; net interest spread, which is the difference between the annualized yield on total interest-earning assets and the annualized cost on total interest-bearing liabilities; and net interest margin, which is net interest income expressed as a percentage of the average balance of total interest-earning assets.

## Table 30 - Spread and Yield Analysis

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,										
		2012		_	2011			2010			
	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Yield	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Yield	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Yield		
Advances(1)	\$ 407,192	\$ 3,447	0.85%	\$ 433,404	\$ 3,597	0.83%	\$ 542,775	\$ 5,127	0.94%		
Mortgage loans	51,620	2,189	4.24%	56,889	2,639	4.64%	66,436	3,187	4.80%		
Investments											
Interest-bearing deposits and other	11,522	22	0.19%	9,032	11	0.12%	8,006	19	0.24%		
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	31,824	53	0.17%	15,543	17	0.11%	21,668	42	0.19%		
Federal funds sold	53,097	78	0.15%	74,805	101	0.14%	78,938	150	0.19%		
Trading securities	16,616	316	1.90%	26,563	404	1.52%	20,206	343	1.70%		
Available-for-sale securities(2)	74,894	1,518	2.03%	76,337	1,448	1.90%	65,515	1,268	1.94%		
Held-to-maturity securities(2)	115,298	2,571	2.23%	130,203	3,258	2.50%	146,986	4,362	2.97%		
Total investments	303,251	4,558	1.50%	332,483	5,239	1.58%	341,319	6,184	1.81%		
Total interest-earning assets	762,063	10,194	1.34%	822,776	11,475	1.39%	950,530	14,498	1.53%		
Other non-interest- earning assets	5,345			8,193			8,326				
Fair-value adjustment on investment securities(2)	(3,755)			(4,809)			(8,063)				
Total assets	\$ 763,653			\$ 826,160			\$ 950,793				
Consolidated obligations											
Discount notes	\$ 201,718	524	0.26%	\$ 181,590	529	0.29%	\$ 192,613	667	0.35%		
Bonds	480,982	5,471	1.14%	558,706	6,645	1.19%	664,264	8,462	1.27%		
Interest-bearing deposits and other borrowings(3)	22,686	147	0.65%	25,657	130	0.51%	29,654	147	0.50%		
Total interest-bearing liabilities	705,386	6,142	0.87%	765,953	7,304	0.95%	886,531	9,276	1.05%		
Non-interest-bearing liabilities	18,035			17,978			21,070				
Total liabilities	723,421			783,931			907,601				
Capital	40,232			42,229			43,192				
Total liabilities and capital	\$ 763,653			\$ 826,160			\$ 950,793				
Net interest income		\$ 4,052			\$ 4,171			\$ 5,222			
Net interest spread			0.47%			0.44%			0.48%		
Net interest margin			0.53%			0.51%			0.55%		

(1)

Interest income for advances includes prepayment fees on advances, net. The average balances of AFS securities and HTM securities are reflected at amortized cost; therefore, the resulting yields do not give effect to changes in fair value or the non-(2)

credit component of previously recognized OTTI reflected in AOCI. The balances do not include non-interest-bearing deposits, but do include the average balances and the related interest expense of mandatorily redeemable capital stock and subordinated notes. (3)

Changes in both interest rates and average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have a direct influence on changes in net interest income, net interest margin and net interest spread. Table 31 presents changes in interest income and interest expense due to volume-related and rate-related factors. Changes in interest income and interest expense not identifiable as either volume-related or rate-related, but rather attributable to both volume and rate changes, have been allocated to the volume and rate categories based upon the proportion of the absolute value of the volume and rate changes.

#### Table 31 - Rate and Volume Analysis

			vs. 2011		2011 vs. 2010							
	v	olume	F	Rate		Total		Volume		Rate		Total
Interest Income												
Advances(1)	\$	(232)	\$	82	\$	(150)	\$	(955)	\$	(575)	\$	(1,530)
Mortgage loans		(233)		(217)		(450)		(446)		(102)		(548)
Investments		(432)		(249)		(681)		(157)		(788)		(945)
Total interest income		(897)		(384)		(1,281)		(1,558)		(1,465)		(3,023)
Interest Expense												
Consolidated obligations		(534)		(645)		(1,179)		(1,175)		(780)		(1,955)
Deposits and other borrowings(2)		(16)		33		17		(20)		3		(17)
Total interest expense		(550)		(612)		(1,162)		(1,195)		(777)		(1,972)
Changes in net interest income	\$	(347)	\$	228	\$	(119)	\$	(363)	\$	(688)	\$	(1,051)

(1) Includes prepayment fees on advances, net.

(2) The balances do not include non-interest-bearing deposits, but do include the average balances and the related interest expense of mandatorily redeemable capital stock and subordinated notes.

Lower Average Balances. Total average interest-earning assets declined 7.4% during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, negatively affecting net interest income. This decline was the result of a decrease of 9.3% in the average balances of mortgage loans, a decrease of 8.8% in the average balances of investments and a decrease of 6.1% in the average balances of advances. The lower average balance of mortgage loans was the result of principal repayments exceeding purchases. The lower average balances of investments were driven by the runoff of residential mortgage-backed securities and lower average short-term investment balances due to reduced liquidity needs. The lower average balances of advances continued to be driven by high deposit levels and low loan demand experienced at member institutions. However, the demand for advances showed some signs of regional stabilization and certain FHLBank members increased their use of advances. Consistent with the decline in the average balance of interest-earning assets, the average balance of consolidated obligations decreased 7.8% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011.

Total average interest-earning assets declined 13.4% during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, negatively affecting net interest income. This decline was primarily due to a decrease of 20.2% in the average balance of advances and a decrease of 14.4% in the average balance of mortgage loans. Advances continued to decline during the year ended December 31, 2011 as a result of continued low demand by member institutions resulting from higher levels of liquidity in the market as well as high levels of deposits and low loan demand experienced at FHLBank member institutions. Mortgage loans decreased as a result of low purchase volumes, principal repayments and the sale of mortgage loans by certain FHLBanks. Consistent with the decline in average interest-earning assets, the average balance of consolidated obligations decreased 13.6% during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

<u>Net Interest Margin.</u> Net interest margin was 0.53% for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of 2 basis points compared to the same period in 2011 due primarily to higher net interest spreads. The yield on interest-earning assets was 1.34%, a decrease of 5 basis points, resulting from lower yields on mortgage loans and investments, which were partially offset by the higher yield on advances, largely attributable to higher prepayment fees. The yield on interest-bearing liabilities was 0.87%, a decrease of 8 basis points, partially attributable to the cumulative effect of redemptions and refinancings of consolidated obligations in a very low interest rate environment.

Net interest margin was 0.51% for the year ended December 31, 2011, a decrease of 4 basis points compared to the same period in 2010 due primarily to lower net interest spreads. The yield on interest-earning assets was 1.39%, a decrease of 14 basis points, resulting from lower yields on investments, mortgage loans and advances. The yield on interest-bearing liabilities was 0.95%, a decrease of 10 basis points, partially attributable to lower rates on debt issuances and repurchases of higher cost obligations prior to maturity.

## Non-Interest Income (Loss)

Non-interest income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2012 was a loss of \$160 million, an improvement of \$942 million compared to the same period in 2011. The improvement was due primarily to lower credit-related other-than-temporary impairment charges as well as net gains on derivatives and hedging activities, partially offset by higher net losses on trading securities.

Non-interest income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2011 was a net loss of \$1,102 million, an improvement of \$322 million compared to the same period in 2010. The improvement resulted from lower credit-related other-than-temporary impairment charges, more favorable fair value adjustments on financial instruments held under fair value option, realized gains recorded by certain FHLBanks on the sale of investments and mortgage loans, and lower realized losses on the extinguishment of debt, partially offset by less favorable mark-to-market adjustments on trading securities and higher mark-to-market losses on derivatives and hedging activities.

## Table 32 - Changes in Non-Interest Income (Loss)

(dollars in millions)

	Y	ear Ended	l December 31	Change			
	2012	2	2011	2010	2012 vs. 2011	2011 vs. 2010	
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses	\$ (112)	\$	(856)	\$ (1,071)	\$ 744	\$ 215	
Net gains (losses) on trading securities	(152)		(11)	69	(141)	(80)	
Net realized gains (losses) from sale of available- for-sale securities	15		24	20	(9)	4	
Net realized gains (losses) from sale of held-to- maturity securities	30		35	8	(5)	27	
Net gains (losses) on financial instruments held under fair value option	(5)		6	(106)	(11)	112	
Net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities	47		(383)	(304)	430	(79)	
Other, net	17		83	(40)	(66)	123	
Total non-interest income (loss)	\$ (160)	\$	(1,102)	\$ (1,424)	\$ 942	\$ 322	

<u>Other-than-Temporary Impairment Losses.</u> The FHLBanks update their other-than-temporary impairment analysis each quarter to reflect current housing market conditions, changes in anticipated housing market conditions, observed and anticipated borrower behavior, and updated information on collateral underlying private-label mortgage-backed securities. This process includes updating key aspects of the FHLBanks' loss projection models.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, credit-related other-than-temporary impairment charges were \$112 million, which were attributable to projected incremental credit losses on collateral underlying certain private-label mortgage-backed securities. Factors that adversely affected projected loan losses included the impact of large inventories of unsold homes on current and forecasted housing prices, continued weakness in the economy and in employment, and increased foreclosure costs and delays, resulting in continuing credit losses. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, credit-related other-than-temporary impairment charges were \$856 million and \$1,071 million. The improvements in credit-related other-than-temporary impairment charges for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 of \$744 million and \$215 million, compared to the same period in prior years, were due primarily to further stabilization of the housing and mortgage markets and to improved expectations for these markets.

#### Table 33 - Other-than-Temporary Impairment Losses

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,															
			2012					2011						2010		
	Total OT Losses		AOCI(1)	OTTI Related to Credit Losses		Total OTTI Losses	,	AOCI(1)	R	OTTI elated to Credit Losses		otal OTTI Losses	А	.OCI(1)		OTTI lated to Credit Losses
OTTI by Collateral Type(2)																
Private-label residential MBS																
Prime	\$	(7)	\$ (39)	\$ (46	)	\$ (108)	\$	(126)	\$	(234)	\$	(328)	\$	(96)	\$	(424)
Alt-A		(80)	18	(62	)	(479)		(119)		(598)		(755)		209		(546)
Subprime		(2)	1	(1	)	(17)		—		(17)		(37)		(53)		(90)
Total OTTI on private- label residential MBS		(89)	(20)	(109	)	(604)		(245)		(849)		(1,120)		60		(1,060)
Home equity loan ABS																
Alt-A		—	(1)	(1	)	_		(1)		(1)		_		(1)		(1)
Subprime		_	(2)	(2	)	(2)		(4)		(6)		(5)		(5)		(10)
Total OTTI on home equity loan ABS		_	(3)	(3	)	(2)		(5)		(7)		(5)		(6)		(11)
Total	\$	(89)	\$ (23)	\$ (112	)	\$ (606)	\$	(250)	\$	(856)	\$	(1,125)	\$	54	\$	(1,071)
OTTI by Period									_		_					
Securities newly impaired during the period	\$	(44)	\$ 43	\$ (1	)	\$ (312)	\$	283	\$	(29)	\$	(972)	\$	890	\$	(82)
Securities previously impaired prior to current period(3)		(45)	(66)	(111	)	(294)		(533)		(827)		(153)		(836)		(989)
Total	\$	(89)	\$ (23)	\$ (112	)	\$ (606)	\$	(250)	\$	(856)	\$	(1,125)	\$	54	\$	(1,071)

(1) Represents the net amount of impairment losses reclassified to/(from) AOCI.

(2) The FHLBanks classify securities as prime, Alt-A and subprime based on the originator's classification at the time of origination or based on classification by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization upon issuance of the securities.

(3) For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, securities previously impaired prior to current period represents all securities that were impaired prior to January 1, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

See <u>Note 7 - Other-than-Temporary Impairment Analysis</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements and <u>Critical</u> <u>Accounting Estimates - OTTI for Investment Securities</u> for additional information.

<u>Gains (Losses) on Trading Securities.</u> The FHLBanks generally hold trading securities for liquidity purposes. Trading securities are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in non-interest income (loss). There are a number of factors that can impact the value of a trading security, including movement in interest rates, changes in credit spreads, the passage of time and changes in volatility. Net losses on trading securities for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$152 million and \$11 million. Net gains on trading securities for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$69 million.

<u>Gains (Losses) on Financial Instruments Held under Fair Value Option.</u> Certain FHLBanks elected the fair value option for certain financial assets and certain financial liabilities and recognize the changes in fair value on these assets and liabilities as unrealized gains and losses in current period earnings. The use of the fair value option allows these FHLBanks to mitigate potential income statement volatility that can arise from economic hedging relationships.

Fair values of advances and consolidated obligations held under fair value option vary from period to period based on changes in a wide range of market factors, including the current and projected levels of interest rates, volatility and credit spreads. The significant inputs used by the FHLBanks to determine the fair value of advances and consolidated obligations are the CO Curve, LIBOR Swap Curve, volatility assumptions and spread assumptions. Additionally, net gains and losses are affected by changes in the composition of the financial instruments held under fair value option. Table 34 presents the net gains and losses on financial instruments held under fair value option.

#### Table 34 - Gains (Losses) on Financial Instruments Held under Fair Value Option

(dollars in millions)

	Y	ear En	ded December 31		Change				
	2012		2011		2010	2012 vs. 2011			2011 vs. 2010
Advances	\$ (21)	\$	184	\$	(163)	\$	(205)	\$	347
Consolidated obligations									
Discount notes	3		(2)		(2)		5		—
Bonds	11		(188)		63		199		(251)
Other liabilities(1)	2		12		(4)		(10)		16
Total net gains (losses) on financial instruments held under fair value option	\$ (5)	\$	6	\$	(106)	\$	(11)	\$	112

(1) Represents optional advance commitments.

<u>Gains (Losses) on Derivatives and Hedging Activities.</u> Fair value estimates for an FHLBank's derivatives and hedging positions fluctuate primarily with changes in the level and volatility of interest rates. In general, an FHLBank holds derivatives and associated hedged instruments to the maturity, call or put date. Therefore, as a matter of timing, nearly all of the cumulative net gains and losses for these financial instruments generally reverse over the remaining contractual terms of the hedged financial instruments. However, there may be instances in which an FHLBank terminates these instruments prior to maturity or prior to the call or put dates. Terminating the financial instrument or hedging relationship may result in a realized gain or loss.

#### Table 35 - Net Gains (Losses) on Derivatives and Hedging Activities

(dollars in millions)

	Y	'ear Er	nded December 31	Change				
	2012		2011		2010	2012 vs. 2011		2011 vs. 2010
Net gains (losses) related to fair value hedge ineffectiveness	\$ 191	\$	220	\$	272	\$ (2	9)	\$ (52)
Net gains (losses) related to cash flow hedge ineffectiveness	3		41		5	(3	8)	36
Net gains (losses) related to derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	(147)		(644)		(581)	49	7	(63)
Total net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities	\$ 47	\$	(383)	\$	(304)	\$ 43	0	\$ (79)

The net gains on derivatives and hedging activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$47 million, driven by gains related to fair-value hedge ineffectiveness, which were partially offset by losses related to economic hedges. The gains from fair-value hedge ineffectiveness were due primarily to mark-to-market activities resulting from changes in the benchmark interest rate and volatility. Hedge ineffectiveness occurs when changes in the fair value of the derivative and the associated hedged instrument do not perfectly offset.

The net losses on derivatives and hedging activities during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$383 million and \$304 million, driven by losses related to economic hedges, which were partially offset by gains related to fair-value hedge ineffectiveness. The net losses related to economic hedges primarily resulted from the effects of changes in interest rates and lower swaption volatilities. (See <u>Note 11 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for the earnings effect resulting from derivatives and hedging activities and <u>Quantitative and Qualitative</u> <u>Disclosures about Market Risk</u> - Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest-Rate Risk.)

<u>Other, net.</u> Other, net includes any gains or losses on the sale of mortgage loans and any gains or losses on the extinguishment of debt. During the year ended December 31, 2011, certain FHLBanks recorded gains of \$78 million on the sale of mortgage loans. There were no gains or losses on the sale of mortgage loans during the years ended December 31, 2012 or 2010. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, certain FHLBanks recorded net losses of \$81 million, \$105 million and \$144 million on the extinguishment of debt.

#### **Non-interest Expense**

Non-interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$969 million, a decrease of 8.3% compared to the same period in 2011. This decrease primarily reflects a one-time \$50 million charge, reported in other expense in the fourth quarter of 2011, by the FHLBank of Chicago and a decrease in compensation and benefits expense. Non-interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$1,057 million, an increase of 13.4% compared to the same period in 2010. This increase is due primarily to the 2010 reversal of the provision for derivative counterparty credit losses, a one-time \$50 million charge by the FHLBank of Chicago and an increase in FHFA expenses.

#### Table 36 - Changes in Non-interest Expense

(dollars in millions)

	Y	'ear En	ded December 31		Change				
	2012		2011		2010	20	12 vs. 2011		2011 vs. 2010
Compensation and benefits	\$ 508	\$	540	\$	533	\$	(32)	\$	7
Other operating expenses	331		313		327		18		(14)
Federal Housing Finance Agency	72		79		55		(7)		24
Office of Finance	40		43		39		(3)		4
Provision (reversal) of derivative counterparty credit losses	_		5		(55)		(5)		60
Other	18		77		33		(59)		44
Total non-interest expense	\$ 969	\$	1,057	\$	932	\$	(88)	\$	125

<u>Compensation and Benefits.</u> These expenses include costs for FHLBank employees, including salaries, incentives, and health and retirement benefits. For the year ended December 31, 2012, compensation and benefits expense was \$508 million, a decrease of 5.9% when compared to the same period in 2011, due primarily to additional pension fund contributions to eliminate a funding shortfall, which were expensed in the first quarter of 2011.

<u>FHFA Expenses.</u> The FHLBanks fund the portion of the FHFA's operating costs and working capital fund that relate to the FHLBanks, as determined by the FHFA. These costs are based on the FHFA's annual budget and are under the sole control of the FHFA. Each FHLBank pays its pro-rata share of FHFA expenses based on the ratio of each FHLBank's minimum required regulatory capital to the aggregate minimum required regulatory capital of all FHLBanks.

<u>Office of Finance Expenses.</u> The FHLBanks also fund the costs of the Office of Finance, a joint office of the FHLBanks that issues and services consolidated obligations, prepares the FHLBanks' combined quarterly and annual financial reports, and fulfills certain other functions.

<u>Provision (Reversal) of Derivative Counterparty Credit Losses.</u> The FHLBanks are exposed to credit risk due to the possibility of counterparties' nonperformance on interest-rate exchange agreements. Each FHLBank manages counterparty credit risk through credit analyses, collateral requirements and adherence to the requirements set forth in that FHLBank's policies and FHFA regulations. Based on credit analyses and collateral requirements, the FHLBanks did not anticipate any credit losses on their interest-rate exchange agreements. Therefore, no provision for derivative counterparty credit losses was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The \$5 million provision recorded during the year ended December 31, 2011 represents a \$7 million charge taken by the FHLBank of Dallas to settle a dispute related to the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers Special Financing, Inc., partially offset by the reversal of the provision for derivative counterparty credit losses of \$2 million by the FHLBank of Pittsburgh. The \$55 million gain recorded during the year ended December 31, 2010 represents the reversal of provisions for derivative counterparty credit losses of \$1 million by the FHLBank of Seattle. The reversal of the previously established provisions was due to an increase in the realizable amount related to sales of the net receivables due from Lehman Brothers Special Financing, Inc. to a third party.

<u>Other.</u> For the year ended December 31, 2012, the decrease primarily reflects a one-time \$50 million charge, recorded in the fourth quarter of 2011, by the FHLBank of Chicago to supplement its affordable housing and community investment programs.

#### Assessments

Assessments for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$296 million, a decrease of 14.9% compared to the same period in 2011. The decrease was driven by the August 2011 satisfaction of the FHLBanks' Resolution Funding Corporation (REFCORP) obligation, partially offset by higher Affordable Housing Program assessments as a result of an increase in net income before assessments. Assessments for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$348 million, a decrease of 52.1% compared to the same period in 2010, driven by the satisfaction of the FHLBanks' REFCORP liability and lower net income before assessments.

#### Table 37 - Assessments

(dollars in millions)

	۱	/ear	Ended December 31	Change				
	2012		2011	2010	2012 vs. 2011		2011 vs. 2010	
Affordable Housing Program	\$ 296	\$	188	\$ 229	\$ 108	\$	(41)	
REFCORP	-		160	498	(160)		(338)	
Total assessments	\$ 296	\$	348	\$ 727	\$ (52)	\$	(379)	

<u>Affordable Housing Program (AHP).</u> By regulation, the FHLBanks must annually set aside for the AHP the greater of the aggregate of \$100 million or 10% of net earnings, after any assessment for REFCORP. For purposes of the AHP calculation, net earnings is defined as net income before assessments, plus interest expense related to mandatorily redeemable capital stock, less the assessment for REFCORP until the FHLBanks' REFCORP obligation was satisfied. Any FHLBank with a net loss for a quarter is not required to pay the AHP assessment for that quarter. (See <u>Note 14 - Affordable Housing Program (AHP)</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for discussion regarding the satisfaction of the FHLBanks' REFCORP obligation and its effect on each FHLBank's AHP contributions.)

AHP helps members provide subsidized and other low-cost funding as well as grants to create affordable rental and home ownership opportunities. All FHLBank operating costs for the AHP are included in operating expenses, so all AHP assessments go directly to support affordable housing projects.

<u>REFCORP.</u> On August 5, 2011, the FHFA certified that the FHLBanks had fully satisfied their REFCORP obligation with their payments that were made on July 15, 2011. The FHLBanks entered into a Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement, as amended, which requires each FHLBank to allocate 20% of its net income to a separate restricted retained earnings account, beginning in the third quarter of 2011. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for further discussion.) As a result of fully satisfying their REFCORP obligation, the FHLBanks did not record a REFCORP assessment for the year ended December 31, 2012 nor in each of the last two quarters of 2011.

Prior to the satisfaction of the FHLBanks' REFCORP obligation, each FHLBank was required to make payments to REFCORP (20% of annual GAAP net income before REFCORP assessments and after payment of AHP assessments) until the total amount of payments actually made was equivalent to a \$300 million annual annuity whose final maturity date was April 15, 2030.

## Interbank Transfers of Consolidated Bonds and Their Effect on Combined Net Income

Combined net income of the FHLBanks is affected by interbank transfers of liability on outstanding consolidated bonds. These transactions arise when one FHLBank transfers its direct liability on outstanding consolidated bonds to another FHLBank. By engaging in these transactions, two FHLBanks are able to better match their funding needs by transferring funds held by one FHLBank to another FHLBank that needs funds. Because the consolidated bonds are the joint and several obligation of all 12 FHLBanks, these interbank transactions have no effect on the holders of the consolidated bonds.

Total consolidated bonds of \$380 million at par value were transferred from an FHLBank to another FHLBank during the year ended December 31, 2012. Total consolidated bonds of \$165 million at par value were transferred from an FHLBank to another FHLBank during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The amount of total interbank consolidated bonds transferred during a period depends on a variety of factors, such as (1) whether or not an assuming FHLBank can obtain equal or lower funding costs through interbank transfers as compared to issuing new debt, (2) an FHLBank's overall asset/liability management strategy and (3) current market conditions.

The transferring FHLBank treats the transfer as a debt extinguishment because that FHLBank has been released from being the primary obligor. The assuming FHLBank becomes the primary obligor because it now is directly responsible for repaying the debt. The assuming FHLBank records the fair value, including any premium or discount, as the initial carrying amount for the consolidated bond it received from the transferring FHLBank. However, under the principles of combination accounting, combining adjustments are required to reflect the transaction as if the transferring FHLBank still holds the consolidated bond for purposes of the FHLBanks' combined financial statements.

Table 38 presents the effect of combining adjustments on the Combined Statement of Income for the elimination of transfers of interbank consolidated bond liabilities and for offsetting interbank commissions, as well as fees related to the MPF Program that are eliminated in non-interest income (loss) and non-interest expense and have no effect on net income (loss).

## Table 38 - Effect of Combining Adjustments on Combined Statement of Income

(dollars in millions)

Effect on:		2	2012	 2011		2010
Net interest income		\$	(28)	\$ (25)	\$	(4)
Non-interest income (loss)			42	11		77
Non-interest expense			(7)	 (6)		(6)
Net income (loss)		\$	21	\$ (8)	\$	79

## **Comprehensive Income**

Comprehensive income is comprised of net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) is reported in the Combined Statement of Comprehensive Income and presents the net change in the accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) balances.

Comprehensive income during the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$5,394 million, an increase of \$2,553 million compared to the same period in 2011. This increase was due primarily to a \$1,013 million increase in net income, a \$861 million positive net change in the non-credit portion of OTTI losses and a \$532 million decrease in unrealized losses relating to hedging activities. The net change in the non-credit portion of OTTI losses is related to certain private-label mortgage-backed securities, while the net change in unrealized losses relating to hedging activities is related to the FHLBanks' cash flow hedges.

Comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$2,841 million, a decrease of \$1,900 million compared to the same period in 2010. This decrease was due primarily to a \$1,119 million negative net change in the non-credit portion of OTTI losses, a \$488 million decrease in net income and a \$305 million increase in unrealized losses relating to hedging activities. The net change in the non-credit portion of OTTI losses is related to certain private-label mortgage-backed securities, while the net change in unrealized losses relating to hedging activities is related to the FHLBanks' cash flow hedges.

## Table 39 - Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,						Change			
	2012		2011		2010		2012 vs. 2011		2011 vs. 2010	
Net income (loss)	\$	2,606	\$	1,593	\$	2,081	\$	1,013	\$	(488)
Other comprehensive income (loss)										
Net unrealized gains/losses on available-for-sale securities		559		418		384		141		34
Net unrealized gains/losses on held-to-maturity securities transferred from available-for-sale securities		2		3		14		(1)		(11)
Net non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale securities		2,146		(1,851)		876		3,997		(2,727)
Net non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on held-to-maturity securities		180		3,316		1,708		(3,136)		1,608
Net unrealized gains/losses relating to hedging activities		(85)		(617)		(312)		532		(305)
Pension and postretirement benefits		(14)		(21)		(10)		7		(11)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)		2,788		1,248		2,660		1,540		(1,412)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$	5,394	\$	2,841	\$	4,741	\$	2,553	\$	(1,900)

Other comprehensive income (loss) on AFS securities. Other comprehensive income (loss) on AFS securities is classified into two separate components, net change in unrealized gains/losses on AFS securities and the net change in the non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on AFS securities. The distinction between the two categories is whether the AFS security has incurred an OTTI charge. The changes in the fair value of AFS securities, which are primarily due to changes in interest rates, are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). The other comprehensive income (loss) is also impacted by the occurrence of an OTTI charge on an AFS security, the transfer of securities from the HTM portfolio to the AFS portfolio, and the reclassifications of the non-credit portion of OTTI losses to net income (loss).

Other comprehensive income (loss) on HTM securities. Other comprehensive income (loss) on HTM securities is comprised of the net unrealized gains/losses on HTM securities transferred to the AFS portfolio, the net non-credit portion of OTTI losses on HTM securities, the accretion of the non-credit portion of OTTI losses on HTM securities and reclassifications of the non-credit portion included in net income (loss).

<u>Net unrealized gains/losses relating to hedging activities.</u> Other comprehensive income (loss) on net unrealized gains/losses relating to hedging activities is comprised of changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge, to the extent that the hedge is effective, until earnings are affected by the variability of the cash flows of the hedged transaction and the amounts are reclassified to non-interest income. The FHLBanks' gains/losses on hedging activities fluctuate with volatility in the overall interest-rate environment and the positions taken by the FHLBanks to hedge their risk exposure using cash flow hedges.

## **Capital Adequacy**

The FHLBank Act prescribes minimum capital requirements for the FHLBanks, and following the passage of the "Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008" (Housing Act), the Director of the FHFA is responsible for setting the risk-based capital standards for the FHLBanks. In addition, on March 3, 2011, the FHFA issued a final rule authorizing the Director of the FHFA to temporarily increase the minimum capital level for an FHLBank if the Director of the FHFA determines that the current level is insufficient to address that FHLBank's risks. At December 31, 2012, each of the FHLBanks was in compliance with its statutory minimum capital requirements. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information on each FHLBank's minimum capital requirements and regulatory actions related to each of the FHLBanks of Chicago and Seattle.)

Regulatory guidance requires each FHLBank to assess, at least once a year, the adequacy of its retained earnings under various future financial and economic scenarios, including:

- parallel and non-parallel interest-rate shifts;
- changes in the basis relationship between different yield curves; and
- changes in the credit quality of the FHLBank's assets.

Management and the board of directors of each FHLBank review the capital structure of that FHLBank on a periodic basis to ensure the capital structure supports the risk associated with its assets and addresses applicable regulatory and supervisory matters. In addition, an individual FHLBank may institute a higher capital requirement to meet internally-established thresholds or to address supervisory matters, or may limit dividend payments as part of its retained earnings policies. As of December 31, 2012, certain FHLBanks have limited dividend payments and/or restricted excess capital stock redemptions and repurchases. These limitations may be revised from time to time. (See *Dividend and Excess Stock Limitations* for more information on certain FHLBank limits on dividend payments and excess capital stock repurchases.)

## Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement

The Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement, as amended (Capital Agreement), is intended to enhance the capital position of each FHLBank. The Capital Agreement provides that each FHLBank will allocate 20% of its net income each quarter to a separate restricted retained earnings account until the balance of that account equals at least one percent of that FHLBank's average balance of outstanding consolidated obligations for the previous quarter. These restricted retained earnings are not available to pay dividends. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - Restricted Retained Earnings to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information.)

#### **Dividend and Excess Stock Limitations**

Certain FHLBanks have implemented voluntary actions to suspend dividend payments and/or repurchases or redemptions of excess capital stock. These actions were implemented as a capital preservation measure and to reflect a conservative approach to financial management due to market volatility and impairment of certain private-label mortgage-backed securities. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - Excess Capital Stock to the accompanying combined financial statements for information on the regulatory restrictions related to excess capital stock.)

<u>FHLBank of Boston</u>. The FHLBank of Boston is continuing its moratorium on repurchases of excess capital stock, other than in limited, former member-related instances of insolvency. Although the FHLBank of Boston conducted a partial repurchase of excess capital stock on each of March 11, 2013 and March 9, 2012, there are no plans to conduct another excess stock repurchase in 2013. Further, on March 22, 2013, the FHLBank of Boston's board of directors adopted:

- a resolution that it will not conduct excess stock repurchases, other than in limited, former member-related instances of insolvency, without obtaining the FHFA's non-objection (which the FHLBank of Boston does not expect to pursue in 2013); and
- a resolution that it would not declare dividends in excess of 30% of quarterly net income for the quarter on which the dividend is based for the remainder of 2013, without the FHFA's non-objection.

Additionally, on April 26, 2012, the FHLBank of Boston's board of directors adopted a revision to its retained earnings policy that now provides that when the FHLBank of Boston's retained earnings target exceeds the level of its retained earnings, the quarterly dividend payout cannot exceed 40% of its earnings for the quarter.

<u>FHLBank of Pittsburgh.</u> In December 2008, the FHLBank of Pittsburgh announced the voluntary suspensions of dividend payments until further notice. During 2011 and 2010, the FHLBank of Pittsburgh did not pay a dividend. In February, April and July 2012, the FHLBank of Pittsburgh paid a dividend equal to an annual yield of 0.10%. On October 31, 2012, the FHLBank of Pittsburgh paid a LIBOR-based dividend equal to an annual yield of 0.43%. On February 22, 2013, the FHLBank of Pittsburgh paid a LIBOR-based dividend equal to an annual yield of 0.32%. The dividend in each period was calculated on stockholders' average balances for the previous quarter.

The market value of equity to par value of capital stock ratio provides a current assessment of the liquidation value of the balance sheet and measures the FHLBank of Pittsburgh's current ability to honor the par put redemption feature of its capital stock. This is one of the risk metrics used to evaluate the adequacy of retained earnings and develop dividend payment and excess capital stock repurchase recommendations.

The FHLBank of Pittsburgh's market value of equity to par value of capital stock ratio was 115.1% and 96.9% at December 31, 2012 and 2011. The improvement in the market value of equity to par value of capital stock ratio during 2012 was due primarily to higher prices and principal paydowns on the private-label mortgage-backed securities portfolio, increased retained earnings and narrower advance spreads resulting from pricing changes adopted during the first quarter of 2012. Because the market value of equity to par value of capital stock ratio was above the 90.0% floor at December 31, 2012, the FHLBank of Pittsburgh performed additional analysis of capital adequacy taking into consideration the impact of excess capital stock repurchases and dividend payouts to determine if any excess stock should be repurchased. As a result of this analysis, the FHLBank of Pittsburgh repurchased \$300 million in excess capital stock on February 22, 2013. The FHLBank of Pittsburgh also executed partial repurchases in February, April, July and October 2012, which totaled \$777 million. The effect of the repurchases was a modest improvement in the market value of equity to par value of capital stock ratio.

Decisions regarding any future repurchases of excess capital stock or dividend payouts will be made on a quarterly basis. The FHLBank of Pittsburgh will continue to monitor the market value of equity to par value of capital stock ratio as well as the condition of its private-label mortgage-backed securities portfolio, its overall financial performance and retained earnings, developments in the mortgage and credit markets and other relevant information as the basis for determining the status of dividends and excess capital stock repurchases in future periods.

<u>FHLBank of Chicago</u>. On April 18, 2012, the FHFA terminated the Consent Order to Cease and Desist (the C&D Order) that the FHLBank of Chicago entered into with the Federal Housing Finance Board in October 2007. The C&D Order placed several requirements on the FHLBank of Chicago, including among other things, restrictions on the repurchase and redemption of capital stock, prior approval of dividend declarations and submission of a revised capital plan, all of which have now been

terminated. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - FHLBank of Chicago Regulatory Actions to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information.)

Although the FHLBank of Chicago's plan for repurchasing the excess capital stock of current members over time (Repurchase Plan) terminated by its terms in May 2012, pursuant to the resolution adopted by its board of directors, the FHLBank of Chicago continues to repurchase excess capital stock held by its members on a quarterly basis if it maintains compliance with the financial and capital thresholds set forth in the Repurchase Plan. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - FHLBank of Chicago Regulatory Actions to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information.)

On January 15, 2013, following the FHLBank of Chicago's assessment that it met these thresholds based on the financial results for the fourth quarter of 2012, the FHLBank of Chicago notified its members of another repurchase opportunity and the process for requesting repurchase. In accordance with that process, the FHLBank of Chicago repurchased excess capital stock of \$100 million on February 14, 2013.

On January 29, 2013, the FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors declared a cash dividend at an annualized rate of 0.30% per share based on its financial results for the fourth quarter of 2012. Dividends paid by the FHLBank of Chicago for any given quarter of 2013 must not exceed the following rates on an annualized basis:

- the average of three-month LIBOR plus 350 basis points on Class B-1 capital stock; and
- the average of three-month LIBOR plus 100 basis points on Class B-2 capital stock.

The FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors has also resolved that payment of any dividend shall not result in its retained earnings falling below the level of retained earnings at the previous year-end. The FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors may not pay dividends above these limits or otherwise modify or terminate this resolution without written consent by the Director of the FHFA. Although the FHLBank of Chicago continues to work to build its financial strength to support a reasonable dividend, any future dividend determination by the FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors' sole discretion and it will depend on future operating results and any other factors the FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors determines to be relevant and be reviewed in accordance with its resolution and its retained earnings and dividend policy. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - FHLBank of Chicago Regulatory Actions to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information.)

<u>FHLBank of San Francisco.</u> On a quarterly basis, the FHLBank of San Francisco determines whether it will repurchase excess capital stock. In order to preserve capital in view of the possibility of future other-than-temporary impairment charges on the FHLBank of San Francisco's private-label residential mortgage-backed securities portfolio, the FHLBank of San Francisco did not repurchase all excess stock created by declining advance balances in 2012 and 2011. The FHLBank of San Francisco maintained its strong regulatory capital position, while repurchasing \$2,093 million and \$1,845 million in excess capital stock during 2012 and 2011. During 2012 and 2011, the five-year redemption period for \$43 million and \$57 million in mandatorily redeemable capital stock expired, and the FHLBank of San Francisco redeemed the capital stock at its \$100 par value on the relevant scheduled redemption dates.

The FHLBank of San Francisco repurchased \$750 million in excess capital stock on March 26, 2013. The amount of excess capital stock to be repurchased from each shareholder will be based on the total amount of capital stock (including mandatorily redeemable capital stock) outstanding to all shareholders on the repurchase date. The FHLBank of San Francisco will repurchase an equal percentage of each shareholder's total capital stock to the extent that the shareholder has sufficient excess capital stock.

The FHLBank of San Francisco will continue to monitor the condition of its private-label residential mortgage-backed securities portfolio, the ratio of the estimated market value of its capital to the par value of its capital stock, its overall financial performance and retained earnings, developments in the mortgage and credit markets, and other relevant information as the basis for determining the status of dividends and capital stock repurchases in future quarters. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - *Restricted Retained Earnings* to the accompanying combined financial statements for more information on the FHLBank of San Francisco's retained earnings and dividend policy.)

<u>FHLBank of Seattle</u>. As a result of the Consent Arrangement, the FHLBank of Seattle is currently unable to declare or pay dividends, or redeem or repurchase capital stock, without prior approval of the FHFA. In September 2012, the FHFA approved the FHLBank of Seattle's proposal for a modest excess capital stock repurchase program and granted the FHLBank of Seattle authority to repurchase up to \$25 million of excess capital stock per quarter at par, provided:

- the FHLBank of Seattle's financial condition, measured primarily by the market value of equity to par value of capital stock ratio, does not deteriorate;
- the excess stock repurchases are handled on a pro-rata basis across the FHLBank of Seattle's shareholders; and
- the FHLBank of Seattle receives a non-objection for each quarter's repurchase from the FHFA.

The approval for the excess stock repurchase program does not impact the terms of the Consent Arrangement, which generally restrict the FHLBank of Seattle from redeeming or repurchasing capital stock without FHFA approval. As a result, all excess stock, regardless of whether it is subject to redemption or not, is treated equally under the stock repurchase program initiated in 2012. However, for those FHLBank of Seattle shareholders with outstanding redemption requests, the stock repurchases first apply to those redemption requests. There can be no assurance of when or if the FHLBank of Seattle's board of directors will declare dividends in the future. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - FHLBank of Seattle Capital Classification and Consent Arrangement to the accompanying combined financial statements for a description of the FHLBank of Seattle's Consent Arrangement with the FHFA.)

## **Liquidity**

Each FHLBank is required to maintain liquidity in accordance with the FHLBank Act and certain regulations and policies established by its management and board of directors. Each FHLBank seeks to be in a position to meet the credit and liquidity needs of its members and to meet all current and future financial commitments by managing holdings of liquid investments and obtaining cost-effective sources of funds.

The FHLBanks need liquidity to:

- satisfy their members' demand for short- and long-term funds;
- repay maturing consolidated obligations; and
- meet other obligations.

The FHLBanks also maintain liquidity to redeem or repurchase excess capital stock at their discretion upon the request of a member or under an FHLBank's capital plan. (See <u>Capital Adequacy</u> - Dividend and Excess Stock Limitations for a discussion of certain FHLBanks' dividend payment suspensions and/or excess stock purchase restrictions.)

The FHLBanks' primary sources of liquidity are the issuance of new consolidated obligations and holdings of investments that are primarily high-quality, short- and intermediate-term financial instruments. Historically, GSE status and favorable credit ratings have provided the FHLBanks with excellent access to capital markets. Consolidated obligations enjoy GSE status; however, they are not obligations of the United States and the United States does not guarantee them. The FHLBanks' consolidated obligations have historically received the same credit rating as the government bond credit rating of the United States even though the consolidated obligations are not obligations of the United States.

Other short-term borrowings, such as member deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase, may also provide liquidity. In addition, by regulation, the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury may acquire up to \$4 billion of consolidated obligations of the FHLBanks. The authority provided by this regulation may be exercised only if alternative means cannot be effectively employed to permit the FHLBanks to continue to supply reasonable amounts of funds to the mortgage market, and the ability to supply such funds is substantially impaired because of monetary stringency and a high level of interest rates. Any funds borrowed shall be repaid by the FHLBanks at the earliest practicable date.

An FHLBank manages its balance sheet and corresponding liquidity requirements in response to its members' credit needs. In response to reduced member credit needs, an FHLBank may allow its consolidated obligations to mature without replacement, or repurchase and retire outstanding consolidated obligations, allowing its balance sheet to shrink. Similarly, an FHLBank's ability to expand its balance sheet and corresponding liquidity requirements in response to its members' increased credit needs is correlated to its members' capital stock requirements for advances and mortgage loans.

The FHLBanks may not be able to predict future trends in member credit needs because they are driven by complex interactions among a number of factors, including members' mortgage loan originations, other loan portfolio growth, deposit growth and the attractiveness of advances compared to other wholesale borrowing alternatives. Each FHLBank regularly monitors current trends and anticipates future debt issuance needs to be prepared to fund its members' credit needs and its investment opportunities.

To protect the FHLBanks against temporary disruptions in access to the debt markets in response to a rise in capital markets volatility, the FHFA requires each FHLBank to: (1) maintain contingent liquidity sufficient to meet liquidity needs that shall, at a minimum, cover five calendar days of inability to access consolidated obligations in the debt markets; (2) have available at all times an amount greater than or equal to its members' current deposits invested in advances with maturities not to exceed five years, deposits in banks or trust companies and obligations of the U.S. Treasury; (3) maintain, in the aggregate, unpledged qualifying assets in an amount at least equal to the amount of its participation in total consolidated obligations outstanding; and (4) maintain, through short-term investments, an amount at least equal to its anticipated cash outflows under two hypothetical scenarios.

- One scenario assumes that an FHLBank cannot access the capital markets for a period of between 10 to 20 days, with initial guidance set at 15 days, and that during that time members do not renew any maturing, prepaid or called advances.
- The second scenario assumes that an FHLBank cannot access the capital markets for a period of between three to seven days, with initial guidance set at five days, and that during that period an FHLBank will automatically renew maturing and called advances for all members except very large members provided the member is well-rated by its primary Federal regulator or its state regulator equivalent for insurance companies; has a rating assigned by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization that is investment quality; and is well-rated by the individual FHLBank's internal credit rating system.

Each FHLBank also maintains a contingency liquidity plan designed to enable it to meet its obligations and the liquidity needs of members in the event of operational disruptions at the FHLBanks and/or the Office of Finance, or short-term capital market disruptions. For instance, federal budget deficit and debt ceiling issues and any related rating downgrades could continue to cause adverse reactions in the financial markets, which could result in higher interest rates, higher FHLBank borrowing costs, greater demand for collateral from FHLBanks and/or difficulty accessing liquidity on acceptable terms. Therefore, the FHLBanks have taken actions, or continue to take actions, to bolster the amount of liquidity in the event their access to the debt markets is disrupted.

In September 2012, the Office of Finance revised its methodology for the allocation of the proceeds from the issuance of consolidated obligations when consolidated obligations cannot be issued in sufficient amounts to satisfy all FHLBank demand for funding during periods of financial distress and when its existing allocation processes are deemed insufficient. In general, this methodology provides that the proceeds in such circumstances will be allocated among the FHLBanks based on relative FHLBank total regulatory capital unless the Office of Finance determines that there is an overwhelming reason to adopt a different allocation method. As is the case during any instance of a disruption in an FHLBank's ability to access the capital markets, market conditions or this allocation could adversely impact an FHLBank's ability to finance its operations, which could thereby adversely impact that FHLBank's financial condition and results of operations.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Other Commitments**

In the ordinary course of business, the FHLBanks engage in financial transactions that, in accordance with GAAP, are not recorded on the FHLBanks' Combined Statement of Condition or may be recorded on the FHLBanks' Combined Statement of Condition in amounts that are different from the full contract or notional amount of the transactions. (See <u>Note 21 -</u> <u>Commitments and Contingencies</u> - Off-Balance Sheet Commitments to the accompanying combined financial statements for a discussion and the amounts of the FHLBanks' off-balance sheet arrangements and other commitments.) The FHLBanks do not have any special purpose entities or any other types of off-balance sheet conduits.

### **Contractual Obligations**

In the ordinary course of operations, the FHLBanks enter into certain contractual obligations. Table 40 presents the FHLBanks' significant contractual obligations at December 31, 2012.

#### Table 40 - Payments Due or Expiration Terms by Type of Contractual Obligation

(dollars in millions)

	Payments Due or Expiration Terms by Period									
		< 1 year		1 to 3 years		> 3 to 5 years		> 5 years		Total
Consolidated bonds(1)	\$	238,938	\$	107,976	\$	54,293	\$	70,158	\$	471,365
Capital lease obligations		7		9		—		—		16
Operating leases		20		35		33		79		167
Subordinated notes		_		_		1,000		—		1,000
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock(2)		1,964		1,686		2,978		301		6,929
Commitments to fund/purchase mortgage loans		1,071		—		-		—		1,071
Pension and post-retirement contributions(3)		19		25		24		84		152
Total contractual obligations	\$	242,019	\$	109,731	\$	58,328	\$	70,622	\$	480,700

(1) Does not include consolidated discount notes and contractual interest payments related to consolidated bonds. Period for consolidated bonds (including index-amortizing notes) is allocated based on contractual maturities. The actual timing of payments could be affected by factors affecting redemptions.

(2) The amount in the greater than five years column represents mandatorily redeemable capital stock that is past the end of the contractual redemption period because there is activity outstanding to which the mandatorily redeemable capital stock relates and/or capital stock redemptions that are restricted by regulatory actions related to the FHLBank of Seattle. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - FHLBank of Seattle Capital Classification and Consent Arrangement to the accompanying combined financial statements for discussions on this FHLBank's mandatorily redeemable capital stock.)

(3) Includes future funding contributions for the qualified pension plans in the less than one year column only. Includes scheduled benefit payments for the nonqualified (unfunded) pension plans for all periods.

#### **Critical Accounting Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires each FHLBank's management to make a number of judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities (if applicable), and the reported amounts of its income and expense during the reported periods. Although each FHLBank's management believes that its judgments, estimates and assumptions are reasonable, actual results may differ, and may differ substantially, from the estimates and other parties could arrive at different conclusions as to the likelihood of various default and severity outcomes.

Each individual FHLBank manages its operations independently and is responsible for establishing its own accounting and financial reporting policies in accordance with GAAP. An individual FHLBank's accounting and financial reporting policies and practices, including accounting estimates, are not always identical to those used by other FHLBanks because alternative policies and presentations are permitted under GAAP in certain circumstances. Among other things, the FHLBanks might not use the same models and assumptions in determining the fair values of their respective assets and liabilities. The use of different models or assumptions by individual FHLBanks could result in materially different valuation estimates or other estimates, even when similar or identical assets and liabilities are being measured, and could have materially different effects on the net income and retained earnings of the respective FHLBanks, although each of these methodologies is in compliance with GAAP. However, the FHLBanks and the Office of Finance recognize the importance of transparency and enhanced consistency in financial reporting, and have implemented a uniform framework for completing their OTTI analyses of private-label MBS.

The accounting estimates and assumptions discussed in this section are those generally considered by each FHLBank's management to be the most critical to an understanding of its financial statements and the financial data it provides to the Office of Finance for this combined financial report. These estimates require FHLBank management to make subjective or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain. Investors are cautioned that future events rarely develop exactly as forecast, and the best estimates routinely require adjustments, which could be material. A change in an estimate or assumption could have a material effect on an FHLBank's reported results of operations or its financial condition, and differences between the assumptions and estimates used by individual FHLBanks could result in material differences in the reported results of operations and financial condition of those FHLBanks.

Estimates and assumptions that are significant to the results of operations and financial condition of the FHLBanks include those used in conjunction with: (1) OTTI for investment securities; (2) fair value estimates; (3) derivative hedging relationships; (4) amortization of premium and accretion of discount on investment securities and purchased mortgage loans; and (5) calculation of allowances for credit losses for each identified portfolio segment of financing receivables. (See <u>Note 1 -</u> <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for a description of accounting policies related to these estimates and assumptions.)

## **OTTI for Investment Securities**

<u>Uniform OTTI Framework.</u> The 12 FHLBanks have developed a uniform framework for completing their OTTI analyses in compliance with FASB guidance on the recognition and presentation of OTTI in the financial statements. To ensure consistency in determination of the OTTI for private-label MBS among all FHLBanks, the FHLBanks enhanced their overall OTTI process by implementing a system-wide governance committee and establishing a formal process to ensure consistency in key OTTI modeling assumptions used for purposes of their cash flow analyses for the majority of these securities. To assess whether the entire amortized cost bases of the FHLBanks' private-label MBS will be recovered, the FHLBanks performed a cash flow analysis for each such security that was previously other-than-temporarily impaired or where fair value was less than amortized cost as of the balance sheet date, except for certain private-label MBS where the underlying loan-level collateral data was not available using the uniform OTTI modeling methodology under the FHLBanks' uniform framework.

Most of the FHLBanks select all of their private-label MBS in an unrealized loss position to be evaluated using the FHLBanks' uniform framework and approved assumptions for purposes of OTTI cash flow analysis. For certain private-label MBS where underlying collateral data is not available, alternative procedures as determined by each FHLBank are used to assess these securities for OTTI. (See <u>Note 7 - Other-than-Temporary Impairment Analysis</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for additional discussion regarding the recognition and presentation of OTTI.)

At December 31, 2012, seven FHLBanks owned certain private-label MBS where the underlying loan-level collateral data was not available. For private-label MBS that could not be modeled under the FHLBanks' uniform framework, alternative procedures were determined and approved by the system-wide governance committee. These alternative procedures established a formal process by which the FHLBanks could provide input on and approve key OTTI assumptions. Each affected FHLBank considered the approved alternative procedures to assess these securities for OTTI. These securities, which are backed by residential, home equity, manufactured housing, commercial real estate loans and/or home equity lines of credit, represented approximately 2% of the FHLBanks' total unpaid principal balance of private-label MBS at December 31, 2012.

Each FHLBank updates its OTTI analysis each quarter to reflect current and anticipated housing market conditions, observed and anticipated borrower behavior, and updated information on the loans supporting the FHLBank's private-label MBS. This process includes updating key aspects of the FHLBank's loss projection models. In doing so, an FHLBank considers many factors including, but not limited to:

- the credit ratings assigned to the securities by the nationally recognized statistical rating organizations;
- other indicators of issuer credit quality;
- the strength of the provider of any guarantees;
- the duration and magnitude of the unrealized loss; and
- whether the FHLBank has the intent to sell the security or more likely than not will be required to sell the security before the recovery of its amortized cost basis.

In the case of its private-label MBS, each FHLBank also considers prepayment speeds, the historical and projected performance of the underlying loans and the credit support provided by the subordinate securities.

In performing the cash flow analysis for the private-label MBS under the uniform framework, each FHLBank uses two thirdparty models. The first model forecasts loan-level prepayment, default and severity behavior. The second model is used to determine the resulting cash flows. The FHLBanks also assess the potential mitigation of projected credit losses through the application of existing monoline bond insurance from third parties by performing a qualitative assessment of the respective insurer's ability to cover the security's projected shortfall of contractual principal or interest. (See <u>Note 7 - Other-than-</u> <u>Temporary Impairment Analysis</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for additional information.)

The modeling assumptions, significant inputs and methodologies are material to an OTTI determination. Any changes to these assumptions, significant inputs or methodologies could result in materially different outcomes to this determination, including the realization of additional OTTI charges that may be substantial. Each FHLBank is responsible for making its own OTTI determination and assessing the reasonableness of assumptions, significant inputs and methodologies used, as well as for performing the required present value calculations using appropriate historical cost bases and yields. Two or more FHLBanks that hold the same private-label MBS are required to consult with one another to ensure they reach the same conclusion on any decision that a commonly-held private-label MBS is other-than-temporarily impaired. This includes the determination that the fair value and the credit loss component of the unrealized loss are consistent among those FHLBanks.

Table 41 presents the significant inputs used to assess private-label residential MBS and home equity loan ABS under the FHLBanks' uniform framework for OTTI as well as related current credit enhancements as of December 31, 2012. The calculated averages represent the dollar-weighted averages of all the private-label residential MBS and home equity loan ABS in each category shown.

# Table 41 - Significant Inputs for Private-label Residential MBS and Home Equity Loan ABS (dollars in millions)

		Significant Inputs	for All Private-label Res	idential MBS(1)	Current Credit Enhancement Weighted- Average %	
	Unpaid	Prepayment Rates	Default Rates	Loss Severities		
Year of Securitization	Principal Balance	Weighted- Average %	Weighted- Average %	Weighted- Average %		
Prime	 					
2008	\$ 645	13.8	33.1	34.8	19.8	
2007	1,006	10.2	24.0	40.9	2.7	
2006	1,814	9.4	27.4	41.2	2.5	
2005	2,185	12.0	12.8	32.3	6.7	
2004 and prior	4,425	17.4	10.0	33.5	11.7	
Total prime	10,075	13.8	15.3	33.9	7.6	
Alt-A						
2008	320	7.7	43.6	43.9	32.2	
2007	6,021	6.1	57.4	49.7	15.9	
2006	4,677	6.7	52.2	52.3	10.7	
2005	6,067	8.4	33.3	47.0	11.9	
2004 and prior	 2,560	12.3	16.1	31.1	15.0	
Total Alt-A	19,645	7.8	43.1	47.0	13.6	
Subprime						
2007	10	3.8	65.7	66.6	43.5	
2006	853	3.6	70.5	68.2	22.5	
2005	55	4.0	65.0	65.2	46.0	
2004 and prior	 22	7.0	28.1	65.4	40.6	
Total subprime	940	3.7	69.1	67.9	24.5	
Total all private-label residential MBS	\$ 30,660	9.6	34.8	43.3	12.0	

	Significant Inputs for All Home Equity Loan ABS(1)							
		Unpaid	Prepayment Rates	Default Rates	Loss Severities	Current Credit Enhancement		
Year of Securitization		Principal Balance	Weighted- Average %	Weighted- Average %	Weighted- Average %	Weighted- Average %		
Alt-A								
2006	\$	12	16.1	0.0	100.0	14.2		
2004 and prior		21	10.5	3.6	100.0	2.9		
Total Alt-A		33	12.5	2.2	100.0	7.1		
Subprime								
2004 and prior		408	3.9	6.3	71.2	32.3		
Total subprime		408	3.9	6.3	71.2	32.3		
Total all home equity loan ABS	\$	441	4.6	6.0	73.4	30.4		

(1) The classification (prime, Alt-A and subprime) is based on the model used to run the estimated cash flows for the individual securities, which may not necessarily be the same as the classification at the time of origination.

<u>Adverse Case Scenario.</u> In addition to evaluating its private-label MBS under a base case (or best estimate) scenario as discussed in <u>Note 7 - Other-than-Temporary Impairment Analysis</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements, each FHLBank performed a cash flow analysis for each of these securities under a more stressful housing price scenario. This more stressful scenario was based on a housing price forecast that was 5 percentage points lower at the trough than the base case scenario, followed by a flatter recovery path. Under this more stressful scenario, home prices for the vast majority of markets were projected to decline by 3.0% to 7.0% during the fourth quarter of 2012. Beginning January 1, 2013, home prices in these

markets were projected to recover using one of four different recovery paths that vary by housing market. Table 42 presents projected home price recovery ranges by year under the adverse case scenario.

#### Table 42 - Adverse Case Scenario Recovery Ranges of Housing Price Change

Months	Recovery Range % (Annualized Rates)
1 - 6	0.0 - 1.9
7 - 12	0.0 - 2.0
13 - 18	0.7 - 2.0
19 - 30	1.3 - 2.7
31 - 42	1.9 - 3.4
43 - 66	1.9 - 4.0
Thereafter	1.9 - 3.8

The stress test scenario and associated results do not represent each FHLBank's current expectations, and therefore should not be construed as a prediction of each FHLBank's future results, market conditions or the actual performance of these securities. Rather, the results from this hypothetical stress test scenario provide a measure of the credit losses that the FHLBanks might incur if home price declines (and subsequent recoveries) are more adverse than those projected in each FHLBank's base case OTTI assessment.

Table 43 presents the combined credit losses under the base case and adverse case scenario for other-than-temporarily impaired private-label MBS for the three months ended December 31, 2012. The base case scenario represents actual OTTI-related credit losses recognized in earnings for the three months ended December 31, 2012. The adverse case scenario's estimated cash flows were generated to show what the OTTI charges would have been under the more stressful housing price scenario at December 31, 2012.

#### Table 43 - Base Case and Adverse Case Scenarios

(dollars in millions)

		Three Months Ended December 31, 2012								
		Base Case				Adverse Case				
	Number of Securities	Unpaid Principal Balance		OTTI Related to Credit Loss	Number of Securities	Unpaid Principal Balance			TI Related to Credit Loss	
Private-label residential MBS										
Prime(1)	15	\$ 29	97	\$ (2)	72	\$	2,619	\$	(35)	
Alt-A(1)	43	1,99	94	(14)	181		7,676		(188)	
Subprime(1)	-		_	—	11		143		(4)	
Total private-label residential MBS	58	2,29	91	(16)	264		10,438		(227)	
Home equity loan ABS										
Alt-A(1)	1		2	-	5		21		(1)	
Subprime(1)	4		2	_	6		4		_	
Total home equity loan ABS	5		4		11		25		(1)	
Total	63	\$ 2,29	95	\$ (16)	275	\$	10,463	\$	(228)	
		-								

(1) Based on the originator's classification at the time of origination or based on classification by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization upon issuance of the MBS.

<u>Monoline Bond Insurers.</u> Certain FHLBanks' investment securities are insured by monoline bond insurers. The bond insurance on these investments guarantees the timely payments of principal and interest if these payments cannot be satisfied from the cash flows of the underlying mortgage pool(s). Private-label residential MBS, manufactured housing loans and home equity loan investments insured by monoline bond insurers are cash flow tested for credit impairment. For private-label residential MBS, manufactured housing loans and home equity loan investments protected by such monoline insurance, an FHLBank's OTTI analysis would look first to the performance of the underlying security, considering its embedded credit enhancements in the form of excess spread, over-collateralization and credit subordination, to determine the collectability of all amounts due. If these protections are deemed insufficient to make timely payment of all amounts due, then an FHLBank may consider the capacity of the monoline bond insurer to cover any shortfalls. (See <u>Risk Management - Monoline Bond</u> <u>Insurance</u> for additional information regarding the FHLBanks' monoline bond insurance coverage on a limited number of private-label mortgage-backed securities.)

#### **Fair Value Estimates**

The use of fair value to measure the FHLBanks' financial instruments is fundamental to the FHLBanks' financial statements and is a critical accounting estimate because a significant portion of the assets and liabilities are carried at fair value, including: trading securities, available-for-sale securities, derivative assets and liabilities, certain advances, certain consolidated obligations and certain other assets and liabilities. In addition, certain assets are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at December 31, 2012. These assets and liabilities are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment).

GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset, or paid to transfer a liability, in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, or an exit price. In general, the transaction price will equal the exit price, and therefore represents the fair value of the asset or liability at initial recognition. However, in concluding whether a transaction price represents fair value, each reporting entity is required to consider factors specific to the transaction and the asset or liability. In addition, the reporting entity must determine the unit of account, highest and best use, principal market, and market participants. These determinations allow the reporting entity to define the inputs for fair value and level of hierarchy. The three-level fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into the valuation technique used to measure the fair value of the assets and liabilities held at fair value. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements).

Each FHLBank uses valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable market-based inputs, when appropriate, to value the assets and liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis or to determine whether a fair value adjustment is needed for assets and liabilities to be carried at fair value on a non-recurring basis. Given the nature of some of the assets and liabilities carried at fair value, whether on a recurring or non-recurring basis, clearly determinable market based valuation inputs are often not available. Therefore, the fair value measurements of these instruments use unobservable inputs and are classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy. Level 3 assets primarily consist of private-label MBS. Due to unavailability of observable inputs for the Level 3 assets, fair values are determined on valuation models that use:

- third-party vendor prices;
- discounted cash flows, using market estimates of interest rates and volatility; or
- dealer prices on similar instruments.

The assumptions used in these models are based on each FHLBank's best estimate with respect to:

- discount rates;
- prepayments;
- market volatility; and
- other factors.

These assumptions may have a significant effect on the reported fair value of assets and liabilities. The use of different assumptions, as well as changes in market conditions, could result in materially different net income and retained earnings.

<u>Uniform Valuation Technique for MBS.</u> The FHLBanks' MBS Pricing Governance Committee amended its uniform valuation technique to determine the fair value of MBS (agency MBS and private-label MBS), which was adopted by all 12 FHLBanks as of December 31, 2011.

The FHLBanks' valuation technique first requires the establishment of a median price for each security using a formula that is based upon the number of third-party vendor prices received:

- if four prices are received, the middle two prices are averaged to establish a median price;
- if three prices are received, the middle price is the median price;
- if two prices are received, the prices are averaged to establish a median price; and
- if one price is received, it is the median price (and also the final price), subject to further validation, consistent with the evaluation of outliers as discussed in the next paragraph.

All prices that are within a specified tolerance threshold of the median price are included in the cluster of prices that are averaged to compute a default price. Prices that are outside the threshold (outliers) are subject to further analysis, including

but not limited to comparison to prices provided by an additional third-party valuation service, prices for similar securities, and non-binding dealer estimates, to determine if an outlier is a better estimate of fair value. If an outlier or some other price identified in the analysis is determined to be a better estimate of fair value, then the outlier or the other price as appropriate is used as the final price rather than the default price. If the analysis confirms that an outlier is in fact not representative of fair value and the default price is the best estimate, then the default price is used as the final price. In all cases, the final price is used to determine the fair value of the security.

Each individual FHLBank has its set of control processes that are designed to ensure its fair value measurements are appropriate and reliable. These control processes may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- obtaining the third-party pricing service methodologies and control reports;
- challenging a third-party pricing vendor when a price falls outside of the tolerance parameters;
- identifying a stale price, a price that changed significantly from prior valuations and other anomalies that may indicate that a price may not be accurate; and
- visually checking pricing consistency within the same asset group to identify anomalies.

In addition, each FHLBank reviewed the final fair value estimates of certain of its private-label MBS holdings as of December 31, 2012 for reasonableness using an implied yield test. Each FHLBank calculated an implied yield for certain of its private-label MBS using the estimated fair value derived from the process described and the security's projected cash flows from the FHLBank's OTTI process, and compared such yield to the market yield for comparable securities according to dealers and other third-party sources to the extent comparable market yield data was available. Significant variances were evaluated in conjunction with all other available pricing information to determine whether an adjustment to the fair value estimate was appropriate.

Prices for MBS CUSIPs held in common with other FHLBanks are reviewed for consistency. In using this common methodology, each FHLBank remains responsible for the selection and application of its fair value methodology and the reasonableness of assumptions and inputs used.

<u>Valuation of Derivatives.</u> Prior to December 31, 2012, the FHLBanks used the LIBOR swap curve to discount cash flows when determining the fair values of its interest-rate exchange agreements. During the fourth quarter of 2012, the FHLBanks observed an increasing trend in the use of the overnight index swap (OIS) curve by other market participants to value certain collateralized interest-rate exchange agreements. As a result, the FHLBanks concluded that the OIS curve was a more appropriate curve to use to discount the cash flows on its interest-rate exchange agreements as of December 31, 2012. The impact of this change was not significant. (See <u>Note 20 - Fair Value</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for further discussion regarding how the FHLBanks measure financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value.)

### **Derivative Hedging Relationships**

Derivatives accounting involves estimating the fair value of the derivatives and assessing the effectiveness of the hedging relationship using regression-based testing, based on simulated valuations derived from historical market data. These estimates include subjective calculations and estimates based on information available as of the date of the financial statements, which could be materially different based on different assumptions, calculations, and estimates. If hedging relationships meet the criteria, two approaches to hedge accounting can be used: short-cut hedge accounting and long-haul hedge accounting.

<u>Short-Cut Hedge Accounting.</u> A short-cut hedging relationship assumes no ineffectiveness and implies that the hedge between an interest-rate swap and an interest-bearing financial instrument is perfectly correlated. Therefore, it is assumed that changes in the fair value of the interest-rate swap and the interest-bearing financial instrument will perfectly offset one another. To qualify for short-cut accounting treatment, a number of restrictive conditions must be met, including, but not limited to:

- the notional amount of the interest-rate swap matches the principal amount of the interest-bearing financial instrument being hedged;
- the fair value of the interest-rate swap at the inception of the hedging relationship is zero;
- the formula for computing net settlements under the interest-rate swap is the same for each net settlement; and
- the interest-bearing financial instrument is not prepayable.

Provided that no terms changed, the entire change in the hedging instrument's fair value is considered to be effective at achieving offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of the hedged asset or liability. If all the criteria are met, the FHLBank applies the short-cut method to a qualifying hedge when the relationship is designated on the trade date of both the hedging instrument and the hedged items (for example, advances or consolidated obligation bonds are issued). The hedged item is not recognized for accounting purposes until its settlement date; however, the FHLBanks record the changes in the hedging instrument and the hedged item's fair value beginning on the trade date, but the derivative relationship has no effect on earnings or capital.

Long-Haul Hedge Accounting. A long-haul hedging relationship implies a highly-effective hedging relationship that requires the FHLBank to assess, retrospectively and prospectively, whether the derivative and hedged item has been and will be highly effective in offsetting changes in fair value attributable to the hedged risk. The changes in fair value for the derivative and the hedged item may or may not be perfectly correlated. Any difference in the change of fair value between the two will be recognized as a net gain or loss in the statement of income. To maintain the highly-effective relationship, this effectiveness testing of the hedge is performed at the inception of the hedge and thereafter, on at least a quarterly basis. An FHLBank may perform dollar-offset prospective testing at the inception of the hedge and calculate retrospective regressions after a sufficient number of data points have been accumulated to render a statistically significant result. Alternatively, an FHLBank may employ regression-based testing prospectively based on simulated valuations derived from historical market data. If, during this effectiveness testing, the hedge fails to maintain effectiveness at any point, the hedge relationship will be deemed ineffective. As a result, the hedged item's changes in fair value will no longer be evaluated for effectiveness, and will be treated as not-highly-effective.

If a hedging relationship is not considered highly effective, it does not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Therefore, the hedged item's changes in fair value are not evaluated, even though an offsetting relationship between fair values or cash flows of the hedge and hedged items may be demonstrated. Changes in the fair value of such economic hedges of assets or liabilities for asset/liability management purposes are recorded in current-period earnings. (See <u>Note 1 - Summary of</u> <u>Significant Accounting Policies</u> and <u>Note 11 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for additional discussion regarding the FHLBanks' accounting for derivatives and types of hedging transactions.)

### Amortization of Premiums and Accretion of Discounts on Investment Securities and Purchased Mortgage Loans

When an FHLBank purchases investment securities and mortgage loans, it may not pay the seller the par value or the unpaid principal balance of the asset. If an FHLBank purchases the asset at a premium, the premium reduces the yield that an FHLBank recognizes on the asset below the stated coupon amount. Conversely, if an FHLBank purchases the asset at a discount, the discount increases the yield that FHLBank recognizes on the asset above the stated coupon amount.

The FHLBanks amortize premiums and accrete discounts in accordance with GAAP and recognize the amounts of amortization or accretion in current period earnings as a decrease or increase to interest income. An offsetting adjustment is made to the asset's net carrying value as the premiums are amortized and the discounts are accreted into interest income.

<u>Contractual Interest Method</u>. The amortization of premiums or accretion of discounts to interest income using the contractual interest method produces a constant level-yield over the contractual life, which represents the stated maturity. The contractual interest method recognizes the income effects of premiums and discounts over the contractual life of the asset based on the actual behavior of the underlying assets, including adjustments for actual prepayment activities, and reflects the contractual terms of the asset without regard to changes in estimated prepayments based on assumptions about future borrower behavior.

<u>Retrospective Interest Method.</u> Except when the contractual interest method is used, the FHLBanks apply the retrospective interest method on their investment securities and purchased mortgage loans for which prepayments reasonably can be expected and estimated. The retrospective interest method requires that an FHLBank estimate prepayments over the estimated life of the securities and make a retrospective adjustment of the effective yield each time that it changes the estimated life as if the new estimate had been known since the original acquisition date of the asset. Use of the retrospective method may increase volatility of reported earnings during periods of changing interest rates, and the use of different estimates or assumptions, as well as changes in external factors, could produce significantly different results. Reductions in interest rates generally accelerate prepayments, which accelerate the amortization of premiums and reduce current-period earnings. Typically, declining interest rates accelerate the accretion of discounts, thereby increasing current-period earnings. Conversely, in a rising interest-rate environment, prepayments will generally extend over a longer period, shifting some of the premium amortization and discount accretion to future periods.

### **Allowance for Credit Losses**

Each FHLBank is required to assess potential credit losses and establish an allowance for credit losses, as applicable, for each identified portfolio segment of financing receivables. A portfolio segment is the level at which an FHLBank develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses. The FHLBanks' allowances for credit losses methodology is discussed below for the following portfolio segments:

- credit products (advances, letters of credit and other extensions of credit to borrowers);
- conventional MPF loans held for portfolio, conventional MPP loans held for portfolio; and
- government-guaranteed or -insured mortgage loans held for portfolio.

Furthermore, the FHLBanks established a systematic methodology for assessing other financing receivables for potential credit losses, including other loans, term securities purchased under agreements to resell and term Federal funds sold. (See <u>Note 10 - Allowance for Credit Losses</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for additional information on the FHLBanks' allowance for credit losses methodologies.)

The allowance for credit losses represents each FHLBank's management's best estimate of the probable credit losses inherent in its financing receivable portfolios. Determining the amount of the allowance for credit losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because management's evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses is subjective and requires significant estimates, such as the amounts and timing of estimated future cash flows, estimated losses based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends, all of which are susceptible to change. Each FHLBank's assumptions and judgments related to its allowance for credit losses are based on information available as of the date of the corresponding financial statements. Actual losses could differ from these estimates. (See <u>Risk Management - Credit Risk</u> for further discussion of how the FHLBanks monitor, limit and assess credit risk on their financing receivables.)

<u>Credit Products.</u> Each FHLBank expects to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of its credit products based on the nature and quality of the collateral held as security for its credit products, its credit extension and collateral policies, its credit analysis and the repayment history on its credit products. Accordingly, no allowance for losses on credit products was deemed necessary at December 31, 2012 and 2011. Furthermore, no liability to reflect an allowance for credit losses for off-balance sheet exposures was recorded at December 31, 2012 and 2011. No FHLBank has ever experienced a credit loss on any of its credit products.

The FHLBanks are required by FHFA regulation to obtain sufficient collateral on credit products to protect against losses. The FHLBanks are permitted to accept only certain collateral, such as:

- U.S. government or agency securities;
- residential mortgage loans;
- FHLBank deposits; and
- other real estate-related assets.

Each FHLBank may require additional collateral (whether or not that additional collateral meets the eligibility criteria previously discussed) or require that the borrower substitute existing collateral at any time. An FHLBank also has a statutory lien upon each member's FHLBank stock as additional security for the indebtedness of that member. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the rights to collateral held by the FHLBanks on a borrower-by-borrower basis had an estimated value that exceeded the outstanding advances for each individual borrower. Management of each FHLBank believes that adequate policies and procedures are in place to effectively manage that FHLBank's respective credit risk on its credit products. These policies and procedures may include, but are not limited to: (1) monitoring the creditworthiness and financial condition of the institutions to which the FHLBank lends funds; (2) reviewing the quality and value of collateral pledged by members to secure extensions of credit; (3) estimating borrowing capacity based on collateral value and collateral type for each member; and (4) evaluating historical loss experience.

<u>Conventional MPF Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio.</u> At December 31, 2012 and 2011, each MPF FHLBank that holds mortgage loans under the MPF Program had an allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans held under that program. Each MPF FHLBank bases its allowance on its management's estimate of credit losses inherent in its mortgage loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The estimate is based on the individual MPF FHLBank's loan portfolio performance history and/or on analysis of industry statistics for similar mortgage loan portfolios. Conventional loans, in addition to having the related real estate as collateral, are also credit enhanced either by the participating financial institution (PFI), which is required to pledge qualified collateral to secure its credit enhancement obligation, or by the supplemental mortgage insurance (SMI) purchased by the PFI. If an MPF FHLBank had losses in excess of the estimated liquidation value of collateral held and credit enhancement amount, credit losses would be recognized for financial reporting purposes.

The allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans held under the MPF Program is established at a level that each FHLBank's management believes to be adequate to absorb its estimated credit losses related to specifically identified loans and estimated credit losses inherent in its total MPF loan portfolio.

The estimation of credit losses in the total MPF loan portfolio involves assessing the effect of current economic trends and specific events on the allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans. Furthermore, each FHLBank generally takes into consideration the following factors: (1) management's judgment as to the eligibility of PFIs to continue to service and credit-enhance the loans delivered to an MPF FHLBank; (2) evaluation of credit exposure on portfolio loans; (3) valuation and collectability of credit enhancements provided by PFIs or mortgage insurers; (4) estimation of loss exposure and historical loss experience; (5) loan portfolio characteristics and collateral valuations; and (6) industry data and prevailing economic conditions. Setting the level of reserves requires significant judgment and regular evaluation by management.

Certain conventional mortgage loans, primarily impaired mortgage loans that are considered collateral-dependent, may be specifically identified for purposes of calculating the allowance for credit losses. These collateral-dependent loans, which may include loans on non-accrual status, are treated separately from the remaining MPF loans because sufficient information exists to make a reasonable estimate of the inherent loss for such MPF loans on an individual loan basis. All other MPF loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Migration analysis is a methodology for determining, through an FHLBank's experience over a historical period, the rate of default on pools of similar loans. Certain FHLBanks apply migration analysis to loans based on payment status categories such as current, 30, 60, and 90 days past due as well as to loans 60 days past due following receipt of notice of filing from the bankruptcy court. Each FHLBank then estimates how many loans in these categories may migrate to a realized loss position and applies a loss severity factor to estimate losses incurred at the statement of condition date. Primary mortgage insurance (PMI) and the credit enhancement amount provided by the PFI or by SMI are generally factored into the allowance for credit loss determination, provided that collection from the PFI or insurance companies is determined to be probable. The combination of these factors, as well as an additional judgmental amount determined by management due to uncertainties inherent in the estimation process, represents the estimated credit losses from conventional MPF loans. Although this amount is not allocated to any specific economic or credit event, it is intended to cover other inherent losses that may not be captured in the methodology previously described. Therefore, the total allowance for credit losses represents management's best estimate of probable loan losses. However, the actual loss that may occur on homogeneous pools of mortgage loans may be more or less than the estimated loss. Any potential losses that would be recovered from the credit enhancement amount, as well as PMI, are not generally reserved for as part of the allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans.

<u>Conventional MPP Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio.</u> Each MPP FHLBank that has acquired mortgage loans under the MPP analyzes its MPP loans on a quarterly basis by estimating probable credit losses, comparing these losses to credit enhancements, including the recoverability of insured amounts, and then establishes general or real estate owned-specific reserves based on the results. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, each MPP FHLBank either had an allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans acquired under its MPP or has determined that no such allowance was required, due in part to the structure of the allocation of credit risk under that program. If an MPP FHLBank had losses in excess of the estimated liquidation value of collateral held, PMI (if applicable), lender risk account, and SMI (if applicable), credit losses would be recognized for financial reporting purposes.

The MPP FHLBanks apply a consistent methodology to determine the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. The key estimates and assumptions that affect each MPP FHLBank's allowance for credit losses generally include: (1) the characteristics of specific delinquent conventional loans outstanding under the MPP; (2) evaluations of the overall delinquent loan portfolio through the use of migration analysis; (3) loss severity estimates; (4) historical claims and default experience; (5) expected proceeds from credit enhancements; (6) comparisons to reported industry data; and (7) current economic trends and conditions.

The estimates for conventional MPF and MPP loans require significant judgments, especially considering the prolonged deterioration in the national housing market, the inability to readily determine the fair value of all underlying properties and the uncertainty in other macroeconomic factors that make estimating defaults and severity imprecise.

<u>Government-Guaranteed or -Insured Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio.</u> FHLBanks purchase both conventional mortgage loans and government-guaranteed or -insured mortgage loans under the MPF Program and MPP. Government loans are insured or guaranteed by federal agencies, including the Federal Housing Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, Rural Housing Service or Department of Housing and Urban Development. Any losses from such mortgage loans are expected to be recovered from those entities or absorbed by the servicers. Accordingly, the FHLBanks have determined that no allowance for credit losses is necessary in connection with government-guaranteed or -insured mortgage loans held for portfolio at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

## **Recent Accounting Developments**

See <u>Note 2 - Recently Issued and Adopted Accounting Guidance</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for a discussion regarding the effect of recently issued accounting guidance on the FHLBanks' combined financial condition, combined results of operations or combined cash flows.

## Legislative and Regulatory Developments

The legislative and regulatory environment for the FHLBank System has changed significantly over the past few years, starting with the Housing Act in 2008 and continuing with financial regulators' issuance of proposed and/or final rules to implement the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) enacted in July 2010 and deliberations by the U.S. Congress regarding housing finance and GSE reform. The FHLBanks' business operations, funding costs, rights or obligations, and the business environment in which the FHLBanks carry out their housing finance mission, are likely to be affected by the Dodd-Frank Act. However, the full effect of the Dodd-Frank Act will become known only after the required regulations, studies and reports are issued and finalized. Certain regulatory actions and developments since December 31, 2011 are summarized in this section.

## Dodd-Frank Act

## New Requirements for the FHLBanks' Derivatives Transactions.

The Dodd-Frank Act provides for new statutory and regulatory requirements for derivatives transactions, including those used by each FHLBank to hedge its interest-rate risk and other risks. As a result of these requirements, beginning on June 10, 2013, certain derivatives transactions will be required to be cleared through a third-party central clearinghouse, via clearing members who are permitted to process, clear and settle swap trades through that clearinghouse, and traded on regulated exchanges or new swap execution facilities. Derivatives transactions that are not required to be cleared will be subject to mandatory reporting, documentation and minimum margin and capital requirements.

Mandatory Clearing of Derivatives Transactions. On November 28, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) issued its first set of mandatory clearing determinations (first mandatory clearing determination). The first mandatory clearing determination will subject four classes of interest rate swaps and two classes of credit default swaps to mandatory clearing beginning in the first quarter of 2013.

Certain of the interest rate swaps in which the FHLBanks engage fall within the scope of the first mandatory clearing determination and, as such, FHLBanks will be required to comply with the mandatory clearing requirement for the specified interest rate swaps that it executes on or after June 10, 2013. The CFTC is expected to issue additional mandatory clearing determinations in the future with respect to other types of derivatives transactions, which could include certain interest rate swaps entered into by the FHLBanks that are not within the scope of the first mandatory clearing determination.

The CFTC has approved an end-user exception to mandatory clearing that applies to any derivatives transactions that an FHLBank intermediates for its member institutions with \$10 billion or less in assets as long as the member uses the derivatives transactions to hedge or mitigate its commercial risk and the FHLBank or its member complies with the rule's additional reporting requirements. As a result, any of these intermediated derivatives transactions would not be subject to mandatory clearing, although these transactions may be subject to applicable new requirements for derivatives transactions that are not subject to mandatory clearing requirements (uncleared trades).

*Collateral Requirements for Cleared Swaps*. Cleared swaps will be subject to initial margin and variation margin requirements established by the clearinghouse and its clearing members. While clearing swaps may reduce counterparty credit risk, the margin requirements for cleared swaps have the potential of making derivatives transactions more costly. In

addition, mandatory swap clearing has required each FHLBank to enter into new relationships and accompanying documentation with its clearing members and additional documentation with its swap counterparties.

The CFTC has issued a final rule requiring that collateral posted by swap customers to a clearinghouse in connection with cleared swaps be legally segregated on a customer-by-customer basis (the LSOC Model). Pursuant to the LSOC Model, customer collateral must be segregated on an individual customer basis on the books of a futures commission merchant (FCM) and derivatives clearing organization, but may be commingled with the collateral of other customers of the same FCM in one physical account. The LSOC model affords greater protection to collateral posted for cleared swaps than is currently afforded to collateral posted for futures contracts. However, because of operational and investment risks inherent in the LSOC Model, and because of certain provisions applicable to FCM insolvencies under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, the LSOC Model does not afford complete protection to cleared swaps customer collateral in the event of an FCM insolvency. The collateral an FHLBank posts to FCMs should not be at risk so long as the FCM remains solvent. Accordingly, a major consideration in an FHLBank's decision to establish and maintain a clearing relationship with an FCM is its assessment of the financial soundness of the FCM.

Definitions of Certain Terms under New Derivatives Requirements. The Dodd-Frank Act requires swap dealers and certain other large users of derivatives to register as "swap dealers" or "major swap participants" with the CFTC and/or the SEC. Although each FHLBank has a substantial portfolio of derivatives transactions that are entered into for hedging purposes, and may engage in intermediated swaps with its members in the future, based on the definitions in the final rules jointly issued by the CFTC and the SEC in April 2012, the FHLBanks do not expect to be required to register as either a major swap participant or as a swap dealer.

Based on the final rules and interpretive guidance jointly issued by the CFTC and SEC in July 2012, call and put optionality in certain advances to the FHLBanks' member institutions will not be treated as "swaps" so long as the optionality relates solely to the interest rate on the advance. Accordingly, each FHLBank's ability to offer these advances to its member customers should not be adversely affected by the new derivatives regulations.

Uncleared Derivatives Transactions. The Dodd-Frank Act will also change the regulatory landscape for derivatives transactions that are not subject to mandatory clearing requirements (uncleared trades). While each FHLBank expects to continue to enter into uncleared trades on a bilateral basis, those trades will be subject to new requirements, including mandatory reporting, recordkeeping, documentation, and minimum margin and capital requirements established by applicable regulators. The CFTC, the FHFA and other federal bank regulators proposed margin requirements for uncleared trades in 2011. Under the proposed margin rules, each FHLBank would have to post both initial margin and variation margin to the FHLBank's swap dealer and major swap participant counterparties, but may be eligible in both instances for modest unsecured thresholds as "low-risk financial end users." Pursuant to additional FHFA provisions, each FHLBank would be required to collect both initial margin and variation margin from its swap dealer counterparties, without any unsecured thresholds. These margin requirements and any related capital requirements could adversely impact the liquidity and pricing of certain uncleared derivatives transactions entered into by an FHLBank, making such trades more costly. Final margin rules are not expected until the second quarter of 2013 at the earliest and, accordingly, it is not likely that the FHLBanks will have to comply with these requirements until the end of 2013.

Documentation for Uncleared Transactions. In February 2012, the CFTC adopted final rules that impose external business conduct standards on swap dealers and major swap participants when dealing with counterparties. The external business conduct standards rules prohibit certain abusive practices and require disclosure of certain material information to counterparties. In addition, swap dealers and major swap participants must conduct due diligence relating to their dealings with counterparties. In order to facilitate compliance with the external business conduct standards, swap dealers and major swap participants have sought to amend their existing swap documentation with counterparties. The original compliance date for swap dealers and major swap participants to comply with the external business standards was October 14, 2012, but that deadline has been extended to May 1, 2013. Each FHLBank has adhered to an ISDA protocol in 2012 to address the new documentation requirements under the external business conduct rules.

In September 2012, the CFTC finalized rules regarding certain new documentation requirements for uncleared trades. The final rules require new dispute resolution and valuation provisions, new representations regarding applicable insolvency regimes and possible changes to the FHLBanks' existing credit support arrangements with its swap dealer counterparties. The FHLBanks' swap documentation with swap dealers must comply with certain of the requirements contained in the September 2012 CFTC rules by July 1, 2013. The final rules also impose requirements with respect to when swap dealers and major swap participants must deliver acknowledgments of, and execute confirmations for, uncleared trades with an FHLBank. These timing requirements are being phased in between December 31, 2012 and March 1, 2014.

Additional changes to the FHLBanks' swap documentation are expected to be required by additional Dodd-Frank Act rules, including the margin requirements for uncleared swaps, that have not yet been finalized. The FHLBanks will consider adhering to future ISDA protocols to address the foregoing requirements.

*Recordkeeping and Reporting.* Compliance dates for the new recordkeeping and reporting requirements for all of the FHLBanks' cleared and uncleared swaps have now been established, based on the effective date for the final rule further defining the term "swap," issued jointly by the CFTC and SEC, which became effective on October 12, 2012, and subsequent CFTC guidance. Each FHLBank is currently complying with its recordkeeping requirements for its swaps that were (or are) in effect on or after July 21, 2010 and, beginning on April 10, 2013, each FHLBank will have to comply with new recordkeeping requirements for swaps entered into on or after April 10, 2013. For interest rate swaps that each FHLBank enters into with swap dealers, the swap dealers must comply with reporting requirements applicable to these swaps, including real-time reporting requirements. Beginning on April 10, 2013, each FHLBank will be required to comply with reporting requirements, including real-time reporting requirements, for any swaps that an FHLBank may intermediate for its members.

The FHLBanks are actively participating in the regulatory process regarding the Dodd-Frank Act by formally commenting to the regulators regarding a variety of rulemakings that could affect the FHLBanks. The FHLBanks are also working to implement the processes and documentation necessary to comply with the Dodd-Frank Act's new requirements for derivatives. In addition, as the regulatory regime for derivatives transactions created by the Dodd-Frank Act takes shape, an FHLBank may elect to hedge some or all of its interest rate and other risks by using derivatives transactions that are not subject to regulation under the Dodd-Frank Act, such as futures contracts. Any such election would likely take into consideration a number of factors, including potential reduced regulatory compliance costs and lower margin requirements.

### Regulation of Systemically Important Nonbank Financial Companies.

*Final Rule and Guidance on the Supervision and Regulation of Certain Nonbank Financial Companies.* The Financial Stability Oversight Council (the Oversight Council) issued a final rule and guidance effective May 11, 2012 on the standards and procedures the Oversight Council employs in determining whether to designate a nonbank financial company for supervision by the Federal Reserve Board and to be subject to certain prudential standards. The guidance issued with this final rule provides that the Oversight Council expects generally to follow a three-stage process in making its determinations consisting of:

- A first stage that will identify those nonbank financial companies that have \$50 billion or more of total consolidated assets and exceed any one of five threshold indicators of interconnectedness or susceptibility to material financial distress, including whether a company has \$20 billion or more in total debt outstanding;
- A second stage involving a robust analysis of the potential threat that the subject nonbank financial company could pose to U.S. financial stability based on additional quantitative and qualitative factors that are both industry and company specific; and
- A third stage analyzing the subject nonbank financial company using information collected directly from it.

The final rule provides that, in making its determinations, the Oversight Council will consider as one factor whether the nonbank financial company is subject to oversight by a primary financial regulatory agency (for the FHLBanks, the FHFA). A nonbank financial company that the Oversight Council proposes to designate for additional supervision (for example, periodic stress testing) and prudential standards (such as heightened liquidity or capital requirements) under this rule has the opportunity to contest the designation. If an FHLBank is designated by the Oversight Council for supervision by the Federal Reserve and subject to additional Federal Reserve prudential standards, then its operations and business could be adversely impacted by any resulting additional costs, liquidity or capital requirements, and/or restrictions on business activities.

Oversight Council Recommendations Regarding Money Market Mutual Fund (MMF) Reform. The Oversight Council has requested comments with a comment deadline of February 15, 2013 on certain proposed recommendations for structural reforms of MMFs. The Oversight Council has stated that such reforms are intended to address the structural vulnerabilities of MMFs. The demand for FHLBank System consolidated obligations may be impacted by the structural reform ultimately adopted. Accordingly, these reforms could cause the FHLBanks' funding costs to rise or otherwise adversely impact market access and, in turn, adversely impact the FHLBanks' results of operations.

#### Housing Finance and Housing GSE Reform

The new Congress is expected to continue consideration of possible reforms to the secondary mortgage market, including the resolution of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (together, the Enterprises). During the last Congress, at least ten separate bills were introduced in the House of Representatives that would have affected the Enterprises in a variety of significant ways. While none of the bills would have directly impacted the FHLBanks, it is expected that legislation to reform housing finance and housing GSEs (including the FHLBanks and the Enterprises) will again be a high priority in the House Financial Services Committee and the Senate Banking Committee. Any changes to the U.S. housing finance system could have consequences for the FHLBanks as the FHLBanks seek to provide access to liquidity for their members.

Outside of Congress, several Federal agencies have taken action over the past year to lay the groundwork for a new U.S. housing finance structure. In February 2012, the FHFA announced a Strategic Plan that declared that the Enterprises are no longer "financially viable" and unveiled plans to create a single securitization platform and establish national standards for mortgage securitization. In the summer of 2012, the U.S. Treasury Department (Treasury Department) announced a set of modifications to the preferred stock purchase agreements between the Treasury Department and the Finance Agency as conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to help expedite the wind down of the Enterprises. The changes required all future earnings from the Enterprises to be turned over to the Treasury Department and require the accelerated wind down of their retained mortgage portfolios. By not allowing the Enterprises to retain any profits, these changes effectively ensure that the Enterprises will never be allowed to re-capitalize themselves and return to the market in their previous structure. While it is unclear how quickly the Enterprises might be wound down, it is apparent from these actions that changes to the U.S. mortgage finance system could occur in the not too distant future. However, it is impossible to determine at this time whether or when Congress or the Administration may act on housing GSE or housing finance reform. The ultimate effects of these efforts on the FHLBanks are unknown and will depend on the legislation or other changes, if any, that ultimately are implemented.

### **FHFA Regulatory Actions**

#### Final Rules.

FHFA Final Rule on Prudential Management and Operations Standards. On June 8, 2012, the FHFA issued a final rule, as required by the Housing Act, regarding prudential standards for the operation and management of the FHLBanks, including among other things, internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, market and interest rate risks, liquidity, asset growth, investments, credit and counterparty risk management, and records maintenance. The rule requires an FHLBank that fails to meet a standard to file a corrective action plan with the FHFA within 30 calendar days or such other time period as the FHFA establishes. If an acceptable corrective action plan is not submitted by the deadline or the terms of such plan are not complied with in any material respect, the Director of the FHFA can impose sanctions, such as limits on asset growth, increases in the level of retained earnings, and prohibitions on dividends or the redemption or repurchase of capital stock. The final rule became effective August 7, 2012.

### Proposed Rules.

Proposed Guidance on Collateralization of Advances and Other Credit Products Provided to Insurance Company Members. On October 5, 2012, the FHFA published a notice requesting comments on a proposed Advisory Bulletin that would set forth standards to guide the FHFA in its supervision of secured lending to insurance company members by the FHLBanks. The FHFA's notice provides that lending to insurance company members exposes FHLBanks to risks that are not associated with advances to the insured depository institution members, arising from the different states' statutory and regulatory regimes and statutory accounting principles and reporting practices applicable to insurance companies. The proposed standards include consideration of, among other things:

- the level of an FHLBank's risk exposure to insurance companies in relation to its capital structure and retained earnings;
- an FHLBank's control of pledged securities collateral and ensuring it has a first-priority perfected security interest;
- the use of funding agreements between an FHLBank and an insurance company member to document advances and whether such an FHLBank would be recognized as a secured creditor with a first priority security interest in the collateral; and

• an FHLBank's documented framework, procedures, methodologies and standards to evaluate an insurance company member's creditworthiness and financial condition, an FHLBank's valuation of the pledged collateral and whether an FHLBank has a written plan for the liquidation of insurance company member collateral.

Comments were due by December 4, 2012.

Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Stress-Testing Requirements. On October 5, 2012, the FHFA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking with a comment deadline of December 4, 2012 that would implement a provision in the Dodd-Frank Act that requires all financial companies with total consolidated assets over \$10 billion to conduct annual stress tests, which will be used to evaluate an institution's capital adequacy under various economic conditions and financial conditions. The FHFA proposes to issue annual guidance to describe the baseline, adverse and severely adverse scenarios and methodologies that the FHLBanks must follow in conducting their stress tests, which, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act, would be generally consistent and comparable to those established by the Federal Reserve. An FHLBank would be required to provide an annual report on the results of the stress tests to the FHFA and the Federal Reserve and to then publicly disclose a summary of that report within 90 days.

#### Other Regulatory Actions.

Home Affordable Refinance Program Changes and Other Foreclosure Prevention Efforts. During 2012, the FHFA, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac announced a series of changes that were intended to assist more eligible borrowers who can benefit from refinancing their home mortgages. The changes include lowering or eliminating certain risk-based fees, removing the current 125 percent loan-to-value ceiling on fixed-rate mortgages that are purchased by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, waiving certain representations and warranties, eliminating the need for a new property appraisal and extending the end date for the program until December 31, 2013 for loans originally sold to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac on or before May 31, 2009.

Other federal agencies have also implemented other programs during the past few years intended to prevent foreclosure. These programs focus on lowering a homeowner's monthly payments through mortgage modifications or refinancings, providing temporary reductions or suspensions of mortgage payments, and helping homeowners transition to more affordable housing. Other proposals, such as expansive principal writedowns or principal forgiveness (including new short-sale/deed-inlieu of foreclosure programs recently discussed) or converting delinquent borrowers into renters and conveying the properties to investors, have gained some popularity as well. If the FHFA requires the FHLBanks to offer a similar refinancing option for the FHLBanks' investments in mortgage loans, the FHLBanks' income from those investments could decline.

Further, settlements announced in 2012 and 2013 with the banking regulators, the Federal government and the nation's largest mortgage servicers and state attorneys general are also likely to focus on loan modifications and principal writedowns. In late January 2013, the U.S. Treasury Department announced that it is expanding refinancing programs for homeowners whose mortgages are greater than their home value to include mortgages underlying private-label MBS. These programs, proposals and settlements could ultimately impact investments in MBS, including the timing and amount of cash flows that each FHLBank realizes from those investments. Each FHLBank monitors these developments and assesses the potential impact of relevant developments on investments, including private-label MBS as well as on that FHLBank's securities and loan collateral and on the creditworthiness of any members that could be impacted by these issues. The FHLBanks continue to update models, including the models the FHLBanks use to analyze investments in private-label MBS, based on these types of developments. Additional developments could result in further increases to loss projections from these investments.

Additionally, these developments could result in a significant number of prepayments on mortgage loans underlying investments in agency MBS. If that should occur, these investments would be paid off in advance of original expectations, subjecting the FHLBank to resulting premium acceleration and reinvestment risk.

#### Additional Developments

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) Final Qualified Mortgage Rule. In January 2013, the CFPB issued a final rule with an effective date of January 10, 2014, that establishes new standards for mortgage lenders to follow during the loan approval process to determine whether a borrower can afford to repay the mortgage. Lenders are not required to consider whether a borrower has the ability to repay certain loans, such as home equity lines of credit, timeshare plans, reverse mortgages and temporary loans. The final rule provides for a rebuttable safe harbor from consumer claims that a lender did not adequately consider whether a consumer can afford to repay the lender's mortgage, provided that the mortgage meets the requirements of a Qualified Mortgage loan (QM). QMs are home loans that are either eligible for purchase by Fannie Mae

or Freddie Mac or otherwise satisfy certain underwriting standards. The standards require lenders to consider, among other factors, the borrower's current income, current employment status, credit history, monthly payment for mortgage, monthly payment for other loan obligations and the borrower's total debt-to-income ratio. Further, the QM underwriting standards generally prohibit loans with excessive points and fees, interest-only or negative-amortization features (subject to limited exceptions), or terms greater than 30 years. On the same date as it issued the final Ability to Repay/final QM standards, the CFPB also issued a proposal that would allow small creditors (generally those with assets under \$2 billion) in rural or underserved areas to treat first lien balloon mortgage loans that they offer as QM mortgages. Comments were due by February 25, 2013.

The QM liability safe harbor could provide incentives to lenders, including the FHLBanks' members, to limit their mortgage lending to QMs or otherwise reduce their origination of mortgage loans that are not QMs. This approach could reduce the overall level of members' mortgage loan lending and, in turn, reduce demand for FHLBank advances. Additionally, the value and marketability of mortgage loans that are not QMs, including those pledged as collateral to secure member advances, may be adversely affected.

*Basel Committee on Banking Supervision - Liquidity Framework.* On January 6, 2013, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the Basel Committee) announced amendments to the Basel liquidity standards, including the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR). The amendments include the following: (1) revisions to the definition of high quality liquid assets (HQLA) and net cash outflows; (2) a timetable for phase-in of the standard; (3) a reaffirmation of the usability of the stock of liquid assets in periods of stress, including during the transition period; and (4) an agreement for the Basel Committee to conduct further work on the interaction between the LCR and the provision of central bank facilities. Under the amendment, the LCR buffer could rest at 60% of outflows over a 30-day period when the rule becomes effective in 2015, and then increase steadily until reaching 100% four years later. The definition of HQLA has been amended to expand the eligible assets, including residential mortgage assets. In late February, it was reported that the U.S. banking regulators expect to customize the Basel III liquidity rules to an extent and expect to issue their final rules later in 2013.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision Capital Framework. In September 2010, the Basel Committee approved a new capital framework for internationally active banks. Banks subject to the new framework will be required to have increased amounts of capital with core capital being more strictly defined to include only common equity and other capital assets that are able to fully absorb losses.

In June 2012, the Federal Reserve, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the Agencies) jointly published three notices of proposed rulemaking (the NPRs) seeking comments on comprehensive revisions to the Agencies' capital framework to incorporate the Basel Committee's new capital framework. These revisions, among other things, would have:

- implemented the Basel Committee's capital standards related to minimum requirements, regulatory capital, and additional capital buffers;
- revised the methodologies for calculating risk-weighted assets in the general risk-based capital rules, including residential mortgage assets; and
- revised the approach by which large banks determine their capital adequacy.

On November 9, 2012, the federal banking regulators announced that they were indefinitely delaying the effective date for the implementation of the Basel III capital requirements.

National Credit Union Administration (NCUA) Proposed Rule on Access to Emergency Liquidity. On July 30, 2012, the NCUA published a proposed rule requiring, among other things, that federally-insured credit unions of \$100 million or larger must maintain access to at least one federal liquidity source for use in times of financial emergency and distressed circumstances. This access must be demonstrated through direct or indirect membership in the Central Liquidity Facility (a U.S. government corporation created to improve the general financial stability of credit unions by serving as a liquidity lender to credit unions) or by establishing access to the Federal Reserve's discount window. The proposed rule does not include FHLBank membership as an emergency liquidity source. If the rule is issued as proposed, it may adversely impact an FHLBank's results of operations if it causes its federally-insured credit union members to favor these federal liquidity sources over FHLBank membership or advances. Comments were due by September 28, 2012.

## **Recent Rating Agency Actions**

Since January 1, 2012, no rating agency actions occurred with regard to the FHLBanks or their consolidated obligations, except as follows. On July 31, 2012, S&P announced that it had corrected the FHLBank of Seattle's long-term issuer credit rating, originally published in July 2010, from AA+ to AA, with no change to the FHLBank of Seattle's outlook or short-term credit rating. This correction had no impact on the FHLBank of Seattle's derivative collateral arrangements or its cost of funds. Table 44 presents each FHLBank's long-term credit rating, short-term credit rating and outlook at March 15, 2013.

	S&	Р	Моо	oody's	
	Long-Term/ Short-Term Rating	Outlook	Long-Term/ Short-Term Rating	Outlook	
Atlanta	AA+/A-1+	Negative	Aaa/P-1	Negative	
Boston	AA+/A-1+	Negative	Aaa/P-1	Negative	
Chicago	AA+/A-1+	Negative	Aaa/P-1	Negative	
Cincinnati	AA+/A-1+	Negative	Aaa/P-1	Negative	
Dallas	AA+/A-1+	Negative	Aaa/P-1	Negative	
Des Moines	AA+/A-1+	Negative	Aaa/P-1	Negative	
Indianapolis	AA+/A-1+	Negative	Aaa/P-1	Negative	
New York	AA+/A-1+	Negative	Aaa/P-1	Negative	
Pittsburgh	AA+/A-1+	Negative	Aaa/P-1	Negative	
San Francisco	AA+/A-1+	Negative	Aaa/P-1	Negative	
Seattle	AA/A-1+	Negative	Aaa/P-1	Negative	
Topeka	AA+/A-1+	Negative	Aaa/P-1	Negative	

#### Table 44 - FHLBanks' Long-Term Credit Ratings, Short-Term Credit Ratings and Outlook at March 15, 2013

#### **Risk Management**

The fundamental business of each FHLBank is to provide a readily available, competitively-priced source of funds in a wide range of maturities to meet the borrowing demands of its members and housing associates. The principal sources of funds for these activities are the proceeds from the issuance of consolidated obligations and, to a lesser extent, capital and deposits from members. Lending and investing funds, and engaging in interest-rate exchange agreements, can potentially expose the FHLBanks to a number of risks, including market risk and credit risk. (See *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk* for a discussion of market risk). The FHLBanks are also subject to liquidity risk, operational risk and business risk. Each FHLBank has established policies and procedures to evaluate, manage and control these risks and must file periodic compliance reports with the FHFA. The FHFA has established regulations governing the risk management practices of the FHLBanks and conducts an annual on-site examination of each FHLBank and the Office of Finance as well as off-site analyses.

### **Credit Risk**

<u>Advances.</u> Each FHLBank manages its credit exposure to advances through an integrated approach that provides for the ongoing review of the financial condition of its borrowers coupled with conservative collateral and lending policies and procedures to limit its risk of loss while balancing its borrowers' needs for a reliable source of funding. In response to conditions in the housing and mortgage markets, each FHLBank continues to evaluate and make changes to its collateral guidelines when reviewing its borrowers' financial condition to further mitigate the credit risk on advances.

The FHLBanks protect against credit risk on advances by collateralizing all advances. The FHLBank Act requires that FHLBanks obtain and maintain collateral from their borrowers to secure advances at the time the advances are originated or renewed. Furthermore, under the FHLBank Act, an FHLBank has a statutory lien on that FHLBank's capital stock held by its members, which serves as further collateral for the indebtedness of these members to the FHLBank. The FHLBank Act also allows FHLBanks to further protect their security position with respect to advances by allowing them to require the posting of additional collateral, whether or not such additional collateral is eligible to originate or renew an advance. The FHLBanks perfect their security interests by filing applicable financing statements or taking delivery of collateral. In addition, the FHLBank Act states that a security interest granted to an FHLBank member, or any affiliate of the member to an FHLBank, is entitled to a priority over the claims and rights of any party (including any receiver, conservator, trustee or similar lien creditor), except the claims and rights of a party that would be entitled to priority under otherwise applicable law and is an

actual bona fide purchaser for value of such collateral or is an actual secured party whose security interest in such collateral is perfected in accordance with applicable state law. Collateral arrangements will vary depending upon: (1) borrower credit quality, financial condition and performance; (2) borrowing capacity; (3) collateral availability; and (4) overall credit exposure to the borrower.

Each FHLBank establishes each borrower's borrowing capacity by determining the amount it will lend against each collateral type. Borrowers are also required to collateralize the face amount of any letters of credit issued for their benefit by an FHLBank. In addition, the FHLBanks must take any steps necessary to ensure that their security interest in all collateral pledged by non-depository member institutions (i.e., insurance companies and housing associates) is as secure as their security interests in collateral pledged by depository member institutions.

Residential mortgage loans are the principal form of collateral for advances. Collateral eligible to secure new or renewed advances includes:

- one-to-four family and multifamily mortgage loans (delinquent for no more than 90 days) and securities representing such mortgages;
- loans and securities issued, insured or guaranteed by the U.S. government or any U.S. government agency (for example, mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae);
- cash or deposits in the FHLBank;
- certain other collateral that is real estate-related, provided that the collateral has a readily ascertainable value and that the FHLBank can perfect a security interest in it; and
- certain qualifying securities representing undivided equity interests in eligible advance collateral.

Each FHLBank generally establishes an overall FHLBank credit limit for each borrower, which caps the amount of FHLBank credit availability to the borrower. This limit is designed to reduce the FHLBank's credit exposure to an individual borrower, while encouraging borrowers to diversify their funding sources. A borrower's total credit limit with an FHLBank includes the principal amount of outstanding advances, the face amount of outstanding letters of credit, the total exposure of the FHLBank to the borrower under any derivative contract and credit enhancement obligation of the borrower on mortgage loans sold to the FHLBank. Each FHLBank determines the credit limit of its borrower by evaluating a wide variety of factors, including, but not limited to, the borrower's overall creditworthiness and collateral management practices. The FHLBanks impose borrowing limits on borrowers within a maximum range of 20% to 55% of a borrower's total assets.

Based upon the financial condition of the borrower, each FHLBank classifies each borrower by the method of pledging collateral into one of three collateral categories: (1) blanket lien status; (2) listing (specific identification) status; or (3) delivery (possession) status. The least restrictive collateral status, and the most widely used by the FHLBanks' borrowers, is the blanket lien status. This status is generally assigned to lower risk institutions pledging collateral. Under the blanket lien status, an individual FHLBank allows a borrower to retain possession of eligible collateral pledged to the FHLBank, provided the borrower executes a written security agreement and agrees to hold the collateral for the benefit of the FHLBank. Origination of new advances or renewal of advances must only be supported by certain eligible collateral categories. The blanket pledge is typically accepted by the FHLBanks only for loan collateral; most securities collateral must be delivered to the FHLBank or an FHLBank-approved third-party custodian and pledged for the benefit of the applicable FHLBank.

An FHLBank may require borrowers to provide a detailed listing of eligible advance collateral being pledged to the FHLBank due to their high usage of FHLBank credit products, the type of assets being pledged or the credit condition of the borrower. Under this listing status, the borrower retains physical possession of specific collateral pledged to an FHLBank, but the borrower provides listings of loans pledged to its FHLBank with detailed loan information, such as loan amount, payments, maturity date, interest rate, loan-to-value, collateral type and FICO<sup>®</sup> scores. From a borrower's perspective, the benefit of listing collateral in lieu of a blanket pledge security agreement is that, in some cases, the discount or haircut applicable to such collateral may be lower than that for blanket lien collateral. From an FHLBank's perspective, the benefit of listing collateral is that it provides more detailed loan information to arrive at a more precise valuation.

Under the delivery status, an FHLBank requires the borrower to place physical possession of eligible collateral with the FHLBank or a third-party custodian to sufficiently secure all outstanding obligations. Typically, an FHLBank would take physical possession or control of collateral if the financial condition of the borrower was deteriorating or if the borrower exceeded certain credit product usage triggers. However, to ensure its position as a first-priority secured creditor, an FHLBank is generally more likely to take possession of collateral posted by insurance companies. Delivery of collateral may also be required if there is a regulatory action against the borrower by its regulator that would indicate inadequate controls or other conditions that would be of concern to the FHLBank.

At December 31, 2012, each FHLBank had rights to collateral with an estimated value greater than the related outstanding advances. Advance and other credit product obligations to an FHLBank are fully secured with eligible collateral, the value of which is discounted to protect the FHLBanks from credit loss. Collateral discounts, or haircuts, used in determining lending values of the collateral are calculated to project that the lending value of collateral securing each borrower's obligations exceeds the amount the borrower may borrow from the FHLBanks. Table 45 presents the range of collateral lending values for the blanket lien, listing and delivery methods of pledging collateral across the 12 FHLBanks.

Collateral lending values are determined by subtracting the collateral haircut from 100%. Certain collateral haircuts may also reflect haircuts applied to advances outstanding based upon borrowers' actual financial performance. Effective lending value percentages are equal to collateral lending value divided by the unpaid principal balance of eligible loan collateral or market value of eligible securities collateral. Average effective lending values are calculated based on the total lending value against eligible collateral for all borrowers without regard to the amount of credit extended to any particular borrower; individual borrower credit obligations to the FHLBanks are not cross-collateralized between borrowers.

#### Table 45 - Lending Values by Type of Collateral for all Borrowers

	December	· 31, 2012	
Collateral Type	Effective Lending Values Applied to Collateral	Average Effective Lending Value	
Blanket Lien			
Single-family mortgage loans	13%-92%	73%	
Federal Housing Administration (FHA) and Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) loans	70%-94%	89%	
Multifamily mortgage loans	28%-92%	65%	
Other U.S. government-guaranteed loans	87%-94%	89%	
Home equity loans and lines of credit	7%-87%	48%	
CFI collateral	15%-85%	51%	
Commercial real estate loans	20%-83%	62%	
Other loan collateral	32%-75%	53%	
Listing			
Single-family mortgage loans	3%-95%	73%	
FHA and VA loans	40%-91%	76%	
Multifamily mortgage loans	43%-89%	77%	
Other U.S. government-guaranteed loans	92%	92%	
Home equity loans and lines of credit	12%-88%	48%	
CFI collateral	29%-74%	67%	
Commercial real estate loans	20%-81%	65%	
Other loan collateral	29%-74%	58%	

	December 31, 2012				
Collateral Type	Effective Lending Values Applied to Collateral	Average Effective Lending Value			
Delivery					
Cash, U.S. government and U.S. Treasury securities	71%-100%	94%			
State and local government securities	78%-90%	89%			
U.S. agency securities	80%-99%	95%			
U.S. agency MBS and collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	51%-98%	94%			
Private-label MBS and CMOs	25%-97%	86%			
CFI securities	91%-96%	91%			
Commercial MBS	48%-93%	77%			
Other securities	70%-97%	78%			
Single-family mortgage loans	2%-90%	64%			
FHA and VA loans	66%-94%	75%			
Multifamily mortgage loans	9%-87%	70%			
Other U.S. government-guaranteed loans	74%-94%	74%			
Home equity loans and lines of credit	8%-80%	41%			
CFI collateral	15%-63%	48%			
Commercial real estate loans	1%-80%	58%			
Other loan collateral	1%-68%	44%			
Student loan securities	93%-98%	95%			

As of December 31, 2012, there were 70 individual FHLBank borrowers (66 FHLBank members and 4 non-member financial institutions) that each held advance balances of at least \$1.0 billion. When a non-member financial institution acquires some or all of the assets and liabilities of an FHLBank member, including outstanding advances and FHLBank capital stock, an FHLBank may allow those advances to remain outstanding to that non-member financial institution. The non-member borrower would be required to meet all of that FHLBank's credit and collateral requirements, including requirements regarding creditworthiness and collateral borrowing capacity. A borrower's total credit obligation to an FHLBank could include outstanding advances, outstanding letters of credit, collateralized derivative contracts and credit enhanced obligations on mortgage loans sold to the FHLBank. Eligible collateral values include market values for securities and the unpaid principal balance for all other collateral pledged by the blanket lien, listing or delivery method. The collateralization ratio was 2.7 at December 31, 2012, which represents the total of these 70 individual FHLBank borrowers' eligible collateral divided by these borrowers' advances and other credit products outstanding at December 31, 2012; however, individual borrower credit obligations to the FHLBanks are not cross-collateralized between borrowers.

Table 46 presents advances, other credit products (which primarily includes letters of credit) and collateral outstanding for borrowers with at least \$1.0 billion of advances outstanding as compared to all advance borrowers.

#### Table 46 - Advances, Other Credit Products and Collateral Outstanding

(dollars in millions)

		December 31, 2012				
	Borrowers with at Least \$1.0 Billion of Advances Outstanding		AI	l Borrowers	Percentage	
Advances outstanding, at par	\$	273,109	\$	413,600	66.0%	
Other credit products outstanding, at par	\$	30,826	\$	58,998	52.2%	
Collateral outstanding	\$	829,714	\$	1,569,539	52.9%	

Table 47 presents information on a combined basis regarding the type of collateral securing the advances to the 70 individual FHLBank borrowers with at least \$1.0 billion of advances outstanding.

# Table 47 - Type of Collateral Securing Advances to Borrowers with at Least \$1.0 Billion of Advances Outstanding (dollars in millions)

				December	r <b>31, 2012</b>			
	Blank	et Lien	List	ing	Deliv	very	Total	
Collateral Type	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage
Single-family mortgage loans	\$ 128,083	15.4%	\$ 314,098	37.9%	\$ 13,778	1.7%	\$ 455,959	55.0%
Home equity loans and lines of credit	41,872	5.0%	73,872	8.9%	856	0.1%	116,600	14.0%
Commercial real estate loans	65,408	7.9%	16,398	2.0%	11,312	1.4%	93,118	11.3%
Multifamily mortgage loans	18,205	2.2%	45,798	5.5%	2,985	0.4%	66,988	8.1%
U.S. agency MBS and CMOs	_	N/A	-	N/A	45,163	5.4%	45,163	5.4%
Commercial MBS	—	N/A	_	N/A	19,092	2.3%	19,092	2.3%
FHA and VA loans	4,173	0.5%	7,227	0.9%	1,103	0.1%	12,503	1.5%
U.S. agency securities (excluding MBS)	_	N/A	_	N/A	6,969	0.8%	6,969	0.8%
Private-label MBS and CMOs	-	N/A	-	N/A	500	0.1%	500	0.1%
Other	3,456	0.4%	2,704	0.3%	6,662	0.8%	12,822	1.5%
Total collateral	\$ 261,197	31.4%	\$ 460,097	55.5%	\$ 108,420	13.1%	\$ 829,714	100.0%

N/A - Collateral is not pledged using this pledging method.

The FHLBank Act also permits borrowers that qualify as a Community Financial Institution (CFI) to pledge certain CFI-specific collateral to the extent that its FHLBank accepts such loans as collateral for advances. The FHLBank Act, as amended by the Housing Act, defines CFIs as depository institutions insured by the FDIC with average total assets over the preceding three-year period of less than \$1.0 billion (the average total asset cap), with the average total asset cap adjusted annually for inflation. The average total asset cap for 2012 was \$1.08 billion.

The FHLBanks that accept CFI-specific collateral mitigate the potential increased credit risk through higher haircuts (lower lending values) on such collateral as presented in Table 45. CFI-specific collateral consists of small business, small farm and agri-business loans. Furthermore, on December 9, 2010, the FHFA issued a final rule that provided the FHLBanks with regulatory authority to receive community development loans as collateral for advances from CFI members. Advances to CFIs secured with expanded eligible collateral represented approximately \$2.3 billion of the \$413.6 billion of total advances outstanding at par value at December 31, 2012.

*Member Failures*. The financial condition of all members and housing associates is closely monitored for compliance with financial criteria as set forth in each FHLBank's credit policies. During the year ended December 31, 2012, no FHLBank incurred any credit loss on any of its advances, including advances to failed borrowers. During the same period, 48 of the 51 FDIC-insured institutions that failed were members of the FHLBanks with approximately \$397 million of advances outstanding at the time of the failure, all of which were either assumed by another member or non-member institutions that failed were members 1, 2013 to March 15, 2013, all 4 of the FDIC-insured institutions that failed were members of advances outstanding to these members at the time of their failure were either assumed by another member or repaid by the acquiring institution or the FDIC. From January 1, 2013 to March 15, 2013, all 4 of the FDIC-insured institutions that failed were either assumed by another soft the semembers at the time of their failure were either assumed by another member or repaid by the acquiring institution or the FDIC.

All extensions of credit by the FHLBanks to members are secured by eligible collateral. However, if a member were to default, and the value of the collateral pledged by the member declined to a point such that an FHLBank was unable to realize sufficient value from the pledged collateral to cover the member's obligations and an FHLBank was unable to obtain additional collateral to make up for the reduction in value of that collateral, that FHLBank could incur losses. A default by a member or non-member with significant obligations to an FHLBank could result in significant financial losses, which would adversely affect the FHLBank's results of operations and financial condition. In response to the housing and mortgage markets, each FHLBank continues to evaluate and make changes to its collateral guidelines when reviewing its borrowers' financial condition to further mitigate the credit risk of advances. The management of each FHLBank believes it has adequate policies and procedures in place to manage its credit risk on advances effectively.

<u>Investments.</u> The FHLBanks are subject to credit risk on investments consisting of investment securities, interest-bearing deposits, securities purchased under agreements to resell and Federal funds sold.

The FHLBanks maintain short-term investment portfolios, which may provide funds to meet the credit needs of their members. These short-term investments are transacted with government agencies and large financial institutions with investment-grade credit ratings. Within this portfolio of short-term investments, the FHLBanks have unsecured credit exposure on certain investments.

The FHLBanks also enhance interest income and meet operating expenses by holding long-term investments. Within this portfolio of long-term investments, the FHLBanks are primarily subject to credit risk related to private-label mortgage-backed securities that are either directly or indirectly supported by underlying mortgage loans.

*Regulatory Restrictions on Investments.* To minimize credit risk on investments, the FHLBanks are prohibited by FHFA regulations from investing in any of the following security types:

- instruments, such as common stock, that represent an ownership interest in an entity, other than stock in small business investment companies, or certain investments targeted at low-income persons or communities;
- instruments issued by non-U.S. entities, other than those issued by U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks (e.g., Federal funds);
- non-investment grade debt instruments, other than certain investments targeted at low-income persons or communities and instruments that were downgraded after their purchase by the FHLBank;
- whole mortgages or other whole loans, or interests in mortgages or loans, other than:
  - whole mortgages or loans acquired under an FHLBank's Acquired Member Asset program;
  - certain investments targeted to low-income persons or communities;
  - certain marketable direct obligations of state, local, or tribal government units or agencies, having at least the second-highest credit rating from a nationally recognized statistical rating organization;
  - mortgage-backed securities (which include agency and private-label pools of commercial and residential mortgage loans), or asset-backed securities collateralized by manufactured housing loans or home equity loans, that meet the definition of the term "securities" under the Securities Act of 1933; and
  - certain foreign housing loans authorized under section 12(b) of the FHLBank Act;
- residual interest and interest accrual classes of securities;
- interest-only and principal-only securities;
- mortgage-backed securities or eligible asset-backed securities that on the trade date are at rates equal to their contractual cap, with average lives that vary more than six years under an assumed instantaneous rate change of 300 basis points, unless the instrument qualifies as an Acquired Member Asset; and
- foreign currency or commodity positions.

*Investment Ratings.* The FHLBanks reduce the credit risk on investments by investing in highly-rated investments. Table 48 presents the credit rating of the investment securities held by the FHLBanks as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, using the lowest long-term ratings for each security owned by an individual FHLBank, based on the nationally recognized statistical rating organization(s) used by that FHLBank.

## Table 48 - Investment Ratings

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012(1)(2)									
				Carrying Value						
		Investment Grade								
	Triple A	Double A	Single A	Triple B	Below Investment Grade	Unrated	Total			
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ —	\$ 3	\$ 1,004	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,007			
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	_	10,000	20,264	3,000	_	2,575	35,839			
Federal funds sold	-	21,458	21,787	665	-	100	44,010			
Investment securities										
Non-mortgage backed securities										
U.S. Treasury obligations	-	1,003	—	-	—	—	1,003			
Commercial paper	-	60	-	—	_	—	60			
Certificates of deposit	—	725	2,558	_	_	—	3,283			
Other U.S. obligations	-	4,950	-	—	_	—	4,950			
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	_	25,313	_	_	_	_	25,313			
State or local housing agency obligations	827	1,405	_	500	_	3	2,735			
Federal Family Education Loan Program ABS	25	7,427	_	_	_	_	7,452			
Other	847	779	-	-	-	25	1,651			
Total non-mortgage-backed securities	1,699	41,662	2,558	500		28	46,447			
Mortgage-backed securities										
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS	359	12,175	_	-	-	_	12,534			
Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS	_	457	_	_	_	_	457			
GSE residential MBS	-	94,428	47	_	29	_	94,504			
GSE commercial MBS	-	5,602	-	-	-	-	5,602			
Private-label residential MBS	95	539	1,128	2,459	20,705	10	24,936			
Private-label commercial MBS	10	_	-	-	-	-	10			
Manufactured housing loan ABS	-	132	15	-	—	-	147			
Home equity loan ABS	7	103	63	39	120	-	332			
Total mortgage-backed securities	471	113,436	1,253	2,498	20,854	10	138,522			
Total investment securities	2,170	155,098	3,811	2,998	20,854	38	184,969			
Total Investments	\$ 2,170	\$ 186,559	\$ 46,866	\$ 6,663	\$ 20,854	\$ 2,713	\$ 265,825			

			De	cember 31, 2011(2	)(3)		
				Carrying Value			
		Investme	ent Grade				
	Triple A	Double A	Single A	Triple B	Below Investment Grade	Unrated	Total
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ —	\$ 6	\$ 1,201	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,207
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	_	200	7,575	4,900	_	_	12,675
Federal funds sold	_	23,650	17,184	269	_	36	41,139
Investment securities							
Non-mortgage backed securities							
U.S. Treasury obligations	—	1,061	—	—	—	—	1,061
Commercial paper	-	2,099	300	-	-	-	2,399
Certificates of deposit	_	4,863	7,320	—	_	—	12,183
Other U.S. obligations	—	3,271	—	-	—	12	3,283
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	_	27,294	_	_	_	_	27,294
State or local housing agency obligations	124	1,524	2	578	_	_	2,228
Temporary liquidity guarantee program debentures and promissory notes	_	18,020	_	_	_	_	18,020
Federal family education loan program ABS	1,340	6,819	_	_	_	_	8,159
Other	1,047	393	_	_	_	23	1,463
Total non-mortgage-backed securities	2,511	65,344	7,622	578		35	76,090
Mortgage-backed securities							
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS	364	12,241	_	_	_	_	12,605
Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS	_	521	_	_	_	_	521
GSE residential MBS	-	93,615	-	-	-	-	93,615
GSE commercial MBS	-	3,912	-	-	_	-	3,912
Private-label residential MBS	1,844	1,108	1,836	2,995	21,120	4	28,907
Private-label commercial MBS	48	_	-	-	_	-	48
Manufactured housing loan ABS	_	171	_	-	-	_	171
Home equity loan ABS	24	106	79	34	132	-	375
Total mortgage-backed securities	2,280	111,674	1,915	3,029	21,252	4	140,154
Total investment securities	4,791	177,018	9,537	3,607	21,252	39	216,244
Total investments	\$ 4,791	\$ 200,874	\$ 35,497	\$ 8,776	\$ 21,252	\$75	\$ 271,265

December 31 2011(2)(3)

(1) Does not reflect any changes in ratings, outlook or watch status occurring after December 31, 2012. The ratings presented in this table represent the lowest long-term rating available for each security owned by an individual FHLBank, based on the nationally recognized statistical rating organization(s) used by that FHLBank. Although the FHLBanks' internal ratings are not presented in this table, the internal ratings of an individual FHLBank may differ from those obtained from the nationally recognized statistical rating organization(s) and other FHLBank internal ratings.

(2) Investment amounts represent the carrying value and do not include related accrued interest.

(3) Does not reflect any changes in ratings, outlook or watch status occurring after December 31, 2011. The ratings presented in this table represent the lowest long-term rating available for each security owned by an individual FHLBank, based on the nationally recognized statistical rating organization(s) used by that FHLBank. Although the FHLBanks' internal ratings are not presented in this table, the internal ratings of an individual FHLBank may differ from those obtained from the nationally recognized statistical rating organization(s) and other FHLBank internal ratings.

*Long-term Investments.* Within the portfolio of long-term investments, the FHLBanks are primarily subject to credit risk related to private-label mortgage-backed securities that are either directly or indirectly supported by underlying mortgage loans. The FHLBanks invested in private-label mortgage-backed securities, which consist of private-label residential MBS and private-label commercial MBS, manufactured housing loan ABS and home equity loan ABS. Each private-label mortgage-backed security may contain one or more forms of credit protection/enhancements including, but not limited to: (1) guarantee of principal and interest, (2) subordination, (3) over-collateralization and excess interest, and (4) insurance wrap. Credit enhancement achieved through subordination features results in the subordination of payments to junior classes to support cash flows received by senior classes held by investors such as the FHLBanks.

Although the FHLBanks invested in private-label mortgage-backed securities that at the date of purchase were substantially all rated triple-A, many of these securities have incurred and are projected to sustain credit losses based on current economic conditions and housing market trends.

Current credit enhancement percentages reflect the ability of subordinated classes of securities to absorb principal losses and interest shortfalls before the senior classes held by the FHLBanks are affected (i.e., the losses, expressed as a percentage of the outstanding principal balances, that could be incurred in the underlying loan pools before the securities held by the FHLBanks would be affected, assuming that all of those losses occurred on the measurement date). Depending upon the timing and amount of losses in the underlying loan pools, it is possible that the senior classes held by the FHLBanks could have losses in scenarios where the cumulative loan losses do not exceed the current credit enhancement percentage.

Table 49 presents collateral performance and credit enhancement information related to private-label mortgage-backed securities at December 31, 2012. No FHLBank has purchased private-label mortgage-backed securities since 2008.

# Table 49 - Credit Ratings of Private-Label Mortgage-Backed Securities at December 31, 2012 (dollars in millions)

					Total by Year of Securitization						
	 Total		2008		2007		2006		2005	20	04 and Prior
Unpaid Principal Balance (UPB) by credit rating(1)											
Triple-A	\$ 111	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	15	\$	96
Double-A	775		-		_		24		-		751
Single-A	1,218		_		_		72		104		1,042
Triple-B	2,516		89		_		10		278		2,139
Below investment grade											
Double-B	2,342		—		151		37		319		1,835
Single-B	3,119		213		152		209		1,195		1,350
Triple-C	9,694		441		3,448		1,840		3,489		476
Double-C	3,996		221		996		1,256		1,509		14
Single-C	2,667		—		1,232		956		479		_
Single-D	4,973		_		1,613		2,478		855		27
Unrated	10		_		_		_		_		10
Total	\$ 31,421	\$	964	\$	7,592	\$	6,882	\$	8,243	\$	7,740
Amortized cost	\$ 27,381	\$	902	\$	6,161	\$	5,248	\$	7,402	\$	7,668
Gross unrealized losses(2)	(2,188)		(74)		(629)		(612)		(653)		(220)
Fair value	25,847		835		5,647		5,049		6,788		7,528
Credit losses(3)											
Total OTTI	\$ (89)	\$	(10)	\$	(22)	\$	(15)	\$	(31)	\$	(11)
AOCI(4)	(23)		9		(12)		(38)		12		6
Credit losses	\$ (112)	\$	(1)	\$	(34)	\$	(53)	\$	(19)	\$	(5)
		_		_							
Fair value to UPB	82.3%		86.5%		74.4%		73.4%		82.3%		97.2%

	Prime(5) by Year of Securitization										
	Total		2008		2007		2006		2005	2004	4 and Prior
UPB by credit rating(1)											
Triple-A	\$ 56	\$	—	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	56
Double-A	452		—		_		—		-		452
Single-A	920		—		—		11		50		859
Triple-B	1,455		_		_		1		247		1,207
Below investment grade											
Double-B	1,586		_		24		37		289		1,236
Single-B	1,754		27		142		106		591		888
Triple-C	1,788		260		557		68		721		182
Double-C	1,084		105		290		296		393		-
Single-C	1,346		_		609		593		144		-
Single-D	2,575		_		674		1,625		276		-
Unrated	 2		_		_		_		_		2
Total	\$ 13,018	\$	392	\$	2,296	\$	2,737	\$	2,711	\$	4,882
Amortized cost	\$ 11,808	\$	342	\$	1,844	\$	2,237	\$	2,524	\$	4,861
Gross unrealized losses(2)	(556)		(4)		(66)		(287)		(89)		(110)
Fair value	11,680		345		1,859		2,225		2,458		4,793
Credit losses(3)											
Total OTTI	\$ (7)	\$	—	\$	(3)	\$	(3)	\$	-	\$	(1)
AOCI(4)	 (40)		(1)		(8)		(31)		(1)		1
Credit losses	\$ (47)	\$	(1)	\$	(11)	\$	(34)	\$	(1)	\$	-
Weighted-average percentage											
Fair value to UPB	89.7%		87.9%		81.0%		81.3%		90.7%		98.2%
Original credit support(6)	10.2%		24.7%		14.7%		10.5%		9.1%		7.2%
Credit support(7)	10.2%		15.9%		3.8%		1.7%		7.7%		19.0%
Collateral delinquency(8)	15.5%		21.5%		20.3%		18.3%		12.7%		12.8%

	Alt-A(5) by Year of Securitization										
	Total		2008		2007		2006		2005	2004	and Prior
UPB by credit rating(1)											
Triple-A	\$ 48	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	15	\$	33
Double-A	99		-		_		24		-		75
Single-A	209		-		_		61		54		94
Triple-B	990		89		_		_		29		872
Below investment grade											
Double-B	744		-		127		_		24		593
Single-B	1,218		186		_		64		580		388
Triple-C	7,553		181		2,891		1,518		2,756		207
Double-C	2,450		116		706		518		1,104		6
Single-C	1,212		_		623		254		335		-
Single-D	2,371		_		939		853		579		_
Unrated	 5		_		_		_		_		5
Total	\$ 16,899	\$	572	\$	5,286	\$	3,292	\$	5,476	\$	2,273
Amortized cost	\$ 14,401	\$	560	\$	4,307	\$	2,440	\$	4,828	\$	2,266
Gross unrealized losses(2)	(1,489)		(70)		(562)		(215)		(560)		(82)
Fair value	13,023		490		3,779		2,264		4,282		2,208
Credit losses(3)											
Total OTTI	\$ (80)	\$	(10)	\$	(19)	\$	(12)	\$	(29)	\$	(10)
AOCI(4)	 17		10		(4)		(7)		11		7
Credit losses	\$ (63)	\$	_	\$	(23)	\$	(19)	\$	(18)	\$	(3)
Weighted-average percentage											
Fair value to UPB	77.1%		85.6%		71.5%		68.8%		78.2%		97.1%
Original credit support(6)	22.8%		34.0%		32.7%		26.2%		16.5%		7.1%
Credit support(7)	15.1%		29.5%		19.7%		10.9%		11.9%		14.6%
Collateral delinquency(8)	27.5%		22.4%		36.8%		34.8%		21.5%		11.1%

	Subprime (5) by Year of Securitization											
		Total		2008		2007		2006		2005	2004	and Prior
UPB by credit rating(1)												
Triple-A	\$	7	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	7
Double-A		224		_		-		_		-		224
Single-A		89		—		—		—		_		89
Triple-B		71		-		-		9		2		60
Below investment grade												
Double-B		12		-		-		_		6		6
Single-B		147		_		10		39		24		74
Triple-C		353		-		-		254		12		87
Double-C		462		_		-		442		12		8
Single-C		109		_		_		109		-		-
Single-D		27		_		-		_		-		27
Unrated		3		_		_						3
Total	\$	1,504	\$	_	\$	10	\$	853	\$	56	\$	585
Amortized cost	\$	1,172	\$	_	\$	10	\$	571	\$	50	\$	541
Gross unrealized losses(2)		(143)		_		(1)		(110)		(4)		(28)
Fair value		1,144		_		9		560		48		527
Credit losses(3)												
Total OTTI	\$	(2)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	(2)	\$	-
AOCI(4)		_		_				_		2		(2)
Credit losses	\$	(2)	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	(2)
Weighted-average percentage												
Fair value to UPB		76.0%		-		93.2%		65.6%		85.2%		89.9%
Original credit support(6)		38.7%		-		23.0%		22.9%		22.2%		63.6%
Credit support(7)		27.1%		-		43.5%		22.5%		46.2%		31.8%
Collateral delinquency(8)		30.6%		_		39.7%		41.4%		37.7%		14.0%

(1) Represents the lowest rating available for each security owned by an individual FHLBank based on the nationally recognized statistical rating organization(s) used by that FHLBank.

(2) Represents total gross unrealized losses including non-credit-related other-than-temporary impairment recognized in AOCI.

(3) The credit losses presented are for the year ended December 31, 2012.

(4) Represents the net amount of other-than-temporary impairment losses reclassified to/(from) AOCI.

(5) The FHLBanks classify securities as prime, Alt-A and subprime based on the originator's classification at the time of origination or based on classification by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization(s) upon issuance of the securities.

(6) Original weighted-average credit support is based on the credit support at the time of issuance and is determined based on the unpaid principal balance of the individual securities in the category and their respective original credit support.

(7) Weighted-average credit support is based on the credit support as of December 31, 2012 and is determined based on the unpaid principal balance of the individual securities in the category and their respective credit support as of December 31, 2012.

(8) Weighted-average collateral delinquency rate is determined based on the underlying loans that are 60 days or more past due and is determined based on the unpaid principal balance of the individual securities in the category and their respective delinquencies.

Table 50 presents, by loan type, characteristics of private-label mortgage-backed securities in a gross unrealized loss position at December 31, 2012. The FHLBanks held a total of \$4,339 million in Alt-A option ARMs, of which \$4,220 million are in a gross unrealized loss position based on their unpaid principal balance at December 31, 2012, as presented in Table 50.

#### Table 50 - Private-Label Mortgage-Backed Securities in a Gross Unrealized Loss Position

(dollars in millions)

Unpaid Principal Balance			Weighted-Average
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Losses	Collateral Delinquency Rate(1)
\$ 7,770	\$ 7,039	\$ (556)	17.2%
7,770	7,039	(556)	17.2%
4,220	3,388	(594)	42.8%
9,489	8,358	(895)	22.6%
13,709	11,746	(1,489)	28.8%
1,210	912	(130)	33.3%
3	3	(1)	28.5%
1,213	915	(131)	33.3%
248	222	(12)	16.4%
\$ 22,940	\$ 19,922	\$ (2,188)	25.0%
	7,770 4,220 9,489 13,709 1,210 3 1,213 248	7,770         7,039           4,220         3,388           9,489         8,358           13,709         11,746           1,210         912           3         3           1,213         915           248         222	7,770         7,039         (556)           4,220         3,388         (594)           9,489         8,358         (895)           13,709         11,746         (1,489)           1,210         912         (130)           3         3         (1)           1,213         915         (131)           248         222         (12)

(1) Weighted-average collateral delinquency rate is determined based on the underlying loans that are 60 days or more past due. The reported delinquency percentage represents the weighted-average based on the unpaid principal balance of the individual securities in the category and their respective delinquencies.

(2) The FHLBanks classify securities as prime, Alt-A and subprime based on the originator's classification at the time of origination(s) or based on classification by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization upon issuance of the securities.

(3) The FHLBank of New York owns certain private-label securities that were acquired prior to 2004 for which only the original lien information is available. The current lien information is not available. In certain instances, the servicer is no longer in business to provide this information. In other instances, the servicers were never required to track the information subsequent to origination.

Table 51 presents, by loan and interest rate type, the unpaid principal balance of private-label mortgage-backed securities at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### Table 51 - Unpaid Principal Balance by Fixed or Variable Rate

(dollars in millions)

		Dece	ember 31, 2012			December 31, 2011					
	Fixed Rate(1)		Variable Rate(1)		Fixed Total Rate(1)		Variable Rate(1)		Total		
Prime(2)	\$ 1,771	\$	11,247	\$	13,018	\$	3,592	\$	13,102	\$	16,694
Alt-A(2)	4,504		12,395		16,899		6,372		13,291		19,663
Subprime(2)	462		1,042		1,504		524		1,192		1,716
Total	\$ 6,737	\$	24,684	\$	31,421	\$	10,488	\$	27,585	\$	38,073

(1) The determination of fixed or variable is based upon the contractual coupon type of the security.

(2) The FHLBanks classify securities as prime, Alt-A and subprime based on the originator's classification at the time of origination or based on classification by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization(s) upon issuance of the securities.

<u>Monoline Bond Insurance.</u> Certain FHLBank investment securities portfolios include a limited number of investments that are insured by monoline bond insurers. The monoline bond insurance on these investments guarantees the timely payment of principal and interest if these payments cannot be satisfied from the cash flows of the underlying mortgage collateral.

The monoline bond insurers continue to be subject to adverse ratings, rating downgrades and weak financial performance measures. Below investment grade ratings or rating downgrades imply an increased risk that the monoline bond insurer will fail to fulfill its obligations to reimburse the insured investor for claims made under the related insurance policies. There are five monoline bond insurers that insure the affected FHLBanks' investment securities. Of the five monoline bond insurers, the financial guarantee from Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. is considered sufficient to cover all future claims and therefore excluded from the burn-out period analysis. Conversely, the key burn-out period for monoline bond insurers Financial Guaranty Insurance Company and Syncora Guarantee Inc. are not considered applicable due to regulatory intervention that has suspended all claims, and the affected FHLBanks have placed no reliance on these monoline insurers. For the remaining monoline bond insurers MBIA Insurance Corp and Ambac Assurance Corp., the affected FHLBanks established a burn-out period ending on March 31, 2013. In addition, Ambac Assurance Corp. reimbursements during the burn-out period are limited to 25 percent of claims. The FHLBanks monitor the financial condition of these monoline bond insurers on an ongoing basis and as facts and circumstances change, the burn-out period could significantly change. (See <u>Critical Accounting Estimates - OTTI for Investment Securities</u> for information regarding the FHLBanks' processes for evaluating monoline bond insurance for purposes of OTTI analysis.)

As of December 31, 2012, total monoline bond insurance coverage was \$536 million, of which \$339 million represents the FHLBanks' private-label MBS covered by the monoline bond insurance that the FHLBanks are relying on at December 31, 2012 for modeling cash flows. Of the \$339 million, 14.0% represents Alt-A loans and 86.0% represents subprime loans.

Short-term Investments. The FHLBanks maintain short-term investment portfolios to provide funds to meet the credit needs of their members and to maintain liquidity. The FHLBank Act and FHFA regulations set liquidity requirements for the FHLBanks, and an individual FHLBank's board of directors may also adopt additional liquidity policies. In addition, each FHLBank maintains a contingency liquidity plan in the event of operational disruptions at either the FHLBanks or the Office of Finance. (See <u>Liquidity</u> for a discussion of the FHLBanks' liquidity management.)

Within the portfolio of short-term investments, the FHLBanks face credit risk from unsecured exposures. Each FHLBank manages its own credit risk independently. The FHLBanks' unsecured credit investments have maturities ranging between overnight and nine months and include the following types:

- Interest-bearing deposits. Primarily consists of unsecured deposits that earn interest.
- *Federal funds sold.* Unsecured loans of reserve balances at the Federal Reserve Banks between financial institutions that are made on an overnight and term basis.
- *Commercial paper.* Unsecured debt issued by corporations, typically for the financing of accounts receivable, inventories and meeting short-term liabilities.
- Certificates of deposit. Unsecured negotiable promissory notes issued by banks and payable to the bearer on demand.

Table 52 presents the FHLBanks' unsecured credit exposure with private counterparties by investment type. At December 31, 2012, the FHLBanks had aggregate unsecured credit exposure from investments of \$1 billion or more to each of 17 private counterparties. The aggregate unsecured credit exposure to these counterparties represented 86.5% of the FHLBanks' total unsecured investment credit exposure to private counterparties. The unsecured investment credit exposure presented in Table 52 does not reflect the average or maximum exposure during the period as the balances presented reflect the balances at period end.

## Table 52 - Unsecured Credit Exposure by Investment Type

(dollars in millions)

Carrying Value(1)	Decem	ber 31, 2012	December 31, 2011		
Interest-bearing deposits	\$	1,004	\$	1,201	
Federal funds sold		44,010		41,139	
Commercial paper		60		2,399	
Certificates of deposit		3,283		12,183	
Total	\$	48,357	\$	56,922	

(1) Excludes unsecured investment credit exposure to U.S. government, U.S. government agencies and instrumentalities, government-sponsored enterprises and supranational entities and does not include related accrued interest as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Each FHLBank actively monitors its credit exposures and the credit quality of its counterparties, including an assessment of each counterparty's financial performance, capital adequacy, sovereign support and the current market perceptions of the counterparties. General macroeconomic, political and market conditions may also be considered when deciding on unsecured exposure. As a result, the FHLBanks may limit or suspend existing exposures.

FHFA regulations include limits on the amount of unsecured credit an individual FHLBank may extend to a counterparty or to a group of affiliated counterparties. This limit is based on a percentage of eligible regulatory capital and the counterparty's overall credit rating. Under these regulations, the level of eligible regulatory capital is determined as the lesser of an individual FHLBank's total regulatory capital or the eligible amount of regulatory capital of the counterparty. The eligible amount of regulatory capital is then multiplied by a stated percentage. The percentage that an FHLBank may offer for term extensions of unsecured credit ranges from 1% to 15% based on the counterparty's credit rating. The calculation of term extensions of unsecured credit includes on-balance sheet transactions, off-balance sheet commitments and derivative transactions. (See *Credit Risk - Derivatives and Counterparty Ratings* for additional information related to derivatives exposure.)

FHFA regulation also permits the FHLBanks to extend additional unsecured credit for overnight extensions of credit and for sales of Federal funds subject to continuing contracts that renew automatically. An FHLBank's total unsecured exposure to a counterparty may not exceed twice the regulatory limit for term exposures, or a total of 2% to 30% of the eligible amount of regulatory capital, based on the counterparty's credit rating. As of and for the period ended December 31, 2012, each of the FHLBanks was in compliance with the regulatory limits established for unsecured credit.

The FHLBanks are prohibited by FHFA regulation from investing in financial instruments issued by non-U.S. entities other than those issued by U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks. The FHLBanks' unsecured credit exposures to U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks include the risk that, as a result of political or economic conditions in a country, the counterparty may be unable to meet their contractual repayment obligations. The FHLBanks' unsecured credit exposures to domestic counterparties and U.S. subsidiaries of foreign commercial banks include the risk that these counterparties have extended credit to foreign counterparties. The FHLBanks are in compliance with the regulation and did not own any financial instruments issued by foreign sovereign governments, including those countries that are members of the European Union, as of and for the period ended December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2012, the FHLBanks' unsecured investment credit exposure to U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks was comprised of Federal funds sold, commercial paper and certificates of deposit. As of December 31, 2012, 78.7%, 100.0% and 65.9% of the FHLBanks' unsecured investment credit exposure in Federal funds sold, commercial paper and certificates of deposit was to U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks. Table 53 presents the lowest long-term credit ratings of the unsecured investment credit exposures presented by the domicile of the counterparty or the domicile of the counterparty's parent for U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks based on the nationally recognized statistical rating organization(s) used by the individual FHLBank holding the investment. This table does not reflect the foreign sovereign government's credit rating. The FHLBanks reduce credit risk by generally investing in unsecured investments of highly-rated counterparties.

#### Table 53 - Ratings of Unsecured Investment Credit Exposure by Domicile of Counterparty

(dollars in millions)

			December 31, 2012(1)		
			Carrying Value(2)		
		Investment Grade			
Domicile of Counterparty	Double-A	Single-A	Triple-B	Unrated	Total
Domestic	\$ —	\$ 8,135	\$ 500	\$ 100	\$ 8,735
U.S. subsidiaries of foreign commercial banks	-	2,609	165	-	2,774
Total domestic and U.S. subsidiaries of foreign commercial banks	_	10,744	665	100	11,509
U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks					
Canada	4,590	9,471	-	-	14,061
Australia	8,638	-	_	—	8,638
Sweden	3,700	1,495	-	-	5,195
Netherlands	3,884	-	-	-	3,884
Japan	-	1,702	-	-	1,702
Finland	1,431	-	-	-	1,431
United Kingdom	-	850	—	-	850
Norway	-	637	-	-	637
Germany	-	450	—	-	450
Total U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks	22,243	14,605	_	_	36,848
Total unsecured investment credit exposure	\$ 22,243	\$ 25,349	\$ 665	\$ 100	\$ 48,357

(1) Does not reflect any changes in ratings, outlook or watch status occurring after December 31, 2012. The ratings presented in this table represent the lowest long-term rating available for each security owned by an individual FHLBank, based on the nationally recognized statistical rating organization(s) used by that FHLBank. Although the FHLBanks' internal ratings are not presented in this table, the internal ratings of an individual FHLBank may differ from those obtained from the nationally recognized statistical rating organization(s) and other FHLBank internal ratings.

(2) Excludes unsecured investment credit exposure to U.S. government, U.S. government agencies and instrumentalities, government-sponsored enterprises and supranational entities and does not include related accrued interest.

Table 54 presents the contractual maturity of the FHLBanks' unsecured investment credit exposure by the domicile of the counterparty or the domicile of the counterparty's parent for U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks. The FHLBanks also reduce the credit risk on investments by generally investing in investments that have short-term maturities. At December 31, 2012, 60.6% of the carrying value of the total unsecured investments held by the FHLBanks had overnight maturities.

## Table 54 - Contractual Maturity of Unsecured Investment Credit Exposure by Domicile of Counterparty

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012											
	Carrying Value(1)											
Domicile of Counterparty		Overnight		2 days 1 30 days	Due 31 through			Total				
Domestic	\$	4,485	\$	2,954	\$	1,296	\$	8,735				
U.S. subsidiaries of foreign commercial banks		1,655		469		650		2,774				
Total domestic and U.S. subsidiaries of foreign commercial banks		6,140		3,423		1,946		11,509				
U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks												
Canada		7,514		3,913		2,634		14,061				
Australia		4,493		2,828		1,317		8,638				
Sweden		4,175		872		148		5,195				
Netherlands		3,884		_		_		3,884				
Japan		_		1,702		—		1,702				
Finland		1,431		_		_		1,431				
United Kingdom		850		_		_		850				
Norway		368		269		_		637				
Germany		450		_		_		450				
Total U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks		23,165		9,584		4,099	_	36,848				
Total unsecured investment credit exposure	\$	29,305	\$	13,007	\$	6,045	\$	48,357				
					_		_					

(1) Excludes unsecured investment credit exposure to U.S. government, U.S. government agencies and instrumentalities, government-sponsored enterprises and supranational entities and does not include related accrued interest.

<u>Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio.</u> The FHFA's Acquired Member Asset regulation permits the FHLBanks to purchase and hold specified mortgage loans from their members. All 12 FHLBanks have established or participated in the Acquired Member Asset programs such as the Mortgage Partnership Finance<sup>®</sup> (MPF) Program and Mortgage Purchase Program (MPP) as services to their members. Members and eligible housing associates may apply to become a participating financial institution (PFI) of their respective FHLBank. The mortgage loans purchased or funded under these programs may carry more credit risk than advances, even though the respective member or housing associate provides credit enhancement and continues to bear a portion of the credit risk.

An FHLBank must hold risk-based capital against acquired member assets or pools of assets based on the applicable percentage required by the FHFA. This percentage is determined by the credit rating of such assets or pools of assets after taking into account any credit enhancements on those assets. However, neither the PFI's credit enhancements nor the mortgage loans are rated by the rating agencies. The regulation requires that the credit rating must be determined by a formal rating from a nationally recognized statistical rating organization or using credit rating standards available from a nationally recognized statistical organization or other similar standards. All of the mortgage loans acquired under these programs that are not government-guaranteed or -insured are credit-enhanced by members to a level at least equivalent to an investment-grade rating in accordance with the FHFA's regulation.

Management at each FHLBank believes that it has adequate policies and procedures in place to manage credit risk on mortgage loans appropriately. All of the FHLBanks that are currently participating or previously participated in the Acquired Member Asset programs have established loan loss allowances under each program or have determined that no loan loss allowances are necessary. (See <u>Note 10 - Allowance for Credit Losses</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for additional information about mortgage loan credit quality indicators, allowance for credit losses, and delinquency statistics by the Acquired Member Asset program and type of loan.)

Table 55 presents the comparison of MPF and MPP products at December 31, 2012. A variety of MPF products have been developed to meet the differing needs of PFIs with different risk-sharing characteristics as summarized in Table 55. While the MPP operates with a single structure, it also includes Federal Housing Administration (FHA) insured mortgage loans.

#### Table 55 - MPP and MPF Product Comparison at December 31, 2012

Product Name	FHLBank First Loss Account/Lender Risk Account Size	PFI Credit Enhancement(CE) Description	Average CE Amount(1)	CE Fee to PFI(2)	CE Fee Offset(3)	Servicing Fee to PFI
Original MPF	3 to 6 basis points; added each year based on the unpaid balance	Equivalent to double-A	6.8%	7 to 11 basis points/year- paid monthly	No	25 basis points/year
MPF 100	100 basis points; fixed based on the size of the loan pool at closing	Equivalent to double-A including First Loss Account (FLA)	5.1%	7 to 10 basis points/year- paid monthly; performance-based after 2 or 3 years	Yes - after first 2 to 3 years	25 basis points/year
MPF 125	100 basis points; fixed based on the size of the loan pool at closing	Equivalent to double-A including FLA	4.8%	7 to 10 basis points/year- paid monthly; performance-based	Yes	25 basis points/year
MPF Plus	An agreed-upon amount not less than expected losses	0 to 20 basis points after FLA and SMI, equivalent to double-A	1.7%	13 to 14 basis points/year in total, with a varying split between performance- based (delayed for 1 year) and a fixed rate; all fees paid monthly	Yes	25 basis points/year
MPF Government(4)	N/A	N/A - (Unreimbursed servicing expenses)	N/A	N/A	N/A	44 basis points/year plus 2 basis points/ year
MPF Xtra(5)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	25 basis points/year
МРР	30 to 175 basis points; based on pool risk factors and expected losses	After Lender Risk Account to at least triple-B	N/A	N/A	N/A	25 basis points/year
MPP FHA	N/A	N/A - Unreimbursed servicing expenses	N/A	N/A	N/A	44 basis points/year

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the average CE amounts for all MPF FHLBanks based on current unpaid principal balances; thus, the CE amounts for individual MPF FHLBanks may vary depending on each FHLBank's individual master commitment characteristics. (2)

For the FHLBank of Des Moines, the CE Fees on certain MPF products differ from those listed above as follows:

Original MPF: 8 to 11 basis points/year-paid monthly

MPF 100: 7 to 11 basis points/year-paid monthly; performance-based after three years

MPF Plus: 0 to 8.5 basis points/year-plus 0 to 10 basis points/year; performance-based (delayed for one year); all fees are paid monthly

(3) Future payouts of performance-based CE Fees are reduced when losses are allocated to the First Loss Account.

Formerly called Original MPF for FHA/VA. For master commitments issued prior to February 2, 2007, the PFI is paid a monthly government loan fee equal to 0.02% (2 basis points) annually based on the month-end outstanding aggregate principal balance of the master commitment, which is in addition to the customary 0.44% (44 basis points) annual servicing fee that continues to apply for master commitments issued after February 1, 2007, and that is retained by the PFI on a monthly basis, based on the outstanding aggregate principal balance of the MPF Government Loans.

MPF Loans acquired under the MPF Xtra product are concurrently sold to Fannie Mae and are not retained in the MPF FHLBanks' portfolio. (5)

MPF Loans - Loss Allocation. Credit losses on conventional MPF Loans not absorbed by the borrower's equity in the mortgaged property, property insurance or primary mortgage insurance are allocated for each master commitment between the MPF FHLBank and the PFI as follows:

- First, up to an agreed-upon amount to the First Loss Account maintained by the MPF FHLBank. The First Loss Account is structured as a memo account to track losses not covered by the credit enhancement amount provided by the PFI, (or not yet recovered by the withholding of performance-based credit enhancement fees). The PFI is paid a monthly credit enhancement fee for managing credit risk on the mortgage loans. In certain cases, the credit enhancement fees are performance-based, which provides incentive to the PFI to minimize credit losses on MPF Loans. These fees may be withheld to recover losses incurred by the MPF FHLBank for each master commitment, if any, up to the First Loss Account.
- Second, credit losses in excess of the First Loss Account, if any, to the PFI under its credit enhancement obligation, up to the credit enhancement amount. The credit enhancement amount may consist of a direct liability of the PFI to pay credit losses up to a specified amount, a contractual obligation of the PFI to provide supplemental mortgage insurance or a combination of both.

• Third, any remaining unallocated losses are absorbed by the MPF FHLBank.

The First Loss Account and credit enhancement amount apply to all the MPF Loans and an MPF FHLBank's share of credit losses is based on its respective participation interest in the entire master commitment. An MPF FHLBank's credit risk on MPF Loans is the potential for financial loss due to borrower default or depreciation in the value of the real estate collateral securing the MPF Loan, offset by the PFI's credit enhancement amount. The PFI is required to pledge collateral to secure any portion of its credit enhancement amount that is a direct obligation.

The MPF FHLBanks also face credit risk through potential losses on conventional MPF Loans to the extent that such losses are not recoverable from PFIs, and with respect to MPF Government Loans, amounts not recoverable from the applicable government agency with respect to MPF Government Loans (including servicer-paid losses not covered by the applicable federal agency). The outstanding balance of MPF Loans exposed to credit losses, which are not recoverable from these sources, was approximately \$28.6 billion and \$31.8 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011. The MPF FHLBanks' actual credit exposure is significantly less than these amounts because the borrower's equity, which represents the fair value of underlying property in excess of the outstanding MPF Loan balance, has not been considered. For those loans with a loan-to-value ratio (LTV) over 80% at origination, the MPF FHLBanks require primary mortgage insurance. If the original value of the real estate collateral securing an MPF Loan does not decline, then the principal paydowns will lower the LTV over the life of the loan.

*MPF Loans - Setting Credit Enhancements.* The type of the credit enhancement fee depends upon the product selected; however, no credit enhancement fee is payable under the MPF Xtra product. The PFI has no credit enhancement amount under the MPF Xtra product because these acquired loans are concurrently sold to Fannie Mae. A model-based rating methodology (based off a nationally recognized statistical rating organization's model) is used to determine the required credit enhancement amount, which is calculated to equal the difference between the amount needed for the master commitment to have a rating equivalent to a double-A rated mortgage-backed security and an MPF FHLBank's initial First Loss Account exposure. An MPF FHLBank determines its First Loss Account exposure by taking the initial First Loss Account and reducing it by the estimated value of any performance-based credit enhancement fees that would be payable to the PFI.

The MPF products were designed to allow for periodic resets of the credit enhancement amount for each master commitment, and of the First Loss Account for each master commitment for certain products, because the amount of credit enhancement necessary to maintain an FHLBank's risk of loss equivalent to the losses of an investor in a double-A rated mortgage-backed security for any master commitment is usually reduced over time. Under the MPF Program, the PFI's credit enhancement amount may take the form of a contingent, performance-based credit enhancement fee as well as the credit enhancement amount (which is a direct liability to pay credit losses or the requirement for the PFI to pay for a supplemental mortgage insurance policy insuring a portion of the credit losses). The credit enhancement amounts for the Original MPF, MPF 100 and MPF 125 products are initially reset 10 years from the date of the master commitment. The supplemental mortgage insurance policy for the MPF Plus product is reset after five years and annually thereafter, with any PFI's credit enhancement amount reset at the same time or starting five years after the date of the master commitment. In addition to scheduled resets, a PFI's credit enhancement amount may be reduced to equal the balance of the MPF Loans in a master commitment if the balance of the MPF Loans equals or is less than the credit enhancement amount.

*MPP Loans - Loss Allocation.* Each FHLBank participating in the MPP is exposed to credit risk on loans purchased from members through its MPP. Like the MPF Program, MPP is governed by the regulation of the Acquired Member Asset program, and mortgage loans purchased from PFIs under the program also must carry sufficient credit enhancements to provide a credit risk exposure equivalent to no less than triple-B rated assets based upon a model-based rating methodology (based off a nationally recognized statistical rating organization's model) at the time of purchase. For FHA guaranteed loans, MPP FHLBanks believe they bear no credit risk on purchased FHA loans, and therefore do not require either a Lender Risk Account or supplemental mortgage insurance coverage for these U.S. government-guaranteed or -insured loans.

The MPP FHLBanks' primary management of credit risk for conventional loans involves the mortgage assets themselves (homeowners' equity) as well as additional layers of credit enhancements. In order of priority, credit enhancements include:

- primary mortgage insurance (when applicable),
- Lender Risk Account, and
- supplemental mortgage insurance (when applicable).

For conventional loans, primary mortgage insurance, if applicable, covers losses or exposure down to an LTV of between approximately 61% and 80% based upon the original appraisal, original LTV, term, amount of primary mortgage insurance

coverage, and characteristics of the loan. At the time the underlying conventional loan is funded, a Lender Risk Account is established by the FHLBank for each PFI selling an MPP Loan. Generally, after five years, if the balance of the funds in the Lender Risk Account exceeds the required balance, the excess amounts are distributed to the PFI based on a step-down schedule set forth in the master commitment contract that establishes the Lender Risk Account.

The MPP mortgage loans are not rated by any nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Participating MPP FHLBanks use a model-based rating methodology (based off a nationally recognized statistical rating organization's model) to assign the Lender Risk Account percentage to each master commitment and to manage the credit risk of committed and purchased conventional loans. The rating model evaluates the characteristics of the loans the PFIs actually delivered to the FHLBanks for the likelihood of timely payment of principal and interest. The model results are based on numerous standard borrowers and loan attributes, such as the LTV, loan purpose (such as purchase of home, refinance, or cash-out refinance), type of documentation, income and debt expense ratios, and credit scores. Based upon the credit assessment, each MPP FHLBank is required to hold risk-based capital to help mitigate the potential credit risk in accordance with the FHFA regulations.

In addition to the Lender Risk Accounts, participating MPP FHLBanks with supplemental mortgage insurance coverage are protected from a portion of credit losses. This coverage may be exceeded based on the severity of a loss on a loan and in certain cases subject to an aggregate stop-loss provision in the supplemental mortgage insurance policy. If an MPP FHLBank does not have supplemental mortgage insurance coverage for its MPP Loans, it would seek additional credit enhancements, including expanded use of the Lender Risk Account and aggregation of loan purchases into larger loan pools, in order for the purchased mortgage loan pool to achieve a rating equivalent to at least triple-B at the time of acquisition. If any loss extends beyond the insurance coverage and the balance held in the Lender Risk Account, the FHLBank holding the interest in the affected MPP Loan would be responsible for absorbing this remaining loss. The totality of the credit enhancements are designed to adequately protect the MPP FHLBanks against credit losses in scenarios of severe downward movements in housing prices and unfavorable changes in other factors that can affect loan delinquencies and defaults.

Each MPP FHLBank performs periodic reviews of its portfolio to identify incurred losses and to determine the likelihood of loan collection. If an MPP FHLBank has incurred losses in excess of the collateral held, primary mortgage insurance (if applicable), Lender Risk Account and supplemental mortgage insurance (if applicable), these amounts would be recognized as credit losses. In 2012, participating MPP FHLBanks recorded a \$7 million provision for credit losses related to the MPP loans. This provision was based on actual losses and an assessment of additional estimated incurred losses. In addition to the MPP FHLBanks' credit enhancements, the underwriting and loan characteristics indicate favorable credit performance and the portfolios have experienced only a modest, albeit increasing, overall amount of delinquencies and defaults. Because of these factors, participating MPP FHLBanks believe their exposure to credit risk on conventional loans is moderate.

*Mortgage Insurance.* In addition to credit risk associated with mortgage loans purchased or funded through the Acquired Member Asset programs, the FHLBanks are exposed to the risk of non-performance of mortgage insurers that provide primary mortgage insurance and supplemental mortgage insurance coverage on mortgage loans.

Primary Mortgage Insurance. Qualified mortgage insurance companies issue primary mortgage insurance for conventional mortgage loans with LTV ratios greater than 80% to cover principally those losses incurred related to borrower default. Historically, the FHLBanks have depended on the primary mortgage insurance policies for loss coverage. An FHLBank may be exposed to credit risk if a primary mortgage insurance provider fails to fulfill its claims payment obligations to that FHLBank. Each FHLBank has policies to limit its credit exposure to each mortgage insurance company based on certain criteria, including, but not limited to, the mortgage insurance company's rating by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations, or limit its credit exposure to a certain percentage of the mortgage insurance company's regulatory capital. The FHLBanks receive primary mortgage insurance coverage information at acquisition of the mortgage loans and generally do not receive notification of any subsequent changes in primary mortgage insurance coverage. As a result, they can only estimate the amount of primary mortgage insurance in force at any time subsequent to acquisition.

If a primary mortgage insurance provider is downgraded, an FHLBank may request that the servicer obtain replacement primary mortgage insurance coverage with a different provider. However, it is possible that replacement coverage may be unavailable or result in additional cost to the FHLBank. Primary mortgage insurance for conventional mortgage loans must be issued by a mortgage insurance company on that FHLBank's approved mortgage insurance company list whenever primary mortgage insurance coverage is required. In order for a mortgage insurance company to remain on the current approved mortgage insurance company list, the mortgage insurance company must be acceptable for use in that FHLBank's rating modeling software used to calculate the required amount of credit enhancement. In addition, many FHLBanks perform a quarterly analysis evaluating the financial condition and concentration risk regarding the primary mortgage insurance providers, which may include a review of rating levels, ratings watch and outlook, and profitability.

Tables 56 and 57 present the FHLBanks' primary mortgage insurance coverage for seriously delinquent loans (conventional loans 90 days or more delinquent or in the process of foreclosure) by MPF Program and MPP.

#### Table 56 - Seriously Delinquent Conventional MPF Loans with Primary Mortgage Insurance

(dollars in millions)

			Decembe	r 31, 2012	
Insurance Provider	Credit Rating(1) by Moody's/S&P	Unpaid Principal Balance(2)		Maximum Coverage Outstanding(3)	
Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Co.	B2/B(4)	\$	41	\$	12
Genworth Mortgage Insurance	Ba2(5)/B		23		7
Republic Mortgage Insurance	WR(6)/Not Rated		23		7
PMI Mortgage Insurance Co.	Caa3/Remove		18		6
United Guaranty Residential Insurance	Baa1/BBB		17		5
Radian Guaranty, Inc.	Ba3/B-		12		3
Other			14		4
Total		\$	148	\$	44
		-			

(1) Represents the credit rating as of March 15, 2013.

(2) Represents the unpaid principal balance of conventional loans 90 days or more delinquent or in the process of foreclosure. Assumes primary mortgage insurance is in effect at time of origination. Insurance coverage may be discontinued once a certain LTV ratio is met.

(3) Represents the estimated contractual limit for reimbursement of principal losses (i.e., risk in force) assuming the primary mortgage insurance at origination is still in effect. The amount of expected claims under these insurance contracts is substantially less than the contractual limit for reimbursement.

(4) Rating upgraded by S&P on March 8, 2013 from B- to B.

(5) Rating was downgraded by Moody's on January 16, 2013 from Ba1 to Ba2.

(6) Represents a previously issued credit rating that has been withdrawn by the rating agency.

#### Table 57 - Seriously Delinquent Conventional MPP Loans with Primary Mortgage Insurance

(dollars in millions)

			December 31, 2012			
hworth Mortgage Insurance dian Guaranty, Inc. bublic Mortgage Insurance ited Guaranty Residential Insurance I Mortgage Insurance Co.	Credit Rating(1) by Moody's/S&P	Unpaid Principal Balance(2)			m Coverage anding(3)	
Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Co.	B2/B(4)	\$	11	\$	3	
Genworth Mortgage Insurance	Ba2(5)/B		7		2	
Radian Guaranty, Inc.	Ba3/B-		7		2	
Republic Mortgage Insurance	WR(6)/Not Rated		7		2	
United Guaranty Residential Insurance	Baa1/BBB		5		1	
PMI Mortgage Insurance Co.	Caa3/Remove		3		1	
Other			1		—	
Total		\$	41	\$	11	

(1) Represents the credit rating as of March 15, 2013.

(2) Represents the unpaid principal balance of conventional loans 90 days or more delinquent or in the process of foreclosure. Assumes primary mortgage insurance in effect at time of origination. Insurance coverage may be discontinued once a certain LTV ratio is met.

(3) Represents the estimated contractual limit for reimbursement of principal losses (i.e., risk in force) assuming the primary mortgage insurance at origination is still in effect. The amount of expected claims under these insurance contracts is substantially less than the contractual limit for reimbursement.

(4) Rating upgraded by S&P on March 8, 2013 from B- to B.

(5) Rating was downgraded by Moody's on January 16, 2013 from Ba1 to Ba2.

(6) Represents a previously issued credit rating that has been withdrawn by the rating agency.

Due to previous rating agency actions, certain MPF FHLBanks either increased their estimated allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans, required the PFI to collateralize the credit enhancement obligation, or discontinued paying the associated performance credit enhancement fees as the relevant PFIs have elected not to assume the credit enhancement obligations as their own. Certain MPF FHLBanks discontinued obtaining primary mortgage insurance coverage on new loans from mortgage insurers that have a rating below triple-B as rated by any nationally recognized statistical rating organization or from mortgage insurers where the new coverage would exceed those FHLBanks' internal exposure limits. In addition, certain MPF FHLBanks have discontinued accepting PMI coverage from any mortgage insurers that have been placed under the control or conservatorship of their state insurance regulators.

On October 20, 2011, the Arizona Department of Insurance took possession and control of PMI Mortgage Insurance Co. and beginning October 24, 2011, PMI Mortgage Insurance Co. has only been paying out 50% of claim amounts while the remainder of the claim amount owed is being deferred until the company is liquidated. On March 14, 2012, the court entered an Order for Appointment of Receiver and Injunction placing PMI Mortgage Insurance Co. into rehabilitation. No affected FHLBank expects the seizure of PMI Mortgage Insurance Co. and its limitation on claim payments to have a material effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

On January 19, 2012, the North Carolina Department of Insurance issued an Order of Supervision providing for immediate administrative supervision of Republic Mortgage Insurance Co. (RMIC). Under the order, RMIC continues to retain its status as a wholly-owned subsidiary of its parent holding company, Old Republic International Corporation. The primary effect on affected FHLBanks is that RMIC may not pay more than 50% of any claims allowed under any policy of insurance it has issued. The remaining 50% will be deferred and credited to a temporary surplus account on the books of RMIC during an initial period not to exceed one year. Accordingly, all claim payments made on January 19, 2012 and thereafter will be made at a 50% rate.

On September 14, 2012, RMIC submitted a corrective plan to the North Carolina Department of Insurance and recommended that RMIC be subject to partial initial payments on all settled claims at the rate of 60% in cash, with the remaining 40% deferred and retained in claim reserves. On November 29, 2012, the North Carolina Department of Insurance approved the corrective plan submitted by RMIC. The approved corrective plan is to be reviewed at least annually and an upward change in the initial cash payment percentage from 50% to 60% is provided in the plan retroactive to January 19, 2012. No affected FHLBank expects RMIC's limitation on claim payments to have a material effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

Supplemental Mortgage Insurance. Certain FHLBanks use supplemental mortgage insurance as a credit enhancement to limit the loss exposure for their Acquired Member Asset programs. For MPF/MPP loans credit enhanced with supplemental mortgage insurance, the FHFA's regulations require the FHLBank members that sell loans to their respective FHLBanks to maintain supplemental mortgage insurance with an insurer rated no lower than the second-highest rating category by any nationally recognized statistical rating organization, unless this requirement is waived by the FHFA. Rating downgrades imply an increased risk that the affected mortgage insurer(s) will fail to fulfill their obligations to reimburse the FHLBanks for claims under insurance policies. If a mortgage insurer fails to fulfill its obligations, the affected FHLBank(s) may bear any remaining loss of the borrower's default on the related mortgage loans not covered by the member.

On August 6, 2009, the Director of the FHFA granted a temporary waiver of this requirement subject to certain conditions. The waiver required the FHLBanks to evaluate the claims-paying ability of their supplemental mortgage insurance providers and hold retained earnings or take other steps necessary to mitigate any attendant risk associated with using a supplemental mortgage insurance provider having a rating below double-A. On July 29, 2010, the FHFA extended the waiver for an additional year and on July 31, 2011, the FHFA extended the waiver again until such time as the regulation is amended to revise or eliminate the supplemental mortgage insurance rating.

Each affected MPP FHLBank has evaluated the claims-paying ability of its supplemental mortgage insurance providers. These MPP FHLBanks determined that it is not necessary to increase the amount of required risk-based capital as a result of assigning a higher risk weighting to the assets covered by a downgraded supplemental mortgage insurance provider under the credit risk-based capital calculations. As of December 31, 2012, certain affected MPP FHLBanks increased the provisions for the probable losses from their supplemental mortgage insurance providers.

On October 19, 2012, the FHLBank of Des Moines obtained approval from the FHFA to cancel all outstanding supplemental mortgage insurance policies. Following receipt of this approval, the FHLBank of Des Moines provided a notice of cancellation to its supplemental mortgage insurance providers. As of February 28, 2013, the FHLBank of Des Moines canceled four of its five supplemental mortgage insurance policies. Upon cancellation of these policies, the respective PFI was no longer required to retain a portion of the credit risk on the underlying master loan commitment. As such, the FHLBank of Des Moines stopped paying credit enhancement fees to this PFI. The FHLBank of Des Moines began holding additional retained earnings in December 2012 to protect against losses under these master loan commitments.

The FHLBank of Seattle also canceled its supplemental mortgage insurance policies and is currently exploring options to credit enhance its conventional MPP Loans to achieve the minimum level of portfolio credit protection specified by the FHFA. The other MPP FHLBanks discontinued obtaining supplemental mortgage insurance on new loans as part of the approved new business activity plan and continue to use the downgraded insurance providers for existing loans in compliance with the temporary waiver issued by the FHFA. The FHFA approved notices of new business activity plans for certain MPP FHLBanks

that use an enhanced Lender Risk Account, which is funded by an FHLBank upfront as a portion of the purchase proceeds, for additional credit enhancement for new MPP business, consistent with FHFA regulations.

*FICO<sup>®</sup> Score and LTVs.* High LTVs, in which homeowners have little or no equity at stake, and low FICO<sup>®</sup> scores are key drivers in potential mortgage delinquencies and defaults. The FHLBanks generally consider a FICO<sup>®</sup> score of over 660, and an LTV of 80% or lower, as benchmarks indicating reduced credit risk of default. As of December 31, 2012, outstanding conventional loans with FICO<sup>®</sup> scores under 660 at origination totaled 9.1% and 3.8% of the MPF and MPP total mortgage loan portfolios. Considering both qualitative and quantitative factors, these loans were not considered high-risk loans at origination or at the time of purchase based on the Acquired Member Asset program's design and the original terms and structure of the loans. Each FHLBank's allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans reflects the incurred losses associated with loans that are considered high-risk subsequent to origination or purchase.

Table 58 presents conventional MPF and MPP loans by FICO<sup>®</sup> score at origination and current delinquency rate at December 31, 2012.

# Table 58 - MPF and MPP Conventional Loans by FICO<sup>®</sup> Score and Delinquency Rate (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012							
					Delinquent			
FICO <sup>®</sup> Score at Origination (1)		id Principal Balance	Current	30 Days	60 Days	90 Days or More		
MPF Conventional Loans	_							
619 or less	\$	598	81.3%	7.2%	2.5%	9.0%		
620-659		2,142	87.1%	5.2%	1.7%	6.0%		
660 or higher		27,249	97.2%	1.0%	0.3%	1.5%		
No FICO <sup>®</sup> score		185	93.4%	2.5%	1.0%	3.1%		
Total	\$	30,174	96.1%	1.4%	0.5%	2.0%		
MPP Conventional Loans								
619 or less	\$	17	86.8%	6.2%	1.3%	5.7%		
620-659		449	84.7%	5.6%	2.0%	7.7%		
660 or higher		11,839	96.9%	1.0%	0.4%	1.7%		
No FICO <sup>®</sup> score		-	-	-	_	-		
Total	\$	12,305	96.6%	1.1%	0.4%	1.9%		
Weighted-average FICO <sup>®</sup> score - MPF		733						
Weighted-average FICO <sup>®</sup> score - MPP		750						

(1) Represents the original lowest FICO<sup>®</sup> score of the borrowers and co-borrowers.

Table 59 presents LTV ratios at origination for MPF and MPP conventional loans outstanding at December 31, 2012.

#### Table 59 - MPF and MPP Conventional Loans by LTV Ratio at Origination

	December 31, 2012								
	 MPF Convent	tional Loans	MPP Conventional Loans						
LTV Ratio at Origination	aid Principal Balance	Percentage		aid Principal Balance	Percentage				
< = 60%	\$ 7,235	24.0%	\$	2,308	18.8%				
> 60% to 70%	4,942	16.4%		2,123	17.2%				
> 70% to 80%	14,487	48.0%		6,519	53.0%				
> 80% to 90%(1)	2,125	7.0%		813	6.6%				
> 90%(1)	1,385	4.6%		542	4.4%				
Total	\$ 30,174	100.0%	\$	12,305	100.0%				
Weighted-average LTV %	 	69.7%	-		70.9%				

(1) These conventional loans were required to have primary mortgage insurance at origination.

*Geographic Concentrations.* Tables 60 and 61 provide the percentage of unpaid principal balance of conventional mortgage loans held for portfolio outstanding at December 31, 2012 for the five largest state concentrations, with comparable data at December 31, 2011. These tables show the state concentration on an aggregated basis for all 12 FHLBanks that purchased or funded loans under the MPF Program and MPP. As a result, these tables do not necessarily reflect the actual state concentration with respect to each individual FHLBank.

#### Table 60 - State Concentrations of MPF Program

	December	r 31,(1)
	2012	2011
California	8.0%	9.3%
lowa	7.1%	6.0%
Kansas	6.1%	4.6%
Pennsylvania	5.8%	5.4%
Wisconsin	5.5%	7.1%
All other	67.5%	67.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

(1) Calculated percentage based on unpaid principal balance of conventional loans at the end of the period. The state concentrations reflect the top five states at December 31, 2012.

#### Table 61 - State Concentrations of MPP

	December	31,(1)
	2012	2011
Ohio	30.3%	27.8%
Indiana	16.2%	12.7%
Michigan	11.4%	9.5%
Kentucky	6.1%	5.5%
California	5.8%	7.0%
All other	30.2%	37.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

(1) Calculated percentage based on unpaid principal balance of conventional loans at the end of the period. The state concentrations reflect the top five states at December 31, 2012.

Derivatives and Counterparty Ratings. In addition to market risk, each FHLBank is subject to credit risk because of the potential non-performance by counterparties to interest-rate exchange agreements. The amount of counterparty credit risk on derivatives depends on the extent to which netting procedures, collateral requirements and other credit enhancements are used and are effective in mitigating the risk. Each FHLBank manages counterparty credit risk through credit analysis, collateral management and other credit enhancements. The FHLBanks are also required to follow the requirements set forth by applicable regulation. The FHLBanks require collateral on interest-rate exchange agreements. The amount of net unsecured credit exposure that is permissible with respect to each counterparty depends on the credit rating of that counterparty. A counterparty must deliver collateral to an FHLBank if the total market value of the FHLBank's exposure to that counterparty rises above a specific trigger point. As a result of these risk mitigation initiatives, the management of each FHLBank does not anticipate any credit losses on its interest-rate exchange agreements with counterparties as of December 31, 2012.

Each FHLBank actively monitors its counterparties' exposure to European sovereign debt and considers this exposure as a component of its credit risk review process. Due to the significant European sovereign credit concerns, certain FHLBanks suspended or reduced new derivatives transactions with certain European counterparties to reduce its exposure to these counterparties. An FHLBank may further suspend or limit derivatives transactions with other European counterparties in accordance with its risk management policies and regulatory requirements.

The contractual or notional amount of interest-rate exchange agreements reflects the involvement of an FHLBank in the various classes of financial instruments. The maximum credit risk of an FHLBank with respect to interest-rate exchange agreements is the estimated cost of replacing interest-rate swaps, forward agreements and purchased caps and floors if the counterparty defaults, minus the value of any related collateral. In determining maximum credit risk, each FHLBank considers,

with respect to each counterparty, accrued interest receivables and payables as well as the legal right to net assets and liabilities. Table 62 presents the derivative positions with non-member counterparties and member institutions to which the FHLBanks had credit exposure at December 31, 2012.

#### Table 62 - Derivative Counterparty Credit Exposure at December 31, 2012

(dollars in millions)

Credit Rating(1)	Notio	nal Amount	Ne	t Derivatives Fair Value Before Collateral	Ple	Cash Collateral ledged To (From) Counterparty	Non-cash Collateral Pledged To (From) Counterparty	edit Exposure unterparties
Non-member counterparties								
Asset positions with credit exposure								
Double-A	\$	3,753	\$	30	\$	(1)	\$ (3)	\$ 26
Single-A		109,021		927		(245)	(609)	73
Liability positions with credit exposure								
Double-A		1,372		(14)		14	-	_
Single-A		43,385		(1,397)		840	609	52
Triple-B		10,629		(498)		499	-	1
Total derivative positions with credit exposure to non-member counterparties		168,160		(952)		1,107	(3)	 152
Member institutions(2)		770		16		-	-	16
Total	\$	168,930	\$	(936)	\$	1,107	\$ (3)	\$ 168

(1) This chart does not reflect any changes in rating, outlook or watch status occurring after December 31, 2012. The ratings presented in this table represent the lowest long-term counterparty credit rating available for each counterparty of an individual FHLBank, based on the nationally recognized statistical rating organization(s) used by that FHLBank.

(2) Member institutions include mortgage delivery commitments and derivatives with members where an FHLBank is acting as an intermediary. Collateral held with respect to derivatives with member institutions where an FHLBank is acting as an intermediary represents the amount of eligible collateral physically held by or on behalf of the FHLBank or collateral assigned to the FHLBank, as evidenced by a written security agreement, and held by the member institution for the benefit of that FHLBank.

# **Liquidity Risk**

Liquidity risk is the risk that an FHLBank will be unable to meet its financial obligations as they come due or meet the funding needs of its members in a timely, cost-effective manner. There are two types of liquidity risk that affect the FHLBanks:

- <u>Operational Liquidity Risk.</u> The potential inability of an FHLBank to meet its deposit liquidity requirements to fund its anticipated (or unanticipated) day-to-day needs through normal sources of funding, including the short-term discount note market; and
- <u>Contingency Liquidity Risk.</u> The potential inability of an FHLBank to meet its liquidity needs due to an unanticipated increase in borrowing requests from its members or an inability to access the capital markets, including the short-term discount note market, for a period of time due to a market disruption, operational failure or problems with its credit quality.

To address liquidity risk, the FHLBank Act and FHFA regulations set liquidity requirements for the FHLBanks. (See <u>Liquidity</u> for FHFA regulations on the FHLBanks' liquidity requirements.) An individual FHLBank's board of directors may also set additional liquidity policies.

The FHLBanks' primary sources of liquidity include the issuance of consolidated discount notes and consolidated bonds and proceeds from the maturity or sale of short-term investments, including Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and U.S. government and agency securities. During 2012, the FHLBanks maintained access to funding and were able to structure their debt issuance to meet the needs of the capital markets as well as their members' need for funding. The FHLBanks' consolidated obligations outstanding declined from year-end 2011 to year-end 2012, although at a slower pace than in recent years. Consolidated bonds outstanding par value decreased by \$30,119 million, while consolidated discount notes outstanding par value increased by \$26,160 million during this time period. The FHLBanks increased their use of single-index, non-capped variable-rate consolidated bonds while reducing their use of fixed-rate consolidated bonds. (See *Combined Financial Condition - Consolidated Obligations* for additional analysis and discussion about the FHLBanks' consolidated obligations.)

# **Operational Risk**

Operational risk is the risk of potential loss due to:

- human error;
- systems malfunctions or cyberattacks;
- man-made or natural disasters;
- fraud; or
- circumvention or failure of internal controls.

The FHLBanks have established comprehensive risk assessments, as well as financial and operating policies and procedures, to reduce the likelihood of such occurrences and the potential for damage that could result from them. They have also instituted appropriate insurance coverage to reduce these risks. The policies and procedures of the FHLBanks include controls to ensure that system-generated data are reconciled to source documentation on a regular basis. The internal audit department of each FHLBank, which reports directly to the audit committee of the individual FHLBank, regularly monitors compliance by that FHLBank with the established policies and procedures. In addition, each of the FHLBanks and the Office of Finance has a disaster recovery plan that is designed to restore critical business processes and systems in the event of a disaster. Some of the operational risks of the FHLBanks and Office of Finance, however, are beyond their control. Furthermore, the failure of other parties to address their operational risk adequately could adversely affect the FHLBanks. (See <u>Risk Factors</u> - *Operational Risk* for additional information about certain operational risks and <u>Controls and Procedures</u> for additional information regarding each FHLBank's controls over its financial reporting and the Office of Finance's controls and procedures over the combined financial reporting process.)

# **Business Risk**

Business risk is the risk of an adverse effect on an FHLBank's profitability as a result of external factors. These external factors may occur in both the short and long term. Business risk includes political, strategic, reputation and/or regulatory events that are beyond the control of the individual FHLBank. From time to time, proposals or changes in laws and regulations are made or considered, which could affect the status of the FHLBanks and their costs of doing business.

Each FHLBank's board of directors and management try to reduce these business risks through long-term strategic planning and by continually monitoring economic indicators and their external environment. Additionally, the 12 FHLBanks are members of the Council of Federal Home Loan Banks (Council), a trade association based in Washington, D.C. whose primary function is to represent the positions and views of the Council's members to policymakers. The Council's mission is to: (1) ensure the FHLBanks' common legislative and regulatory interests are served; (2) promote enactment of laws and regulations that are beneficial to the FHLBanks; and (3) enhance awareness and understanding of the FHLBanks among Washington, D.C. leaders, including members of the U.S. Congress, the executive branch of the U.S. government, regulators, trade associations and the financial media.

<u>FHLBank Member Concentration Risk.</u> A number of FHLBanks also have member concentration risk. An FHLBank's financial strategies are generally designed to enable it to safely expand and contract its assets, liabilities and capital in response to changes in its member base and in its members' credit needs. An FHLBank's capital generally grows when members are required to purchase additional capital stock as they increase their advances borrowings or other business activities with their FHLBank. Some FHLBanks may also repurchase excess capital stock from members as business activities with those members decline. In addition, an individual FHLBank, at the discretion of its board of directors or management, could undertake the following capital preservation initiatives in order to meet internally established thresholds or meet its regulatory capital requirement: (1) voluntarily reduce or eliminate the payment of dividends; (2) suspend excess capital stock repurchases; or (3) raise the capital stock holding requirements for its members. As a result of these strategies, the FHLBanks have been able to achieve their mission by meeting member credit needs and managing fluctuations in assets, liabilities and capital.

A number of FHLBanks have concentrations in advances and therefore analyze the implications for their financial management and profitability if they were to lose the advances of one or more of these members. (See <u>Combined Financial</u> <u>Condition - Advances</u> for the Top 10 Advance Holding Borrowers by Holding Company for the FHLBank System's member concentration risk and Top 5 Advance Holding Borrowers by FHLBank at December 31, 2012 for more information regarding each FHLBank's member concentration risk.)

If an FHLBank loses one or more large borrowers that represent a significant portion of its business, that FHLBank could, depending on the magnitude of the effect, compensate for the loss by:

- lowering dividend rates;
- raising advance rates;
- attempting to reduce operating expenses; or
- undertaking some combination of these actions.

The magnitude of the effect would depend, in part, on the FHLBank's size and profitability at the time the institution ceases to be a borrower. Each FHLBank describes its risk management policies, including disclosures about its member concentration risk, if any, in its periodic reports filed with the SEC.

#### QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Each FHLBank is responsible for establishing its own risk management philosophies, practices and policies. Each FHLBank describes its risk management policies for its business, including quantitative and qualitative disclosures about its market risk, in its periodic reports filed with the SEC. (See *Explanatory Statement about Federal Home Loan Banks Combined Financial Report.*)

Each FHLBank has established policies and procedures to evaluate, manage and mitigate market risks. The FHFA has established regulations governing the risk management practices of the FHLBanks. The FHLBanks must file periodic compliance reports with the FHFA. The FHFA conducts an annual on-site examination of each FHLBank and the Office of Finance as well as off-site analyses.

#### Interest-Rate Risk

Interest-rate risk is the risk that relative and absolute changes in interest rates may adversely affect an institution's financial condition. The goal of an interest-rate risk management strategy is not necessarily to eliminate interest-rate risk, but to manage it by setting, and operating within, an appropriate framework and limits. The FHLBanks generally manage interest-rate risk by acquiring and maintaining a portfolio of assets and liabilities and entering into related interest-rate exchange agreements to limit the expected mismatches in duration. The FHLBanks measure and monitor interest rate-risk with commonly used methods, which include the calculations of market value of equity, duration of equity and duration gap.

The optionality embedded in certain financial instruments held by the FHLBanks can create interest-rate risk. For example, when a member prepays an advance, this can lead to lower future income for the FHLBank. If the principal portion of the advance being prepaid is reinvested in assets yielding a lower return, but that principal amount continues to be funded by the original (higher-cost) debt, the FHLBank can suffer lower net returns. To protect against this risk, each FHLBank generally charges members a prepayment fee to compensate the FHLBank for this potential loss, making it financially indifferent to the prepayment. When an FHLBank offers advances (other than short-term advances) that a member may prepay without a prepayment fee, it usually finances these advances with callable debt or otherwise hedges this option.

The FHLBanks hold mortgage-related investments, such as mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities. Because mortgage-related investments may contain prepayment options, changes in interest rates may cause the expected maturities of these investments to become shorter (prepay) or longer (extend). The rate and timing of unscheduled payments and collections of principal on mortgage loans are difficult to predict accurately and will be affected by a variety of factors. While the FHLBanks manage prepayment and extension risk by using a combination of debt and derivative financial instruments, if the level of actual prepayments is higher or lower than expected, the FHLBanks may incur additional costs to hedge the change in this market-risk exposure, which would result in reduced earnings. FHFA regulation also limits this source of interest-rate risk by restricting the types of mortgage-backed securities the FHLBanks may own. FHLBanks may own only those mortgage-backed securities with limited average life extension under certain interest-rate shock scenarios. The FHLBanks may hedge against prepayment risk by funding some mortgage-related investments with consolidated obligations that have call features. In addition, the FHLBanks may use caps, floors and other interest-rate exchange agreements to manage the extension and contraction variability of mortgage-related investments. The FHLBanks may also use interest-rate exchange agreements to change the characteristics of investment securities, other than mortgage-backed securities, to match the cash flow characteristics and/or market value of the hedged item.

#### Market Value of Equity and Duration of Equity

An FHLBank may analyze its interest-rate risk exposure by evaluating its theoretical market value of equity. Market value of equity represents the difference between the theoretical market value of total assets and the theoretical market value of total liabilities, including off-balance sheet items. It measures, in present value terms, the long-term economic value of current capital and the long-term level and volatility of net interest income. Generally, an FHLBank analyzes the sensitivity of the market value of equity to changes in interest rates, prepayment speeds, options prices, mortgage and debt spreads, interest rate volatility, and other market variables. Therefore, market values can be calculated under various interest rate scenarios, and the resulting changes in net equity can provide an indicator of the exposure of the FHLBank's market value of equity to market value of equity should not be considered indicative of the market value of an FHLBank in a liquidation scenario because it does not consider future new business activities, risk management strategies, or the net profitability of assets after funding costs are subtracted.

Another measure of interest-rate risk is duration of equity, which measures how sensitive a theoretical market value of equity is to changes in interest rates. Duration of equity equals the market value-weighted duration of assets minus the market value-weighted duration of liabilities, divided by the market value of equity. Each FHLBank has an internal modeling system for measuring its duration of equity; therefore, individual FHLBank measurements may not be directly comparable. Each FHLBank reports the results of its duration of equity calculations to the FHFA each quarter. However, not all FHLBanks manage to the duration of equity risk measure. The capital adequacy rules of the FHFA require each FHLBank to hold permanent capital in an amount sufficient to cover the sum of its credit, market and operations risk-based capital requirements, which are defined by applicable regulations. Each FHLBank has developed a market risk model that calculates the market risk component of this requirement.

Table 63 presents each FHLBank that includes quantitative market value of equity and duration of equity information in its individual 2012 SEC Form 10-K.

FHLBank	Market Value of Equity	Duration of Equity
Boston	$\checkmark$	✓
New York	✓	✓
Pittsburgh	(1)	$\checkmark$
Atlanta	✓	✓
Cincinnati	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Indianapolis	$\checkmark$	✓
Chicago	$\checkmark$	(2)
Des Moines	(3)	(3)
Dallas	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Topeka	(4)	✓
San Francisco	$\checkmark$	(5)
Seattle	$\checkmark$	✓

<sup>(1)</sup> The FHLBank of Pittsburgh's market value of equity volatility metrics are monitored. The FHLBank of Pittsburgh measures market value of equity to par value of capital stock, as described in its 2012 SEC Form 10-K. The FHLBank of Pittsburgh also monitors the earned dividend spread (EDS) volatility metric relative to a predetermined EDS floor, established and approved by its board of directors.

 The FHLBank of Chicago disclosed the dollar limits on changes in market value under parallel interest rate shocks in addition to duration of equity in its 2012 SEC Form 10-K.
 Although the FHLBank of Des Moines measures and monitors market value of equity and duration of equity, those measures are not disclosed as key market risk measures. The FHLBank of Des Moines disclosed, in its 2012 SEC Form 10-K, market value of capital stock (MVCS) and economic value of capital stock (EVCS) as key risk measures. The FHLBank of Des Moines measures and limits movements in MVCS.

(5) Although the FHLBank of San Francisco measures duration of equity, this measure is not disclosed as a key market risk measure.

Table 64 presents the duration of equity reported by each FHLBank to the FHFA in accordance with the FHFA's guidance, which prescribes that down and up interest-rate shocks equal 200 basis points. However, the applicable regulation restricts the down rate from assuming a negative interest rate. Therefore, each FHLBank adjusts the down rate accordingly in periods of very low levels of interest rates.

<sup>(4)</sup> The FHLBank of Topeka measures and monitors market value of equity (MVE); however, the FHLBank of Topeka measures market value risk in terms of its MVE in relation to its total regulatory capital stock outstanding instead of to its book value of equity. As described in its 2012 SEC Form 10-K, the FHLBank of Topeka believes this is a reasonable metric because, as a cooperative, the metric reflects the market value of the FHLBank of Topeka relative to the book value of its capital stock.

# Table 64 - Duration of Equity

(in years)

		December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011	
FHLBank	Down	Base	Up	Down	Base	Up
Boston	0.5	0.3	3.1	1.8	1.1	2.6
New York	0.6	(1.5)	2.0	1.3	0.0	2.7
Pittsburgh	1.2	2.5	2.6	1.7	2.7	4.4
Atlanta	(0.9)	(0.8)	2.6	(0.3)	1.3	3.3
Cincinnati	1.8	1.9	4.1	(0.3)	(3.8)	3.7
Indianapolis	(4.2)	0.3	0.4	(6.9)	(1.5)	2.4
Chicago	2.4	0.8	(3.5)	2.8	2.3	1.8
Des Moines	(1.4)	(2.4)	7.2	(2.3)	(1.6)	9.1
Dallas	3.4	1.6	2.5	4.7	1.2	3.8
Topeka	(0.1)	(0.6)	0.3	0.9	(0.4)	0.2
San Francisco	(0.1)	(0.3)	1.2	1.9	2.1	1.7
Seattle	0.0	1.5	4.1	0.9	2.2	4.8

#### **Duration Gap**

A related measure of interest-rate risk is duration gap, which is the difference between the estimated durations (market value sensitivity) of assets and liabilities and reflects the extent to which estimated maturity and repricing cash flows for assets and liabilities are matched. Duration gap determines the sensitivity of assets and liabilities to interest-rate changes. Each FHLBank has an internal modeling system for measuring its duration gap; therefore, individual FHLBank measurements may not be directly comparable. Duration generally indicates the expected change in an instrument's market value resulting from an increase or a decrease in interest rates. Higher duration numbers, whether positive or negative, indicate greater volatility in the market value of equity in response to changing interest rates. Duration gap numbers in Table 65 include the effect of interest-rate exchange agreements.

#### Table 65 - Duration Gap

(in months)

FHLBank	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Boston	0.4	0.9
New York	(1.4)	(0.2)
Pittsburgh	1.3	1.4
Atlanta	(0.7)	0.6
Cincinnati	0.1	(0.3)
Indianapolis	(0.7)	(2.1)
Chicago	0.4	1.1
Des Moines	(2.0)	(1.4)
Dallas	0.8	0.5
Topeka	(0.4)	(0.3)
San Francisco	(1.2)	1.5
Seattle	0.1	0.1

# Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest-Rate Risk

An FHLBank enters into derivatives to manage interest-rate risk, prepayment risk and exposure inherent in otherwise unhedged assets and funding positions. An FHLBank attempts to use derivatives to reduce interest-rate exposure in the most cost-efficient manner. Derivatives are used to adjust the effective maturity, repricing frequency, or option characteristics of financial instruments to achieve risk-management objectives. (See <u>Note 11 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements for a discussion of managing interest-rate risk exposure.)

Table 66 presents the notional amount of the derivatives used to manage interest-rate risk. The notional amount of derivatives serves as a factor in determining periodic interest payments or cash flows received and paid. The notional amount

of derivatives represents neither the actual amounts exchanged nor the overall exposure of the FHLBanks to credit and market risk. The overall amount that could potentially be subject to credit loss is much smaller. Interest-rate risk is evaluated on a portfolio basis by each FHLBank, taking into account the derivatives, the items being hedged and any offsets between the two.

# Table 66 - Hedging Strategies

(dollars in millions)

			December 31,				
Hedged Item / Hedging Instrument	Hedging Objective	Hedge Accounting Designation(1)	2012 Notional Amount	2011 Notional Amount			
Advances(2)							
Pay-fixed, receive-float interest-	Converts the advance's fixed rate to a variable-rate	Fair Value	\$ 82,351	\$ 104,024			
rate swap (without options)	index.	Economic	8,917	7,756			
Pay-fixed, receive-float interest-	Converts the advance's fixed rate to a variable-rate	Fair Value	64,788	80,028			
rate swap (with options)	index and offsets option risk in the advance.	Economic	1,204	2,744			
Pay-float with embedded features, receive-float interest- rate swap (non-callable)	Reduces interest-rate sensitivity and repricing gaps by converting the advance's variable rate to a different variable-rate index and/or offsets embedded option risk in the advance.	Fair Value	3,346	965			
Pay-float with embedded features, receive-float interest- rate swap (callable)	Reduces interest-rate sensitivity and repricing gaps by converting the advance's variable rate to a different variable-rate index and/or offsets embedded option risk in the advance.	Fair Value	900	1,210			
Pay-float, receive-float basis swap	Reduces interest-rate sensitivity and repricing gaps by converting the advance's variable rate to a different variable-rate index.	Economic	566	454			
Interest-rate cap, floor, corridor,	Offsets the interest cap, floor, corridor or collar	Fair Value	285	285			
or collar	embedded in a variable-rate advance.	Economic	188	213			
Interest-rate swaption	Provides the option to enter into an interest-rate swap to offset interest-rate risk associated with an optional advance commitment.	Economic	50	200			
		Total	162,595	197,879			
Investment securities(3)							
Pay-fixed, receive-float interest-	Converts the investment security's fixed rate to a	Fair Value	14,750	14,824			
rate swap	variable-rate index.	Economic	4,923	7,954			
Pay-float, receive-float interest- rate swap	Converts the investment security's variable rate to a different variable-rate index.	Economic	2,743	1,733			
Interest-rate cap or floor	Offsets the interest-rate cap or floor embedded in a variable-rate investment.	Economic	11,517	12,847			
		Total	33,933	37,358			
Mortgage loans(4)							
Pay-fixed, receive-float interest-	Converts the mortgage loan's fixed rate to a variable-	Fair Value	—	1,010			
rate swap	rate index.	Economic	7,277	11,910			
Receive-fixed, pay-float interest-	Converts the variable rate to a fixed rate in a pooled	Fair Value	-	424			
rate swap	mortgage portfolio hedge.	Economic	4,247	8,997			
Interest-rate swaption	Provides the option to enter into an interest-rate swap	Fair Value	—	370			
	to offset interest-rate or prepayment risk in a pooled mortgage portfolio hedge.	Economic	8,690	4,820			
Interest-rate cap or floor	Protects against changes in income of certain mortgage assets due to changes in interest rates.	Economic	1,875	1,875			
Forward settlement agreement	Protects against changes in market value of fixed-rate mortgage delivery commitments resulting from changes in interest rates.	Economic	250	536			
		Total	22,339	29,942			
Deposits							
Receive-fixed, pay-float interest- rate swap	Converts the deposit's fixed rate to a variable-rate index.	Fair Value	20	20			
		Total	20	20			

			Decemb	
Hedged Item / Hedging Instrument	Hedging Objective	Hedge Accounting Designation(1)	2012 Notional Amount	2011 Notional Amount
Consolidated Bonds(5)				
Receive-fixed or structured, pay- float interest-rate swap (without	Converts the bond's fixed or structured rate to a variable-rate index.	Fair Value	169,798	191,339
options)		Economic	33,440	25,120
Receive-fixed or structured, pay- float interest-rate swap (with options)	Converts the bond's fixed- or structured-rate to a variable-rate index and offsets option risk in the bond.	Fair Value Economic	50,959 4,543	76,203 9,846
Receive-float with embedded features, pay-float interest-rate swap (callable)	Reduces interest-rate sensitivity and repricing gaps by converting the bond's variable rate to a different variable-rate index and/or offsets embedded option risk in the bond.	Fair Value	2,380	2,834
Receive-float with embedded features, pay-float interest rate swap (non-callable)	Reduces interest-rate sensitivity and repricing gaps by converting the bond's variable rate to a different variable-rate index and/or offsets embedded option risk in the bond.	Fair Value	175	115
Receive-float, pay-float basis swap	Reduces interest-rate sensitivity and repricing gaps by converting the bond's variable rate to a different variable-rate index.	Economic	41,548	37,218
Pay-fixed, receive-float interest- rate swap	Fixed-rate or floating-rate non-callable bond, which may have been previously converted to LIBOR, converted to fixed-rate debt that offsets the interest-rate risk of mortgage assets.	Economic	535	470
Basis swap	Fixed-rate or floating-rate non-callable bond previously converted to a floating-rate index, converted to another floating rate to reduce interest-rate sensitivity and repricing gaps.	Economic	11,061	14,300
Forward-starting interest-rate swap	Locks in the cost of funding on anticipated issuance of debt.	Cash Flow	1,250	700
		Total	315,689	358,145
Consolidated Discount Notes(6)				
Receive-fixed, pay-float interest-	Converts the discount note's fixed rate to a variable-rate index.	Fair Value	-	4,391
rate swap	index.	Economic	5,881	41,248
Pay-fixed, receive-float interest- rate swap (with options)	Discount note converted to fixed-rate callable debt that offsets the prepayment risk of mortgage assets.	Economic	755	1,865
Pay-fixed, receive-float interest- rate swap (without options)	Discount note converted to fixed-rate non-callable debt that offsets the interest-rate risk of mortgage assets.	Economic	630	1,580
Interest-rate cap, floor, or swap	Mitigates the variability of cash flows associated with the benchmark interest rate (LIBOR).	Cash Flow	7,824	8,101
		Total	15,090	57,185
Balance Sheet				
Pay-fixed, receive-float interest- rate swap	Converts the asset or liability fixed rate to a variable- rate index.	Economic	125	125
Pay-float, receive-float basis swap	To reduce interest-rate sensitivity and repricing gaps by converting the asset's or liability's variable rate to the same variable-rate index as the funding source or asset being funded.	Economic	4,700	4,700
Interest-rate cap or floor	Protects against changes in income of certain assets due to changes in interest rates.	Economic	17,842	17,842
		Total	22,667	22,667
Intermediary Positions and Other				
Pay-fixed, receive-fixed interest- rate swap	To offset interest-rate swaps executed with members by executing interest-rate swaps with derivatives counterparties.	Economic	265	275
Pay-fixed, receive-float interest- rate swap, and receive-fixed, pay-float interest-rate swap	To provide interest-rate swaps to members and to offset interest-rate swaps executed with members by executing interest-rate swaps with derivatives counterparties.	Economic	680	579
Interest-rate cap or floor	To offset interest-rate caps or floors executed with members by executing interest-rate caps or floors with derivatives counterparties.	Economic	636	976
		Total	1,581	1,830
			· · · ·	,

			Decem	ber 31,
Hedged Item / Hedging Instrument	Hedging Objective	Hedge Accounting Designation(1)	2012 Notional Amount	2011 Notional Amount
Stand-Alone Derivatives				
Mortgage delivery commitment	Exposed to fair-value risk associated with fixed-rate mortgage purchase commitments.	N/A	1,566	1,274
		Total	1,566	1,274
Total Notional Amount			\$ 575,480	\$ 706,300

(1) The Fair Value and Cash Flow categories represent hedging strategies for which qualifying hedge accounting is achieved. The Economic category represents hedging strategies for which qualifying hedge accounting is not achieved.

(2) At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the par value of advances outstanding was \$413,600 million and \$403,286 million.

(3) At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the fair value of trading securities was \$11,133 million and \$18,705 million and the amortized cost of AFS securities was \$64,930 million and \$79,964 million.

(4) At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans held for portfolio was \$48,811 million and \$52,950 million.

(5) At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the par value of consolidated bonds outstanding was \$471,365 million and \$501,484 million.

(6) At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the par value of consolidated discount notes outstanding was \$216,335 million and \$190,175 million.

At December 31, 2012, certain FHLBanks had full fair value hedges of advances with a notional amount of \$96 million and an estimated fair value loss of \$6 million and certain FHLBanks had full fair value hedges of consolidated bonds with a notional amount of \$15,643 million and an estimated fair value gain of \$500 million. The remaining fair value hedges presented in Table 66 at December 31, 2012 represent benchmark interest-rate hedges.

Table 67 presents the effect of derivatives and hedging activities on net interest income and non-interest income (loss) resulting from the FHLBanks' hedging strategies.

#### Table 67 - Effect of Derivatives and Hedging Activities

(dollars in millions)

		Year Ended December 31, 2012																	
	Ad	lvances		estment curities		rtgage Dans	Dep	osits	Со	nsolidated Bonds		nsolidated Discount Notes		ance neet	A	ptional dvance mitments	termediary sitions and Other	т	otal
Net interest income	_																		
Amortization and accretion of hedging activities in net interest income(1)	\$	(701)	\$	116	\$	(70)	\$	_	\$	183	\$	(9)	\$	_	\$	_	\$ _	\$	(481)
Net interest settlements included in net interest income(2)		(4,533)		(497)		(2)		2		2,509		(293)		_		_	_	(2	2,814)
Total effect on net interest income	\$	(5,234)	\$	(381)	\$	(72)	\$	2	\$	2,692	\$	(302)	\$	_	\$	-	\$ _	\$(3	3,295)
Net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities																,	 ,		
Gains (losses) on fair value hedges	\$	199	\$	30	\$	1	\$	—	\$	(37)	\$	(2)	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	191
Gains (losses) on cash flow hedges		-		-		_		_		-		3		_		-	-		3
Gains (losses) on derivatives not receiving hedge accounting		(149)		(139)		(6)		_		200		(22)		(29)		(2)	_		(147)
Total net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities		50		(109)		(5)		_		163		(21)		(29)		(2)	_		47
Net gains (losses) on trading securities(3)		_		(107)		_		_		_		_		_		_	_		(107)
Net gains (losses) on financial instruments held at fair value		(21)		_		_		_		11		3		_		2	_		(5)
Total effect on non-interest income (loss)	\$	29	\$	(216)	\$	(5)	\$	_	\$	174	\$	(18)	\$	(29)	\$	_	\$ _	\$	(65)

		Year Ended December 31, 2011																
	Ad	vances		estment curities		rtgage oans	Dep	osits		nsolidated Bonds		nsolidated Discount Notes		lance heet	Optional Advance nmitments	termediary sitions and Other	То	otal
Net interest income																		
Amortization and accretion of hedging activities in net interest income(1)	\$	(374)	\$	39	\$	(60)	\$	_	\$	166	\$	(17)	\$	_	\$ _	\$ _	\$ (	(246)
Net interest settlements included in net interest income(2)		(6,417)		(393)		(8)		2		4,191		(319)		_	_	_	(2,	,944)
Total effect on net interest income	\$	(6,791)	\$	(354)	\$	(68)	\$	2	\$	4,357	\$	(336)	\$	-	\$ _	\$ _	\$(3,	,190)
Net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities															 	 		
Gains (losses) on fair value hedges	\$	203	\$	30	\$	(5)	\$	—	\$	(9)	\$	1	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	220
Gains (losses) on cash flow hedges		37		-		_		_		-		4		-	-	-		41
Gains (losses) on derivatives not receiving hedge accounting		(384)		(386)		28		_		312		(85)		(117)	(12)	_	(	(644)
Total net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities	_	(144)		(356)		23		_		303		(80)		(117)	 (12)	 _	(	(383)
Net gains (losses) on trading securities(3)		_		32		_		_		_		_		_	_	_		32
Net gains (losses) on financial instruments held at fair value		184		_		_		_		(188)		(2)		_	12	_		6
Total effect on non-interest income (loss)	\$	40	\$	(324)	\$	23	\$	_	\$	115	\$	(82)	\$	(117)	\$ _	\$ _	\$ (	(345)

		Year Ended December 31, 2010																		
	Ad	vances		estment curities		rtgage Dans	Dep	posits		nsolidated Bonds		onsolidated Discount Notes		lance neet	Ā	Optional Advance nmitments		termediary sitions and Other	То	tal
Net interest income					_														_	
Amortization and accretion of hedging activities in net interest income(1)	\$	(493)	\$	11	\$	(45)	\$	_	\$	180	\$	(18)	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$ (	(365)
Net interest settlements included in net interest income(2)		(9,097)		(266)		(47)		2		6,046		(308)		_		_		_	(3,	,670)
Total effect on net interest income	\$	(9,590)	\$	(255)	\$	(92)	\$	2	\$	6,226	\$	(326)	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$(4,	,035)
Net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities	_						_		_		_		_				_			
Gains (losses) on fair value hedges	\$	269	\$	12	\$	(3)	\$	-	\$	(3)	\$	(3)	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	272
Gains (losses) on cash flow hedges		-		-		-		-		-		5		—		_		-		5
Gains (losses) on derivatives not receiving hedge accounting		(319)		(495)		_		_		346		(76)		(38)		_		1	(	(581)
Total net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities		(50)		(483)		(3)		_		343	_	(74)		(38)		_		1	(	(304)
Net gains (losses) on trading securities(3)	_	_		83	_	_		-		_	_	_		_		_		_		83
Net gains (losses) on financial instruments held at fair value		(163)		_		_		_		63		(2)		_		(4)		_	(	(106)
Total effect on non-interest income (loss)	\$	(213)	\$	(400)	\$	(3)	\$	_	\$	406	\$	(76)	\$	(38)	\$	(4)	\$	1	\$ (	(327)

Represents amortization and accretion of hedging adjustments included in net interest income. Represents interest income or expense on derivatives included in net interest income. Includes only those gains or losses on trading securities that have an economic derivative "assigned;" therefore, this line item may not agree to the Combined Statement of (1) (2) (3) Income.

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

# **Financial Statements**

The combined financial statements and accompanying notes, including the Office of Finance Audit Committee Report and the Independent Auditor's Report, begin on page F-1 of this Combined Financial Report.

#### **Supplementary Financial Data**

#### Table 68 - Selected Quarterly Combined Results of Operations (Unaudited)

(dollars in millions)

	2012 Quarter Ended										
	December 31	September 30	June 30	March 31							
Total interest income	\$ 2,396	\$ 2,514	\$ 2,572	\$ 2,712							
Total interest expense	1,407	1,499	1,569	1,667							
Net interest income	989	1,015	1,003	1,045							
Provision (reversal) for credit losses	2	6	1	12							
Net interest income after provision (reversal) for credit losses	987	1,009	1,002	1,033							
Non-interest income (loss)	(2)	(41)	(142)	25							
Non-interest expense	249	235	243	242							
Assessments	75	73	65	83							
Net income (loss)	\$ 661	\$ 660	\$ 552	\$ 733							

			2011 Qua	rter En	ded	
	Decem	ıber 31	September 30		June 30	March 31
Total interest income	\$	2,762	\$ 2,772	\$	2,889	\$ 3,052
Total interest expense		1,680	1,751		1,881	1,992
Net interest income		1,082	1,021		1,008	1,060
Provision (reversal) for credit losses		28	12		10	21
Net interest income after provision (reversal) for credit losses		1,054	1,009		998	1,039
Non-interest income (loss)		(155)	(253)		(406)	(288)
Non-interest expense		319	237		240	261
Assessments		65	50		101	132
Net income (loss)	\$	515	\$ 469	\$	251	\$ 358

# CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON COMBINED ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

There were no changes in accountants or disagreements with accountants in the period covered by this Combined Financial Report.

#### **CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### **FHLBanks**

The management of each FHLBank is required under applicable laws and regulations to establish and maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures as well as effective internal control over financial reporting, as such disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting relate to that FHLBank only. Each FHLBank's management assessed the effectiveness of its individual internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the framework established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, each FHLBank's management concluded, as of December 31, 2012, that its individual internal control over financial reporting firm of each FHLBank opined that the individual *FHLBank* maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. (See *Part II. Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* or *Item 9A - Controls and Procedures* of each FHLBank's 2012 SEC Form 10-K for its *Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*.)

Each of the FHLBanks indicated that there were no changes to its internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2012 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to affect, its internal control over financial reporting. Additionally, management of each FHLBank concluded that its disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level as of the quarter ended December 31, 2012. (See *Part II. Item 9A - Controls and Procedures* of each FHLBank's 2012 SEC Form 10-K.)

#### Office of Finance Controls and Procedures over the Combined Financial Reporting Combining Process

The Office of Finance is not responsible for the preparation, accuracy or adequacy of the information or financial data provided by the FHLBanks to the Office of Finance for use in preparing the combined financial reports, or for the quality or effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting of the FHLBanks as they relate to such information and financial data. Each FHLBank is responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting with respect to the information and financial data provided to the Office of Finance. Although the Office of Finance is not an SEC registrant, FHFA regulations require that the combined financial report form and content generally be consistent with SEC Regulations S-K and S-X, as interpreted by the FHFA. The Office of Finance is not required to establish and maintain, and in light of the nature of its role has not established and maintained, disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting at the FHLBank System level in the same manner as those maintained by each FHLBank. The Office of Finance has established procedures and controls concerning the FHLBanks' submission of information and financial data to the Office of Finance, the process of combining the financial statements and other financial information of the individual FHLBanks and the review of that information.

The Office of Finance does not independently verify the financial information submitted by each FHLBank that comprise the combined financial statements, the condensed combining schedules and other disclosures included in this Combined Financial Report. Instead, the Office of Finance relies on each FHLBank management's certification and representation regarding the accuracy and completeness, in all material respects, of its data submitted to the Office of Finance for use in preparing this Combined Financial Report.

#### Audit Committee Charter

The charter of the audit committee of the Office of Finance's board of directors is available on the Office of Finance's website at <u>www.fhlb-of.com</u>. This web site address is provided as a matter of convenience only, and its contents are not made part of this report and are not intended to be incorporated by reference into this report.

#### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Each FHLBank is a member-owned cooperative, whose members elect a majority of that FHLBank's directors from among the officers and directors of its members. The FHLBanks conduct their advances and mortgage loan business primarily with members. As a result, in the normal course of business, the FHLBanks regularly extend credit to members whose officers and/ or directors may serve as directors of the FHLBanks and members (or affiliates) owning more than 5% of an FHLBank's capital stock. This credit is extended on market terms that are no more favorable to these "related" members than comparable transactions with other members of the same FHLBank. As of December 31, 2012, the FHLBanks had \$50.0 billion of advances outstanding to members whose officers and/or directors were serving as directors of the FHLBanks, which represented 12.1% of total advances at par value. (See <u>Market for Capital Stock and Related Stockholder Matters</u> and <u>Financial Discussion and Analysis - Combined Financial Condition - Advances</u> for additional information on FHLBank advances and membership.)

An FHLBank provides Affordable Housing Program subsidies in the form of direct grants and below-market interest rate advances to members, which use the funds to assist in the purchase, construction, or rehabilitation of housing for very low-, low-, and moderate-income households. Only FHLBank members, along with their non-member Affordable Housing Program project sponsors, may submit Affordable Housing Program applications. All Affordable Housing Program subsidies are made in the normal course of business.

An FHLBank also provides subsidies in the form of grants and below-market interest rate advances or standby letters of credit to members for community lending and economic development projects under the Community Investment Program and Community Investment Cash Advance programs. Only Bank members may submit applications for these credit program subsidies. These subsidies are made in the normal course of business.

In instances where an Affordable Housing Program, Community Investment Program or Community Investment Cash Advance transaction involve a member (or its affiliate) owning more than 5% of an FHLBank's capital stock, a member with an officer or director who serves as a director of an FHLBank, or an entity with an officer, director or general partner who serves as a director of an FHLBank (and has a direct or indirect interest in the subsidy), the transaction is subject to the same eligibility and other program criteria and requirements as all other transactions, and the regulations governing the operations of the relevant program.

An FHLBank may also have deposits with members and purchase short-term investments, Federal funds and mortgagebacked securities from members, some of whose officers and/or directors may serve as directors of their respective FHLBank. All investments are market-rate transactions and all mortgage-backed securities are purchased through securities brokers or dealers. (See each FHLBank's 2012 SEC Form 10-K under *Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence* for additional information regarding related transactions with its members.)

#### PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Each of the audit committees of the FHLBanks and the Office of Finance pre-approve audit and non-audit services provided by the principal independent public accountant to the entity it oversees. Also, each audit committee annually considers whether the services identified under the caption "all other fees" and rendered to the entity it oversees are compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence. Table 69 presents the aggregate fees billed or to be billed to the FHLBanks by their principal independent public accountant, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

#### **Table 69 - Principal Accounting Fees and Services**

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,					
	 2012		2011			
Audit fees(1)	\$ 9	\$	9			
Audit-related fees(2)	1		1			
Tax fees	-		-			
All other fees(3)	1		1			
Total fees	\$ 11	\$	11			

(1) Audit fees consist of fees incurred in connection with the annual audits and quarterly reviews of the FHLBanks' individual and combined financial statements, including audits of internal controls over financial reporting, and for the review of related financial information.

(2) Audit-related fees primarily consist of assurance and related services related to accounting consultations and combined audit central team services.

(3) All other fees consist of services rendered for non-financial information system related consulting. No fees were paid to the principal independent public accountant for financial information system design and implementation.

#### **OFFICE OF FINANCE AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT**

By Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) regulation, the Audit Committee of the Office of Finance Board of Directors (OF Board) performs oversight duties in connection with the preparation of the annual combined financial report of the Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLBanks), which includes the audited combined financial statements of the FHLBanks. The Audit Committee is comprised of five independent directors not employed by an FHLBank or the Office of Finance; who were selected by the Office of Finance Board, subject to review by the FHFA; and who as a group must have substantial experience in financial and accounting matters. In connection with its duties, the Audit Committee has adopted a written charter, which has been posted on the Office of Finance web site. The Audit Committee members are not required to satisfy any express qualification or independence standards governing their service as an audit committee that are separate and distinct from their qualifications to serve as members of the Office of Finance Board.

There is no system-wide centralized management of the FHLBanks. Each FHLBank is a separately chartered entity. Each has its own board of directors and management. Each FHLBank's board of directors has established an audit committee, the members of which are required to meet express qualification and independence standards established by the FHFA and the audit committee independence requirements set forth in Section 10A(m) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, but who may not be considered "independent" based on corporate governance standards of independence used by the FHLBanks for disclosure purposes as required under SEC rules and regulations. In addition, each FHLBank's board of directors and management is responsible for establishing its own accounting and financial reporting policies in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Each FHLBank is subject to certain reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and must file periodic reports and other information including annual audited financial statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission. (See *Explanatory Statement about Federal Home Loan Banks Combined Financial Report*.)

In connection with its responsibilities in preparing combined financial reports and combined financial statements, the Office of Finance is responsible for combining the financial information it receives from each of the FHLBanks. Each FHLBank is responsible for the financial information and the underlying data it provides to the Office of Finance for inclusion in the combined financial reports and combined financial statements. Based on FHFA regulation and guidance related to the combined financial reports, the Audit Committee's responsibilities are limited to the oversight of the preparation of the combined financial reports with regard to the basis and approach to combining information from the FHLBanks. The Audit Committee is responsible for ensuring that the FHLBanks adopt consistent accounting policies and procedures to the extent necessary for information submitted by the FHLBanks to the Office of Finance to be combined to create accurate and meaningful combined financial reports. However, the Audit Committee is not responsible for overseeing the reliability and integrity of the accounting policies and financial reporting of the individual FHLBanks or the accuracy of the information that they submit to the Office of Finance.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited combined financial statements with senior management of the Office of Finance, and discussed with the independent accountants the matters required to be discussed by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

The Audit Committee has also received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountant required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent accountant their independence.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee of the Office of Finance Board determined to include the audited combined financial statements in the FHLBanks' 2012 Combined Financial Report.

Jonathan A. Scott, Chair J. Michael Davis Kathleen Crum McKinney Walter H. Morris, Jr. H Ronald Weissman

March 29, 2013

#### **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

To the Board of Directors of the Federal Home Loan Banks Office of Finance:

We have audited the accompanying combined financial statements of the twelve Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLBanks), which comprise the combined statements of condition as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related combined statements of income, comprehensive income, capital and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Combined Financial Statements

Management of the FHLBanks Office of Finance and the FHLBanks are responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the combined financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the combined financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the combined financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the combined financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the combined financial statements. The procedures selected depend on our judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the combined financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, we consider internal control relevant to the FHLBanks' preparation and fair presentation of the combined financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the FHLBanks' internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the combined financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the combined financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the FHLBanks at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Other Matter**

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the combined financial statements taken as a whole. The condensed combining information shown on pages F-84 to F-101 is the responsibility of management of the FHLBanks Office of Finance and the FHLBanks and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the combined financial statements. The condensed combining information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the combined financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the combined financial statements themselves and other additional procedures, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the condensed combining information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the combined financial statements taken as a whole. The condensed combining information is presented for purposes of additional analysis of the combined financial statements rather than to present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the individual FHLBanks and is not a required part of the combined financial statements.

Aricewaterhouse Coopers LLA

McLean, Virginia March 29, 2013

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS COMBINED STATEMENT OF CONDITION

		Decem	ber 31	31,	
(dollars in millions, except par value)	_	2012		2011	
Assets					
Cash and due from banks (Note 3)	\$	18,560	\$	20,182	
Interest-bearing deposits		1,007		1,207	
Securities purchased under agreements to resell		35,839		12,675	
Federal funds sold		44,010		41,139	
Investment securities					
Trading securities, includes \$15 pledged as collateral that may be repledged at December 31, 2011 (Note 4)		11,133		18,705	
Available-for-sale securities, includes \$9 and \$646 pledged as collateral that may be repledged (Note 5)		65,733		78,062	
Held-to-maturity securities, includes \$105 and \$651 pledged as collateral that may be repledged, fair value of \$111,167 and \$120,886 ( <i>Note 6</i> )		108,103		119,477	
Total investment securities		184,969		216,244	
Advances, includes \$7,900 and \$8,693 at fair value held under fair value option (Note 8)		425,750		418,157	
Mortgage loans held for portfolio, net					
Mortgage loans held for portfolio (Note 9)		49,557		53,515	
Allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans (Note 10)		(132)		(138)	
Total mortgage loans held for portfolio, net		49,425		53,377	
Accrued interest receivable		1,255		1,613	
Premises, software and equipment, net		213		221	
Derivative assets, net (Note 11)		815		636	
Other assets		611		635	
Total assets	\$	762,454	\$	766,086	
Liabilities					
Deposits (Note 12)					
Interest-bearing	\$	12,159	\$	12,203	
Non-interest-bearing		1,562		361	
Total deposits		13,721		12,564	
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase				400	
Consolidated obligations (Note 13)					
Discount notes, includes \$3,198 and \$19,862 at fair value held under fair value option		216,282		190,149	
Bonds, includes \$47,645 and \$38,981 at fair value held under fair value option		475,856		506,975	
Total consolidated obligations		692,138		697,124	
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock		6,929		8,013	
Accrued interest payable		1,400		1,763	
Affordable Housing Program payable (Note 14)		746		718	
Derivative liabilities, net (Note 11)		2,544		3,570	
Other liabilities, includes \$2 at fair value held under fair value option at December 31, 2011		1,427		1,113	
Subordinated notes (Note 16)		1,000		1,000	
Total liabilities		719,905		726,265	
Commitments and contingencies (Note 21)		, 19,903		, 20,203	
Capital (Note 17)					
Capital stock					
Class B putable (\$100 par value) issued and outstanding shares: 330,237,163 and 324,834,330		33,021		32,485	
Class A putable (\$100 par value) issued and outstanding shares: 5,145,255 and 6,566,418		514		655	
Pre-conversion putable (\$100 par value) issued and outstanding shares: 24,023,588 at December 31, 2011				2,402	
Total capital stock		33,535		35,542	
Retained earnings		55,555		33,342	
Unrestricted		7,935		6,603	
Restricted	_	2,589		1,974	
Total retained earnings		10,524		8,577	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (Note 18)	_	(1,510)		(4,298	
Total capital	ć	42,549	ć	39,821	
Total liabilities and capital	Ş	762,454	\$	766,086	

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS COMBINED STATEMENT OF INCOME

	,	1,	
(dollars in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Interest income			
Advances	\$ 3,106	\$ 3,340	\$ 4,594
Prepayment fees on advances, net	341	257	533
Interest-bearing deposits	19	9	15
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	53	17	42
Federal funds sold	78	101	150
Trading securities	316	404	343
Available-for-sale securities	1,518	1,448	1,268
Held-to-maturity securities	2,571	3,258	4,362
Mortgage loans	2,189	2,639	3,187
Other	3	2	4
Total interest income	10,194	11,475	14,498
Interest expense			
Consolidated obligations - Discount notes	524	529	667
Consolidated obligations - Bonds	5,471	6,645	8,462
Deposits	5	8	17
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		17	18
Subordinated notes	57	57	57
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock	85	48	54
Other borrowings	-		1
Total interest expense	6,142	7,304	9,276
Net interest income	4,052	4,171	5,222
Provision (reversal) for credit losses	4,032	4,171	58
	4,031	4,100	5,164
Net interest income after provision (reversal) for credit losses	4,051	4,100	5,104
Non-interest income (loss)			
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	(20)	(606)	(1 125)
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(89)	(606)	(1,125)
Net amount of impairment losses reclassified to/(from) accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(23)	(250)	54
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses	(112)	(856)	(1,071)
Net gains (losses) on trading securities	(152)	(11)	69
Net realized gains (losses) from sale of available-for-sale securities	15	24	20
Net realized gains (losses) from sale of held-to-maturity securities	30	35	8
Net gains (losses) on financial instruments held under fair value option	(5)	6	(106)
Net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities	47	(383)	(304)
Other, net	17	83	(40)
Total non-interest income (loss)	(160)	(1,102)	(1,424)
Non-interest expense	(100)	(1,102)	(1,727)
Compensation and benefits	508	540	533
Other operating expenses	331	313	327
Federal Housing Finance Agency	72	79	55
Office of Finance	40	43	39
Provision (reversal) of derivative counterparty credit losses	40	43	(55)
	10		
Other Total pap interact expense	18 969	77	33
Total non-interest expense		1,057	932
Income (loss) before assessments	2,902	1,941	2,808
Assessments	200	400	
Affordable Housing Program	296	188	229
REFCORP		160	498
Total assessments	296	348	727
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,606	\$ 1,593	\$ 2,081

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS COMBINED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

		31,				
(dollars in millions)		2012	2	2011		2010
Net income (loss)	\$	2,606	\$	1,593	\$	2,081
Other comprehensive income (loss)						
Net unrealized gains/losses on available-for-sale securities						
Unrealized gains (losses)		572		431		394
Reclassification of realized net (gains) losses included in net income (loss)		(13)		(13)		(10)
Total net unrealized gains/losses on available-for-sale securities		559		418		384
Net unrealized gains/losses on held-to-maturity securities transferred from available-for-sale securities						
Reclassification of (gains) losses included in net income (loss)		2		3		14
Total net unrealized gains/losses on held-to-maturity securities transferred from available-for- sale securities		2		3		14
Net non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale securities						
Non-credit portion other-than-temporary impairment losses		-		(4)		-
Non-credit portion other-than-temporary impairment losses transferred from held-to-maturity securities		(29)		(2,772)		(683)
Net change in fair value of other-than-temporary impaired securities		1,745		836		1,190
Reclassification of non-credit portion included in net income (loss)		55		291		358
Reclassification of (gains) losses included in net income (loss)		(2)		(11)		(10)
Unrealized gains (losses)		377		(191)		21
Total net non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale securities		2,146		(1,851)		876
Net non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on held-to-maturity securities						
Non-credit portion other-than-temporary impairment losses		(53)		(330)		(1,051)
Reclassification of non-credit portion included in net income (loss)		21		293		639
Accretion of non-credit portion		183		581		1,437
Transfer of non-credit portion from held-to-maturity securities to available-for-sale securities		29		2,772		683
Total net non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on held-to-maturity securities		180		3,316		1,708
Net unrealized gains/losses relating to hedging activities						
Unrealized gains (losses)		(87)		(572)		(301)
Reclassification of (gains) losses included in net income (loss)		2		(45)		(11)
Total net unrealized gains/losses relating to hedging activities		(85)		(617)		(312)
Pension and postretirement benefits		(14)		(21)		(10)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)		2,788		1,248		2,660
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$	5,394	\$	2,841	\$	4,741

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS COMBINED STATEMENT OF CAPITAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012, 2011 AND 2010

	Capital Stock - Putable										
	Cla	ss B	Clas	ss A							
(dollars and shares in millions)	Shares	Par Value	Shares	Par Value							
Balance, December 31, 2009	422	\$ 42,227	4	\$ 427							
Adjustment for cumulative effect of accounting change - fair value guidance for scope exception related to embedded credit derivative	_	_	_	_							
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	37	3,553	—	4							
Repurchase/redemption of capital stock	(65)	(6,511)	—	—							
Net shares reclassified (to)/from mandatorily redeemable capital stock	(3)	(215)	(1)	(129)							
Transfer between Class B and Class A shares	(4)	(417)	4	417							
Comprehensive income (loss)	_	-	_	-							
Dividends on capital stock											
Cash	_	-	_	-							
Stock	_	46	_	-							
Balance, December 31, 2010	387	38,683	7	719							
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	47	4,785	_	7							
Repurchase/redemption of capital stock	(77)	(7,628)	_	(34)							
Net shares reclassified (to)/from mandatorily redeemable capital stock	(31)	(3,242)	(2)	(182)							
Transfer between Class B and Class A shares	(1)	(145)	1	145							
Comprehensive income (loss)	_	_	_	_							
Dividends on capital stock											
Cash	_	—	_	—							
Stock	—	32	-	-							
Balance, December 31, 2011	325	32,485	6	655							
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	99	9,893	-	5							
Repurchase/redemption of capital stock	(104)	(10,593)	(1)	(135)							
Net shares reclassified (to)/from mandatorily redeemable capital stock	(11)	(1,142)	(1)	(69)							
Conversion to/transfer between Class B and Class A shares	23	2,344	1	58							
Comprehensive income (loss)	—	-	—	—							
Dividends on capital stock											
Cash	_	—	_	_							
Stock	_	34	_	_							
Balance, December 31, 2012	332	\$ 33,021	5	\$ 514							

Capital Stock - Putable												A	ccumulated	
	Pre-conversion			Total			Retained Earnings						Other mprehensive	Total
Shares		ar Value	Shares		Par Value		nrestricted	_	Restricted		Total		ncome (Loss)	Capital
23	\$	2,328	449	\$	44,982	\$	4,794	\$	1,239	\$	6,033	\$	(8,206) \$	42,809
_		_	_		_		25		_		25		_	25
1		70	38		3,627		_		-		_		-	3,627
-		—	(65)		(6,511)		_		_		_		_	(6,511)
(1	)	(65)	(5)		(409)		-		-		—		—	(409)
_		_	_		_		_		_		_		—	-
-		_	—		_		1,711		370		2,081		2,660	4,741
-		—	—		_		(541)		-		(541)		—	(541)
_		-	—		46		(46)		-		(46)		—	-
23		2,333	417		41,735		5,943		1,609		7,552		(5,546)	43,741
1		75	48		4,867		_		_		_		—	4,867
-		-	(77)		(7,662)		-		-		-		—	(7,662)
-		(6)	(33)		(3,430)		-		—		-		—	(3,430)
-		—	-		_		_		-		_		_	_
_		-	—		—		1,228		365		1,593		1,248	2,841
-		—	—		_		(536)		_		(536)		—	(536)
_		-	—		32		(32)		-		(32)		—	-
24		2,402	355		35,542		6,603		1,974		8,577		(4,298)	39,821
-		-	99		9,898		-		-		-		_	9,898
-		—	(105)		(10,728)		_		-		_		_	(10,728)
-		-	(12)		(1,211)		-		-		-		—	(1,211)
(24	)	(2,402)	—		_		—		-		—		—	-
-		-	—		_		1,991		615		2,606		2,788	5,394
-		-	-		-		(625)		-		(625)		-	(625)
		_	_		34		(34)		_		(34)			_
	\$		337	\$	33,535	\$	7,935	\$	2,589	\$	10,524	\$	(1,510) \$	42,549

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31,						
(dollars in millions)	2012	2011	2010				
Operating activities							
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,606	\$ 1,593	\$ 2,081				
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities							
Depreciation and amortization	582	35	72				
Change in net derivatives and hedging activities	404	1,048	1,949				
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses	112	856	1,071				
Other adjustments	48	(33)	(16)				
Net change in fair value adjustments on trading securities	152	11	(68)				
Net change in fair value adjustments on financial instruments held under fair value option	5	(6)	106				
Net change in							
Trading securities	629	151	149				
Accrued interest receivable	332	288	501				
Other assets	(61)	(10)	(48)				
Accrued interest payable	(362)	(720)	(1,329)				
Other liabilities(1)	97	(80)	85				
Total adjustments	1,938	1,540	2,472				
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	4,544	3,133	4,553				
Investing activities							
Net change in							
Interest-bearing deposits	977	(3,525)	(11)				
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	(23,164)	3,725	(9,225)				
Federal funds sold	(2,872)	34,716	(21,258)				
Premises, software and equipment	(54)	(54)	(54)				
Trading securities							
Net decrease (increase) in short-term	3,745	11,407	(6,237)				
Proceeds from long-term	9,967	6,068	3,488				
Purchases of long-term	(6,493)	(8,057)	(2,946)				
Available-for-sale securities							
Net decrease (increase) in short-term	4,172	1,618	3,480				
Proceeds from long-term	21,609	11,782	6,997				
Purchases of long-term	(10,660)	(9,874)	(25,125)				
Held-to-maturity securities							
Net decrease (increase) in short-term	6,477	6,721	(2,713)				
Proceeds from long-term	31,078	31,128	42,463				
Purchases of long-term	(26,289)	(26,970)	(33,393)				
Advances							
Principal collected	2,909,476	1,561,619	1,556,077				
Made	(2,919,466)	(1,500,874)	(1,404,056)				
Mortgage loans held for portfolio							
Principal collected	14,976	13,193	16,417				
Purchases	(11,271)	(6,900)	(6,504)				
Mortgage loans held for sale							
Proceeds	-	1,468	_				
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed assets	131	140	154				
Principal collected on other loans	2	2	2				
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	2,341	127,333	117,556				

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (continued)

	Year Ended December 31,							
(dollars in millions)		2012		2011	2010			
Financing activities								
Net change in								
Deposits and pass-through reserves	\$	745	\$	(2,248)	\$	(2,573)		
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other borrowings		(405)		(773)		4		
Net proceeds (payments) on derivative contracts with financing element		(1,188)		(936)		(1,742)		
Net proceeds from issuance of consolidated obligations								
Discount notes		3,557,821		4,142,367		6,754,406		
Bonds		418,255		409,198		533,165		
Payments for maturing and retiring consolidated obligations								
Discount notes		(3,531,705)		(4,146,624)		(6,758,372)		
Bonds		(448,280)		(509,255)		(662,620)		
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock		9,898		4,867		3,627		
Payments for repurchase/redemption of mandatorily redeemable capital stock		(2,295)		(2,483)		(1,481)		
Payments for repurchase/redemption of capital stock		(10,728)		(7,662)		(6,511)		
Cash dividends paid		(625)		(536)		(541)		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(8,507)		(114,085)		(142,638)		
Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks		(1,622)		16,381		(20,529)		
Cash and due from banks at beginning of the period		20,182		3,801		24,330		
Cash and due from banks at end of the period	\$	18,560	\$	20,182	\$	3,801		
Supplemental disclosures	-							
Interest paid	\$	6,985	\$	8,752	\$	11,254		
AHP payments, net	\$	270	\$	243	\$	249		
REFCORP assessments, net	\$		\$	252	\$	411		
Transfers of mortgage loans to real estate owned	\$	198	\$	166	\$	213		
Transfers of mortgage loans held for portfolio to mortgage loans held for sale	\$		\$	1,324	\$	121		
Transfers of mortgage loans held for sale to mortgage loans held for portfolio	\$	_	\$	13	\$			
Transfers of other-than-temporarily impaired held-to-maturity securities to available-for-sale securities	\$	157	\$	8,578	\$	2,902		
Transfers from held-to-maturity securities to trading securities	\$		\$		\$	390		

(1) Other liabilities includes the net changes in the REFCORP receivable/payable.

### NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### **Background Information**

These financial statements present the combined financial position and combined results of operations of the 12 Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLBanks). The FHLBanks are government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs) that serve the public by enhancing the availability of credit for residential mortgages and targeted community development. They are financial cooperatives that provide a readily available, competitively-priced source of funds to their member institutions. All members must purchase stock in their district's FHLBank. On a combined basis, member institutions own most of the FHLBanks' capital stock. Former members (including certain non-members that own FHLBank capital stock as a result of merger or acquisition, relocation, charter termination, or involuntary termination of an FHLBank member) own the remaining capital stock to support business transactions still carried on an FHLBank's statement of condition. All holders of an FHLBank's capital stock may, to the extent declared by that FHLBank's board of directors, receive dividends on their capital stock. Regulated financial depositories and insurance companies engaged in residential housing finance may apply for membership. Additionally, qualified community development financial institutions are eligible to be members of an FHLBank. Housing associates, including state and local housing authorities, that meet certain statutory and regulatory criteria may also borrow from the FHLBanks. While eligible to borrow, housing associates are not members of the FHLBanks and, as such, are not allowed to hold capital stock.

Each FHLBank operates as a separate entity with its own management, employees and board of directors. The FHLBanks do not have any special purpose entities or any other type of off-balance sheet conduits.

The Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) was established and became the independent Federal regulator of the FHLBanks, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) and Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), effective July 30, 2008 with the passage of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (the Housing Act). Pursuant to the Housing Act, all regulations, orders, determinations, and resolutions that were issued, made, prescribed, or allowed to become effective by the former Federal Housing Finance Board will remain in effect until modified, terminated, set aside, or superseded by the Director of the FHFA, any court of competent jurisdiction, or operation of law. The FHFA's stated mission with respect to the FHLBanks is to provide effective supervision, regulation and housing mission oversight of the FHLBanks to promote their safety and soundness, support housing finance and affordable housing, and support a stable and liquid mortgage market.

The Office of Finance is a joint office of the FHLBanks established to facilitate the issuance and servicing of the debt instruments of the FHLBanks, known as consolidated obligations (consolidated bonds and consolidated discount notes), and to prepare the combined quarterly and annual financial reports of the 12 FHLBanks. As provided by the Federal Home Loan Bank Act of 1932, as amended (FHLBank Act), and applicable regulations, consolidated obligations are backed only by the financial resources of the 12 FHLBanks. Consolidated obligations are the primary source of funds for the FHLBanks in addition to deposits, other borrowings and capital stock issued to members. Each FHLBank primarily uses these funds to provide advances to members. Certain FHLBanks also use these funds to acquire mortgage loans from members (acquired member assets) through their respective FHLBank's Mortgage Purchase Program (MPP) or the Mortgage Partnership Finance<sup>®</sup> (MPF) Program. "Mortgage Partnership Finance," "MPF," "MPF Shared Funding," "eMPF" and "MPF Xtra" are registered trademarks of the FHLBank of Chicago. In addition, some FHLBanks offer correspondent services to their member institutions, including wire transfer, security safekeeping, and settlement services.

#### Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### **Basis of Presentation**

These combined financial statements include the financial statements and records of the 12 FHLBanks that are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP).

<u>Principles of Combination</u>. Transactions between the FHLBanks have been eliminated in accordance with combination accounting principles similar to consolidation under GAAP. The most significant transactions between the FHLBanks are:

Transfers of Direct Liability on Consolidated Bonds between FHLBanks. These transfers occur when the primary
obligation under consolidated bonds issued on behalf of one FHLBank are transferred to and assumed by another
FHLBank. The transferring FHLBank treats the transfer as a debt extinguishment because it is released from being the
primary obligor when the Office of Finance records the transfer, pursuant to its duties under applicable regulations. The

assuming FHLBank then becomes the primary obligor while the transferring FHLBank has a contingent liability because it still has joint and several liability with respect to repaying the transferred consolidated bonds.

The FHLBank assuming the consolidated bond liability initially records the consolidated bond at fair value, which represents the amount paid to the assuming FHLBank by the transferring FHLBank to assume the debt. A premium or discount exists for the amount paid above or below par. Because these transfers represent inter-company transfers under combination accounting principles, an inter-company elimination is made for any gain or loss on transfer. As a result, the subsequent amortization of premium or discount, amortization of concession fees and recognition of hedging-related adjustments in the combined financial statements represent those of the transferring FHLBank.

 Purchases of Consolidated Bonds. These purchases occur when consolidated bonds issued on behalf of one FHLBank are purchased by another FHLBank in the open market. All purchase transactions occur at market prices with third parties and the purchasing FHLBanks treat these consolidated bonds as investments. Under combination accounting principles, the investment and the consolidated bonds and related contractual interest income and expense are eliminated in combination.

No other transactions among the FHLBanks had a material effect on operating results. (See the <u>Condensed Combining</u> <u>Schedules</u> for the combining adjustments made to the combined financial statements.)

<u>Segment Reporting.</u> FHFA regulations consider each FHLBank to be a segment. However, because there is no centralized, system-wide management or centralized board of director oversight of the individual FHLBanks, there is no single chief operating decision maker. (See the <u>Condensed Combining Schedules</u> for segment information.)

<u>Reclassifications and Revisions to Prior Period Amounts.</u> During the fourth quarter of 2012, the FHLBank of New York made a change to its accounting policy for the presentation of fair value hedging adjustments related to certain modified advances. As a result of this change, the Combined Statement of Income reflects adjustments of \$67 million and \$2 million, increasing interest income on advances, with an offsetting amount to net losses on derivatives and hedging activities for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. Additionally, certain amounts in the 2011 and 2010 combined financial statements have been reclassified or revised to conform to the financial statement presentation for the year ended December 31, 2012. Additionally, certain prior period amounts have been revised and may not agree to the Federal Home Loan Banks Combined Financial Report for the year ended December 31, 2011. These amounts were not deemed to be material.

<u>Subsequent Events.</u> For purposes of this Combined Financial Report, subsequent events have been evaluated from January 1, 2013 through the time of publication. (See <u>Note 22 - Subsequent Events</u> for more information.)

#### **Significant Accounting Policies**

The following summary of significant accounting policies has been compiled from the 12 FHLBanks' individual summaries of significant accounting policies. While the 12 FHLBanks' accounting and financial reporting policies are not necessarily always identical, each FHLBank is responsible for establishing its own accounting and financial reporting policies in accordance with GAAP. The following paragraphs describe the more significant accounting policies followed by the FHLBanks, including the more notable alternatives acceptable under GAAP.

#### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires each FHLBank's management to make subjective assumptions and estimates that may affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and the reported amounts of income and expense. The most significant of these estimates include the determination of other-than-temporary impairments of certain mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and fair value of derivatives, certain advances, certain investment securities and certain consolidated obligations that are reported at fair value in the Combined Statement of Condition. Actual results could differ from these estimates significantly.

<u>Fair Value</u>. The fair value amounts, recorded on the Combined Statement of Condition and in the note disclosures for the periods presented, have been determined by the FHLBanks using available market and other pertinent information, and reflect each FHLBank's best judgment of appropriate valuation methods. Although an FHLBank uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of these financial instruments, there are inherent limitations in any valuation technique. Therefore,

these fair values may not be indicative of the amounts that would have been realized in market transactions at the reporting dates. (See <u>Note 20 - Fair Value</u> for more information.)

#### Interest-Bearing Deposits, Securities Purchased under Agreements to Resell, and Federal Funds Sold

These investments provide short-term liquidity and are carried at cost. Interest-bearing deposits include certificates of deposit and bank notes not meeting the definition of a security. The FHLBanks treat securities purchased under agreements to resell as short-term collateralized loans that are classified as assets in the Combined Statement of Condition. Securities purchased under agreements to resell are held in safekeeping in the name of the affected FHLBank by third-party custodians approved by that FHLBank. If the fair value of the underlying securities decreases below the fair value required as collateral, the counterparty has the option to (1) place an equivalent amount of additional securities in safekeeping in the name of the FHLBank or (2) remit an equivalent amount of cash; otherwise, the dollar value of the resale agreement will be decreased accordingly. Federal funds sold consist of short-term, unsecured loans generally made to investment-grade counterparties.

#### **Investment Securities**

The FHLBanks classify investment securities as trading, available-for-sale (AFS) and held-to-maturity (HTM) at the date of acquisition. Purchases and sales of securities are recorded on a trade date basis.

<u>Trading.</u> Securities classified as trading are held for liquidity purposes and carried at fair value. The FHLBanks record changes in the fair value of these securities through non-interest income as net gains (losses) on trading securities. FHFA regulation and each FHLBank's risk management policy prohibit trading in or the speculative use of these instruments and limit credit risk arising from these instruments.

<u>Available-for-Sale.</u> Securities that are not classified as HTM or trading are classified as AFS and are carried at fair value. The FHLBanks record changes in the fair value of these securities in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (AOCI) as net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities. For AFS securities that have been hedged and qualify as a fair value hedge, the FHLBanks record the portion of the change in value related to the risk being hedged in non-interest income as net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities together with the related change in the fair value of the derivative, and record the remainder of the change in the fair value of the investment in AOCI as net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities. For AFS securities. For AFS securities that have been hedged and qualify as a cash flow hedge, the FHLBanks record the effective portion of the change in value of the derivative related to the risk being hedged in AOCI as net unrealized gains (losses) relating to hedging activities. The ineffective portion is recorded in non-interest income and presented as net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities.

<u>Held-to-Maturity</u>. Securities that the FHLBanks have both the ability and intent to hold to maturity are classified as HTM and are carried at cost adjusted for periodic principal repayments, amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, previous other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) and accretion of the non-credit portion of OTTI recognized in AOCI.

Certain changes in circumstances may cause an FHLBank to change its intent to hold a security to maturity without calling into question its intent to hold other debt securities to maturity in the future. Thus, the sale or transfer of an HTM security due to certain changes in circumstances, such as evidence of significant deterioration in the issuer's creditworthiness or changes in regulatory requirements, is not considered to be inconsistent with its original classification. Other events that are isolated, nonrecurring, and unusual for the FHLBanks that could not have been reasonably anticipated may cause an FHLBank to sell or transfer an HTM security without necessarily calling into question its intent to hold other debt securities to maturity. In addition, sale of a debt security that meets either of the following two conditions would not be considered inconsistent with the original classification of that security:

- 1. the sale occurs near enough to its maturity date (or call date if exercise of the call is probable) that interest-rate risk is substantially eliminated as a pricing factor and the changes in market interest rates would not have a significant effect on the security's fair value, or
- 2. the sale of a security occurs after the FHLBank has already collected a substantial portion (at least 85 percent) of the principal outstanding at acquisition due either to prepayments on the debt security or to scheduled payments on a debt security payable in equal installments (both principal and interest) over its term.

<u>Premiums and Discounts.</u> The FHLBanks amortize purchased premiums and accrete purchased discounts on investment securities using either the contractual level-yield (contractual interest method) or the retrospective level-yield (retrospective interest method) over the estimated cash flows of the securities. The contractual interest method recognizes the income effects of premiums and discounts over the contractual life of the securities based on the actual behavior of the underlying assets, including adjustments for actual prepayment activities, and reflects the contractual terms of the securities without regard to changes in estimated prepayments based on assumptions about future borrower behavior. The retrospective interest method requires that an FHLBank estimate prepayments over the estimated life of the securities and make a retrospective adjustment of the effective yield each time that it changes the estimated life as if the new estimate had been known since the original acquisition date of the securities.

<u>Gains and Losses on Sales</u>. Each FHLBank computes gains and losses on sales of its investment securities using the specific identification method and includes these gains and losses in non-interest income (loss).

#### **Investment Securities - Other-than-Temporary Impairment**

Each FHLBank evaluates its individual AFS and HTM securities in unrealized loss positions for OTTI on at least a quarterly basis. A security is considered impaired when its fair value is less than its amortized cost. An FHLBank considers an OTTI to have occurred under any of the following conditions:

- It has an intent to sell the impaired debt security;
- If, based on available evidence, it believes it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the impaired debt security before the recovery of its amortized cost; or
- It does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost of the impaired debt security.

<u>Recognition of OTTI.</u> If either of these first two conditions is met, an FHLBank recognizes an OTTI charge in earnings equal to the entire difference between the security's amortized cost and its fair value as of the statement of condition date. For securities in an unrealized loss position that do not meet either of these conditions, the entire loss position, or total OTTI, is evaluated to determine the extent and amount of credit loss.

To determine whether a credit loss exists, each FHLBank performs an analysis, which includes a cash flow analysis for private-label MBS, to determine if it will recover the entire amortized cost of each of these securities. The present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is compared to the amortized cost of the debt security. If there is a credit loss (the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost of the debt security), the carrying value of the debt security is adjusted to its fair value. However, rather than recognizing the entire difference between the amortized cost and fair value in earnings, only the amount of the impairment representing the credit loss (i.e., the credit component) is recognized in earnings, while the amount related to all other factors (i.e., the non-credit component) is recognized in AOCI, which is a component of capital. The credit loss on a debt security is limited to the amount of that security's unrealized losses.

The total OTTI is presented in the statement of income with an offset for the amount of the non-credit portion of OTTI that is recognized in AOCI. The remaining amount in the statement of income represents the credit loss for the period.

Accounting for OTTI Recognized in AOCI. For subsequent accounting of an other-than-temporarily impaired security, an FHLBank records an additional OTTI if the present value of cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost of the security. The total amount of this additional OTTI (both credit and non-credit component, if any) is determined as the difference between the security's amortized cost less the amount of OTTI recognized in AOCI prior to the determination of this additional OTTI and its fair value. Any additional credit loss is limited to that security's unrealized losses, or the difference between the security's amortized cost and its fair value as of the statement of condition date. This additional credit loss, up to the amount in AOCI related to the security, is reclassified out of AOCI and recognized in earnings. Any credit loss in excess of the related AOCI is recognized in earnings.

Subsequent related increases and decreases (if not an additional OTTI) in the fair value of AFS securities are netted against the non-credit component of OTTI recognized previously in AOCI. For HTM securities, if the current carrying value is less than its current fair value, the carrying value of the security is not increased. However, the OTTI recognized in AOCI for HTM securities is accreted to the carrying value of each security on a prospective basis, based on the amount and timing of future

estimated cash flows (with no effect on earnings unless the security is subsequently sold or there are additional decreases in cash flows expected to be collected). For debt securities classified as AFS, the FHLBanks do not accrete the OTTI recognized in AOCI to the carrying value because the subsequent measurement basis for these securities is fair value.

Interest Income Recognition. When a security has been other-than-temporarily impaired, a new accretable yield is calculated for that security at its impairment measurement date. This adjusted yield is used to calculate the interest income recognized over the remaining life of that security, matching the amount and timing of its estimated future collectible cash flows. Subsequent to that security's initial OTTI, an FHLBank re-evaluates estimated future collectible cash flows on a quarterly basis. If the security has no additional OTTI based on this evaluation, the accretable yield is reassessed for possible adjustment on a prospective basis. Depending on an FHLBank's accounting method, the accretable yield is adjusted if there is either: (1) a significant increase in the security's expected cash flows or (2) a favorable or unfavorable change in the timing and amount of the security's expected cash flows.

## **Variable Interest Entities**

Certain FHLBanks have investments in variable interest entities (VIEs) that include, but are not limited to, senior interests in private-label MBS and asset-backed securities (ABS). The carrying amounts and classification of the assets that relate to the FHLBanks' investments in VIEs are included in investment securities on the Combined Statement of Condition. The affected FHLBanks have no liabilities related to these VIEs. The maximum loss exposure for these VIEs is limited to the carrying value of the FHLBanks' investments in the VIEs.

If an FHLBank determines it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, it would be required to consolidate that VIE. On an ongoing basis, each affected FHLBank performs a quarterly evaluation to determine whether it is the primary beneficiary in any VIE. To perform this evaluation, an FHLBank considers whether it possesses both of the following characteristics:

- the power to direct the VIE's activities that most significantly affect the VIE's economic performance; and
- the obligation to absorb the VIE's losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

Based on an evaluation of these characteristics, each affected FHLBank has determined that consolidation is not required for its VIEs for the periods presented. In addition, each of these FHLBanks has not provided financial or other support (explicitly or implicitly) during the periods presented. Furthermore, each affected FHLBank was not previously contractually required to provide, nor does it intend to provide, that support in the future.

#### Advances

The FHLBanks report advances (secured loans to members, former members or housing associates) either at amortized cost or at fair value when the fair value option is elected. Advances carried at amortized cost are reported net of premiums, discounts (including discounts related to the Affordable Housing Program (AHP)), unearned commitment fees and hedging adjustments. The FHLBanks amortize/accrete premiums and discounts, and recognize unearned commitment fees and hedging adjustments, to interest income using a level-yield methodology. The FHLBanks record interest on advances to interest income as earned. For advances carried at fair value, interest income is recognized based on the contractual interest rate.

Advance Modifications. In cases in which an FHLBank funds a new advance concurrent with or within a short period of time before or after the prepayment of an existing advance, the FHLBank evaluates whether the new advance meets the accounting criteria to qualify as a modification of an existing advance or whether it constitutes a new advance. The FHLBank compares the present value of cash flows on the new advance to the present value of cash flows remaining on the existing advance. If there is at least a 10 percent difference in the cash flows or if the FHLBank concludes the difference between the advances is more than minor based on a qualitative assessment of the modifications made to the advance's original contractual terms, then the advance is accounted for as a new advance. In all other instances, the new advance is accounted for as a modification.

<u>Prepayment Fees.</u> The FHLBanks charge a borrower a prepayment fee when the borrower prepays certain advances before the original maturity. The FHLBanks record prepayment fees net of basis adjustments related to hedging activities included in the book basis of the advance as prepayment fees on advances, net in the interest income section of the Combined Statement of Income.

If a new advance does not qualify as a modification of an existing advance, it is treated as an advance termination and any prepayment fee, net of hedging adjustments, is recorded to prepayment fees on advances, net in the interest income section of the Combined Statement of Income.

If a new advance qualifies as a modification of an existing advance, any prepayment fee, net of hedging adjustments, is deferred, recorded in the basis of the modified advance, and amortized using a level-yield methodology over the life of the modified advance to advance interest income. If the modified advance is hedged and meets hedge accounting requirements, the modified advance is marked to benchmark or full fair value, depending on the risk being hedged, and subsequent fair value changes that are attributable to the hedged risk are recorded in non-interest income.

#### **Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio**

Each FHLBank classifies mortgage loans that it has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff as held for portfolio. Accordingly, these mortgage loans are reported net of premiums, discounts, deferred loan fees or costs, hedging adjustments, and the allowance for credit losses.

<u>Premiums and Discounts.</u> An FHLBank defers and amortizes/accretes premiums and discounts paid to and received by its participating financial institution members (PFIs), deferred loan fees or costs, and hedging basis adjustments to interest income using either the contractual interest method or the retrospective interest method. In determining prepayment estimates for the retrospective interest method, mortgage loans are aggregated by similar characteristics (type, maturity, note rate and acquisition date).

<u>Credit Enhancement Fees.</u> For conventional mortgage loans, PFIs retain a portion of the credit risk on the loans they sell to the FHLBanks by providing credit enhancement either through a direct liability to pay credit losses up to a specified amount or through a contractual obligation to provide supplemental mortgage insurance (SMI). For mortgage loans purchased under the MPF program, PFIs are paid a credit enhancement fee (CE Fee) for assuming credit risk and in some instances all or a portion of the CE Fee may be performance-based. CE Fees are paid monthly based on the remaining unpaid principal balance of the loans in a master commitment. CE Fees are recorded as an offset to mortgage loan interest income. To the extent an FHLBank experiences losses in a master commitment, it may be able to recapture CE fees paid to that PFI to offset these losses.

<u>Other Fees.</u> The FHLBanks may receive other non-origination fees, such as delivery commitment extension fees, pair-off fees, and price adjustment fees. Delivery commitment extension fees are received when a PFI requests to extend the delivery commitment period beyond the original stated maturity. These fees compensate the FHLBanks for lost interest as a result of late funding and are recorded in non-interest income as received. Pair-off fees represent a make-whole provision and are received when the amount funded is less than a specific percentage of the delivery commitment amount and are recorded in non-interest income. Price adjustment fees are received when the amount funded is greater than a specified percentage of the delivery commitment amount and represent purchase price adjustments to the related loans acquired and are recorded as a part of the loan basis.

#### **Allowance for Credit Losses**

<u>Establishing Allowance for Credit Loss.</u> An allowance for credit losses is a valuation allowance separately established for each identified portfolio segment, if it is probable that impairment has occurred in an FHLBank's portfolio as of the statement of condition date and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. To the extent necessary, an allowance for credit losses for off-balance sheet credit exposures is recorded as a liability. (See <u>Note 10 - Allowance for Credit Losses</u> for details on each allowance methodology.)

<u>Portfolio Segments.</u> A portfolio segment is defined as the level at which an entity develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses. Each FHLBank has developed and documented a systematic methodology for determining an allowance for credit losses, where applicable, for:

- credit products (advances, letters of credit and other extensions of credit to borrowers);
- government-guaranteed or -insured mortgage loans held for portfolio;
- conventional MPF Loans held for portfolio, conventional MPP Loans held for portfolio, and other loans;
- term securities purchased under agreements to resell; and
- term Federal funds sold.

<u>Classes of Financing Receivables</u>. Classes of financing receivables generally are a disaggregation of a portfolio segment to the extent that it is needed to understand the exposure to credit risk arising from these financing receivables. The FHLBanks determined that no further disaggregation of the portfolio segments is needed as the credit risk arising from these financing receivables is assessed and measured by each FHLBank at the portfolio segment level.

<u>Non-accrual Loans.</u> The FHLBanks place a conventional mortgage loan on non-accrual status if it is determined that either (1) the collection of interest or principal is doubtful or (2) interest or principal is past due for 90 days or more, except when the loan is well-secured (e.g., through credit enhancements) and in the process of collection. As such, FHLBanks do not place conventional mortgage loans over 90 days delinquent on non-accrual status when losses are not expected to be incurred. The FHLBanks do not place government-guaranteed or -insured mortgage loans on non-accrual status due to the U.S. government guarantee or insurance on these loans and the contractual obligation of the loan servicer to repurchase the loans when certain criteria are met. For those mortgage loans placed on non-accrual status, accrued but uncollected interest is reversed against interest income. The FHLBanks record cash payments received first as interest income and then as a reduction of principal as specified in the contractual agreement, unless the collection of the remaining principal amount due is considered doubtful. If the collected on the remaining principal amount due is expected to be collected and then as a recovery of any charge-off, if applicable, followed by recording interest income. A loan on non-accrual status may be restored to accrual when (1) none of its contractual principal and interest is due and unpaid, and an FHLBank expects repayment of the remaining contractual interest and principal or (2) it otherwise becomes well secured and in the process of collection.

<u>Troubled Debt Restructuring</u>. An FHLBank considers a troubled debt restructuring to have occurred when a concession is granted to a borrower for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties and that concession would not have been considered otherwise. Loans outstanding as of December 31, 2012 that are discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy and have not been reaffirmed by the borrowers are also considered to be troubled debt restructurings, except in cases where certain supplemental mortgage insurance policies are held or where all contractual amounts due are still expected to be collected as a result of certain credit enhancements or government guarantees.

<u>Impairment Methodology</u>. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that an FHLBank will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement.

Loans that are on non-accrual status and that are considered collateral-dependent are measured for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying property less estimated selling costs. Loans are considered collateral-dependent if repayment is expected to be provided solely by the sale of the underlying property; that is, there is no other available and reliable source of repayment. Collateral-dependent loans are impaired if the fair value of the underlying collateral is insufficient to recover the unpaid principal balance on the loan. Interest income on impaired loans is recognized in the same manner as non-accrual loans.

<u>Charge-off Policy</u>. The FHLBanks evaluate whether to record a charge-off on a conventional mortgage loan upon the occurrence of a confirming event. Confirming events include, but are not limited to, the occurrence of foreclosure or notification of a claim against any of the credit enhancements. A charge-off is recorded if it is estimated that the recorded investment in that loan will not be recovered.

#### **Real Estate Owned**

Real estate owned (REO) includes assets that have been received in satisfaction of debt through foreclosures. REO is initially recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs and is subsequently carried at the lower of that amount or current fair value less estimated selling costs. The FHLBanks recognize a charge-off to the allowance for credit losses if the fair value of the REO less estimated selling costs is less than the recorded investment in the loan at the date of transfer from loans to REO. Any subsequent realized gains, realized or unrealized losses and carrying costs are included in other non-interest income (loss) or other non-interest expense in the Combined Statement of Income. REO is recorded in other assets in the Combined Statement of Condition.

#### Derivatives

All derivatives are recognized on the Combined Statement of Condition at their fair values and are reported as either derivative assets or derivative liabilities, net of cash collateral and accrued interest from counterparties. The fair values of derivatives are netted by counterparty pursuant to the provisions of each FHLBank's master netting arrangements. If these netted amounts are positive, they are classified as an asset and, if negative, they are classified as a liability. Cash flows associated with derivatives are reflected as cash flows from operating activities in the Combined Statement of Cash Flows unless the derivative meets the criteria to be a financing derivative.

Derivative Designations. Each derivative is designated as one of the following:

- 1. a qualifying hedge of the change in fair value of a recognized asset or liability or an unrecognized firm commitment (a fair value hedge);
- 2. a qualifying hedge of a forecasted transaction or the variability of cash flows that are to be received or paid in connection with a recognized asset or liability (a cash flow hedge);
- 3. a non-qualifying hedge (economic hedge) for asset-liability management purposes; or
- 4. a non-qualifying hedge of another derivative (an intermediation hedge) that is offered as a product to members or used to offset other derivatives with non-member counterparties.

<u>Accounting for Fair Value or Cash Flow Hedges.</u> If hedging relationships meet certain criteria, including, but not limited to, formal documentation of the hedging relationship and an expectation to be highly effective, they qualify for fair value or cash flow hedge accounting and the offsetting changes in fair value of the hedged items may be recorded either in earnings (fair value hedges) or AOCI (cash flow hedges). Two approaches to hedge accounting include:

- 1. Long-haul hedge accounting. The application of long-haul hedge accounting requires an FHLBank to formally assess (both at the hedge's inception and at least quarterly) whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions have been effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of hedged items or forecasted transactions and whether those derivatives may be expected to remain effective in future periods.
- 2. Short-cut hedge accounting. Transactions that meet certain criteria qualify for the short-cut method of hedge accounting in which an assumption can be made that the change in fair value of a hedged item, due to changes in the benchmark rate, exactly offsets the change in fair value of the related derivative. Under the short-cut method, the entire change in fair value of the interest-rate swap is considered to be effective at achieving offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of the hedged asset or liability.

Derivatives are typically executed at the same time as the hedged item, and each FHLBank designates the hedged item in a qualifying hedge relationship at the trade date. In many hedging relationships, an FHLBank may designate the hedging relationship upon its commitment to disburse an advance or trade a consolidated obligation in which settlement occurs within the shortest period of time possible for the type of instrument based on market settlement conventions. An FHLBank then records the changes in fair value of the derivative and the hedged item beginning on the trade date.

Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a fair value hedge, along with changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in non-interest income as net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities.

Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge, to the extent that the hedge is effective, are recorded in AOCI, a component of capital, until earnings are affected by the variability of the cash flows of the hedged transaction.

For both fair value and cash flow hedges, any hedge ineffectiveness (which represents the amount by which the change in the fair value of the derivative differs from the change in the fair value of the hedged item or the variability in the cash flows of the forecasted transaction) is recorded in non-interest income as net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities.

Accounting for Economic Hedges or Intermediary Activities. An economic hedge is defined as a derivative hedging specific or non-specific underlying assets, liabilities, or firm commitments that does not qualify or was not designated for fair value or cash flow hedge accounting, but is an acceptable hedging strategy under an FHLBank's risk management program. These economic hedging strategies also comply with FHFA regulatory requirements prohibiting speculative hedge transactions. An economic hedge by definition introduces the potential for earnings variability caused by the changes in fair value of the derivatives that are recorded in an FHLBank's income but that are not offset by corresponding changes in the value of the economically hedged assets, liabilities, or firm commitments. As a result, an FHLBank recognizes only the net interest and the change in fair value of these derivatives in non-interest income as net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities with no offsetting fair value adjustments for the assets, liabilities, or firm commitments.

The derivatives used in intermediary activities do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment and are separately marked-tomarket through earnings. The net result of the accounting for these derivatives does not significantly affect the operating results of the FHLBanks. These amounts are recorded in non-interest income as net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities.

<u>Accrued Interest Receivables and Payables.</u> The net settlements of interest receivables and payables related to derivatives designated in fair value or cash flow hedge relationships are recognized as adjustments to the income or expense of the designated hedged item. The net settlements of interest receivables and payables related to intermediated derivatives for members and other economic hedges are recognized in non-interest income as net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities.

Discontinuance of Hedge Accounting. An FHLBank discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when:

- it determines that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item (including hedged items such as firm commitments or forecasted transactions);
- the derivative and/or the hedged item expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised;
- it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur in the originally expected period;
- a hedged firm commitment no longer meets the definition of a firm commitment; or
- management determines that designating the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate.

When hedge accounting is discontinued, an FHLBank either terminates the derivative or continues to carry the derivative on the statement of condition at its fair value, ceases to adjust the hedged asset or liability for changes in fair value, and amortizes the cumulative basis adjustment on the hedged item into earnings over the remaining life of the hedged item using a level-yield methodology.

When hedge accounting is discontinued because an FHLBank determines that the derivative no longer qualifies as an effective cash flow hedge of an existing hedged item, that FHLBank continues to carry the derivative on the statement of condition at its fair value and reclassifies the cumulative other comprehensive income adjustment into earnings when earnings are affected by the existing hedge item (i.e., the original forecasted transaction).

Under limited circumstances, when an FHLBank discontinues cash flow hedge accounting because it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur by the end of the originally specified time period, or within the following two months, but it is probable the transaction will still occur in the future, the gain or loss on the derivative remains in AOCI and is recognized as earnings when the forecasted transaction affects earnings. However, if it is probable that a forecasted transaction will not occur by the end of the originally specified time period or within the following two months, the gains and losses that were AOCI are recognized immediately in earnings.

When hedge accounting is discontinued because the hedged item no longer meets the definition of a firm commitment, an FHLBank continues to carry the derivative on the statement of condition at its fair value, removing from the statement of condition any asset or liability that was recorded to recognize the firm commitment and recording it as a gain or loss in current-period earnings.

<u>Embedded Derivatives.</u> The FHLBanks may issue debt, make advances, or purchase financial instruments in which a derivative instrument is "embedded." Upon execution of these transactions, an FHLBank assesses whether the economic characteristics of the embedded derivative are clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the remaining component of the advance, debt or purchased financial instrument (the host contract) and whether a separate, non-embedded instrument with the same terms as the embedded instrument would meet the definition of a derivative

instrument. The embedded derivative is separated from the host contract, carried at fair value, and designated as a standalone derivative instrument pursuant to an economic hedge when an FHLBank determines that (1) the embedded derivative has economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract and (2) a separate, stand-alone instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument. However, the entire contract is carried at fair value and no portion of the contract is designated as a hedging instrument if the entire contract (the host contract and the embedded derivative) is to be measured at fair value, with changes in fair value reported in currentperiod earnings (such as an investment security classified as "trading" as well as hybrid financial instruments that are eligible for the fair value option), or if the FHLBank cannot reliably identify and measure the embedded derivative for purposes of separating that derivative from its host contract.

### Premises, Software and Equipment

The FHLBanks record premises, software and equipment at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization and compute depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of assets, which range from one to 40 years. The FHLBanks amortize leasehold improvements using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the improvement or the remaining term of the lease. The FHLBanks may capitalize improvements and major renewals but expense ordinary maintenance and repairs when incurred. The FHLBanks include gains and losses on the disposal of premises, software and equipment in non-interest income (loss).

The cost of computer software developed or obtained for internal use is capitalized and amortized over future periods. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the FHLBanks had \$102 million and \$109 million in unamortized computer software costs. Amortization of computer software costs charged to expense was \$39 million, \$34 million and \$36 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

<u>Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization.</u> At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the accumulated depreciation and amortization related to premises, software and equipment was \$520 million and \$469 million.

<u>Depreciation and Amortization Expense.</u> For each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the depreciation and amortization expense for premises, software and equipment was \$62 million.

#### **Consolidated Obligations**

Consolidated obligations are recorded at amortized cost unless an FHLBank has elected the fair value option, in which case, the consolidated obligations are carried at fair value.

<u>Discounts and Premiums</u>. The FHLBanks accrete/amortize discounts and premiums as well as hedging basis adjustments on consolidated obligations to interest expense using the interest method over the term to maturity or the estimated life of the corresponding consolidated obligation.

<u>Concessions</u>. The FHLBanks pay concessions to dealers in connection with the issuance of certain consolidated obligations. The Office of Finance prorates the amount of the concession to each FHLBank based upon the percentage of the debt issued that is assumed by that FHLBank. Concessions paid on consolidated obligations designated under the fair value option are expensed as incurred in non-interest expense. Concessions paid on consolidated obligations not designated under the fair value option are expensed and amortized, using the interest method, over the term to maturity or the estimated life of the corresponding consolidated obligation. Unamortized concessions are included in other assets and the amortization of such concessions is included in consolidated obligation interest expense.

#### Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock

An FHLBank generally reclassifies stock subject to redemption from capital stock to a liability after a member provides written notice of redemption, gives notice of intention to withdraw from membership, or attains non-member status by merger or acquisition, relocation, charter termination, or other involuntary termination from membership, because the member's shares will then meet the definition of a mandatorily redeemable financial instrument. Shares meeting this definition are reclassified to a liability at fair value. Dividends declared on shares classified as a liability are accrued at the expected dividend rate and reflected as interest expense in the Combined Statement of Income. The repurchase or redemption of mandatorily redeemable capital stock is reflected as a financing cash outflow in the Combined Statement of Cash Flows.

If a member cancels its written notice of redemption or notice of withdrawal, the FHLBank will reclassify mandatorily redeemable capital stock from liabilities to capital. After the reclassification, dividends on the capital stock will no longer be classified as interest expense.

# **Restricted Retained Earnings**

In 2011, the 12 FHLBanks entered into a Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement, as amended (Capital Agreement). Under the Capital Agreement, beginning in the third quarter of 2011, each FHLBank allocates 20% of its quarterly net income to a separate restricted retained earnings account at that FHLBank until the account balance equals at least one percent of that FHLBank's average balance of outstanding consolidated obligations for the previous quarter. The FHLBanks' restricted retained earnings are not available to pay dividends and are presented separately on the Combined Statement of Condition.

# **FHFA Expenses**

The portion of the FHFA's expenses and working capital fund paid by the FHLBanks are allocated among the FHLBanks based on the pro-rata share of the annual assessments (which are based on the ratio between each FHLBank's minimum required regulatory capital and the aggregate minimum required regulatory capital of every FHLBank).

# **Office of Finance Expenses**

As approved by the Office of Finance Board of Directors, effective January 1, 2011, each FHLBank's proportionate share of Office of Finance operating and capital expenditures is calculated using a formula that is based upon the following components: (1) two-thirds based upon each FHLBank's share of total consolidated obligations outstanding and (2) one-third based upon an equal pro-rata allocation.

Prior to January 1, 2011, the FHLBanks were assessed for Office of Finance operating and capital expenditures based equally on each FHLBank's percentage of the following components: (1) capital stock, (2) consolidated obligations issued and (3) consolidated obligations outstanding.

#### Assessments

<u>Affordable Housing Program (AHP).</u> The FHLBank Act requires each FHLBank to establish and fund an AHP, providing subsidies to members to assist in the purchase, construction, or rehabilitation of housing for very low-to-moderate-income households. Each FHLBank charges the required funding for AHP to earnings and establishes a liability. An FHLBank issues AHP advances at interest rates below the customary interest rate for non-subsidized advances. A discount on the AHP advance and charge against AHP liability is recorded for the present value of the variation in the cash flow caused by the difference in the interest rate between the AHP advance rate and that FHLBank's related cost of funds for comparable maturity funding. As an alternative, that FHLBank has the authority to make the AHP subsidy available to members as a grant. The discount on AHP advances is accreted to interest income on advances using a level-yield methodology over the life of the advance.

<u>Resolution Funding Corporation (REFCORP).</u> Although the FHLBanks are exempt from ordinary federal, state, and local taxation, except for local real estate tax, they were required to make quarterly payments to REFCORP through the second quarter of 2011, after which the obligation expired. These payments represented a portion of the interest on bonds that were issued by REFCORP. REFCORP is a corporation established by Congress in 1989 that provided funding for the resolution and disposition of insolvent savings institutions. Officers, employees, and agents of the Office of Finance are authorized to act for and on behalf of REFCORP to carry out the functions of REFCORP.

#### Note 2 - Recently Issued and Adopted Accounting Guidance

#### Joint and Several Liability Arrangements

On February 28, 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance for the recognition, measurement and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date. This guidance requires an entity to measure these obligations as the sum of (1) the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its coobligors and (2) any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. In addition, this guidance requires an entity to disclose the nature and amount of the obligation as well as other information about those obligations. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2013 and should be applied retrospectively to obligations with joint and several liabilities existing at the beginning of an entity's fiscal year of adoption. This guidance is not expected to materially affect the FHLBanks' combined financial condition, combined results of operations or combined cash flows.

# Framework for Adversely Classifying Certain Assets

On April 9, 2012, the FHFA issued an advisory bulletin that establishes a standard and uniform methodology for classifying loans, other real estate owned, and certain other assets (excluding investment securities), and prescribes the timing of asset charge-offs based on these classifications. This guidance is generally consistent with the *Uniform Retail Credit Classification and Account Management Policy* issued by the federal banking regulators in June 2000. The FHFA's advisory bulletin states that it was effective for the FHLBanks upon issuance. However, the FHFA issued additional guidance and indicated that the implementation date is for the period beginning January 1, 2014. The FHLBanks are currently implementing and assessing the provisions of this advisory bulletin and have not yet determined its effect on the FHLBanks' combined financial condition, combined results of operations and combined cash flows.

# **Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities**

On December 16, 2011, the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) issued common disclosure requirements intended to help investors and other financial statement users better assess the effect or potential effect of offsetting arrangements on a company's financial position, whether a company's financial statements are prepared on the basis of GAAP or International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). This guidance was amended on January 31, 2013 to clarify that its scope includes only certain financial instruments that are either offset on the balance sheet or are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The FHLBanks will be required to disclose both gross and net information about derivative, repurchase and security lending instruments that meet these criteria. This guidance, as amended, became effective for the FHLBanks for interim and annual periods beginning on January 1, 2013 and will be applied retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. The adoption of this guidance will result in additional financial statement disclosures, but will not affect the FHLBanks' combined financial condition, combined results of operations or combined cash flows.

# **Presentation of Comprehensive Income**

On June 16, 2011, the FASB issued guidance to increase the prominence of other comprehensive income in financial statements. This guidance requires an entity that reports items of other comprehensive income to present comprehensive income in either a single financial statement or in two consecutive financial statements. In a single continuous statement, an entity is required to present the components of net income and total net income, the components of other comprehensive income and a total for other comprehensive income, as well as a total for comprehensive income. In a two-statement approach, an entity is required to present the components of net income and total net income in its statement of net income. The statement of other comprehensive income should follow immediately and include the components of other comprehensive income as well as totals for both other comprehensive income and comprehensive income. This guidance eliminated the option to present other comprehensive income in the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. Each FHLBank elected the two-statement approach for interim and annual periods beginning on January 1, 2012 and applied this guidance retrospectively for all periods presented. The adoption of this guidance was limited to the presentation of certain information contained in the interim and annual financial statements and did not affect the FHLBanks' combined financial condition, combined results of operations or combined cash flows. (See <u>Note 18 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income</u> [Loss] for disclosures required under this amended guidance.)

On February 5, 2013, the FASB issued guidance to improve the transparency of reporting reclassifications out of AOCI. This guidance does not change the current requirements for reporting net income or comprehensive income in financial statements. However, it does require the FHLBanks to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of AOCI by component. In addition, the FHLBanks are required to present significant amounts reclassified out of AOCI either on the face of the financial statement where net income is presented or in the footnotes. These amounts would be presented based on the respective lines of net income only if the amount reclassified is required under GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, the FHLBanks are required to cross-reference to other required disclosures that provide additional detail about these other amounts. This guidance became effective for the FHLBanks for interim and annual periods beginning on January 1,

2013 and will be applied prospectively. The adoption of this guidance will result in additional financial statement disclosures, but will not affect the FHLBanks' combined financial condition, combined results of operations or combined cash flows.

# Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

On May 12, 2011, the FASB and the IASB issued substantially converged guidance on fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. This guidance clarifies how fair value accounting should be applied where its use is already required or permitted by other guidance within GAAP or IFRS; these amendments do not require additional fair value measurements. This guidance generally represents clarifications to the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements, as well as some instances where a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or disclosing information about fair value measurements has changed. This guidance became effective for the FHLBanks for interim and annual periods beginning on January 1, 2012 and was applied prospectively. The adoption of this guidance resulted in additional financial statement disclosures, but did not have a material effect on the FHLBanks' combined financial condition, combined results of operations or combined cash flows. (See <u>Note 20 - Fair Value</u> for disclosures required under this amended guidance.)

# **Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements**

On April 29, 2011, the FASB issued guidance to improve the accounting for repurchase agreements and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. This guidance amends the existing criteria for determining whether or not a transferor has retained effective control over financial assets transferred under a repurchase agreement. A secured borrowing is recorded when effective control over the transferred financial assets is maintained, while a sale is recorded when effective control over the transferred financial assets has not been maintained. The new guidance removes from the assessment of effective control: (1) the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of the transferee's default, and (2) the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. This guidance became effective for the FHLBanks for interim and annual periods beginning on January 1, 2012 and was applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occurred on or after this date. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the FHLBanks' combined financial condition, combined results of operations or combined cash flows.

# Note 3 - Cash and Due from Banks

#### **Compensating Balances**

The FHLBanks maintain collected cash balances with commercial banks in return for certain services. These agreements contain no legal restrictions on the withdrawal of funds. The average collected cash balances were \$658 million and \$475 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Effective July 12, 2012, the Federal Reserve eliminated its Contractual Clearing Balance Program. Prior to July 12, 2012, the majority of FHLBanks maintained average required balances with various Federal Reserve Banks for this program. For the year ended December 31, 2011, these average required balances totaled \$118 million.

# **Pass-through Deposit Reserves**

Certain FHLBanks act as pass-through correspondents for member institutions required to deposit reserves with the Federal Reserve Banks. The amount shown as cash and due from banks includes pass-through reserves deposited with the Federal Reserve Banks of \$143 million and \$120 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

# Note 4 - Trading Securities

# Table 4.1 - Trading Securities by Major Security Type (dollars in millions)

	Decembe	December 31, 2012		mber 31, 2011
	Fair	Value		Fair Value
Non-mortgage-backed securities				
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$	1,003	\$	1,061
Commercial paper		60		599
Certificates of deposit		325		1,020
Other U.S. obligations		310		9
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations		7,983		9,697
State or local housing agency obligations		2		3
Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes		_		5,179
Other		306		296
Total non-mortgage-backed securities		9,989		17,864
Mortgage-backed securities				
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS		38		43
GSE residential MBS		854		549
GSE commercial MBS		252		249
Total mortgage-backed securities		1,144		841
Total	\$	11,133	\$	18,705

# Table 4.2 - Net Gains (Losses) on Trading Securities

(dollars in millions)

	Y	'ear E	nded December 31	,	
	2012		2011		2010
Net unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities held at period-end	\$ (100)	\$	20	\$	38
Net unrealized and realized gains (losses) on trading securities sold/matured during the year	(52)		(31)		31
Net gains (losses) on trading securities	\$ (152)	\$	(11)	\$	69

# Note 5 - Available-for-Sale Securities

# Table 5.1 - Available-for-Sale (AFS) Securities by Major Security Type

					De	cember 31, 2012			
		Amortized Cost(1)	ΟΤΤ	I Recognized in AOCI(2)	G	ross Unrealized Gains(3)	Gross Unrealized Losses(3)		Fair Value
Non-mortgage-backed securities									
Other U.S. obligations	\$	1,999	\$	-	\$	80	\$ —	\$	2,079
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations		14,136		_		119	(56)		14,199
State and local housing agency obligations		20		-		-	_		20
Federal Family Education Loan Program ABS		6,958		_		508	(14)		7,452
Other		1,362		_		10	(29)		1,343
Total non-mortgage-backed securities		24,475		_		717	(99)		25,093
Mortgage-backed securities									
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS		3,194		_		193	-		3,387
GSE residential MBS		22,394		-		1,058	(55)		23,397
GSE commercial MBS		147		_		-	-		147
Private-label residential MBS		14,706		(1,206)		196	(1)		13,695
Home equity loan ABS		14		(1)		1	-		14
Total mortgage-backed securities		40,455		(1,207)		1,448	(56)		40,640
Total	\$	64,930	\$	(1,207)	\$	2,165	\$ (155)	\$	65,733
	_				_			_	

			December 31, 2011		
	Amortized Cost(1)	OTTI Recognized in AOCI(2)	Gross Unrealized Gains(3)	Gross Unrealized Losses(3)	Fair Value
Non-mortgage-backed securities		_			
Certificates of deposit	\$ 3,955	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (1)	\$ 3,954
Other U.S. obligations	952	-	63	-	1,015
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	14,935	-	126	(80)	14,981
Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes	9,532	_	14	_	9,546
Federal Family Education Loan Program ABS	7,796	-	398	(35)	8,159
Other	1,199	-	3	(37)	1,165
Total non-mortgage-backed securities	38,369		604	(153)	38,820
Mortgage-backed securities					
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS	2,988	-	130	_	3,118
GSE residential MBS	21,084	-	773	(96)	21,761
GSE commercial MBS	154	_	—	(1)	153
Private-label residential MBS	17,351	(2,969)	17	(204)	14,195
Home equity loan ABS	18	(3)	—	_	15
Total mortgage-backed securities	41,595	(2,972)	920	(301)	39,242
Total	\$ 79,964	\$ (2,972)	\$ 1,524	\$ (454)	\$ 78,062

(1) Amortized cost of AFS securities includes adjustments made to the cost basis of an investment for accretion, amortization, previous other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) recognized in earnings, and/or fair value hedge accounting adjustments.

(2) OTTI recognized in AOCI does not include \$196 million and \$(185) million in subsequent unrealized gains (losses) in fair value of previously other-than-temporarily impaired AFS securities at December 31, 2012 and 2011, which is included in net non-credit portion of OTTI losses on AFS securities in <u>Note 18 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive</u> <u>Income (Loss)</u>.

(3) Gross unrealized gains and gross unrealized losses on AFS securities include \$196 million and \$(185) million in subsequent unrealized gains (losses) in fair value of previously other-than-temporarily impaired AFS securities at December 31, 2012 and 2011, which is not included in net unrealized gains (losses) on AFS securities in <u>Note 18 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss</u>).

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the amortized cost of the FHLBanks' MBS classified as AFS included credit losses, OTTIrelated accretion adjustments, and purchased premiums and discounts totaling \$2,749 million and \$2,890 million.

Table 5.2 presents the AFS securities with unrealized losses by major security type and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

# Table 5.2 - AFS Securities in a Continuous Unrealized Loss Position

		December 31, 2012											
		Less than :	12 Mo	onths		12 month	more	Total					
	F	air Value	Unrealized lue Losses			Fair Value		Unrealized Losses	Fair Value			Unrealized Losses(1)	
Non-mortgage-backed securities													
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	\$	1,704	\$	(3)	\$	490	\$	(53)	\$	2,194	\$	(56)	
Federal Family Education Loan Program ABS		_		—		1,079		(14)		1,079		(14)	
Other		141		_		473		(29)		614		(29)	
Total non-mortgage-backed securities		1,845		(3)		2,042		(96)		3,887		(99)	
Mortgage-backed securities													
GSE residential MBS		268		(1)		3,798		(54)		4,066		(55)	
Private-label residential MBS		360		(2)		9,323		(1,205)		9,683		(1,207)	
Home equity loan ABS		-		-		3		(1)		3		(1)	
Total mortgage-backed securities		628		(3)		13,124		(1,260)	_	13,752		(1,263)	
Total	\$	2,473	\$	(6)	\$	15,166	\$	(1,356)	\$	17,639	\$	(1,362)	

December 31, 2011												
	Less than	12 M	onths		12 month	s or r	more	Total				
Fa	Fair Value		Unrealized ue Losses		Fair Value		Unrealized Losses	Fair Value			Unrealized Losses(1)	
										_		
\$	3,524	\$	(1)	\$	_	\$	—	\$	3,524	\$	(1)	
	2,487		(6)		1,042		(74)		3,529		(80)	
	223		(3)		1,203		(32)		1,426		(35)	
	342		(1)		469		(36)		811		(37)	
	6,576		(11)	_	2,714		(142)		9,290		(153)	
	5,273		(92)		937		(4)		6,210		(96)	
	50		_		103		(1)		153		(1)	
	1,292		(61)		12,490		(3,112)		13,782		(3,173)	
	2		_		13		(3)		15		(3)	
	6,617		(153)		13,543		(3,120)		20,160		(3,273)	
\$	13,193	\$	(164)	\$	16,257	\$	(3,262)	\$	29,450	\$	(3,426)	
		Fair Value           \$         3,524           2,487         223           342         6,576           5,273         50           1,292         2           6,617         2	Fair Value           \$         3,524         \$           2,487         223         24           223         342         4           6,576         5         5           5,273         50         1,292           1,292         2         6,617	Fair Value         Losses           \$ 3,524         \$ (1)           \$ 2,487         (6)           223         (3)           342         (1)           6,576         (11)           5,273         (92)           50         -           1,292         (61)           2         -           6,617         (153)	Fair Value         Unrealized Losses           \$         3,524         \$         (1)         \$           2,487         (6)         223         (3)         342         (1)         \$           223         (3)         342         (1)         \$         \$         \$           342         (1)         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$           5,273         (92)         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$           5,273         (92)         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$           1,292         (61)         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$           2         2         \$	Less than 12 Months         12 month           Fair Value         Unrealized Losses         Fair Value           \$ 3,524         \$ (1)         \$ -           2,487         (6)         1,042           223         (3)         1,203           342         (1)         469           6,576         (11)         2,714           5,273         (92)         937           50         -         103           1,292         (61)         12,490           2         -         13           6,617         (153)         13,543	Less than 12 Months         12 months or 1           Fair Value         Unrealized Losses         Fair Value           \$ 3,524         \$ (1)         \$         \$           2,487         (6)         1,042         \$           223         (3)         1,203         \$           342         (1)         469         \$           5,273         (92)         937         \$           5,273         (92)         937         \$           1,292         (61)         12,490         \$           1,292         (61)         12,490         \$           2         -         13         \$	Less than 12 Months         12 months or more           Fair Value         Unrealized Losses         Fair Value         Unrealized Losses           \$ 3,524         \$ (1)         \$ -         \$ -           2,487         (6)         1,042         (74)           223         (3)         1,203         (32)           342         (1)         469         (36)           6,576         (11)         2,714         (142)           5,273         (92)         937         (4)           1,292         (61)         12,490         (3,112)           1,292         (61)         12,490         (3,112)           2         -         13         (3)	Less than 12 Months         12 months or more           Fair Value         Unrealized Losses         Fair Value         Unrealized Losses         Unrealized Losses         Intervalue         Unrealized Losses         Intervalue         Unrealized Losses         Intervalue         Intervalue <thintervalue< th="">         Intervalue</thintervalue<>	Less than 12 Months         12 months or more         To           Fair Value         Unrealized Losses         Fair Value         Unrealized Losses         Fair Value         Fair Value           \$ 3,524         \$ (1)         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ 3,524           2,487         (6)         1,042         (74)         3,529           223         (3)         1,203         (32)         1,426           342         (1)         469         (36)         811           6,576         (11)         2,714         (142)         9,290           5,273         (92)         937         (4)         6,210           50         -         103         (1)         153           1,292         (61)         12,490         (3,112)         13,782           2         -         13         (3)         15           6,617         (153)         13,543         (3,120)         20,160	Less than 12 Months         12 months or more         Total           Fair Value         Unrealized Losses         Fair Value         Unrealized Losses         Fair Value         Fair Value	

(1) Total unrealized losses in Table 5.2 will not agree to total gross unrealized losses in Table 5.1. Total unrealized losses in Table 5.2 include non-credit-related OTTI losses recorded in AOCI.

# Table 5.3 - AFS Securities by Contractual Maturity

(dollars in millions)

		Decembe	r 31, 2	2012		Decembe	r 31, i	2011
Year of Maturity		rtized Cost		Fair Value	Am	ortized Cost		Fair Value
Non-mortgage-backed securities								
Due in one year or less	\$	2,054	\$	2,064	\$	17,123	\$	17,138
Due after one year through five years		7,799		7,860		5,425		5,501
Due after five years through ten years		4,667		4,736		5,987		6,052
Due after ten years		2,997		2,981		2,038		1,970
Federal Family Education Loan Program ABS(1)		6,958		7,452		7,796		8,159
Total non-mortgage-backed securities		24,475		25,093		38,369		38,820
Mortgage-backed securities(1)		40,455		40,640		41,595		39,242
Total	\$	64,930	\$	65,733	\$	79,964	\$	78,062

(1) Federal Family Education Loan Program ABS and MBS are not presented by contractual maturity because their expected maturities will likely differ from contractual maturities as borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment fees.

#### Table 5.4 - Interest-Rate Payment Terms of AFS Securities

		Decem	er 31,		
	2	012		2011	
Amortized cost of non-mortgage-backed securities					
Fixed rate	\$	15,954	\$	23,816	
Variable rate		8,521		14,553	
Total amortized cost of non-mortgage-backed securities		24,475		38,369	
Amortized cost of mortgage-backed securities					
Fixed rate		20,390		20,675	
Variable rate		20,065		20,920	
Total amortized cost of mortgage-backed securities		40,455		41,595	
Total	\$	64,930	\$	79,964	

#### Table 5.5 - Proceeds from Sale and Gross Gains and Losses on AFS Securities

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,										
	 2012		2011		2010						
Proceeds from sale of AFS securities	\$ 266	\$	2,426	\$	1,494						
Gross gains on sale of AFS securities	\$ 15	\$	28	\$	20						
Gross losses on sale of AFS securities	—		(4)		-						
Net realized gains/(losses) from sale of AFS securities	\$ 15 <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	24 <sup>(b)</sup>	\$	20 <sup>(c)</sup>						

(a)

Includes \$2 million of net realized gains relating to sales of previously other-than-temporarily impaired securities. Includes \$11 million of net realized gains relating to sales of previously other-than-temporarily impaired securities. Includes \$10 million of net realized gains relating to sales of previously other-than-temporarily impaired securities. (b) (c)

See Note 7 - Other-than-Temporary Impairment Analysis for analysis related to OTTI and information on the transfers of securities between the AFS portfolio and the held-to-maturity (HTM) portfolio.

# Note 6 - Held-to-Maturity Securities

# Table 6.1 - HTM Securities by Major Security Type

		December 31, 2012											
	A	mortized Cost(1)			Carrying Value(2)		Gross Unrecognized Holding Gains(3)		Gross d Unrecognized Holding Losses(3)			Fair Value	
Non-mortgage-backed securities													
Certificates of deposit	\$	2,958	\$	_	\$	2,958	\$	_	\$	—	\$	2,958	
Other U.S. obligations		2,561		—		2,561		141		—		2,702	
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations		3,131		_		3,131		94		_		3,225	
State or local housing agency obligations		2,713		_		2,713		23		(211)		2,525	
Other		2		_		2		_		—		2	
Total non-mortgage-backed securities		11,365		_		11,365		258		(211)		11,412	
Mortgage-backed securities													
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS		9,109		_		9,109		177		(1)		9,285	
Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS		457		_		457		8		_		465	
GSE residential MBS		70,253		-		70,253		2,042		(11)		72,284	
GSE commercial MBS		5,203		_		5,203		381		(1)		5,583	
Private-label residential MBS		12,122		(881)		11,241		638		(264)		11,615	
Private-label commercial MBS		10		_		10		-		_		10	
Manufactured housing loan ABS		147		_		147		2		(4)		145	
Home equity loan ABS		382		(64)		318		67		(17)		368	
Total mortgage-backed securities		97,683		(945)		96,738	_	3,315		(298)		99,755	
Total	\$	109,048	\$	(945)	\$	108,103	\$	3,573	\$	(509)	\$	111,167	

	December 31, 2011											
	mortized Cost(1)	ОТ	TI Recognized in AOCI(2)	in		ι	Gross Inrecognized Holding Gains(3)	olding			Fair Value	
Non-mortgage-backed securities		_										
Commercial paper	\$ 1,800	\$	-	\$	1,800	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,800	
Certificates of deposit	7,209		-		7,209		-		-		7,209	
Other U.S. obligations	2,259		-		2,259		94		-		2,353	
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	2,616		_		2,616		115		_		2,731	
State or local housing agency obligations	2,225		-		2,225		14		(295)		1,944	
Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes	3,295		_		3,295		3		_		3,298	
Other	2		-		2		-		-		2	
Total non-mortgage-backed securities	19,406				19,406		226		(295)		19,337	
Mortgage-backed securities												
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS	9,444		-		9,444		115		(16)		9,543	
Other U.S. obligations commercial MBS	521		_		521		6		-		527	
GSE residential MBS	71,305		-		71,305		2,189		(39)		73,455	
GSE commercial MBS	3,510		-		3,510		233		-		3,743	
Private-label residential MBS	15,760		(1,048)		14,712		223		(1,238)		13,697	
Private-label commercial MBS	48		_		48		1		-		49	
Manufactured housing loan ABS	171		-		171		-		(12)		159	
Home equity loan ABS	 437		(77)	_	360		50		(34)		376	
Total mortgage-backed securities	101,196	_	(1,125)		100,071		2,817		(1,339)		101,549	
Total	\$ 120,602	\$	(1,125)	\$	119,477	\$	3,043	\$	(1,634)	\$	120,886	

(1) Amortized cost of HTM securities includes adjustments made to the cost basis of an investment for accretion, amortization, and/or previous OTTI recognized in earnings.

(2) Carrying value of HTM securities represents amortized cost after adjustment for the non-credit-related OTTI recognized in AOCI.

(3) Gross unrecognized holding gains (losses) represent the difference between fair value and carrying value.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the amortized cost of the FHLBanks' MBS classified as HTM included credit losses, OTTIrelated accretion adjustments, and purchased premiums and discounts totaling \$986 million and \$1,130 million.

Table 6.2 presents the HTM securities with unrealized losses, which are aggregated by major security type and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

#### Table 6.2 - HTM Securities in a Continuous Unrealized Loss Position

	December 31, 2012											
		Less than :	12 Mo	onths		12 month	s or I	more	Total			
		Fair Value	ι	Jnrealized Losses	Fair Value		Unrealized Losses		Fair Value			Unrealized Losses(1)
Non-mortgage-backed securities												
State or local housing agency obligations	\$	1	\$	-	\$	1,072	\$	(211)	\$	1,073	\$	(211)
Total non-mortgage-backed securities		1		_		1,072		(211)		1,073		(211)
Mortgage-backed securities												
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS		275		—		463		(1)		738		(1)
GSE residential MBS		1,278		(5)		847		(6)		2,125		(11)
GSE commercial MBS		296		(1)		-		-		296		(1)
Private-label residential MBS		96		(2)		7,733		(950)		7,829		(952)
Manufactured housing loan ABS		-		-		67		(4)		67		(4)
Home equity loan ABS		62		(1)		263		(23)		325		(24)
Total mortgage-backed securities	_	2,007		(9)		9,373	_	(984)		11,380		(993)
Total	\$	2,008	\$	(9)	\$	10,445	\$	(1,195)	\$	12,453	\$	(1,204)

	December 31, 2011													
		Less than :	nths		12 month	nore	Total							
	F	Fair Value		Unrealized Losses		Fair Value		Unrealized Losses	Fair Value			Unrealized Losses(1)		
Non-mortgage-backed securities														
State or local housing agency obligations	\$	31	\$	(3)	\$	1,174	\$	(292)	\$	1,205	\$	(295)		
Total non-mortgage-backed securities		31		(3)	_	1,174		(292)		1,205	_	(295)		
Mortgage-backed securities					_						_			
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS		1,657		(8)		762		(8)		2,419		(16)		
GSE residential MBS		6,581		(16)		3,790		(23)		10,371		(39)		
Private-label residential MBS		1,229		(25)		9,843		(2,238)		11,072		(2,263)		
Manufactured housing loan ABS		_		—		159		(12)		159		(12)		
Home equity loan ABS		1		_		373		(65)		374		(65)		
Total mortgage-backed securities		9,468		(49)	_	14,927		(2,346)		24,395	_	(2,395)		
Total	\$	9,499	\$	(52)	\$	16,101	\$	(2,638)	\$	25,600	\$	(2,690)		
	-		_		_		-		_		_			

(1) Total unrealized losses in Table 6.2 will not agree to total gross unrecognized holding losses in Table 6.1. Total unrealized losses in Table 6.2 include non-credit-related OTTI losses recorded in AOCI and gross unrecognized holding gains on previously other-than-temporarily impaired securities.

# Table 6.3 - HTM Securities by Contractual Maturity

(dollars in millions)

			Dece	mber 31, 2012			December 31, 2011					
Year of Maturity	Amo	Amortized Cost		Carrying Value(1)		Fair Value		Amortized Cost		Carrying Value(1)		Fair Value
Non-mortgage-backed securities												
Due in one year or less	\$	4,604	\$	4,604	\$	4,608	\$	13,234	\$	13,234	\$	13,250
Due after one year through five years		2,285		2,285		2,290		1,893		1,893		1,921
Due after five years through ten years		820		820		840		696		696		710
Due after ten years		3,656		3,656		3,674		3,583		3,583		3,456
Total non-mortgage-backed securities		11,365		11,365		11,412		19,406		19,406		19,337
Mortgage-backed securities(2)		97,683		96,738		99,755		101,196		100,071		101,549
Total	\$	109,048	\$	108,103	\$	111,167	\$	120,602	\$	119,477	\$	120,886

(1) The carrying value of HTM securities represents amortized cost after adjustment for non-credit-related OTTI recognized in AOCI.

(2) MBS are not presented by contractual maturity because their expected maturities will likely differ from contractual maturities as borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment fees.

#### Table 6.4 - Interest Rate Payment Terms of HTM Securities

		December 31,				
	-	20	12		2011	
Amortized cost of non-mortgage-backed securities						
Fixed rate	:	\$	6,585	\$	14,425	
Variable rate			4,780		4,981	
Total amortized cost of non-mortgage-backed securities	-		11,365		19,406	
Amortized cost of mortgage-backed securities	-					
Fixed rate			41,789		46,357	
Variable rate			55,894		54,839	
Total amortized cost of mortgage-backed securities	_		97,683		101,196	
Total		\$	109,048	\$	120,602	
				-		

# **Realized Gains and Losses**

Certain FHLBanks sold securities out of their respective HTM portfolio that were either within three months of maturity or had less than 15% of the acquired principal outstanding at the time of the sale. These sales are considered maturities for purposes of security classification.

# Table 6.5 - Proceeds and Gains (Losses) from Sale of HTM Securities

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,									
	2012 2011				2010					
Carrying value of HTM securities sold	\$	509	\$	1,366	\$	343				
Net realized gains (losses) from sale of HTM securities		30		35		8				
Proceeds from sale of HTM securities	\$	539	\$	1,401	\$	351				

See <u>Note 7 - Other-than-Temporary Impairment Analysis</u> for analysis related to OTTI and information on the transfers of securities between the AFS portfolio and the HTM portfolio.

# Note 7 - Other-than-Temporary Impairment Analysis

Each FHLBank evaluates its individual AFS and HTM investment securities holdings in an unrealized loss position for OTTI on a quarterly basis. As part of its evaluation of securities for OTTI, an FHLBank considers its intent to sell each debt security and whether it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery. If either of these conditions is met, an FHLBank recognizes an OTTI charge to earnings equal to the entire difference between the security's amortized cost basis and its fair value at the statement of condition date. For securities in an unrealized loss position that meet neither of these conditions, each FHLBank performs a cash flow analysis to determine whether the entire amortized cost basis of these impaired securities, including all previously other-than-temporarily impaired securities, will be recovered. If the FHLBank does not expect to recover the entire amount, the unrealized loss position is considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. The FHLBank evaluates the security's OTTI to determine the amount of credit loss recognized in earnings, which is limited to the amount of that security's unrealized loss.

To ensure consistency in determination of the OTTI for private-label MBS among all FHLBanks, the FHLBanks enhanced their overall OTTI process by implementing a system-wide governance committee and establishing a formal process to ensure consistency in key OTTI modeling assumptions used for purposes of their cash flow analyses for the majority of these securities. Most of the FHLBanks select all of their private-label MBS in an unrealized loss position to be evaluated using the FHLBanks' common framework and approved assumptions for purposes of OTTI cash flow analysis. For certain private-label MBS where underlying collateral data is not available, alternative procedures as determined by each FHLBank are used to assess these securities for OTTI.

Each FHLBank's evaluation includes estimating the projected cash flows that the FHLBank is likely to collect based on an assessment of all available information, including the structure of the applicable security and certain assumptions such as:

- the remaining payment terms for the security;
- prepayment speeds based on underlying loan-level borrower and loan characteristics;
- default rates based on underlying loan-level borrower and loan characteristics;
- loss severity on the collateral supporting each FHLBank's security based on underlying loan-level borrower and loan characteristics;
- expected housing price changes; and
- interest-rate assumptions.

# **Certain Private-label MBS**

Each FHLBank performed a cash flow analysis using two third-party models to assess whether the entire amortized cost basis of its private-label MBS securities will be recovered.

The first third-party model considers borrower characteristics and the particular attributes of the loans underlying an FHLBank's securities, in conjunction with assumptions about future changes in home prices and interest rates, to project prepayments, defaults and loss severities. A significant input to the first model is the forecast of future housing price changes for the relevant states and core based statistical areas (CBSAs), which are based upon an assessment of the individual housing markets. CBSA refers collectively to metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas as defined by the United States Office of Management and Budget; as currently defined, a CBSA must contain at least one urban area with a population of 10,000 or more people. For the vast majority of housing markets, the FHLBanks' housing price forecast as of December 31, 2012 assumed home price changes for the fourth quarter of 2012 ranging from declines of 2.0% to increases of 2.0%. Beginning January 1, 2013, home prices in these markets were projected to recover (or continue to recover) using one of four different recovery paths that vary by housing market.

Table 7.1 presents projected home price recovery by month at December 31, 2012.

Table 7.1 - Recovery Ranges	s of Housing Price Change
-----------------------------	---------------------------

Months	Recovery Range % (Annualized Rates)
1 - 6	0.0 - 2.8
7 - 12	0.0 — 3.0
13 - 18	1.0 — 3.0
19 - 30	2.0 — 4.0
31 - 42	2.8 — 5.0
43 - 66	2.8 — 6.0
Thereafter	2.8 — 5.6

The month-by-month projections of future loan performance derived from the first model, which reflect projected prepayments, defaults and loss severities, are then input into a second model that allocates the projected loan level cash flows and losses to the various security classes in the securitization structure in accordance with its prescribed cash flow and loss allocation rules. In a securitization in which the credit enhancement for the senior securities is derived from the presence of subordinate securities, losses are generally allocated first to the subordinate securities until their principal balance is reduced to zero. The projected cash flows are based on a number of assumptions and expectations, and the results of these models can vary significantly with changes in assumptions and expectations. The scenario of cash flows determined based on the model approach reflects a best estimate scenario and includes a base case current-to-trough housing price forecast and a base case housing price recovery path.

<u>OTTI - Credit Loss.</u> In performing a detailed cash flow analysis, each FHLBank identifies the best estimate of the cash flows expected to be collected. If this estimate results in a present value of expected cash flows (discounted at the security's effective yield) that is less than the amortized cost basis of a security (that is, a credit loss exists), an OTTI loss is considered to have occurred. For variable-rate and hybrid private-label MBS, the affected FHLBank uses the effective interest rate derived from a variable-rate index (e.g., one-month LIBOR) plus the contractual spread, plus or minus a fixed spread adjustment when there is an existing discount or premium on the security. As the implied forward curve of the index changes over time, the effective interest rates derived from that index will also change over time.

OTTI - Significant Inputs. For those securities for which an OTTI was determined to have occurred during the year ended December 31, 2012 (that is, securities for which each FHLBank determined that it was more likely than not that the entire amortized cost basis would not be recovered), Table 7.2 presents the significant inputs used to measure the amount of credit loss recognized in earnings during the year ended December 31, 2012 as well as related current credit enhancement for each affected FHLBank. Credit enhancement is defined as the percentage of credit subordination, excess spread and over-collateralization, if any, in a security structure that will generally absorb losses before each FHLBank will experience a credit loss on the security. The calculated averages represent the dollar-weighted averages of all the private-label residential MBS and home equity loan ABS in each category shown.

#### Table 7.2 - Significant Inputs for OTTI

	Significant Input			
	Prepayment Rates	Default Rates	Loss Severities	Current Credit Enhancement
Year of Securitization	Weighted-Average %(3)	Weighted-Average %(3)	Weighted-Average %(3)	Weighted-Average %(3)
Prime				
2008	9.4	29.0	43.2	15.0
2007	7.5	24.1	40.6	2.9
2006	7.8	29.6	43.4	2.9
2005	8.6	17.1	41.9	4.4
2004 and prior	13.0	11.2	32.0	7.5
Total prime	8.1	27.7	42.7	4.9
Alt-A				
2007	5.9	57.2	52.5	17.0
2006	6.6	53.9	53.2	17.5
2005	7.9	34.6	47.3	10.9
2004 and prior	10.3	22.7	39.0	12.9
Total Alt-A	7.0	46.6	50.3	14.9
Subprime				
2006	2.7	77.3	72.4	8.4
2005	1.8	84.2	70.1	39.2
2004 and prior	5.8	37.1	65.9	11.1
Total subprime	2.7	76.1	71.6	14.3
Total OTTI Private-label residential MBS	7.3	41.1	48.1	11.9

	Significant In	Significant Inputs for OTTI Home Equity Loan ABS(1)(2)									
	Prepayment Rates	Default Rates	Loss Severities	Current Credit Enhancement							
Year of Securitization	Weighted-Average %(3)	Weighted-Average %(3) Weighted-Average %(3) Weighted-Average %(3)									
Alt-A											
2004 and prior	7.6	6.3	100.0	0.5							
Total Alt-A	7.6	6.3	100.0	0.5							
Subprime											
2004 and prior	4.2	7.8	90.9	6.2							
Total subprime	4.2	7.8	90.9	6.2							
Total OTTI Home equity loan ABS	5.1	7.4	93.1	4.8							

(1) Represents significant inputs associated with the most recent OTTI in 2012.

(2) The classification (prime, Alt-A and subprime) is based on the model used to run the estimated cash flows for the CUSIP, which may not necessarily be the same as the

classification at the time of origination.

(3) Weighted-average percentage is based on unpaid principal balance.

Certain changes in circumstances may cause an FHLBank to change its intent to hold a certain security to maturity without calling into question its intent to hold other debt securities to maturity in the future. Thus, the sale or transfer of an HTM security due to certain changes in circumstances, such as evidence of significant deterioration in the issuer's creditworthiness, is not considered to be inconsistent with its original classification. Additionally, other events that are isolated, nonrecurring, or unusual for an FHLBank that could not have been reasonably anticipated may cause an FHLBank to sell or transfer an HTM security without necessarily calling into question its intent to hold other debt securities to maturity.

During 2012, 2011 and 2010, certain FHLBanks elected to transfer from their respective HTM portfolio to their respective AFS portfolio private-label residential MBS that had credit-related OTTI recorded during the applicable period. Each of these FHLBanks recognized an OTTI credit loss on these HTM private-label residential MBS, which each FHLBank believes is evidence of a significant deterioration in the issuer's creditworthiness. This deterioration is the basis for the transfers to the AFS portfolio. These transfers allow management the option to decide to sell these securities prior to maturity in response to changes in interest rates, changes in prepayment risk, or other factors. For the AFS securities in an unrealized loss position, each of these FHLBanks asserted as of December 31, 2012 that it has no intent to sell and believes it is not more likely than not that it will be required to sell any security before its anticipated recovery of the remaining amortized cost basis.

Table 7.3 presents information on private-label residential MBS transferred during 2012, 2011 and 2010. The amounts represent the values as of the transfer date.

#### Table 7.3 - HTM Securities Transferred to AFS Securities

(dollars in millions)

						2012		
	Amor Co		отт	Recognized in AOCI	Cari	rying Value	Gross nrecognized olding Gains (Losses)	Fair Value
Transferred at December 31,	\$	6	\$	_	\$	6	\$ _	\$ 6
Transferred at September 30,		94		(15)		79	-	79
Transferred at June 30,		26		(7)		19	-	19
Transferred at March 31,		60		(7)		53	-	53
Total	\$	186	\$	(29)	\$	157	\$ 	\$ 157

					2011						
	Amortized Cost	OTTI Recognized in AOCI			Carrying Value	Gross Unrecognized Holding Gains (Losses)			Fair Value		
Transferred at December 31,	\$ 392	\$	(84)	\$	308	\$	1	\$	309		
Transferred at September 30,	761		(153)		608		33		641		
Transferred at June 30,	2,678		(739)		1,939		168		2,107		
Transferred at March 31,	7,519		(1,796)		5,723		690		6,413		
Total	\$ 11,350	\$	(2,772)	\$	8,578	\$	892	\$	9,470		

	 2010											
	Amortized Cost		OTTI Recognized in AOCI		Carrying Value		Gross Unrecognized Holding Gains (Losses)	Fair Value				
Transferred at December 31,	\$ 1,194	\$	(262)	\$	932	\$	141	\$	1,073			
Transferred at September 30,	282		(78)		204		10		214			
Transferred at June 30,	1,436		(211)		1,225		5		1,230			
Transferred at March 31,	626		(119)		507		—		507			
Total	\$ 3,538	\$	(670)	\$	2,868	\$	156	\$	3,024			

Table 7.4 presents the December 31, 2012 balance of the total HTM and AFS securities with OTTI charges during the life of the security (which represent securities impaired prior to and at December 31, 2012), based on each individual FHLBank's impairment analyses of its investment portfolio.

# Table 7.4 - Total Securities Other-than-Temporarily Impaired during the Life of the Security

(dollars in millions)	
-----------------------	--

						De	ecem	ber 31, 2012 (	1)							
			H	Held-to-Matu	rity Se	curities			Available-for-Sale Securities							
	F	Unpaid Principal Amortized Balance Cost C		Carr	Carrying Value Fair Value				Unpaid Principal Balance	А	mortized Cost	Fa	air Value			
Private-label residential MBS(2)																
Prime	\$	1,614	\$	1,288	\$	969	\$	1,236	\$	5,446	\$	4,573	\$	4,580		
Alt-A		2,178		1,682		1,232		1,442		12,130		10,126		9,107		
Subprime		820		529		417		520		2		1		2		
Total private-label residential MBS		4,612		3,499		2,618		3,198		17,578		14,700		13,689		
Home equity loan ABS(2)																
Alt-A		—		_		—		—		21		15		15		
Subprime		235		196		132		199		-		—		-		
Total home equity loan ABS		235		196		132		199		21		15		15		
Total	\$	4,847	\$	3,695	\$	2,750	\$	3,397	\$	17,599	\$	14,715	\$	13,704		

(1) Table 7.4 does not include all HTM and AFS securities that are in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2012. This table includes only those HTM and AFS securities with OTTI charges during the life of the security.

(2) The FHLBanks classify securities as prime, Alt-A and subprime based on the originator's classification at the time of origination or based on classification by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization upon issuance of the securities.

Table 7.5 presents a rollforward of the amounts related to credit losses recognized in earnings. The rollforward relates to the amount of credit losses on investment securities held by the FHLBanks for which a portion of OTTI losses were recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

# Table 7.5 - Rollforward of the Amounts Related to Credit Losses Recognized into Earnings

(dollars in millions)

2	012	2	2011		2010
\$	4,201	\$	3,487	\$	2,555
	1		29		82
	111		827		989
	(133)		(115)		(123)
	(57)		(27)		(16)
\$	4,123	\$	4,201	\$	3,487
	21 \$ \$	1 111 (133) (57)	\$ 4,201 \$ 1 111 (133) (57)	\$ 4,201 \$ 3,487 1 29 111 827 (133) (115) (57) (27)	\$       4,201       \$       3,487       \$         1       29       111       827         (113)       (115)       (115)         (57)       (27)       (27)

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, additional OTTI credit losses for securities upon which an OTTI charge was previously recognized relates to all (1) securities that were also previously impaired prior to January 1, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

# All other AFS and HTM Investment Securities

At December 31, 2012, the FHLBanks held certain other AFS and HTM securities in unrealized loss positions. These unrealized losses are primarily due to illiquidity and interest rate volatility. These losses are considered temporary as each FHLBank expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis on its remaining AFS and HTM securities in unrealized loss positions and neither intends to sell these securities nor considers it more likely than not that it will be required to sell these securities before its anticipated recovery of each security's remaining amortized cost basis. As a result, each FHLBank does not consider these other AFS and HTM investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2012.

#### Note 8 - Advances

The FHLBanks offer a wide range of fixed- and variable-rate advance products with different maturities, interest rates, payment characteristics and optionality. Fixed-rate advances generally have maturities ranging from one day to 30 years. Variable-rate advances generally have maturities ranging from less than 30 days to 20 years, where the interest rates reset periodically at a fixed spread to the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) or other specified index.

#### Table 8.1 - Advances Redemption Terms

(dollars in millions)

	Decemb	er 31, 2012	December 31, 2011					
Redemption Term	Amount	Weighted-Average Interest Rate	Amount	Weighted-Average Interest Rate				
Overdrawn demand and overnight deposit accounts	\$ 38	2.27%	\$ 21	0.98%				
Due in 1 year or less	165,019	0.82%	145,413	1.27%				
Due after 1 year through 2 years	40,669	1.75%	66,221	2.05%				
Due after 2 years through 3 years	41,153	1.94%	37,375	2.38%				
Due after 3 years through 4 years	43,471	2.35%	32,524	2.76%				
Due after 4 years through 5 years	52,663	2.20%	42,335	2.96%				
Thereafter	68,267	2.35%	76,880	3.25%				
Index-amortizing advances(1)	2,320	3.94%	2,517	4.20%				
Total par value	413,600	1.63%	403,286	2.20%				
Commitment fees	(8	)	(8)					
Discounts on AHP advances	(49	)	(55)					
Premiums	256		259					
Discounts	(104	)	(107)					
Hedging adjustments	11,766		14,356					
Fair value option valuation adjustments	289		426					
Total	\$ 425,750	_	\$ 418,157					

(1) Index-amortizing advances require repayment according to predetermined amortization schedules linked to the level of various indices. Generally, as market interest rates rise (fall), the maturity of an index-amortizing advance extends (contracts).

# Table 8.2 - Advances by Year of Contractual Maturity or Next Call Date and Next Put or Convert Date (dollars in millions)

		Year of Contrac Next Ca	tual Mate	Year of Contractual Maturity or Next Put or Convert Date					
Redemption Term		nber 31, 2012	Decem	nber 31, 2011	Decer	nber 31, 2012	Dece	mber 31, 2011	
Overdrawn demand and overnight deposit accounts	\$	38	\$	21	\$	38	\$	21	
Due in 1 year or less		186,434		167,489		199,801		192,317	
Due after 1 year through 2 years		39,050		63,039		39,779		65,352	
Due after 2 years through 3 years		38,814		35,707		40,466		35,847	
Due after 3 years through 4 years		39,793		30,490		39,214		29,330	
Due after 4 years through 5 years		48,868		37,820		36,832		33,635	
Thereafter		58,283		66,203		55,150		44,267	
Index-amortizing advances		2,320		2,517		2,320		2,517	
Total par value	\$	413,600	\$	403,286	\$	413,600	\$	403,286	

The FHLBanks offer advances to members and eligible non-members that provide the right, based upon predetermined option exercise dates, to call the advance prior to maturity without incurring prepayment or termination fees (callable advances). In exchange for receiving the right to call the advance on a predetermined call schedule, the member pays a higher fixed rate for the advance relative to an equivalent maturity, non-callable, fixed-rate advance. If the call option is exercised, replacement funding may be available. Other advances may only be prepaid by paying a fee to the FHLBank (prepayment fee) that makes the FHLBank financially indifferent to the prepayment of the advance. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the FHLBanks had callable advances of \$34.2 billion and \$27.7 billion.

Some advances contain embedded options allowing an FHLBank to offer putable and convertible advances. A member can either sell an embedded option to an FHLBank or it can purchase an embedded option from an FHLBank.

With a putable advance to a member, an FHLBank effectively purchases a put option from the member that allows that FHLBank to put or extinguish the fixed-rate advance to the member on predetermined exercise dates, and offer, subject to certain conditions, replacement funding at prevailing market rates. Generally, these put options are exercised when interest rates increase. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the FHLBanks had putable advances outstanding totaling \$31.7 billion and \$44.0 billion.

Convertible advances allow an FHLBank to convert an advance from one interest-payment term structure to another. When issuing convertible advances, an FHLBank may purchase put options from a member that allow that FHLBank to convert the fixed-rate advance to a variable-rate advance at the current market rate or another structure after an agreed-upon lockout period. A convertible advance carries a lower interest rate than a comparable-maturity fixed-rate advance without the conversion feature. Variable- to fixed-rate convertible advances have a defined lockout period during which the interest rates adjust based on a spread to LIBOR. At the end of the lockout period, these advances may convert to fixed-rate advances. The fixed rates on the converted advances are determined at origination. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the FHLBanks had convertible advances outstanding totaling \$9.9 billion and \$16.1 billion.

#### Table 8.3 - Advances by Current Interest Rate Terms

(dollars in millions)

Dece	ember 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Fixed-rate		
Due in one year or less \$	127,781	\$ 116,397
Due after one year	166,590	192,148
Total fixed-rate	294,371	308,545
Variable-rate		
Due in one year or less	36,911	28,662
Due after one year	82,318	66,079
Total variable-rate	119,229	94,741
Total par value   \$	413,600	\$ 403,286

#### **Credit Risk Exposure and Security Terms**

The FHLBanks' potential credit risk from advances is concentrated in commercial banks and thrifts. The FHLBanks' advances outstanding that were greater than or equal to \$1.0 billion per borrower were \$273.1 billion and \$254.7 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011. These advances were made to 70 borrowers (members and non-members) at both December 31, 2012 and 2011, which represented 66.0% and 63.2% of total advances outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011. (See <u>Note 10 - Allowance for Credit Losses</u> for information related to the FHLBanks' credit risk on advances and allowance methodology for credit losses.)

#### Note 9 - Mortgage Loans

#### **Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio**

Mortgage loans held for portfolio consist of loans obtained through the MPP and MPF Program and are either conventional or government-guaranteed or -insured loans. The MPP and MPF Program involve the purchase by the FHLBanks of single-family mortgage loans that are originated or acquired by participating financial institutions. These mortgage loans are credit-enhanced by participating financial institutions or are guaranteed or insured by Federal agencies. The FHLBanks are authorized to hold acquired member assets, such as assets acquired under the MPP and MPF Program.

Currently, the FHLBanks of Atlanta, Chicago, Dallas, San Francisco, and Seattle are not accepting additional master commitments to acquire loans for their own portfolio or purchasing additional mortgage loans under either the MPP or MPF Program, except for certain FHLBanks' purchases of MPF Loans to support affordable housing. The remaining FHLBanks participating in the MPP and MPF Program continue to have the ability to purchase and fund both conventional and government-guaranteed or -insured loans.

#### Table 9.1 - Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio

(dollars in millions)

	Dece	ember 31,
	2012	2011
Fixed-rate, medium-term(1) single-family mortgage loans	\$ 11,15	7 \$ 12,010
Fixed-rate, long-term single-family mortgage loans	37,634	40,919
Multifamily mortgage loans	20	) 21
Total unpaid principal balance	48,81	1 52,950
Premiums	688	3 535
Discounts	(12)	5) (178)
Deferred loan costs, net	:	2 6
Hedging adjustments	182	2 202
Total mortgage loans held for portfolio	\$ 49,55	7 \$ 53,515

#### (1) Medium-term is defined as a term of 15 years or less.

# Table 9.2 - Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio by Collateral/Guarantee Type

(dollars in millions)

	Decem	ber 31,	
	2012		2011
Conventional mortgage loans	\$ 42,479	\$	46,099
Government-guaranteed or -insured mortgage loans	6,312		6,830
Multifamily mortgage loans	20		21
Total unpaid principal balance	\$ 48,811	\$	52,950

See <u>Note 10 - Allowance for Credit Losses</u> for information related to the FHLBanks' credit risk on mortgage loans and allowance methodology for credit losses.

#### Mortgage Loans Held for Sale

On June 30, 2011, the FHLBank of Seattle entered into an agreement to sell \$1.3 billion of mortgage loans previously held for portfolio. The transaction settled on July 26, 2011, resulting in a gain of approximately \$74 million in the third quarter of 2011, reported in non-interest income - other, net on the Combined Statement of Income.

On December 31, 2010, \$121 million of mortgage loans were classified as held for sale by the FHLBank of Topeka. On May 6, 2011, the FHLBank of Topeka sold all of its mortgage loans held for sale, resulting in a gain of approximately \$4 million in the second quarter of 2011, reported in non-interest income - other, net on the Combined Statement of Income.

#### Note 10 - Allowance for Credit Losses

The FHLBanks have established an allowance methodology for each FHLBank's portfolio segments:

- credit products (advances, letters of credit and other extensions of credit to borrowers);
- government-guaranteed or -insured mortgage loans held for portfolio;
- conventional MPF loans held for portfolio, conventional MPP loans held for portfolio, and other loans;
- term Federal funds sold; and
- term securities purchased under agreements to resell.

# **Credit Products**

Each FHLBank manages its credit exposure to credit products through an integrated approach that provides for a credit limit to be established for each borrower, includes an ongoing review of each borrower's financial condition and is coupled with collateral and lending policies to limit risk of loss while balancing borrowers' needs for a reliable source of funding. In addition, the FHLBanks lend to financial institutions within their districts in accordance with federal statutes and FHFA regulations. Specifically, the FHLBanks comply with the FHLBank Act, which requires the FHLBanks to obtain sufficient collateral to fully secure credit products. The estimated value of the collateral required to secure each member's credit products is calculated by applying collateral discounts, or haircuts, to the par value of the collateral. The FHLBanks accept certain investment securities, residential mortgage loans, deposits, and other real estate related assets as collateral. In addition, community financial institutions (CFIs) are eligible to use expanded statutory collateral provisions for small business, agriculture loans and community development loans. Each FHLBank's capital stock owned by its member borrower is also pledged as collateral. Collateral arrangements may vary depending upon borrower credit quality, financial condition and performance; borrowing capacity; and overall credit exposure to the borrower. Each FHLBank can call for additional or substitute collateral to protect its security interest. Management of each FHLBank believes that these policies effectively manage the FHLBank's respective credit risk from credit products.

Based upon the financial condition of the borrower, the FHLBanks either allow a borrower to retain physical possession of the collateral assigned to it, or require the borrower to specifically assign or place physical possession of the collateral with the FHLBank or its safekeeping agent. The FHLBanks perfect their security interest in all pledged collateral. The FHLBank Act states that any security interest granted to an FHLBank by a borrower will have priority over the claims or rights of any other party except for claims or rights of a third party that would be entitled to priority under otherwise applicable law and are held by a born fide purchaser for value or by a secured party holding a prior perfected security interest.

Using a risk-based approach and taking into consideration each borrower's financial strength, the FHLBanks consider the types and level of collateral to be the primary indicator of credit quality on their credit products. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, each FHLBank had rights to collateral on a borrower-by-borrower basis with an estimated value in excess of its outstanding extensions of credit.

Each FHLBank continues to evaluate and make changes to their collateral guidelines, as necessary, based on current market conditions. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, none of the FHLBanks had any credit products that were past due, on non-accrual status, or considered impaired. In addition, there were no troubled debt restructurings related to credit products at any of the FHLBanks during 2012 and 2011.

Based on the collateral held as security, each FHLBank management's credit extension and collateral policies and repayment history on credit products, the FHLBanks have not incurred any losses on their credit products. Accordingly, at December 31, 2012 and 2011, the FHLBanks did not record any allowance for credit losses on these credit products, nor did they record any liability to reflect an allowance for credit losses for off-balance sheet credit exposures. (See <u>Note 21 - Commitments and</u> <u>Contingencies</u> for additional information on the FHLBanks' off-balance sheet credit exposure.)

#### Government-Guaranteed or -Insured Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio

The FHLBanks invest in fixed-rate mortgage loans that are insured or guaranteed by the Federal Housing Administration, the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Rural Housing Service of the Department of Agriculture, and/or the Department of Housing and Urban Development. The servicer provides and maintains insurance or a guarantee from the applicable government agency. The servicer is responsible for compliance with all government agency requirements and for obtaining the benefit of the applicable guarantee or insurance with respect to defaulted government-guaranteed or -insured mortgage loans. Any losses incurred on such loans that are not recovered from the issuer or the guarantor are absorbed by the servicer. Therefore, the FHLBanks only have credit risk for these loans if the servicer fails to pay for losses not covered by the guarantee or insurance or insurance or -insured mortgage loan portfolios at December 31, 2012 and 2011. Furthermore, none of these mortgage loans have been placed on non-accrual status because of the U.S. government guarantee or insurance or the loans when certain criteria are met.

#### Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio - Conventional MPF, Conventional MPP and Other Loans

Each FHLBank determines its allowances for conventional loans through analyses that include consideration of various data observations, such as past performance, current performance, loan portfolio characteristics, collateral-related characteristics, industry data, and prevailing economic conditions. The measurement of the allowance for loan losses may consist of: (1) mortgage loans evaluated at the individual master commitment level; (2) individually evaluated mortgage loans; (3) collectively evaluated mortgage loans; or (4) estimating additional credit loss in conventional mortgage loans.

<u>Mortgage Loans Evaluated at the Individual Master Commitment Level.</u> The credit risk analysis of all conventional MPF Loans is performed at the individual master commitment level to determine the credit enhancements available to recover losses on MPF Loans under each individual master commitment.

Individually Evaluated Mortgage Loans. Certain conventional mortgage loans, primarily impaired mortgage loans that are considered collateral dependent, may be specifically identified for purposes of calculating the allowance for credit losses. A mortgage loan is considered collateral dependent if repayment is only expected to be provided by the sale of the underlying property - that is, if it is considered likely that the borrower will default and there is no credit enhancement from a participating financial institution to offset losses under the master commitment. The estimated credit losses on impaired collateral-dependent loans may be separately determined because sufficient information exists to make a reasonable estimate of the inherent loss for such loans on an individual loan basis. Each FHLBank applies an appropriate loss severity rate, which is used to estimate the fair value of its collateral. The resulting incurred loss is equal to the difference between the carrying value of the loan and the estimated fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs.

<u>Collectively Evaluated Mortgage Loans.</u> The credit risk analysis of conventional loans evaluated collectively for impairment by an FHLBank considers loan pool specific attribute data, applies estimated loss severities and incorporates the associated credit enhancements in order to determine the FHLBank's best estimate of probable incurred losses at the reporting date. Credit enhancement cash flows that are projected and assessed as not probable of receipt are not considered in reducing the estimated losses. Migration analysis is a methodology for determining, through an FHLBank's experience over a historical period, the rate of default on pools of similar loans. Certain FHLBanks apply migration analysis to loans based on payment status categories, such as current, 30, 60, and 90 days past due as well as to loans 60 days past due following receipt of notice of filing from the bankruptcy court. Each FHLBank then estimates how many loans in these categories may migrate to a realized loss position and applies a loss severity factor to estimate losses incurred at the statement of condition date.

Estimating Additional Credit Losses on Mortgage Loans. Certain FHLBanks also assess other factors in the estimation of loan losses for their respective homogeneous loan population. These factors represent subjective management judgment based on facts and circumstances that exist as of the reporting date that are unallocated to any specific measurable economic or credit event and are intended to cover other inherent losses that may not otherwise be captured in the methodology. Therefore, the allowance for credit losses that includes these factors represents management's best estimate of probable loan losses. However, the actual loss that may occur on homogeneous pools of mortgage loans may be more or less than the estimated loss.

<u>Rollforward of Allowance for Credit Losses on Mortgage Loans.</u> Each FHLBank established an allowance for credit losses on its conventional mortgage loans held for portfolio. Tables 10.1 and 10.2 present a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 and the recorded investment in mortgage loans by impairment methodology at December 31, 2012 and 2011. The recorded investment in a loan is the unpaid principal balance of the loan, adjusted for accrued interest, net deferred loan fees or costs, unamortized premiums or discounts, fair value hedge adjustments and direct write-downs. The recorded investment is not net of any valuation allowance.

#### Table 10.1 - Rollforward of Allowance for Credit Losses on Mortgage Loans

			Y	ear Ended Dec	ember	31, 2012	
	Conventio	onal MPP	Conver	ntional MPF		Other	Total
Balance, at beginning of period	\$	30	\$	107	\$	1	\$ 138
Charge-offs		(7)		(20)		_	(27)
Provision (reversal) for credit losses(1)		7		14		-	21
Balance, at end of period	\$	30	\$	101	\$	1	\$ 132

				Y	'ear Er	nded December 3	1,						
		2011											
	Convent	ional MPP	Conven	tional MPF		Other		Total		Total			
Balance, at beginning of period	\$	15	\$	70	\$	1	\$	86	\$	32			
Charge-offs		(6)		(14)		-		(20)		(6)			
Provision (reversal) for credit losses(1)		21		51		_		72		60			
Balance, at end of period	\$	30	\$	107	\$	1	\$	138	\$	86			

(1) The provision (reversal) for credit losses includes only the provision (reversal) related specifically to mortgage loans and does not include reversals for credit losses related to Banking on Business loans specific to the FHLBank of Pittsburgh in the amounts of less than \$1 million, \$1 million and \$2 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

# Table 10.2 - Allowance for Credit Losses and Recorded Investment by Impairment Methodology (dollars in millions)

								Decem	ber 3	81,							
				20	12				2011								
	Cor	wentional MPP	Со	nventional MPF		Other		Total	Co	nventional MPP	Co	onventional MPF		Other		Total	
Allowances for credit losses, end of period																	
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	1	\$	51	\$	_	\$	52	\$	2	\$	50	\$	_	\$	52	
Collectively evaluated for impairment		29		50		1		80		28		57		1		86	
	\$	30	\$	101	\$	1	\$	132	\$	30	\$	107	\$	1	\$	138	
Recorded investment, end of period(1)			_				_		_		_		_				
Individually evaluated for impairment																	
Impaired, with or without a related allowance	\$	35	\$	380	\$	_	\$	415	\$	5	\$	352	\$	_	\$	357	
Not impaired, no related allowance		_		1,756		_		1,756		_		1,369		_		1,369	
Total individually evaluated for impairment		35		2,136		_		2,171		5		1,721		_		1,726	
Collectively evaluated for impairment		12,547		28,606		21		41,174		13,010		32,072		22		45,104	
Total recorded investment	\$	12,582	\$	30,742	\$	21	\$	43,345	\$	13,015	\$	33,793	\$	22	\$	46,830	

(1) Excludes government-guaranteed or -insured loans at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

<u>Credit Quality Indicators.</u> Key credit quality indicators for mortgage loans include the migration of past due loans, nonaccrual loans, loans in process of foreclosure and impaired loans. Table 10.3 presents the FHLBanks' key credit quality indicators for mortgage loans at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

### Table 10.3 - Recorded Investment in Delinquent Mortgage Loans

Conve	ntional MPP	Conventional MPF		Gu			Other		Total
\$	140	\$	444	\$	305	\$	_	\$	889
	53		140		101		-		294
	233		614		318		-		1,165
	426		1,198		724		_		2,348
	12,156		29,544		5,720		21		47,441
\$	12,582	\$	30,742	\$	6,444	\$	21	\$	49,789
\$	181	\$	392	\$	114	\$	_	\$	687
	1.85%		2.01%		4.93%				2.35%
\$	182	\$	67	\$	318	\$		\$	567
\$	58	\$	606	\$	_	\$	_	\$	664
	Conve           \$           \$           \$           \$           \$           \$	53 233 426 12,156 \$ 12,582 \$ 181 5 1.85% \$ 182	\$       140       \$         53       53         233       426         12,156       \$         \$       12,582       \$         \$       181       \$         \$       1.85%       \$         \$       182       \$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Conventional MPP         Conventional MPF         or           \$         140         \$         444         \$           53         140         \$         444         \$           233         614	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Conventional MPP         Conventional MPF         or -Insured           \$         140         \$         444         \$         305         \$           53         140         101         101         101         101           233         614         318         101         101         101           426         1,198         724         12,156         29,544         5,720         12,156         29,544         5,720         14         \$         14         14         \$         14         14         \$         14         \$         14         \$         14         \$         14         \$         \$         12,156         29,544         5,720         \$         \$         \$         \$         14         \$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Conventional MPP & Conventional MPF & or -Insured & Other \\ \hline $ 140 $ $ 444 $ 305 $ $ \\ \hline $ 53 $ 140 $ 101 $ \\ \hline $ 53 $ 140 $ 101 $ \\ \hline $ 53 $ 140 $ 101 $ \\ \hline $ 53 $ 140 $ 101 $ \\ \hline $ 12,156 $ 29,544 $ 724 $ \\ \hline $ 426 $ 1,198 $ 724 $ \\ \hline $ 426 $ 1,198 $ 724 $ \\ \hline $ 426 $ 1,198 $ 724 $ \\ \hline $ 12,156 $ 29,544 $ 5,720 $ 21 $ \\ \hline $ 724 $ \\ \hline $ 12,156 $ 29,544 $ 5,720 $ 21 $ \\ \hline $ 724 $ \\ \hline $ 12,156 $ 29,544 $ 5,720 $ 21 $ \\ \hline $ 724 $ \\ \hline $ 12,156 $ 29,544 $ 5,720 $ 21 $ \\ \hline $ 724 $ \\ \hline $ 12,156 $ 29,544 $ 5,720 $ 21 $ \\ \hline $ 724 $ \\ \hline $ 12,156 $ 29,544 $ 5,720 $ 21 $ \\ \hline $ 724 $ \\ \hline $ 12,156 $ 29,544 $ 5,720 $ 21 $ \\ \hline $ 724 $ \\ \hline $ 12,156 $ 29,544 $ 5,720 $ 21 $ \\ \hline $ 724 $ \\ \hline $ 12,156 $ 29,544 $ 5,720 $ 21 $ \\ \hline $ 5,720 $ \\ \hline $ 724 $ $ \\ \hline $ 5,720 $ 21 $ \\ \hline $ 5,720 $ \\ \hline $ 5,720 $ 21 $ \\ \hline $ 5,720 $$	Conventional MPP         Conventional MPF         or -Insured         Other           \$         140         \$         444         \$         305         \$         -         \$           53         140         101         -         -         \$         -         \$           233         614         318         -         -         -         -         -           426         1,198         724         -         -         -         -         -           12,156         29,544         5,720         21         \$         -         -         -           \$         12,582         \$         30,742         \$         6,444         \$         21         \$           \$         181         \$         392         \$         114         \$         -         \$           \$         1.85%         2.01%         4.93%         -         \$         -         \$           \$         182         \$         67         \$         318         \$         -         \$

					Dece	ember 31, 2011		
	Conv	entional MPP	Cor	ventional MPF	Government- Guaranteed or -Insured		Other	Total
Past due 30-59 days	\$	169	\$	522	\$	395	\$ _	\$ 1,086
Past due 60-89 days		69		170		128	_	367
Past due 90 days or more		276		702		396	-	1,374
Total past due mortgage loans		514		1,394		919	 _	 2,827
Total current mortgage loans		12,501		32,399		6,029	22	50,951
Total mortgage loans(1)	\$	13,015	\$	33,793	\$	6,948	\$ 22	\$ 53,778
Other delinquency statistics							 	
In process of foreclosure, included above(2)	\$	210	\$	467	\$	114	\$ _	\$ 791
Serious delinquency rate(3)		2.13%		2.09%		5.70%	 _	2.57%
Past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest	\$	217	\$	128	\$	396	\$ _	\$ 741
Loans on non-accrual status(4)	\$	63	\$	618	\$	_	\$ _	\$ 681

(1) The difference between the recorded investment and the carrying value of total mortgage loans of \$232 million and \$263 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011 primarily relates to accrued interest. (See <u>Note 9 - Mortgage Loans</u> for details on the carrying values of total mortgage loans.)

(2) Includes loans where the decision of foreclosure or a similar alternative, such as pursuit of deed-in-lieu has been reported. Loans in the process of foreclosure are included in past due or current loans depending on their delinquency status.

(3) Represents seriously delinquent loans as a percentage of total mortgage loans. Seriously delinquent loans are comprised of all loans past due 90 days or more delinquent or loans that are in the process of foreclosure (including past due or current loans in the process of foreclosure).

(4) Generally represents mortgage loans with contractual principal or interest payments 90 days or more past due and not accruing interest.

<u>Individually Evaluated Impaired Loans.</u> Tables 10.4 and 10.5 present the recorded investment, unpaid principal balance and related allowance of impaired loans individually assessed for impairment at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the average recorded investment and related interest income recognized on these loans during 2012 and 2011.

# Table 10.4 - Individually Evaluated Impaired Loan Statistics by Product Class Level

		De	ecember 31, 2012			December 31, 2011					
	Recorded Investment		Unpaid Principal Balance	Re	elated Allowance		Recorded Investment	U	Inpaid Principal Balance	Re	elated Allowance
With no related allowance											
Conventional MPP Loans	\$ 31	\$	31	\$	—	\$	3	\$	3	\$	—
Conventional MPF Loans	32		32		_		30		30		-
With an allowance											
Conventional MPP Loans	4		4		-		2		2		—
Conventional MPF Loans	348		343		51		322		320		50
Total											
Conventional MPP Loans	\$ 35	\$	35	\$		\$	5	\$	5	\$	_
Conventional MPF Loans	\$ 380	\$	375	\$	51	\$	352	\$	350	\$	50

# Table 10.5 - Average Recorded Investment of Individually Impaired Loans and Related Interest Income Recognized (dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,								
2012				2011					
Average Recorded Interest Income A Investment Recognized			Average Recorded Investment		Income gnized				
			_						
\$	14	\$ 1	\$	1	\$	_			
	29	-		29		—			
	3	-		1		—			
	333	ç		196		6			
\$	17	\$ 1	\$	2	\$	_			
\$	362	\$ 9	\$	225	\$	6			
	Investr	Average Recorded Investment \$ 14 29 3 333 \$ 17	Average Recorded Investment     Interest Income Recognized       \$     14       \$     14       29     -       3     -       333     9       \$     17	Average Recorded InvestmentInterest Income RecognizedAvera Im\$14\$1\$\$14\$1\$2933339\$17\$1	Average Recorded InvestmentInterest Income RecognizedAverage Recorded Investment\$14\$1\$2929313339196\$17\$1\$	Average Recorded InvestmentInterest Income RecognizedAverage Recorded InvestmentInterest Recognized\$14\$1\$1\$29-29292929293-13339196196\$17\$1\$2\$			

<u>Credit Enhancements.</u> The FHLBanks' allowance for credit losses considers the credit enhancements associated with conventional mortgage loans under the MPF Program and MPP. These credit enhancements apply after a homeowner's equity is exhausted. Credit enhancements considered may include primary mortgage insurance, supplemental mortgage insurance, the credit enhancement amount plus any performance-based credit enhancement fees (for MPF Loans) and Lender Risk Account (for MPP Loans). The amount of credit enhancements needed to protect the FHLBanks against credit losses is determined through the use of a model. Any incurred losses that would be recovered from the credit enhancements are not reserved as part of the FHLBanks' allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans.

Mortgage Partnership Finance. The conventional MPF loans are required to be credit enhanced so that the risk of loss is limited to the losses equivalent to an investor in a double-A rated mortgage-backed security at the time of purchase. Each MPF FHLBank and its participating financial institution share the risk of credit losses on conventional MPF Loan products, excluding the MPF Xtra product, by structuring potential losses on conventional MPF Loans into layers with respect to each master commitment. Each MPF FHLBank analyzes the risk characteristics of its MPF loans using a model from a nationally recognized statistical rating organization or an equivalent model using a comparable methodology to determine the amount of credit enhancement. This credit enhancement amount is broken into a First Loss Account and a credit enhancement obligation of a participating financial institution, which is calculated based on the risk analysis to equal the difference between the amounts needed for the master commitment to have a rating equivalent to a double-A rated mortgage-backed security and an MPF FHLBank's initial First Loss Account exposure.

The First Loss Account represents the first layer or portion of credit losses that each MPF FHLBank is obligated to absorb with respect to its MPF Loans after considering the borrower's equity and primary mortgage insurance. The participating financial institution is required to cover the next layer of losses up to an agreed-upon credit enhancement obligation amount, which may consist of a direct liability of the participating financial institution to pay credit losses up to a specified amount, a contractual obligation of a participating financial institution to provide supplemental mortgage insurance or a combination of both. Any remaining unallocated losses are absorbed by the MPF FHLBank.

Participating financial institutions are paid a credit enhancement fee for assuming credit risk, and in some instances all or a portion of the credit enhancement fee may be performance-based. An MPF FHLBank's losses incurred under the First Loss Account may be recovered by withholding future performance credit enhancement fees otherwise payable to the participating financial institutions.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the amounts of First Loss Account remaining to cover the losses under the MPF program were \$446 million and \$502 million. This balance excludes amounts that may be recovered through the recapture of performance-based credit enhancement fees. The FHLBanks record credit enhancement fees paid to the participating financial institutions as a reduction to mortgage interest income. Credit enhancement fees totaled \$28 million, \$33 million and \$44 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Unlike other MPF products, under the MPF Xtra product, participating financial institutions are not required to provide credit enhancement and do not receive credit enhancement fees. Loans sold to the FHLBank of Chicago under the MPF Xtra product are concurrently sold to Fannie Mae, as a third-party investor and are not held on the participating FHLBank's statement of condition. (See <u>Note 21 - Commitments and Contingencies</u> for additional information.)

*Mortgage Purchase Program.* The conventional mortgage loans under the MPP are supported by a combination of primary mortgage insurance, supplemental mortgage insurance and Lender Risk Account, in addition to the associated property as collateral. The Lender Risk Account is funded by an FHLBank either upfront as a portion of the purchase proceeds or through a portion of the net interest remitted monthly by the borrower. The Lender Risk Account is a lender-specific account funded by an FHLBank in an amount approximately sufficient to cover expected losses on the pool of mortgages. The Lender Risk Account is recorded in other liabilities in the Combined Statement of Condition. To the extent available, Lender Risk Account funds are used to offset any losses that occur. Typically after five years, excess funds over required balances are distributed to the member in accordance with a step-down schedule that is established upon execution of a master commitment contract. The Lender Risk Account is released in accordance with the terms of the master commitment.

#### Table 10.6 - Changes in the MPP Lender Risk Account

(dollars in millions)

		Year Ended December 31,								
	-		2012		2011		2010			
Lender Risk Account, at beginning of period		\$	100	\$	80	\$	97			
Additions			58		42		10			
Claims			(16)		(19)		(22)			
Scheduled distributions			(4)		(3)		(5)			
Lender Risk Account, at end of period		\$	138	\$	100	\$	80			

<u>Troubled debt restructurings.</u> A troubled debt restructuring is considered to have occurred when a concession is granted to a borrower for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties and that concession would not have been considered otherwise. Loans outstanding as of December 31, 2012 that are discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy and have not been reaffirmed by the borrowers are also considered to be troubled debt restructurings, except in cases where certain supplemental mortgage insurance policies are held or where all contractual amounts due are still expected to be collected as a result of certain credit enhancements or government guarantees.

The FHLBanks' MPF Loan troubled debt restructurings primarily involve modifying the borrower's monthly payment for a period of up to 36 months to achieve a housing expense ratio of no more than 31% of their qualifying monthly income. The outstanding principal balance is first re-amortized to reflect a principal and interest payment for a term not to exceed 40 years. This would result in a balloon payment at the original maturity date of the loan as the maturity date and the number of remaining monthly payments are not adjusted. If the 31% housing expense ratio is not achieved through re-amortization, the interest rate is reduced in 0.125% increments below the original note rate, to a floor rate of 3.00%, resulting in reduced principal and interest payments, for the temporary payment modification period of up to 36 months, until the desired 31% housing expense ratio is met.

The MPP troubled debt restructurings primarily involve loans where an agreement permits the recapitalization of past due amounts up to the original loan amount. Under this type of modification, no other terms of the original loan are modified, including the borrower's original interest rate and contractual maturity.

An MPF or MPP Loan considered to be a troubled debt restructuring is individually evaluated for impairment when determining its related allowance for credit losses. Credit loss is measured by factoring in expected cash shortfalls (i.e., loss severity rate) incurred as of the reporting date as well as the economic loss attributable to delaying the original contractual principal and interest due dates, if applicable. Table 10.7 presents the recorded investment balances of performing and non-performing mortgage loans classified as troubled debt restructurings as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### Table 10.7 - Performing and Non-Performing Troubled Debt Restructurings (dollars in millions)

			December 31, 2012					December 31, 2011				
	Perfo	rming	Non-pe	erforming		Total		Performing	Nor	n-performing		Total
Conventional MPP Loans	\$	18	\$	17	\$	35	\$	4	\$	2	\$	6
Conventional MPF Loans		43		14		57		17		9		26
Total	\$	61	\$	31	\$	92	\$	21	\$	11	\$	32

During the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the FHLBanks had a limited number of troubled debt restructurings of mortgage loans. Table 10.8 presents the financial effect of the modifications during 2012 and 2011. The post-modification amounts represent the recorded investment as of the date the troubled debt restructuring was executed.

#### Table 10.8 - Troubled Debt Restructurings - Recorded Investment Balance at Modification Date (dollars in millions)

	Ye	ear Ended D	ecember 31,	
	201	2	201	1
	Post-Modif	ication(1)	Post-Modif	ication(1)
ional MPP Loans	\$	30	\$	5
ventional MPF Loans		28		18
tal	\$	58	\$	23

(1) The pre-modification recorded investment in troubled debt restructurings was not materially different from post-modification amount as there were no write-offs either due to principal forgiveness or direct write-offs during 2012 and 2011.

During the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, certain conventional MPF and MPP Loans modified as troubled debt restructurings within the previous twelve months experienced a payment default. A borrower is considered to have defaulted on a troubled debt restructuring if their contractually due principal or interest is 60 days or more past due at any time during the period presented. Table 10.9 presents the amount of these MPF and MPP Loans that subsequently defaulted.

#### Table 10.9 - Recorded Investment of Troubled Debt Restructurings that Subsequently Defaulted(1) (dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,				
		2012		2011	
Conventional MPP Loans	\$	3	\$	1	
Conventional MPF Loans		12		8	
Total	\$	15	\$	9	

For the purpose of this disclosure, only the initial default was included in Table 10.9 above; however, a loan can experience another payment default in a subsequent period. (1)

Real Estate Owned. The FHLBanks had \$138 million and \$107 million of real estate owned recorded in other assets on the Combined Statement of Condition at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

# Term Federal Funds Sold and Term Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell

These investments are generally short-term and their recorded balance approximates fair value. The FHLBanks invest in Federal funds with investment-grade counterparties that are only evaluated for purposes of a reserve for credit losses if the investment is not paid when due. All investments in Federal funds sold are unsecured and were repaid or expected to be repaid according to the contractual terms as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. Securities purchased under agreements to resell are considered collateralized financing arrangements and effectively represent short-term loans with investment-grade counterparties. The terms of these loans are structured such that if the market value of the underlying securities decreases below the market value required as collateral, the counterparty must place an equivalent amount of additional securities as collateral or remit an equivalent amount of cash; otherwise the dollar value of the resale agreement will be decreased accordingly. If an agreement to resell is deemed to be impaired, the difference between the fair value of the collateral and the amortized cost of the agreement is charged to earnings. Based upon the collateral held as security, the FHLBanks determined

that no allowance for credit losses was needed for the securities purchased under agreements to resell at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

# Note 11 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities

### **Nature of Business Activity**

The FHLBanks are exposed to interest-rate risk primarily from the effect of interest rate changes on their interest-earning assets and their funding sources that finance these assets. The goal of each FHLBank's interest-rate risk management strategy is not to eliminate interest-rate risk, but to manage it within appropriate limits. To mitigate the risk of loss, each FHLBank has established policies and procedures, which include guidelines on the amount of exposure to interest rate changes it is willing to accept. In addition, each FHLBank monitors the risk to its interest income, net interest margin and average maturity of interest-earning assets and funding sources.

Consistent with FHFA regulation, an FHLBank enters into derivatives: (1) to manage the interest-rate risk exposures inherent in its otherwise unhedged assets and funding positions, (2) to achieve the FHLBank's risk management objectives, and (3) to act as an intermediary between its members and counterparties. FHFA regulation and each FHLBank's risk management policy prohibit trading in or the speculative use of these derivative instruments and limit credit risk arising from these instruments. The use of derivatives is an integral part of each FHLBank's financial management strategy.

The most common ways in which the FHLBanks use derivatives are to:

- reduce funding costs by combining a derivative with a consolidated obligation because the cost of a combined funding structure can be lower than the cost of a comparable consolidated bond;
- reduce the interest-rate sensitivity and repricing gaps of assets and liabilities;
- preserve a favorable interest-rate spread between the yield of an asset (e.g., an advance) and the cost of the related liability (e.g., the consolidated bond used to fund the advance). Without the use of derivatives, this interest-rate spread could be reduced or eliminated when a change in the interest rate on the advance does not match a change in the interest rate on the bond;
- mitigate the adverse earnings effects of the shortening or extension of certain assets (e.g., advances or mortgage assets) and liabilities;
- protect the value of existing asset or liability positions or of anticipated transactions;
- manage embedded options in assets and liabilities; and
- manage its overall asset/liability management.

#### **Application of Derivatives**

Derivative financial instruments may be used by an FHLBank as follows:

- As a fair value or cash flow hedge of an associated financial instrument, a firm commitment or an anticipated transaction.
- As an economic hedge to manage certain defined risks in its statement of condition. These hedges are primarily used to
  manage mismatches between the coupon features of its assets and liabilities and offset prepayment risks in certain
  assets. For example, an FHLBank may use derivatives in its overall interest rate risk management activities to adjust the
  interest rate sensitivity of consolidated obligations to approximate more closely the interest rate sensitivity of its assets
  (both advances and investments), and to adjust the interest-rate sensitivity of advances or investments to approximate
  more closely the interest rate sensitivity of its liabilities. In addition, to reduce its exposure to reset risk, an FHLBank
  may occasionally enter into forward-rate agreements, which are also treated as economic hedges.

As an intermediary hedge to meet the asset or liability management needs of its members. An FHLBank acts as an
intermediary by entering into derivatives with its members and offsetting derivatives with other counterparties. This
intermediation grants smaller members indirect access to the derivatives market. The derivatives used in intermediary
activities do not receive hedge accounting treatment and are separately marked-to-market through earnings. The net
result of the accounting for these derivatives does not significantly affect the operating results of the FHLBanks.

Derivative financial instruments are used by an FHLBank when they are considered to be the most cost-effective alternative to achieve the FHLBank's financial and risk management objectives. Each FHLBank reevaluates its hedging strategies from time to time and may change the hedging techniques it uses or may adopt new strategies.

Each FHLBank transacts most of its derivatives with large banks and major broker-dealers. Some of these banks and brokerdealers or their affiliates buy, sell, and distribute consolidated obligations. FHLBanks are not derivative dealers and do not trade derivatives for short-term profit.

# **Types of Derivatives**

An FHLBank may use the following instruments to reduce funding costs and to manage its exposure to interest-rate risks inherent in the normal course of business.

Interest-Rate Swaps. An interest-rate swap is an agreement between two entities to exchange cash flows in the future. The agreement sets the dates on which the cash flows will be paid and the manner in which the cash flows will be calculated. One of the simplest forms of an interest-rate swap involves the promise by one party to pay cash flows equivalent to the interest on a notional amount at a predetermined fixed rate for a given period of time. In return for this promise, this party receives cash flows equivalent to the interest on the same notional amount at a variable-rate index for the same period of time. The variable rate received by the FHLBanks in most derivatives is the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR).

<u>Options.</u> An option is an agreement between two entities that conveys the right, but not the obligation, to engage in a future transaction on some underlying security or other financial asset at an agreed-upon price during a certain period of time or on a specific date. Premiums paid to acquire options in fair value hedging relationships are considered the fair value of the derivative at inception of the hedge and are reported in derivative assets or derivative liabilities.

<u>Swaptions</u>. A swaption is an option on a swap that gives the buyer the right to enter into a specified interest-rate swap at a certain time in the future. When used as a hedge, a swaption can protect an FHLBank that is planning to lend or borrow funds in the future against future interest rate changes. The FHLBanks purchase both payer swaptions and receiver swaptions. A payer swaption is the option to make fixed interest payments at a later date and a receiver swaption is the option to receive fixed interest payments at a later date.

Interest-Rate Cap and Floor Agreements. In an interest-rate cap agreement, a cash flow is generated if the price or rate of an underlying variable rises above a certain threshold (or cap) price. In an interest-rate floor agreement, a cash flow is generated if the price or rate of an underlying variable falls below a certain threshold (or floor) price. Caps and floors are designed as protection against the interest rate on a variable-rate asset or liability falling below or rising above a certain level.

<u>Futures and Forwards Contracts.</u> An FHLBank may use futures and forward contracts in order to hedge interest-rate risk. For example, certain mortgage purchase commitments entered into by an FHLBank are considered derivatives. An FHLBank may hedge these commitments by selling to-be-announced (TBA) mortgage-backed securities for forward settlement. A TBA represents a forward contract for the sale of mortgage-backed securities at a future agreed upon date for an established price.

# **Types of Hedged Items**

Each FHLBank documents at inception all relationships between derivatives designated as hedging instruments and hedged items, its risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking various hedge transactions, and its method of assessing effectiveness. This process includes linking all derivatives that are designated as fair value or cash flow hedges to: (1) assets and liabilities on the statement of condition, (2) firm commitments or (3) forecasted transactions. An FHLBank also formally assesses (both at the hedge's inception and at least quarterly) whether the derivatives that it uses in hedging transactions have been effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of hedged items and whether those derivatives may be expected to remain effective in future periods. An FHLBank typically uses regression analyses or other statistical analyses to assess the effectiveness of its hedges.

<u>Consolidated Obligations</u>. An FHLBank enters into derivatives to hedge the interest-rate risk associated with its specific debt issuances. An FHLBank manages the risk arising from changing market prices and volatility of a consolidated obligation by matching the cash inflow on the derivative with the cash outflow on the consolidated obligation.

For example, fixed-rate consolidated obligations may be issued for one or more FHLBanks, and each of those FHLBanks may simultaneously enter into a matching derivative in which the counterparty pays fixed cash flows to the FHLBank, which are designed to match in timing and amount the cash outflows the FHLBank pays on the consolidated obligation. The FHLBank pays a variable cash flow that closely matches the interest payments it receives on short-term or variable-rate advances (typically one- or three-month LIBOR). These transactions are typically treated as fair value hedges. The FHLBanks may issue variable-rate consolidated bonds indexed to the federal funds effective rate, LIBOR or others and simultaneously execute interest-rate swaps to hedge the basis risk of the variable-rate debt.

This strategy of issuing bonds while simultaneously entering into derivatives enables an FHLBank to offer a wider range of attractively priced advances to its members and may allow an FHLBank to reduce its funding costs. The continued attractiveness of such debt depends on yield relationships between the bond and derivative markets. If conditions in these markets change, an FHLBank may alter the types or terms of the bonds that it issues. By acting in both the capital and the swap markets, the FHLBanks can raise funds at lower costs than through the issuance of simple fixed- or variable-rate consolidated obligations in the capital markets alone.

Advances. The FHLBanks offer a wide array of advance structures to meet members' funding needs. These advances may have maturities up to 30 years with variable or fixed rates and may include early termination features or options. An FHLBank may use derivatives to adjust the repricing and/or option characteristics of advances in order to approximate more closely the characteristics of that FHLBank's funding liabilities. In general, whenever a member executes a fixed-rate advance or a variable-rate advance with embedded options, the FHLBank will simultaneously execute a derivative with terms that offset the terms and embedded options, if any, in the advance. For example, the FHLBank may hedge a fixed-rate advance with an interest-rate swap where the FHLBank pays a fixed-rate coupon and receives a variable-rate coupon, effectively converting the fixed-rate advance to a variable-rate advance. This type of hedge is typically treated as a fair value hedge.

When issuing convertible advances, an FHLBank has the right to convert to/from a fixed-rate advance from/to a variablerate advance if interest rates increase/decrease. A convertible advance carries an interest rate lower than a comparablematurity fixed-rate advance that does not have the conversion feature. With a putable advance, an FHLBank effectively purchases a put option from the member that allows the FHLBank to put or extinguish the fixed-rate advance, which the FHLBank normally would exercise when interest rates increase. An FHLBank may hedge these advances by entering into a cancelable interest-rate exchange agreement.

<u>Mortgage Loans.</u> The FHLBanks invest in fixed-rate mortgage loans. The prepayment options embedded in these mortgage loans can result in extensions or contractions in the expected repayment of these investments, depending on changes in estimated prepayment speeds. The FHLBanks manage the interest-rate and prepayment risks associated with mortgages through a combination of debt issuance and derivatives. The FHLBanks issue both callable and noncallable debt and prepayment-linked consolidated obligations to achieve cash flow patterns and liability durations similar to those expected on the mortgage loans. Interest-rate swaps, to the extent the payments on the mortgages result in simultaneous reduction of the notional amount on the swaps, may receive fair value hedge accounting.

A combination of swaps and options, including futures, may be used as a portfolio of derivatives linked to a portfolio of mortgage loans. The portfolio of mortgage loans consists of one or more pools of similar assets, as determined by factors such as product type and coupon. As the portfolio of loans changes due to new loans, liquidations and payments, the derivative portfolio is modified accordingly to hedge the interest-rate and prepayment risks effectively. A new hedging relationship is created and is treated as a fair value hedge.

Options may also be used to hedge prepayment risk on the mortgages, many of which are not identified to specific mortgages, and therefore do not receive fair value or cash flow hedge accounting treatment. The FHLBanks may also purchase interest-rate caps and floors, swaptions, callable swaps, calls and puts to minimize the prepayment risk embedded in the mortgage loans. Although these derivatives are valid economic hedges against the prepayment risk of the loans, they are not specifically linked to individual loans; and therefore do not receive either fair value or cash flow hedge accounting. These derivatives are marked-to-market through earnings.

<u>Anticipated Streams of Future Cash Flows.</u> An FHLBank may enter into an option to hedge a specified future variable cash stream as a result of rolling over short-term, fixed-rate financial instruments, such as LIBOR advances and consolidated discount notes. The option will effectively cap the variable cash stream at a predetermined target rate.

<u>Firm Commitments.</u> Certain mortgage purchase commitments are considered derivatives. An FHLBank normally hedges these commitments by selling TBA mortgage-backed securities or other derivatives for forward settlement. The mortgage purchase commitment and the TBA used in the firm commitment hedging strategy (economic hedge) are recorded as a derivative asset or derivative liability at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in current-period earnings. When the mortgage purchase commitment derivative settles, the current market value of the commitment is included with the basis of the mortgage loan and amortized accordingly.

An FHLBank may also hedge a firm commitment for a forward-starting advance through the use of an interest-rate swap. In this case, the swap will function as the hedging instrument for both the firm commitment and the subsequent advance. The fair value change associated with the firm commitment will be rolled into the basis of the advance at the time the commitment is terminated and the advance is issued. The basis adjustment will then be amortized into interest income over the life of the advance. In addition, if a hedged firm commitment no longer qualifies as a fair value hedge, the hedge would be terminated and net gains and losses would be recognized in current-period earnings. There were no material amounts of gains and losses recognized due to disqualification of firm commitment hedges during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Investments. The FHLBanks primarily invest in mortgage-backed securities, U.S. agency obligations, certificates of deposit and the taxable portion of state or local housing finance agency obligations, which may be classified as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale or trading securities. The interest-rate and prepayment risks associated with these investment securities are managed through a combination of debt issuance and derivatives. An FHLBank may manage the prepayment and interest-rate risks by funding investment securities with consolidated obligations that have call features or by hedging the prepayment risk with caps or floors, callable swaps or swaptions. An FHLBank may manage prepayment and duration risk by funding investment securities with consolidated obligations that contain call features. An FHLBank may also manage the risk arising from changing market prices and volatility of investment securities by matching the cash outflow on the derivatives with the cash inflow on the investment securities. Derivatives held by an FHLBank that are associated with trading and held-to-maturity securities are designated as economic hedges and derivatives held by an FHLBank associated with available-for-sale securities may qualify as either a fair value hedge, economic hedge or a cash flow hedge.

<u>Anticipated Debt Issuance.</u> Certain FHLBanks use derivatives to lock in the cost of funding prior to an anticipated debt issuance and designate them as cash flow hedges. The derivative is terminated upon issuance of the debt instrument.

<u>Variable Cash Streams.</u> Certain FHLBanks use derivatives to hedge the variability of cash flows over a specified period of time as a result of the issuances and maturities of short-term, fixed-rate instruments such as discount notes, and designate them as cash flow hedges. The maturity dates of the cash flow streams are matched to the maturity dates of the derivatives. If the derivatives are terminated prior to their maturity dates, the amount in AOCI is recognized over the remaining lives of the specified cash streams as unrealized gains or losses on hedging activities.

#### **Financial Statement Effect and Additional Financial Information**

<u>Derivative Notional Amounts.</u> The notional amount of derivatives serves as a factor in determining periodic interest payments or cash flows received and paid. However, the notional amount of derivatives represents neither the actual amounts exchanged nor the overall exposure of the FHLBanks to credit and market risk. The risks of derivatives can be measured meaningfully on a portfolio basis that takes into account the counterparties, the types of derivatives, the items being hedged and any offsets between the derivatives and the items being hedged.

Table 11.1 presents the fair value of derivative instruments. For purposes of this disclosure, the derivative values include the fair value of derivatives and the related accrued interest.

#### Table 11.1 - Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012						
		nal Amount of Perivatives	Deriva	ative Assets	Derivat	ive Liabilities	
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments							
Interest-rate swaps	\$	398,541	\$	4,352	\$	15,901	
Interest-rate caps or floors		285		-		4	
Total derivatives in hedging relationships		398,826		4,352		15,905	
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments							
Interest-rate swaps		133,510		1,128		1,808	
Interest-rate swaptions		8,740		160		-	
Interest-rate caps or floors		32,058		298		30	
Interest-rate futures or forwards		250		1		_	
Mortgage delivery commitments		1,566		16		16	
Other		530		8		7	
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	_	176,654		1,611		1,861	
Total derivatives before netting and collateral adjustments	\$	575,480		5,963		17,766	
Netting adjustments				(4,874)		(4,874)	
Cash collateral and related accrued interest				(274)		(10,348)	
Total netting adjustments and cash collateral(1)				(5,148)		(15,222)	
Total derivative assets and total derivative liabilities			\$	815	\$	2,544	

			Decemb	er 31, 2011				
		nal Amount of erivatives	Derivat	ive Assets	Deriva	ative Liabilities		
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments								
Interest-rate swaps	\$	\$ 486,188		\$ 486,188		5,685	\$	18,319
Interest-rate swaptions		370		32		_		
Interest-rate caps or floors		285		—		5		
Total derivatives in hedging relationships		486,843		5,717		18,324		
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments								
Interest-rate swaps		178,324		1,357		2,210		
Interest-rate swaptions		5,020		181		_		
Interest-rate caps or floors		33,753		418		64		
Interest-rate futures or forwards		445		_		4		
Mortgage delivery commitments		1,274		8		4		
Other		641		9		9		
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		219,457		1,973		2,291		
Total derivatives before netting and collateral adjustments	\$	706,300		7,690		20,615		
Netting adjustments				(6,287)		(6,287)		
Cash collateral and related accrued interest				(767)		(10,758)		
Total netting adjustments and cash collateral(1)				(7,054)		(17,045)		
Total derivative assets and total derivative liabilities			\$	636	\$	3,570		

(1) Amounts represent the effect of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow an FHLBank to settle positive and negative positions and also cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties.

Table 11.2 presents the components of net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities as presented in the Combined Statement of Income.

# Table 11.2 - Net Gains (Losses) on Derivatives and Hedging Activities

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2	012		2011	20	010
Derivatives and Hedged Items in Fair Value Hedging Relationships						
Interest-rate swaps	\$	190	\$	226	\$	276
Interest-rate caps or floors		—		(1)		(1)
Other		1		(5)		(3)
Total net gains related to fair value hedge ineffectiveness		191		220		272
Total net gains related to cash flow hedge ineffectiveness		3		41		5
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments						
Economic hedges						
Interest-rate swaps		(12)		(469)		117
Interest-rate swaptions		18		130		(261)
Interest-rate caps or floors		(87)		(195)		(190)
Interest-rate futures or forwards		(32)		(24)		(1)
Net interest settlements		(80)		(121)		(256)
Other		_		(10)		(6)
Mortgage delivery commitments		46		45		14
Intermediary transactions						
Interest-rate swaps		_		—		1
Other		_		_		1
Total net gains (losses) related to derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		(147)		(644)		(581)
Net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities	\$	47	\$	(383)	\$	(304)

Table 11.3 presents, by type of hedged item, the gains (losses) on derivatives and the related hedged items in fair value hedging relationships and the effect of those derivatives on the FHLBanks' net interest income.

# Table 11.3 - Effect of Fair Value Hedge-Related Derivative Instruments

	Year Ended December 31, 2012									
Hedged Item Type	Gains (Losses) on Derivatives		Gains (Losses) on Hedged Items		Net Fair Value Hedge Ineffectiveness		Net Effect of Derivatives on Net Interest Income(1)			
Advances	\$	1,561	\$	(1,362)	\$ 19	9	\$ (4,533)			
Consolidated bonds		(908)		871	(3	7)	2,509			
Consolidated discount notes		-		(2)	(	2)	2			
Available-for-sale securities		(172)		202	3	0	(497)			
Mortgage loans held for portfolio		1		_		1	(2)			
Deposits		(1)		1	-	_	2			
Total	\$	481	\$	(290)	\$ 19	1	\$ (2,519)			

	Year Ended December 31, 2011									
Hedged Item Type	Gains (Losses) on Derivatives		Gains (Losses) on Hedged Items		Net Fair Value Hedge Ineffectiveness		let Effect of ivatives on Net rest Income(1)			
Advances	\$ (1,499)	\$	1,702	\$	203	\$	(6,417)			
Consolidated bonds	575		(584)		(9)		4,191			
Consolidated discount notes	(4)		5		1		5			
Available-for-sale securities	(765)		795		30		(393)			
Mortgage loans held for portfolio	(1)		(4)		(5)		(8)			
Deposits	(1)		1		_		2			
Total	\$ (1,695)	\$	1,915	\$	220	\$	(2,620)			

		Year Ended December 31, 2010									
Hedged Item Type	Gains (Losses) on Derivatives	Gains (Losses) on Hedged Items	Net Fair Value Hedge Ineffectiveness	Net Effect of Derivatives on Net Interest Income(1)							
Advances	\$ (33)	\$ 302	\$ 269	\$ (9,097)							
Consolidated bonds	(101)	98	(3)	6,046							
Consolidated discount notes	(8)	5	(3)	15							
Available-for-sale securities	(268)	280	12	(266)							
Mortgage loans held for portfolio	(34)	31	(3)	(47)							
Deposits	-	-	—	2							
Total	\$ (444)	\$ 716	\$ 272	\$ (3,347)							

(1) The net effect of derivatives on net interest income in fair value hedge relationships is included in the interest income or interest expense line item of the respective hedged item type. These amounts include the effect of net interest settlements attributable to designated fair value hedges but do not include \$(476) million, \$(250) million and \$(371) million of amortization/accretion related to fair value hedging activities for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Table 11.4 presents by type of hedged item in cash flow hedging relationships, the gains (losses) recognized in AOCI, the gains (losses) reclassified from AOCI into income, and the effect of those hedging activities on the FHLBanks' net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities on the Combined Statement of Income. (See the <u>Combined Statement of Comprehensive</u> <u>Income</u> for more details on the effect of cash flow hedges on AOCI.)

#### Table 11.4 - Effect of Cash Flow Hedge-Related Derivative Instruments

		Year Ended December 31, 2012								
Derivatives and Hedged Items in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships(1)	(Losses) F AOCI or			(Losses) F from AOCI	nt of Gains Reclassified I into Income ve Portion)	Recognize (Losses) o and Hedg	nt of Gains d in Net Gains n Derivatives ing Activities ive Portion)			
Interest-rate swaps										
Consolidated bonds	\$	(35)	Interest expense	\$	(9)	\$	_			
Consolidated discount notes		(52)	Interest expense		(4)		3			
Interest-rate caps or floors										
Advances		_	Interest income		14		_			
Consolidated discount notes		-	Interest expense		(6)		-			
Total	\$	(87)		\$	(5)	\$	3			

	Year Ended December 31, 2011							
Derivatives and Hedged Items in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships(1)	(Losses) AOCI o	Amount of Gains         Location of Gains         Amount of Gains           Losses) Recognized in         (Losses) Reclassified         (Losses) Reclassified           AOCI on Derivative         from AOCI into Income         from AOCI into Income           (Effective Portion)         (Effective Portion)         (Effective Portion)		) Reclassified Cl into Income	Recogniz (Losses) and Hec	unt of Gains ed in Net Gains on Derivatives Iging Activities ctive Portion)		
Interest-rate swaps								
Consolidated bonds	\$	(34)	Interest expense	\$	(9)	\$	_	
Consolidated discount notes		(534)	Interest expense		(5)		4	
Interest-rate caps or floors								
Advances		37	Interest income		31		37	
Consolidated discount notes		_	Interest expense		(13)		-	
Total	\$	(531)		\$	4	\$	41	
				-				

	Year Ended December 31, 2010							
Derivatives and Hedged Items in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships(1)	(Losses) Re AOCI on I	(Losses) Recognized in (Losses) Reclassified (L AOCI on Derivative from AOCI into Income from		(Losse from A	ount of Gains (s) Reclassified OCI into Income (tive Portion)	Recogniz (Losses) and Hee	unt of Gains red in Net Gains on Derivatives Iging Activities ctive Portion)	
Interest-rate swaps								
Consolidated bonds	\$	-	Interest expense	\$	(13)	\$	—	
Consolidated discount notes		(304)	Interest expense		(5)		5	
Interest-rate caps or floors								
Advances		8	Interest income		38		—	
Consolidated discount notes		—	Interest expense		(14)		_	
Total	\$	(296)		\$	6	\$	5	
	-							

Vear Ended December 21, 2010

(1) Table 11.4 does not include \$(295) million, \$(324) million and \$(323) million for the effect of net interest settlements attributable to open cash flow hedges on net interest income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, no material amounts were reclassified from AOCI into earnings as a result of discontinued cash flow hedges because the original forecasted transactions occurred by the end of the originally specified time period or within a two-month period thereafter. At December 31, 2012, \$7 million of deferred net gains on derivative instruments in AOCI is expected to be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months. The maximum length of time over which the FHLBanks are hedging their exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions, excluding those forecasted transactions related to the payment of variable interest on existing financial instruments, is generally no more than six months. However, certain FHLBanks hedge the risk of variability of cash flows associated with forecasted future consolidated obligation issuances up to a maximum of fifteen years.

#### **Managing Credit Risk on Derivatives**

Each FHLBank is subject to credit risk due to nonperformance by counterparties to interest-rate exchange agreements. The degree of counterparty risk depends on the extent to which master netting arrangements are included in such contracts to mitigate the risk. Each FHLBank manages counterparty credit risk through credit analysis, collateral requirements and adherence to the requirements set forth in its policies and FHFA regulations. Each FHLBank requires collateral agreements with collateral delivery thresholds on all derivatives. Additionally, collateral related to derivatives with member institutions includes collateral assigned to an FHLBank, as evidenced by a written security agreement and held by the member institution for the benefit of the FHLBank. Based on credit analyses and collateral requirements, the management of each FHLBank does not anticipate any credit losses on its derivative agreements. (See <u>Note 20 - Fair Value</u> for discussion regarding the FHLBanks' fair value methodology for derivative assets and liabilities, including an evaluation of the potential for the fair value of these instruments to be affected by counterparty credit risk.)

Table 11.5 presents credit risk exposure on derivative instruments, excluding the amount of excess cash collateral received from counterparties in instances where a counterparty's pledged collateral to an FHLBank exceeds the FHLBank's net position.

#### Table 11.5 - Credit Risk Exposure

(dollars in millions)

	Decem	ber 31,	
	2012		2011
Total net exposure at fair value(1)	\$ 1,084	\$	1,403
Cash collateral held	272		767
Net exposure after cash collateral	812		636
Non-cash collateral held	662		479
Net exposure after collateral(2)	\$ 150	\$	157

(1) Includes net accrued interest receivable of \$116 million and \$139 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(2) Additionally, the FHLBanks had net credit exposure of \$26 million and \$4 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011 due to instances where an FHLBank's non-cash pledged collateral to a counterparty exceeds the FHLBank's net position.

Certain of the FHLBanks' derivative instruments contain provisions that require an FHLBank to post additional collateral with its counterparties if there is deterioration in that FHLBank's credit rating. If an FHLBank's credit rating is lowered by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization, that FHLBank may be required to deliver additional collateral on derivative instruments in net liability positions. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a net liability position (before cash collateral and related accrued interest) at December 31, 2012 was \$10.7 billion, for which the FHLBanks have posted collateral with a fair value of \$9.5 billion in the normal course of business. If each FHLBank's credit rating had been lowered from its current rating to the next lower rating that would have triggered additional collateral to be delivered, the FHLBanks would have been required to deliver an additional \$0.6 billion of collateral at fair value to their derivatives counterparties at December 31, 2012.

#### Note 12 - Deposits

The FHLBanks offer demand and overnight deposits to members and qualifying non-members. In addition, the FHLBanks offer short-term interest-bearing deposit programs to members. A member that services mortgage loans may deposit in its FHLBank funds collected in connection with the mortgage loans, pending disbursement of these funds to the owners of the mortgage loans. The FHLBanks classify these items as other deposits.

Deposits classified as demand, overnight and other pay interest based on a daily interest rate. Term deposits pay interest based on a fixed rate determined at the issuance of the deposit. The weighted-average interest rates paid on interest-bearing deposits were 0.04%, 0.05% and 0.08% during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

#### Table 12.1 - Deposits

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Interest-bearing				
Demand and overnight	\$	11,008	\$	11,795
Term		712		293
Other		439		115
Total interest-bearing		12,159		12,203
Non-interest-bearing				
Demand and overnight		1,337		157
Other		225		204
Total non-interest-bearing		1,562		361
Total deposits	\$	13,721	\$	12,564

The aggregate amount of term deposits with a denomination of \$100 thousand or more was \$710 million and \$291 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### Note 13 - Consolidated Obligations

Consolidated obligations consist of consolidated bonds and consolidated discount notes. The FHLBanks issue consolidated obligations through the Office of Finance as their agent. In connection with each debt issuance, an FHLBank specifies the amount of debt it wants issued on its behalf. The Office of Finance tracks the amount of debt issued on behalf of each FHLBank. In addition, each FHLBank separately tracks and records as a liability its specific portion of consolidated obligations for which it is the primary obligor.

The FHFA and the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury have oversight over the issuance of FHLBank debt through the Office of Finance. Consolidated bonds are issued primarily to raise intermediate- and long-term funds for the FHLBanks and are not subject to any statutory or regulatory limits on their maturity. Consolidated discount notes are issued primarily to raise short-term funds and have original maturities of up to one year. These notes sell at or below their face value and are redeemed at par when they mature.

Although each FHLBank is primarily liable for its portion of consolidated obligations (i.e., those issued on its behalf), each FHLBank is also jointly and severally liable with the other FHLBanks for the payment of principal and interest on all consolidated obligations of the FHLBanks. The FHFA, at its discretion, may require any FHLBank to make principal or interest

payments due on any consolidated obligation whether or not the consolidated obligation represents a primary liability of that FHLBank. Although it has never occurred, to the extent that an FHLBank makes any payment on a consolidated obligation on behalf of another FHLBank that is primarily liable for such consolidated obligation, FHFA regulations provide that the paying FHLBank is entitled to reimbursement from the non-complying FHLBank for any payments made on its behalf and other associated costs (including interest to be determined by the FHFA). If, however, the FHFA determines that the non-complying FHLBank is unable to satisfy its repayment obligations, then the FHFA may allocate the outstanding liabilities of the non-complying FHLBank among the remaining FHLBanks on a pro-rata basis in proportion to each FHLBank's participation in all consolidated obligations outstanding. The FHFA reserves the right to allocate the outstanding liabilities for the consolidated obligations between the FHLBanks in any other manner it may determine to ensure that the FHLBanks operate in a safe and sound manner.

The par values of the 12 FHLBanks' outstanding consolidated obligations, including consolidated obligations held by other FHLBanks, were \$687.9 billion and \$691.9 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011. Regulations require each FHLBank to maintain unpledged qualifying assets equal to its participation in the consolidated obligations outstanding. Qualifying assets are defined as cash; secured advances; assets with an assessment or rating at least equivalent to the current assessment or rating of the consolidated obligations; obligations of or fully guaranteed by the United States, obligations, participations or other instruments of or issued by Fannie Mae or Ginnie Mae; mortgages, obligations or other securities which are or have ever been sold by Freddie Mac under the FHLBank Act; and such securities as fiduciary and trust funds may invest in under the laws of the state in which the FHLBank is located. Any assets subject to a lien or pledge for the benefit of holders of any issue of consolidated obligations are treated as if they were free from lien or pledge for purposes of compliance with these regulations.

# Table 13.1 - Consolidated Bonds Outstanding by Contractual Maturity

(dollars in millions)

	December 31,						
	 20:	12	2011				
Year of Contractual Maturity	 Amount	Weighted-Average Interest Rate		Amount	Weighted-Average Interest Rate		
Due in 1 year or less	\$ 238,921	0.96%	\$	228,480	0.86%		
Due after 1 year through 2 years	72,801	1.51%		106,338	1.92%		
Due after 2 years through 3 years	34,192	2.02%		41,722	2.56%		
Due after 3 years through 4 years	23,982	3.40%		26,353	2.67%		
Due after 4 years through 5 years	30,038	2.46%		30,791	3.11%		
Thereafter	70,069	2.54%		64,419	3.65%		
Index-amortizing notes	1,362	4.68%		3,381	4.81%		
Total par value	471,365	1.59%		501,484	1.85%		
Premiums	773			766			
Discounts	(134)			(192)			
Hedging adjustments	3,798			4,850			
Fair value option valuation adjustments	54			67			
Total	\$ 475,856		\$	506,975			

#### Table 13.2 - Consolidated Discount Notes Outstanding

(dollars in millions)

	Book Value		 Par Value	Weighted-Average Interest Rate(1)
December 31, 2012	\$	216,282	\$ 216,335	0.12%
December 31, 2011	\$	190,149	\$ 190,175	0.05%

(1) Represents yield to maturity excluding concession fees.

Consolidated obligations outstanding were issued with either fixed-rate coupon payment terms or variable-rate coupon payment terms that use a variety of indices for interest-rate resets, including the federal funds effective rate, LIBOR and others. To meet the specific needs of certain investors in consolidated obligations, both fixed-rate consolidated bonds and variable-rate consolidated bonds may contain features that result in complex coupon payment terms and call options. When

such consolidated obligations are issued, an FHLBank typically enters into derivatives containing features that offset the terms and embedded options, if any, of the consolidated bond obligations.

#### Table 13.3 - Consolidated Bonds Outstanding by Call Features

(dollars in millions)

		Decem	ber 31,				
Par Values of Consolidated Bonds	2012		2011				
Non-callable/non-putable	\$	391,334	\$	383,182			
Callable		80,031		118,302			
Total par value	\$	471,365	\$	501,484			

#### Table 13.4 - Consolidated Bonds Outstanding by Contractual Maturity or Next Call Date (dollars in millions)

	December 31,					
Year of Contractual Maturity or Next Call Date		2012		2011		
Due in 1 year or less	\$	309,977	\$	305,101		
Due after 1 year through 2 years		68,872		99,344		
Due after 2 years through 3 years		29,494		27,767		
Due after 3 years through 4 years		21,145		19,294		
Due after 4 years through 5 years		20,073		18,910		
Thereafter		20,442		27,687		
Index-amortizing notes		1,362		3,381		
Total par value	\$	471,365	\$	501,484		

Consolidated bonds, beyond having fixed-rate or simple variable-rate interest-rate payment terms, may also have the following interest-rate payment types:

- Step-up bonds pay interest at increasing fixed rates and step-down bonds pay interest at decreasing fixed rates for • specified intervals over the life of the consolidated bond. These consolidated bonds generally contain provisions enabling an FHLBank to call consolidated bonds at its option on the step-up or step-down dates.
- Conversion bonds have interest rates that convert from fixed to variable, or variable to fixed, or from one index to another, on predetermined dates according to the terms of the consolidated bond offerings.
- Range bonds pay interest based on the number of days a specified index is within/outside of a specified range. The • computation of the variable interest rate differs for each consolidated bond issue, but the consolidated bond generally pays zero interest or a minimal rate if the specified index is outside of the specified range.

# Table 13.5 - Consolidated Bonds by Interest-Rate Payment Type

		December 31,					
Par Value of Consolidated Bonds	2012		2011				
Fixed-rate	\$	368,273	\$	423,451			
Simple variable-rate		79,844		51,851			
Step-up		22,090		24,143			
Step-down		595		445			
Fixed-rate that converts to variable-rate		230		881			
Range bonds		-		268			
Variable-rate that converts to fixed-rate		35		70			
Other		298		375			
Total par value	\$	471,365	\$	501,484			

<u>Consolidated Bonds Denominated in Foreign Currencies.</u> Consolidated bonds issued can be denominated in foreign currencies. Concurrent with these issuances, the FHLBanks exchange the interest and principal payment obligations related to the issues for equivalent amounts denominated in U.S. dollars. There were no consolidated bonds denominated in foreign currencies outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

<u>Concessions on Consolidated Obligations.</u> Unamortized concessions included in other assets were \$102 million and \$116 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011. The amortization of these concessions is included in consolidated obligation interest expense and totaled \$144 million, \$164 million and \$211 million during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010.

#### Note 14 - Affordable Housing Program (AHP)

The FHLBank Act requires each FHLBank to establish an AHP. Each FHLBank provides subsidies in the form of direct grants and below-market interest rate advances to members who use the funds to assist in the purchase, construction, or rehabilitation of housing for very low-, low-, and moderate-income households. Annually, the FHLBanks must set aside for the AHP the greater of the aggregate of \$100 million or 10% of net earnings, after any assessment for REFCORP. For purposes of the AHP calculation, net earnings is defined as net income before assessments, plus interest expense related to mandatorily redeemable capital stock, less the assessment for REFCORP until the FHLBanks' REFCORP obligation was satisfied. Each FHLBank accrues this expense monthly based on its net earnings. An FHLBank reduces its AHP liability as members use subsidies. (See <u>Note 15 - Resolution Funding Corporation (REFCORP)</u> for a discussion of the REFCORP calculation.)

On August 5, 2011, the FHFA certified that the FHLBanks had fully satisfied their REFCORP obligation with their payments made on July 15, 2011, which were accrued as applicable in each FHLBank's June 30, 2011 financial statements. The FHLBanks entered into a Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement, as amended, which requires each FHLBank to allocate 20% of its net income to a separate restricted retained earnings account, beginning in the third quarter of 2011. Because the REFCORP assessment reduced the amount of net earnings used to calculate the AHP assessment, it had the effect of reducing the total amount of funds allocated to the AHP. However, the amounts allocated to the new restricted retained earnings account are not treated as an assessment and do not reduce each FHLBank's net income. As a result, each FHLBank's AHP contributions as a percentage of pre-assessment earnings increase because the REFCORP obligation has been fully satisfied.

If an FHLBank experienced a net loss during a quarter, but still had net earnings for the year, the FHLBank's obligation to the AHP would be calculated based on the FHLBank's year-to-date net earnings. If the FHLBank had net earnings in subsequent quarters, it would be required to contribute additional amounts to meet its calculated annual obligation. If the FHLBank experienced a net loss for a full year, the FHLBank would have no obligation to the AHP for the year, because each FHLBank's required annual AHP contribution is limited to its annual net earnings. If the aggregate 10% calculation previously discussed was less than \$100 million for all 12 FHLBanks, each FHLBank would be required to assure that the aggregate contribution of the FHLBanks equals \$100 million. The pro ration would be made on the basis of an FHLBank's income in relation to the income of all FHLBanks for the previous year, subject to the annual earnings limitation as previously discussed. There was no shortfall in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 or 2010. If an FHLBank finds that its required contributions are contributing to the financial instability of that FHLBank, it may apply to the FHFA for a temporary suspension of its contributions. The FHLBanks did not make any such applications in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 or 2010.

The FHLBanks had outstanding principal of \$1,116 million and \$317 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011 related to AHP advances.

# Table 14.1 - Analysis of AHP Liability

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,								
		2012		2011		2010			
Balance, at beginning of year	\$	718	\$	773	\$	791			
Expense		296		188		229			
Subsidy usage, net(1)		(268)		(243)		(247)			
Balance, at end of year	\$	746	\$	718	\$	773			

(1) Amounts may not agree to the AHP payments, net amounts in the Combined Statement of Cash Flows for each applicable period due to rounding.

#### Note 15 - Resolution Funding Corporation (REFCORP)

On August 5, 2011, the FHFA certified that the FHLBanks had fully satisfied their REFCORP obligation with their payments that were made on July 15, 2011. The FHLBanks entered into a Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement, as amended, which requires each FHLBank to allocate 20% of its net income to a separate restricted retained earnings account, beginning in the third quarter of 2011. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> for further discussion.) As a result of fully satisfying their REFCORP obligation, the FHLBanks did not record a REFCORP assessment for the year ended December 31, 2012 and in each of the last two quarters of 2011.

Prior to the satisfaction of the FHLBanks' REFCORP obligation, each FHLBank was required to make payments to REFCORP (20% of annual GAAP net income before REFCORP assessments and after payment of AHP assessments) until the total amount of payments actually made was equivalent to a \$300 million annual annuity whose final maturity date was April 15, 2030. The FHFA and its predecessors shortened or lengthened the period during which the FHLBanks made payments to REFCORP based on actual payments made relative to the referenced annuity. The FHFA and its predecessors, in consultation with the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury, selected the appropriate discounting factors used in calculating the annuity. (See <u>Note 14</u> - <u>Affordable Housing Program (AHP)</u> for a discussion of the AHP calculation.)

#### Note 16 - Subordinated Notes

The FHLBank of Chicago has \$1.0 billion of subordinated notes outstanding that mature on June 13, 2016. The subordinated notes are not obligations of, and are not guaranteed by, the U.S. government or any FHLBanks other than the FHLBank of Chicago. The subordinated notes are unsecured obligations and rank junior in priority of payment to the FHLBank of Chicago's senior liabilities. Senior liabilities include all of the existing and future liabilities, such as deposits, consolidated obligations for which the FHLBank of Chicago is the primary obligor and consolidated obligations of the other FHLBanks for which the FHLBank of Chicago is jointly and severally liable.

Senior liabilities do not include the FHLBank of Chicago's existing and future liabilities related to payments of junior equity claims (all such payments to, and redemptions of shares from, holders of its capital stock being referred to as junior equity claims) and payments to, or redemption of shares from, any holder of its capital stock that is barred or required to be deferred for any reason, such as noncompliance with any minimum regulatory capital requirement applicable to the FHLBank of Chicago. Also, senior liabilities do not include any liability that, by its terms, expressly ranks equal with or junior to the subordinated notes. The FHLBank of Chicago's regulatory approval to issue subordinated debt prohibits it from making any payment to, or redeeming shares from, any holder of capital stock which it is obligated to make, on or after any applicable interest payment date or the maturity date of the subordinated notes unless the FHLBank of Chicago has paid, in full, all interest and principal due in respect of the subordinated notes on a particular date.

Also pursuant to the regulatory order approving the issuance of subordinated notes, in the event of the FHLBank of Chicago's liquidation or reorganization, the FHFA shall cause the FHLBank of Chicago, its receiver, conservator, or other successor, as applicable, to pay or make provision for the payment of all of its liabilities, including those evidenced by the subordinated notes, before making payment to, or redeeming any shares of, capital stock issued by the FHLBank of Chicago, including shares as to which a claim for mandatory redemption has arisen.

The subordinated notes may not be redeemed, in whole or in part, prior to maturity. These notes do not contain any provisions permitting holders to accelerate the maturity thereof on the occurrence of any default or other event. The subordinated notes were issued at par and accrue interest at a rate of 5.625% per annum. Interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on each June 13 and December 13. The FHLBank of Chicago will defer interest payments if five business days prior to any interest payment date it does not satisfy any minimum regulatory leverage ratio then applicable to it.

The FHLBank of Chicago may not defer interest on the subordinated notes for more than five consecutive years and in no event beyond their maturity date. If the FHLBank of Chicago defers interest payments on the subordinated notes, interest will continue to accrue and will compound at a rate of 5.625% per annum. Any interest deferral period ends when the FHLBank of Chicago satisfies all minimum regulatory leverage ratios to which it is subject, after taking into account all deferred interest and interest on such deferred interest. During the periods when interest payments are deferred, the FHLBank of Chicago may not declare or pay dividends on, or redeem, repurchase, or acquire its capital stock (including mandatorily redeemable capital stock). As of December 31, 2012, the FHLBank of Chicago satisfied the minimum regulatory leverage ratios applicable to the FHLBank of Chicago, and it had not deferred any interest payments.

Prior to conversion of the FHLBank of Chicago's capital structure, it was allowed to include a percentage of the outstanding principal amount of the subordinated notes (the Designated Amount) in determining compliance with its regulatory capital and minimum regulatory leverage requirements, maximum permissible holdings of mortgage-backed securities and unsecured credit, subject to 20% annual phase-outs that commenced June 14, 2011. At December 31, 2011, the Designated Amount of subordinated notes was \$800 million.

After the FHLBank of Chicago converted its capital stock as of January 1, 2012, it no longer includes the Designated Amount of subordinated notes in calculating its maximum permissible holdings of mortgage-backed securities and unsecured credit or in determining compliance with its minimum regulatory capital requirements. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> - FHLBank of Chicago Regulatory Actions for more information about the FHLBank of Chicago's conversion to the new capital structure.)

### Note 17 - Capital

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (GLB Act) amended the FHLBank Act to require each FHLBank to adopt a capital plan and convert to a new capital structure. As of December 31, 2012, all of the FHLBanks had implemented their respective capital plans. Each conversion was considered a capital transaction and was accounted for at par value. Each FHLBank is subject to three capital requirements under its capital plan and the FHFA rules and regulations. Regulatory capital does not include AOCI, but does include mandatorily redeemable capital stock.

- 1. <u>Risk-based capital.</u> Each FHLBank must maintain at all times permanent capital, defined as Class B stock and retained earnings, in an amount at least equal to the sum of its credit risk, market risk, and operations risk capital requirements, all of which are calculated in accordance with the rules and regulations of the FHFA.
- <u>Total regulatory capital.</u> Each FHLBank is required to maintain at all times a total capital-to-assets ratio of at least four percent. Total regulatory capital is the sum of permanent capital, Class A stock, any general loss allowance, if consistent with GAAP and not established for specific assets, and other amounts from sources determined by the FHFA as available to absorb losses.
- 3. <u>Leverage capital.</u> Each FHLBank is required to maintain at all times a leverage capital-to-assets ratio of at least five percent. Leverage capital is defined as the sum of permanent capital weighted 1.5 times and all other capital without a weighting factor.

The FHFA may require an FHLBank to maintain greater minimum capital levels than is required based on FHFA rules and regulation.

At December 31, 2012, each FHLBank was in compliance with its regulatory capital rules. (See FHLBank of Seattle Capital Classification and Consent Arrangement within this note for a description of its agreement with the FHFA.)

#### Table 17.1 - Risk-Based Capital Requirements at December 31, 2012

(dollars in millions)

FHLBank	Risk-Based Capital Requirement	Actual Risk-Based Capital	
Boston	\$ 719	\$ 4,259	
New York	489	5,714	
Pittsburgh	1,030	3,806	
Atlanta	1,625	6,373	
Cincinnati	489	4,759	
Indianapolis	636	2,677	
Chicago	1,545	3,347	
Des Moines	372	2,694	
Dallas	404	1,794	
Topeka	301	1,341	
San Francisco	4,073	10,750	
Seattle	1,302	2,849	

#### Table 17.2 - Regulatory Capital Requirements at December 31, 2012

(dollars in millions)

FHLBank	Minimum Regulatory Capital Ratio Requirement	Minimum Regulatory Capital Requirement	Actual Regulatory Capital Ratio	Actual Regulatory Capital
Boston	4.0%	\$ 1,608	10.6%	\$ 4,259
New York	4.0%	4,120	5.6%	5,714
Pittsburgh	4.0%	2,585	5.9%	3,806
Atlanta	4.0%	4,948	5.2%	6,373
Cincinnati	4.0%	3,262	5.8%	4,759
Indianapolis	4.0%	1,649	6.5%	2,677
Chicago	4.0%	2,783	4.8%	3,347
Des Moines	4.0%	1,895	5.7%	2,694
Dallas	4.0%	1,430	5.0%	1,794
Topeka	4.0%	1,353	5.2%	1,752
San Francisco	4.0%	3,457	12.4%	10,750
Seattle	4.0%	1,417	8.4%	2,987

#### Table 17.3 - Leverage Capital Requirements at December 31, 2012

(dollars in millions)

FHLBank	Minimum Minimum Leverage Capital Leverage Capital Ratio Requirement Requirement		Actual Leverage Capital Ratio	Actual Leverage Capital	
Boston	5.0%	\$ 2,010	15.9%	\$ 6,388	
New York	5.0%	5,149	8.3%	8,572	
Pittsburgh	5.0%	3,231	8.8%	5,710	
Atlanta	5.0%	6,185	7.7%	9,560	
Cincinnati	5.0%	4,078	8.8%	7,139	
Indianapolis	5.0%	2,061	9.7%	4,015	
Chicago	5.0%	3,479	7.2%	5,021	
Des Moines	5.0%	2,368	8.5%	4,041	
Dallas	5.0%	1,788	7.5%	2,690	
Topeka	5.0%	1,691	7.2%	2,422	
San Francisco	5.0%	4,321	18.7%	16,125	
Seattle	5.0%	1,771	12.5%	4,411	

At December 31, 2012, combined regulatory capital was \$51.0 billion, compared to \$52.9 billion at December 31, 2011. The amount at December 31, 2011, included a percentage of the outstanding principal amount of the FHLBank of Chicago's subordinated notes (the Designated Amount), which the FHLBank of Chicago was allowed to include in determining compliance with its regulatory capital requirements. At December 31, 2011, the Designated Amount of subordinated notes was \$800 million. After the FHLBank of Chicago converted its capital stock as of January 1, 2012, it no longer includes the Designated Amount of subordinated notes in determining compliance with its minimum regulatory capital requirements. (See *FHLBank of Chicago Regulatory Actions* within this note for information on the FHLBank of Chicago's new capital plan.)

The GLB Act amendments made FHLBank membership voluntary for all members. Members can redeem Class A stock by giving six months written notice, and members can redeem Class B stock by giving five years written notice, subject to certain restrictions. Any member that withdraws from membership may not be readmitted to membership in any FHLBank until five years from the divestiture date for all capital stock that is held as a condition of membership, as that requirement is set out in an FHLBank's capital plan, unless the institution has canceled its notice of withdrawal prior to that date, before being readmitted to membership in any FHLBank. This restriction does not apply if the member is transferring its membership from one FHLBank to another on an uninterrupted basis.

In accordance with the FHLBank Act, each class of FHLBank stock is considered putable by the member and an FHLBank may repurchase, in its sole discretion, any member's stock investments that exceed the required minimum amount. However, there are significant statutory and regulatory restrictions on the obligation to redeem, or right to repurchase, the outstanding

stock. As a result, whether or not a member may have its capital stock in an FHLBank repurchased (at an FHLBank's discretion at any time before the end of the redemption period) or redeemed (at a member's request, completed at the end of a redemption period) will depend on whether the FHLBank is in compliance with those restrictions.

An FHLBank's board of directors may declare and pay dividends in either cash or capital stock, assuming the FHLBank is in compliance with FHFA rules. As of April 18, 2012, the FHLBank of Chicago's dividend declarations are no longer subject to FHFA approval. However, the FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors adopted a resolution, which may not be amended without FHFA consent, that limits the level of dividends that may be declared by the FHLBank of Chicago. (See *FHLBank of Chicago Regulatory Actions* within this note for information on the termination of the FHLBank of Chicago's Consent Order to Cease and Desist.) Until the FHFA determines that the FHLBank of Seattle has met all requirements of the Consent Arrangement, the FHLBank of Seattle is required to obtain prior written approval from the FHFA for any dividends.

#### **Restricted Retained Earnings**

The Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement, as amended (Capital Agreement), is intended to enhance the capital position of each FHLBank. The Capital Agreement provides that each FHLBank will allocate 20% of its net income each quarter to a separate restricted retained earnings account until the balance of that account equals at least one percent of that FHLBank's average balance of outstanding consolidated obligations for the previous quarter. These restricted retained earnings are not available to pay dividends.

The FHLBank of San Francisco's retained earnings and dividend policy establishes amounts to be retained in restricted retained earnings, which are not made available for dividends in the current dividend period. These amounts are not related to the Capital Agreement; however, they are also classified as restricted retained earnings on the Combined Statement of Condition. The FHLBank of San Francisco retains in restricted retained earnings any cumulative net gains in earnings (net of applicable assessments) resulting from gains or losses on derivatives and associated hedged items and financial instruments carried at fair value (valuation adjustments). In addition to any cumulative net gains resulting from valuation adjustments, the FHLBank of San Francisco holds a targeted amount in restricted retained earnings intended to protect paid-in capital from the effects of an extremely adverse credit, operations risk or market event.

Table 17.4 presents the components of retained earnings, including the restricted amounts related to the Capital Agreement and the restricted amounts related to the FHLBank of San Francisco's retained earnings and dividend policy.

(dollars in millions)

	Unrestricted Retained Earnings		Capital Agreement Restricted Retained Earnings		Other Restricted Retained Earnings(1)	Total Restricted Retained Earnings		Fotal Retained Earnings
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$	5,943	\$ -	- \$	\$ 1,609	\$ 1,609	\$	7,552
Net income		1,228	200	0	165	365		1,593
Dividends on capital stock								
Cash		(536)	-	-	_	_		(536)
Stock		(32)		-	_			(32)
Balance, December 31, 2011		6,603	200	0	1,774	1,974		8,577
Net income		1,991	510	6	99	615		2,606
Dividends on capital stock								
Cash		(625)	-	-	-	-		(625)
Stock		(34)	-	-	_	_		(34)
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$	7,935	\$ 710	6 \$	\$ 1,873	\$ 2,589	\$	10,524

(1) Represents retained earnings restricted by the FHLBank of San Francisco's retained earnings and dividend policy related to valuation adjustments and the retained earnings targeted buildup.

#### **Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock**

Each FHLBank is a cooperative whose member financial institutions and former members own all of the FHLBank's capital stock. Shares of capital stock cannot be purchased or sold except between an FHLBank and its members at its \$100 per share par value, as mandated by each FHLBank's capital plan.

An FHLBank generally reclassifies capital stock subject to redemption from capital to the mandatorily redeemable capital stock liability upon expiration of a grace period, if applicable, after a member exercises a written redemption right, or gives notice of intent to withdraw from membership, or attains non-member status by merger or acquisition, relocation, charter termination, or involuntary termination from membership. Shares of capital stock meeting these definitions are reclassified to mandatorily redeemable capital stock at fair value. Dividends related to capital stock classified as mandatorily redeemable capital stock are accrued at the expected dividend rate and reported as interest expense in the Combined Statement of Income. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, dividends on mandatorily redeemable capital stock in the amount of \$85 million, \$48 million and \$54 million were recorded as interest expense.

A member may cancel or revoke its written notice of redemption or its notice of withdrawal from membership prior to the end of the applicable redemption period. Each FHLBank's capital plan provides the terms for cancellation fees that may be incurred by the member upon such cancellation.

Table 17.5 presents capital stock subject to mandatory redemption. Payment is contingent on each FHLBank's waiting period and the FHLBank's ability to meet its minimum regulatory capital requirements. These amounts have been classified as a liability in the Combined Statement of Condition.

#### Table 17.5 - Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock Rollforward

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2012			2011		2010
Balance, beginning of year	\$	8,013	\$	7,066	\$	8,138
Capital stock subject to mandatory redemption reclassified from capital						
Withdrawals		533		3,541		213
Other redemptions		706		426		1,146
Capital stock previously subject to mandatory redemption reclassified to capital						
Withdrawals		(28)		(29)		(48)
Other redemptions		—		(508)		(902)
Net redemption of mandatorily redeemable capital stock						
Withdrawals		(1,699)		(2,013)		(897)
Other redemptions		(596)		(470)		(584)
Balance, end of year	\$	6,929	\$	8,013	\$	7,066

The number of stockholders holding mandatorily redeemable capital stock was 269, 300 and 345 at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, certain members had requested redemptions of capital stock that have not been reclassified as mandatorily redeemable capital stock. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, these excess capital stock amounts were not classified as mandatorily redeemable capital stock for the FHLBank of Indianapolis because the requesting member may revoke its request, without substantive penalty, throughout the five-year waiting period, based on the capital plan of this FHLBank. Prior to September 30, 2012, the FHLBank of Seattle had excess capital stock amounts not classified as mandatorily redeemable capital stock. At December 31, 2012, all the FHLBank of Seattle's redemption requests were classified as mandatorily redeemable capital stock.

# Table 17.6 - Excess Capital Stock not Reclassified as Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011			
	Number of Stockholders			Number of Stockholders	Amount		
FHLBank of Indianapolis	8	\$	102	9	\$	104	
FHLBank of Seattle	_		—	14		158	
Total	8	\$	102	23	\$	262	

In addition, certain FHLBanks have a grace period for capital stock redemption requests. Capital stock not reclassified as mandatorily redeemable capital stock at December 31, 2012 (excluding the amount presented in Table 17.6) represents requests where the grace period had not yet expired.

Table 17.7 presents the amount of mandatorily redeemable capital stock at December 31, 2012 by contractual year of redemption. The year of redemption in the table is the end of the appropriate redemption period applicable to each FHLBank's capital plan. An FHLBank is not required to redeem membership stock until either five years or six months, depending on the type of capital stock issuable under its capital plan, after the membership is terminated or the FHLBank receives notice of withdrawal. However, if membership is terminated due to merger or consolidation, the FHLBank may recalculate the former member's stock requirement following such termination and the stock may be deemed excess stock subject to repurchase at the FHLBank's discretion. An FHLBank is not required to redeem activity-based stock until the later of the expiration of the notice of redemption or until the activity to which the capital stock relates no longer remains outstanding. If activity-based stock becomes excess stock as a result of an activity no longer remaining outstanding, an FHLBank may repurchase such shares, in its sole discretion, subject to the statutory and regulatory restrictions on excess capital stock redemption discussed below.

# Table 17.7 - Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock by Contractual Year of Redemption (dollars in millions)

	An	nount
Year 1	\$	1,964
Year 2		1,403
Year 3		283
Year 4		2,587
Year 5		391
Past contractual redemption date due to remaining activity(1)		18
Past contractual redemption date due to regulatory action(2)		283
Total	\$	6,929

(1) Represents mandatorily redeemable capital stock that is past the end of the contractual redemption period because there is activity outstanding to which the mandatorily redeemable capital stock relates.

(2) See FHLBank of Seattle Capital Classification and Consent Arrangement within this note for discussions on this FHLBank's mandatorily redeemable capital stock.

Excess Capital Stock. Excess capital stock is defined as the amount of stock held by a member (or former member) in excess of that institution's minimum investment requirement. FHFA rules limit the ability of an FHLBank to create member excess capital stock under certain circumstances. An FHLBank may not pay dividends in the form of capital stock or issue new excess capital stock to members if that FHLBank's excess capital stock exceeds one percent of its total assets or if the issuance of excess capital stock would cause that FHLBank's excess capital stock to exceed one percent of its total assets. At December 31, 2012, each of the FHLBanks of Boston, Cincinnati, Indianapolis, San Francisco and Seattle had excess capital stock outstanding totaling more than one percent of its total assets. At December 31, 2012, each of these FHLBanks was in compliance with the FHFA's excess capital stock rules.

#### **Capital Classification Determination**

The FHFA has implemented the prompt corrective action provisions of the Housing Act. The FHFA rule defined four capital classifications for the FHLBanks: adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized, and the FHFA issued a regulation implementing the prompt corrective action provisions that apply to FHLBanks that are not deemed to be adequately capitalized. The FHFA determines each FHLBank's capital classification on at least a quarterly basis. If an FHLBank is determined to be other than adequately capitalized, that FHLBank becomes subject to additional supervisory authority by the FHFA. Before implementing a reclassification, the Director of the FHFA is required to provide that FHLBank with written notice of the proposed action and an opportunity to submit a response.

#### FHLBank of Chicago Regulatory Actions

<u>Capital Rules.</u> Under the FHLBank of Chicago's new capital plan, which became effective January 1, 2012, its stock consists of two sub-classes of stock, Class B-1 stock and Class B-2 stock (together, Class B stock), both with a par value of \$100 and redeemable on five years written notice, subject to certain conditions. Under the capital plan, each member is required to

own capital stock in an amount equal to the greater of a membership stock requirement or an activity stock requirement. Membership stock requirements will continue to be recalculated annually, whereas the activity stock requirement will apply on a continuing basis.

<u>Regulatory Actions.</u> On April 18, 2012, the FHFA terminated the Consent Order to Cease and Desist (the C&D Order) that the FHLBank of Chicago entered into with the Federal Housing Finance Board in October 2007. The C&D Order placed several requirements on the FHLBank of Chicago, including among other things, restrictions on the repurchase and redemption of capital stock, prior approval of dividend declarations and submission of a revised capital plan.

In connection with operating the FHLBank of Chicago after termination of the C&D Order, the FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors adopted a resolution on March 30, 2012, which included the following:

- As required by the FHFA in connection with the approval of the FHLBank of Chicago's capital plan, it will continue to obtain FHFA approval for any new investments that have a term to maturity in excess of 270 days until such time as the FHLBank of Chicago's mortgage-backed securities portfolio is less than three times its total regulatory capital and the FHLBank of Chicago's advances represent more than 50% of its total assets.
- In addition to the requirements to declare and pay dividends in accordance with its retained earnings and dividend policy, dividends paid on either Class B-1 stock or Class B-2 stock in any given quarter must not exceed the average of three-month LIBOR for that quarter on an annualized basis. The FHLBank of Chicago may, with approval of its board of directors, request approval from the FHFA to pay dividends in excess of this limit in advance of a coming calendar year.
- The FHLBank of Chicago must maintain retained earnings at a level equal to a floor amount, which is the greater of its retained earnings as of each immediately preceding year-end or \$1.321 billion, and will not pay a dividend without prior written approval by the FHFA if the payment of such dividend would cause the FHLBank of Chicago's retained earnings to be reduced below the floor amount.
- The FHLBank of Chicago will continue to execute and comply with its plan to repurchase excess capital stock of members over a period of time (Repurchase Plan) as approved by the FHFA in December 2011. Once the Repurchase Plan terminates, the FHLBank of Chicago will continue to repurchase excess capital stock held by members on a quarterly basis but only if it maintains compliance with the financial and capital thresholds set forth in the Repurchase Plan.

As permitted by the resolution, the FHLBank of Chicago has requested and received non-objection from the FHFA to pay dividends for 2013 in excess of the limit discussed above. Although any future dividend determination at the sole discretion of the FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors remains subject to future operating results, its retained earnings and dividends policy, and any other factors the FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors may, without further FHFA approval, declare dividends for any given quarter in 2013 at rates on an annualized basis not to exceed:

- the average of three-month LIBOR plus 350 basis points on Class B-1 capital stock; and
- the average of three-month LIBOR plus 100 basis points on Class B-2 capital stock.

Although the Repurchase Plan terminated by its term in May 2012, the FHLBank of Chicago continues to repurchase excess capital stock in accordance with this resolution. The FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors may not modify or terminate this resolution without written consent by the Director of the FHFA.

# FHLBank of Seattle Capital Classification and Consent Arrangement

In August 2009, under the FHFA's prompt corrective action regulations, the FHLBank of Seattle received a capital classification of undercapitalized from the FHFA, due to, among other things, the FHLBank of Seattle's risk-based capital deficiencies as of December 31, 2008, March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009, the deterioration in the value of its private-label mortgage-backed securities and the amount of accumulated other comprehensive loss stemming from that deterioration, the level of its retained earnings in comparison to accumulated other comprehensive loss, and its market value of equity compared to the par value of capital stock. In September 2012, the FHFA reclassified the FHLBank of Seattle to adequately capitalized. Although this capital classification means that the FHLBank of Seattle will no longer be subject to the mandatory and discretionary restrictions imposed by the prompt corrective action regulations, including the limitations on asset growth, the FHLBank of Seattle remains subject to the requirements stipulated in the Consent Arrangement, as detailed below.

On October 25, 2010, the FHLBank of Seattle entered into a Stipulation and Consent to the Issuance of a Consent Order (Stipulation and Consent) with the FHFA relating to the Consent Order, effective as of the same date, issued by the FHFA to the FHLBank of Seattle. The Stipulation and Consent, the Consent Order, and the related understandings with the FHFA are collectively referred to as the Consent Arrangement. The Consent Arrangement provides that, once the FHLBank of Seattle reaches and maintains certain thresholds and with the FHFA approval, the FHLBank of Seattle may begin repurchasing its member capital stock at par value. Further, with the FHFA approval, the FHLBank of Seattle may again be in position to redeem certain capital stock from its members and begin paying dividends once the FHLBank of Seattle:

- achieves and maintains certain financial and operational metrics;
- remediates certain concerns regarding the FHLBank of Seattle's oversight and management, asset quality, capital
  adequacy and retained earnings, risk management, compensation practices, examination findings, and information
  technology; and
- returns to a safe and sound condition as determined by the FHFA.

Although full remediation of the requirements of the Consent Arrangement is ongoing, the FHLBank of Seattle has made substantial progress in a number of areas, and it continues to develop and refine plans, policies, and procedures to address the remaining Consent Arrangement requirements. Further, as required by the Consent Arrangement, the FHLBank of Seattle has been striving to increase its ratio of advances to total assets. The FHLBank of Seattle continues to focus on this goal, but due to the current low demand for advances, the FHLBank of Seattle has determined that it is prudent to accept some variation in its advances-to-assets ratio over time, rather than require quarter over quarter improvements. The FHLBank of Seattle believes this approach will allow it to maintain a strong level of liquidity and more interest-earning assets to improve its income and retained earnings. In adopting this approach in late March 2012, the FHLBank of Seattle implemented a dollar cap on its investments to ensure that it contains the growth of its investment portfolio while maintaining a strong level of liquidity and sufficient interest-earning assets to improve its income and retained earnings.

The Consent Agreement clarifies, among other things, the steps that the FHLBank of Seattle must take to return to normal operations, including the unrestricted repurchase and redemption of, and payment of dividends on, capital stock. The FHLBank of Seattle has coordinated, and will continue coordinating, with the FHFA so that its plans and actions are aligned with the FHFA's expectations. However, there is a risk that the FHLBank of Seattle may be unable to successfully develop and execute the plans, policies and procedures designed to enhance the its safety and soundness, meet and maintain the minimum financial metrics, or meet the requirements for asset composition, capital management and other operational and risk management objectives pursuant to the Consent Arrangement or otherwise acceptable to the FHFA. Such failures could result in among other things, deterioration in financial performance or imposition of additional requirements or conditions by the FHFA, any of which could also have a material adverse consequence to the FHLBank of Seattle's business, including its financial condition and results of operations.

The Consent Arrangement will remain in effect until modified or terminated by the FHFA and does not prevent the FHFA from taking any other action affecting the FHLBank of Seattle that, at the sole discretion of the FHFA, it deems appropriate in fulfilling its supervisory responsibilities. Until the FHFA determines that FHLBank of Seattle has met the requirements of the Consent Arrangement, the FHLBank of Seattle expects the FHFA approval will continue to be required for all redemptions, repurchases, and dividend payments on capital stock.

In November 2012, the FHFA approved the FHLBank of Seattle's request to amend its capital plan to, among other things:

- allow the FHLBank of Seattle's board of directors to establish, within a range, a cap on the membership stock purchase requirement; and
- re-establish, until December 31, 2016, an excess stock pool generally in the form that was previously a component of the FHLBank of Seattle's capital plan.

The FHLBank of Seattle's capital plan amendments specify that the membership stock purchase requirement cap may be no less than \$10 million and no more than \$50 million. After receiving the FHFA's approval in November 2012, the FHLBank of Seattle's board of directors approved a membership stock purchase requirement cap of \$15 million.

The FHLBank of Seattle's amended capital plan also provides for an excess stock pool, which comprises the aggregate amount of excess stock held by all of its shareholders. The excess stock pool enables a member, when receiving advances from the FHLBank of Seattle, to satisfy its stock purchase requirement by relying on capital that is associated with total outstanding

excess stock rather than purchasing additional stock in the FHLBank of Seattle. A member may utilize the excess stock pool under the following circumstances:

- the member owns no additional stock that can be used to capitalize new advances or renew existing advances;
- the new advance or renewal of an existing advance has a term to maturity of one year or less;
- the member is utilizing no more than 25% of the total amount of the excess stock pool; and
- the aggregate amount of all stock from the excess stock pool being used to capitalize advances by its members does not exceed 50% of the excess stock pool.

The FHLBank of Seattle's members began utilizing the excess stock pool in December 2012.

#### Note 18 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Table 18.1 presents a summary of changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

#### Table 18.1 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(dollars in millions)

	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on AFS Securities (Note 5)	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on HTM Securities Transferred from AFS Securities	Net Non-Credit Portion of OTTI Losses on AFS Securities (Note 5 and 7)	Net Non-Credit Portion of OTTI Losses on HTM Securities (Note 6 and 7)	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) Relating to Hedging Activities (Note 11)	Pension and Postretirement Benefits (Note 19)	Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 453	\$ (22)	\$ (2,182)	\$ (6,149)	\$ (267)	\$ (39)	\$ (8,206)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	384	14	876	1,708	(312)	(10)	2,660
Balance, December 31, 2010	837	(8)	(1,306)	(4,441)	(579)	(49)	(5,546)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	418	3	(1,851)	3,316	(617)	(21)	1,248
Balance, December 31, 2011	1,255	(5)	(3,157)	(1,125)	(1,196)	(70)	(4,298)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	559	2	2,146	180	(85)	(14)	2,788
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$ 1,814	\$ (3)	\$ (1,011)	\$ (945)	\$ (1,281)	\$ (84)	\$ (1,510)

#### Note 19 - Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans

<u>Qualified Defined Benefit Multiemployer Plan.</u> The FHLBanks participate in the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions (Pentegra DB Plan), a tax-qualified, defined-benefit pension plan. The Pentegra DB Plan is treated as a multiemployer plan for accounting purposes, but operates as a multiple-employer plan under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and the Internal Revenue Code. As a result, certain multiemployer plan disclosures, including the certified zone status, are not applicable to the Pentegra DB Plan. Under the Pentegra DB Plan, contributions made by a participating employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers because assets contributed by an employer are not segregated in a separate account or restricted to provide benefits only to employees of that employer. Also, in the event a participating employer is unable to meet its contribution requirements, the required contributions for the other participating employers could increase proportionately.

All of the FHLBanks participate in the Pentegra DB Plan, except for the FHLBank of San Francisco, which provides a Cash Balance Plan to eligible employees. The Pentegra DB Plan covers substantially all officers and employees of the FHLBanks that meet certain eligibility requirements, except that:

- FHLBank of Atlanta employees are eligible to participate only if hired before March 1, 2011;
- FHLBank of Indianapolis employees are eligible to participate only if hired before February 1, 2010;
- FHLBank of Des Moines employees are eligible to participate only if hired before December 31, 2010;

- FHLBank of Dallas employees are eligible to participate only if hired before January 1, 2007 or hired on or after January 1, 2007, provided that the new employee had prior service with a financial services institution that participated in the Pentegra DB Plan, during which service the employee was covered by that plan;
- FHLBank of Topeka employees are eligible to participate only if hired before January 1, 2009; and
- FHLBank of Seattle employees historically were only eligible to participate if they were hired before January 1, 2004. For the plan year 2011-2012, the FHLBank of Seattle did not meet the minimum participation requirement for the Pentegra DB Plan, and therefore, in order to correct the deficiency, plan enrollment was re-opened for employees hired during the calendar year 2004, with a retroactive effective date of July 1, 2011.

The Pentegra DB Plan operates on a fiscal year from July 1 through June 30. The Pentegra DB Plan files one Form 5500 on behalf of all employers who participate in the plan. The Employer Identification Number is 13-5645888 and the three-digit plan number is 333. There are no collective bargaining agreements in place at any FHLBank.

The Pentegra DB Plan's annual valuation process includes calculating the plan's funded status and separately calculating the funded status of each participating employer. The funded status is defined as the market value of assets divided by the funding target (100% of the present value of all benefit liabilities accrued at that date). As permitted by ERISA, the Pentegra DB Plan accepts contributions for the prior plan year up to eight and a half months after the asset valuation date. As a result, the market value of assets at the valuation date (July 1) will increase by any subsequent contributions designated for the immediately preceding plan year ended June 30.

The most recent Form 5500 available for the Pentegra DB Plan is for the plan year ended June 30, 2011. For the Pentegra DB Plan year ended June 30, 2011, none of the individual FHLBank's contributions represented more than 5% of the total contributions to the Pentegra DB Plan. For the Pentegra DB Plan year ended June 30, 2010, none of the individual FHLBank's contributions represented more than 5% of the total contributions to the Pentegra DB Plan, except for those made by the FHLBanks of New York, Cincinnati and Des Moines.

The Pentegra DB Plan funded status and the range of the FHLBanks' funded status as of July 1, 2012 increased when compared to prior years due to the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) enacted on July 6, 2012, which changed the calculation of the discount rate used to determine the pension plan liability. MAP-21 allows plan sponsors to measure the pension plan liability using the 25-year average of interest rates to determine the discount rate. Prior to MAP-21, the discount rate used in measuring the pension plan liability was based on the 24-month average of interest rates.

#### Table 19.1 - Pentegra DB Plan Net Pension Cost and Funded Status

(dollars in millions)

		2012		2011	2010	
Net pension cost charged to compensation and benefit expense for the year ended December 31	\$	41	\$	88	\$	88
Pentegra DB Plan funded status as of July 1		108.22% <sup>(a)</sup>		90.29% <sup>(b)</sup>		87.98%
Range of the FHLBanks' funded status as of July 1	100.02	%-126.95%	82.44	%-106.10%	82.8	5%-100.00%

(a) The Pentegra DB Plan's funded status as of July 1, 2012 is preliminary and may increase because the plan's participants were permitted to make contributions for the plan year ended June 30, 2012 through March 15, 2013. Contributions made on or before March 15, 2013, and designated for the plan year ended June 30, 2012, will be included in the final valuation as of July 1, 2012. The final funded status as of July 1, 2012 will not be available until the Form 5500 for the plan year July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013 is filed (this Form 5500 is due to be filed no later than April 2014).

(b) The Pentegra DB Plan's funded status as of July 1, 2011 is preliminary and may increase because the plan's participants were permitted to make contributions for the plan year ended June 30, 2011 through March 15, 2012. Contributions made on or before March 15, 2012, and designated for the plan year ended June 30, 2011, will be included in the final valuation as of July 1, 2011. The final funded status as of July 1, 2011 will not be available until the Form 5500 for the plan year July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 is filed (this Form 5500 is due to be filed no later than April 2013).

<u>Qualified Defined Contribution Plans.</u> Each FHLBank, except for the FHLBanks of Atlanta, San Francisco and Seattle, also participates in the Pentegra Defined Contribution Plan for Financial Institutions, a tax-qualified, defined-contribution plan. The FHLBanks of Atlanta, San Francisco and Seattle have similar defined-contribution plans. Under these plans, each FHLBank contributes a percentage of the participants' compensation by making a matching contribution equal to a percentage of the employee's voluntary contributions, subject to certain limitations.

<u>Nonqualified Supplemental Defined Contribution Retirement Plans.</u> Certain FHLBanks maintain at least one or more nonqualified, unfunded supplemental defined contribution plans. These plans restore all or a portion of defined contributions to those employees who have had their qualified defined contribution benefits limited by IRS regulations. The unfunded liability associated with these nonqualified supplemental defined contribution retirement plans was \$47 million and \$42 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011. However, certain of these FHLBanks have established a grantor/rabbi trust to meet future benefit obligations and current payments to the beneficiaries.

Costs expensed for all qualified and nonqualified defined contribution plans were \$17 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$16 million in each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

<u>Nonqualified Supplemental Defined Benefit Retirement Plans.</u> Certain FHLBanks maintain one or more nonqualified, unfunded supplemental defined benefit plans. These plans ensure that participants receive the full amount of benefits to which they would have been entitled under the qualified defined benefit plan in the absence of limits on benefit levels imposed by the IRS. Certain of these FHLBanks have established a grantor/rabbi trust to meet future benefit obligations and current payments to the beneficiaries. There are no funded plan assets that have been designated to provide supplemental retirement benefits.

<u>FHLBank of San Francisco Cash Balance Plan.</u> The FHLBank of San Francisco provides retirement benefits through its Cash Balance Plan, a tax-qualified defined benefit plan. The Cash Balance Plan covers all employees who have completed six months of FHLBank System service. Under the plan, each eligible FHLBank of San Francisco employee accrues benefits annually equal to six percent of the employee's total annual compensation (base salary and short term incentive award), plus six percent interest on the employee's account balance accrued through the prior year end. The Cash Balance Plan is funded through a qualified trust established by the FHLBank of San Francisco.

<u>Postretirement Benefit Plans.</u> Certain FHLBanks offer a postretirement benefit plan that may include health care and/or life insurance benefits for eligible retirees. There are no funded plan assets that have been designated to provide postretirement benefits.

Table 19.2 presents the obligations and funding status of the FHLBanks' nonqualified supplemental defined benefit retirement plans and the FHLBank of San Francisco's Cash Balance Plan (collectively referred to as "Defined Benefit Retirement Plans" in the tables below); and the FHLBanks' postretirement benefit plans.

#### Table 19.2 - Benefit Obligation, Fair Value of Plan Assets and Funded Status

(dollars in millions)

	Defined Benefit Retirement Plans			Postretirement Benefit Plans				
		2012		2011		2012		2011
Change in benefit obligation								
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$	177	\$	151	\$	49	\$	42
Service cost		9		8		2		2
Interest cost		7		7		2		2
Loss (gain)		23		20		-		4
Benefits paid		(7)		(6)		(1)		(1)
Settlements and curtailments		(2)		(3)		—		-
Benefit obligation at end of year		207		177		52		49
Change in plan assets								
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year		24		23		—		-
Actual return on plan assets		3		—		-		-
Employer contributions		12		7		1		1
Plan participants' contributions		-		_		—		-
Benefits paid		(7)		(6)		(1)		(1)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year		32		24		_		-
Funded status	\$	(175)	\$	(153)	\$	(52)	\$	(49)

Amounts recognized in other liabilities on the Combined Statement of Condition for the FHLBanks' defined benefit retirement plans and postretirement benefit plans at December 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$227 million and \$202 million.

#### Table 19.3 - Amounts Recognized in AOCI

(dollars in millions)

	Defined Benefit Retirement Plans				Pos	Postretirement Benefit Plans			
	December 31, 2012 De		December 31, 2	011	December	31, 2012	December 31, 2011		
Net actuarial loss	\$	81	\$	68	\$	8	\$	9	
Prior service cost (benefit)		—		—		(5)		(7)	
Total	\$	81	\$	68	\$	3	\$	2	

The accumulated benefit obligation for the defined benefit retirement plans was \$171 million and \$150 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

# Table 19.4 - Net Periodic Benefit Cost and Other Amounts Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,											
		Define	d Bei	nefit Retirement	t Plar	ns	Postretirement Benefit Plans					
		2012 2011 2010 2012		2011	2010							
Net Periodic Benefit Cost												
Service cost	\$	9	\$	8	\$	7	\$	2	\$	2	\$	2
Interest cost		7		7		7		2		2		2
Expected return on plan assets		(2)		(2)		(1)		—		_		_
Amortization of prior service cost		—		—		—		(2)		(1)		(2)
Amortization of net loss (gain)		7		5		4		—		-		_
Settlement loss		—		2		3		-		-		—
Net periodic benefit cost		21	_	20		20		2	_	3		2
Other Changes in Benefit Obligations Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income												
Net loss (gain)		16		23		14		-		3		_
Prior service cost (benefit)		4		_		—		-		-		—
Amortization of net (loss) gain		(7)		(5)		(4)		-		-		_
Amortization of prior service (cost) benefit		—		_		—		1		1		2
Prior service cost recognized due to curtailment/settlement loss		_		(1)		(2)		_		_		_
Total recognized in other comprehensive income		13		17		8		1		4		2
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income	\$	34	\$	37	\$	28	\$	3	\$	7	\$	4

Table 19.5 presents the estimated net actuarial loss and prior service benefit that will be amortized from AOCI into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year.

#### Table 19.5 - Amortization for Next Fiscal Year

(dollars in millions)

	Defined Benefit Retirement Plans		Postretirement Benefit Plans
Net actuarial loss	\$	8	\$ 1
Prior service benefit		-	(1)
Total	\$	8	\$ —

Table 19.6 presents the key assumptions used for the actuarial calculations to determine benefit obligations for the FHLBanks' defined benefit retirement plans and postretirement benefit plans (displayed as a range from low to high).

#### Table 19.6 - Benefit Obligation Key Assumptions

	Defined Benefit	Retirement Plans	Postretirement Benefit Plans			
	2012	2012	2011			
Discount rate	3.3% - 4.1%	3.7% - 4.5%	3.8% - 4.5%	4.2% - 4.8%		
Salary increases	3.0% - 5.5%	4.0% - 5.5%	N/A	N/A		

N/A - These assumptions are not applicable to the postretirement benefit plans.

Table 19.7 presents the key assumptions used for the actuarial calculations to determine net periodic benefit cost for the FHLBanks' defined benefit retirement plans and postretirement benefit plans (displayed as a range from low to high).

#### Table 19.7 - Net Periodic Benefit Cost Key Assumptions

	Defin	ed Benefit Retirement I	Plans	Postretirement Benefit Plans					
	2012	2011	2010	2012	2011	2010			
Discount rate	3.7% - 4.5%	4.0% - 5.6%	5.0% - 6.1%	4.2% - 4.8%	5.3% - 7.5%	5.5% - 6.2%			
Salary increases	4.0% - 5.5%	4.0% - 5.5%	4.5% - 5.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Expected return on plan assets	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A			

N/A - These assumptions are not applicable to the postretirement benefit plans.

#### Table 19.8 - Postretirement Benefit Plans Assumed Health Care Cost Trend Rates(1)

	2012	2011
Assumed for next year	5.0% - 8.1%	5.0% - 8.4%
Ultimate rate	5.0% - 5.3%	5.0% - 5.3%
Year that ultimate rate is reached	2012 - 2023	2011 - 2023

(1) Table 19.8 excludes certain postretirement health benefit plan assumptions for the FHLBank of San Francisco because this plan's costs are capped at 1998 levels. As a result, changes in the health care cost trend rates will have no effect on the FHLBank of San Francisco's accumulated postretirement benefit obligation, or service or interest costs.

The effect of a percentage point increase in the assumed health care cost trend rate would be an increase in postretirement benefit expense of less than \$1 million and an increase in accumulated postretirement benefit obligation (APBO) of \$7 million. The effect of a percentage point decrease in the assumed health care cost trend rate would be a decrease in postretirement benefit expense of less than \$1 million and a decrease in APBO of \$7 million.

The discount rates for the disclosures as of December 31, 2012 were determined by using a discounted cash flow approach, which incorporates the timing of each expected future benefit payment. Estimated future benefit payments are based on each plan's census data, benefit formulas and provisions, and valuation assumptions reflecting the probability of decrement and survival. The present value of the future benefit payments is determined by using weighted-average duration-based interest rate yields from a variety of highly rated relevant corporate bond indices as of December 31, 2012, and solving for the single discount rate that produces the same present value.

The nonqualified supplemental retirement plans and postretirement benefit plans are not funded; therefore, no contributions will be made in 2013 other than for the payment of benefits. The FHLBank of San Francisco contributed \$5 million in 2012 and expects to contribute \$2 million in 2013 to its Cash Balance Plan. The FHLBank of San Francisco contributed \$1 million in 2012 and expects to contribute an immaterial amount to its nonqualified defined benefit plans and its postretirement health plan in 2013.

### Table 19.9 - Estimated Future Benefit Payments

(dollars in millions)

Years	Payments
2013	\$ 11
2014	18
2015 2016	13
	16
2017	14
2018-2022	91

#### FHLBank of San Francisco's Plan Assets

Table 19.10 presents the fair values of the FHLBank of San Francisco's Cash Balance Plan's assets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, by asset category. (See <u>Note 20 - Fair Value</u> for further information regarding the three levels of fair value measurement.)

# Table 19.10 - FHLBank of San Francisco's Cash Balance Plan's Fair Value of Plan Assets by Asset Category

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012							December 31, 2011								
	Fair Value Measurement Using					Fair Va	lue l	Measurement	Usir	Ig						
Asset Category	Le	vel 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total	L	evel 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	—
Equity mutual funds		18		—		_		18		14		_		_		14
Fixed income mutual funds		11		—		—		11		9		—		—		9
Real estate mutual funds		1		—		_		1		—		_		_		_
Other mutual funds		1		—		—		1		1		—		—		1
Total	\$	32	\$	_	\$	_	\$	32	\$	24	\$	_	\$	_	\$	24

The Cash Balance Plan is administered by the FHLBank of San Francisco's Retirement Committee, which establishes the plan's Statement of Investment Policy and Objectives. The Retirement Committee has adopted a strategic asset allocation that envisions a reasonably stable distribution of assets among major asset classes. These asset classes include domestic large-, mid-, and small-capitalization equity investments; international equity investments; and fixed income investments. The Retirement Committee has set the Cash Balance Plan's target allocation percentages for a mix range of 50-70% equity and 30-50% fixed income. The Retirement Committee reviews the performance of the Cash Balance Plan on a regular basis.

#### Table 19.11- FHLBank of San Francisco's Cash Balance Plan's Weighted-Average Asset Allocation by Asset Category

	December 31,				
Asset Category	2012	2011			
Cash and cash equivalents	4%	2%			
Equity mutual funds	58%	56%			
Fixed income mutual funds	34%	38%			
Real estate mutual funds	2%	2%			
Other mutual funds	2%	2%			
Total	100%	100%			

#### Note 20 - Fair Value

The fair value amounts recorded on the Combined Statement of Condition and presented in the note disclosures for the periods presented have been determined by the FHLBanks using available market and other pertinent information and reflect each FHLBank's best judgment of appropriate valuation methods. Although each FHLBank uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of its financial instruments, there are inherent limitations in any valuation technique. Therefore, the fair values may not be indicative of the amounts that would have been realized in market transactions at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### **Fair Value Hierarchy**

The FHLBanks record trading securities, available-for-sale securities, derivative assets, derivative liabilities, certain advances, certain consolidated obligations and certain other assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis and on occasion, certain private-label MBS and certain other assets on a non-recurring basis. The fair value hierarchy requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The inputs are evaluated and an overall level for the fair value measurement is determined. This overall level is an indication of market observability of the fair value measurement for the asset or liability. An entity must disclose the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the measurements are classified.

The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels:

- <u>Level 1 Inputs.</u> Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in an active market that the reporting entity can access on the measurement date.
- Level 2 Inputs. Inputs other than quoted prices within Level 1, that are observable inputs for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. If the asset or liability has a specified or contractual term, a Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability. Level 2 inputs include the following: (1) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; (2) quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; (3) inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (e.g., interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals, and implied volatilities); and (4) inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.
- Level 3 Inputs. Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

Each FHLBank reviews its fair value hierarchy classifications on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of the valuation inputs may result in a reclassification of certain assets or liabilities. These reclassifications are reported as transfers in/out at fair value as of the beginning of the quarter in which the changes occur. The FHLBanks did not have any transfers of assets or liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis during the years ended December 31, 2012 or 2011.

Table 20.1 presents the carrying value, fair value and fair value hierarchy of financial assets and liabilities of the FHLBanks at December 31, 2012. These values do not represent an estimate of the overall market value of the FHLBanks as going concerns, which would take into account future business opportunities and the net profitability of assets and liabilities.

# Table 20.1 - Fair Value Summary(dollars in millions)

				Decembe	r 31, 2012		
					Fair Value		
Financial Instruments	Carrying Value	Total		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting Adjustment and Cash Collateral(1)
Assets							
Cash and due from banks	\$ 18,560	\$ 18,56	0\$	18,560	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Interest-bearing deposits	1,007	1,00	7	-	1,007	-	-
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	35,839	35,83	9	_	35,839	_	_
Federal funds sold	44,010	44,01	1	_	44,011	-	-
Trading securities	11,133	11,13	3	11	11,122	—	_
Available-for-sale securities	65,733	65,73	3	11	52,013	13,709	-
Held-to-maturity securities	108,103	111,16	7	—	97,692	13,475	_
Advances(2)	425,750	428,10	4	-	428,104	-	-
Mortgage loans held for portfolio, net	49,425	52,38	2	—	51,998	384	_
Accrued interest receivable	1,255	1,25	5	_	1,255	-	—
Derivative assets, net	815	81	5	_	5,930	33	(5,148)
Other assets	63	6	3	59	4	-	-
Liabilities							
Deposits	13,721	13,72	1	_	13,721	—	—
Consolidated obligations							
Discount notes(3)	216,282	216,29	8	_	216,298	-	-
Bonds(4)	475,856	483,64	0	—	483,558	82	_
Total consolidated obligations	692,138	699,93	8	_	699,856	82	_
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock	6,929	6,92	9	6,929	-	-	_
Accrued interest payable	1,400	1,40	0	_	1,400	—	—
Derivative liabilities, net	2,544	2,54	4	_	17,766	_	(15,222)
Other liabilities	85	8	5	85	-	-	—
Subordinated notes	1,000	1,16	2	_	1,162	-	_

	Decembe	December 31, 2011					
Financial Instruments	Carrying Value	Fair Value					
Assets							
Cash and due from banks	\$ 20,182	\$ 20,182					
Interest-bearing deposits	1,207	1,207					
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	12,675	12,675					
Federal funds sold	41,139	41,140					
Trading securities	18,705	18,705					
Available-for-sale securities	78,062	78,062					
Held-to-maturity securities	119,477	120,886					
Advances(2)	418,157	421,889					
Mortgage loans held for portfolio, net	53,377	57,001					
Accrued interest receivable	1,613	1,613					
Derivative assets, net	636	636					
Other assets	36	36					
Liabilities							
Deposits	12,564	12,564					
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	400	400					
Consolidated obligations							
Discount notes(3)	190,149	190,157					
Bonds(4)	506,975	516,888					
Total consolidated obligations	697,124	707,045					
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock	8,013	8,013					
Accrued interest payable	1,763	1,763					
Derivative liabilities, net	3,570	3,570					
Other liabilities	82	82					
Subordinated notes	1,000	1,127					

(1) Amounts represent the effect of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow an FHLBank to net settle positive and negative positions and also cash collateral and related accrued interest held or placed with the same counterparties.

(2) Includes \$7,900 million and \$8,693 million of advances recorded under the fair value option and \$10 million and \$45 million of hedged advances recorded at fair value at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(3) Includes \$3,198 million and \$19,862 million of consolidated discount notes recorded under the fair value option at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(4) Includes \$47,645 million and \$38,981 million of consolidated bonds recorded under the fair value option and \$82 million and \$87 million of hedged consolidated bonds recorded at fair value at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### **Summary of Valuation Methodologies and Primary Inputs**

<u>Cash and due from banks.</u> The fair values equal the carrying values.

Interest-bearing deposits. The fair values are determined based on prices obtained from a pricing service or by calculating the present value of the expected future cash flows and reducing the amount for accrued interest receivable. For certain FHLBanks' interest-bearing deposits with three months or less to maturity or repricing, the fair values approximate the carrying values.

<u>Securities purchased under agreements to resell.</u> The fair values are determined by calculating the present value of the future cash flows and reducing the amount for accrued interest receivable. The discount rates used in these calculations are the rates for securities with similar terms. For certain FHLBanks' securities with three months or less to maturity or repricing, the fair values approximate the carrying values.

<u>Federal funds sold.</u> The fair values of overnight Federal funds sold approximate the carrying values. The fair values of term Federal funds sold are determined by calculating the present value of the expected future cash flows and reducing the amount for accrued interest receivable. The discount rates used in these calculations are the rates for Federal funds with similar terms. Investment securities-MBS. Using a uniform framework, each FHLBank's valuation technique incorporates prices from up to four designated third-party pricing services, when available. The third-party pricing services use various proprietary models to price MBS. The inputs to those models are derived from various sources, including, but not limited to: benchmark yields, reported trades, dealer estimates, issuer spreads, benchmark securities, bids, offers and other market-related data. Because many MBS do not trade on a daily basis, the pricing vendors use applicable, available information, such as benchmark curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings and matrix pricing, to determine the prices for individual securities. Each pricing vendor has an established challenge process in place for all MBS valuations, which facilitates resolution of potentially erroneous prices identified by the FHLBanks.

Each FHLBank has conducted reviews of up to four pricing vendors to confirm and further augment its understanding of the vendors' pricing processes, methodologies and control procedures for specific instruments.

The FHLBanks' valuation technique for estimating the fair values of MBS first requires the establishment of a median price for each security. If four prices are received, the average of the middle two prices is the median price; if three prices are received, the middle price is the median price; if two prices are received, the average of the two prices is the median price; and if one price is received, it is the median price (and also the final price) subject to some type of validation.

All prices that are within a specified tolerance threshold of the median price are included in the cluster of prices that are averaged to compute a default price. All prices that are outside the threshold (outliers) are subject to further analysis to determine if an outlier is a better estimate of fair value. These steps include, but are not limited to, comparison to prices provided by an additional third-party valuation service, prices for similar securities, and/or non-binding dealer estimates. If an outlier or some other price identified in the analysis is determined to be a better estimate of fair value, then the outlier or the other price as appropriate is used as the final price rather than the default price. Alternatively, if the analysis confirms that an outlier (or outliers) is (are) in fact not representative of fair value and the default price is the best estimate, then the default price is used as the final price. In all cases, the final price is used to determine the fair value of the security.

As of December 31, 2012, four prices were received for a majority of the FHLBanks' MBS holdings and the final prices for those securities were computed by averaging the prices received. Based on each FHLBank's review of the pricing methods employed by the third-party pricing vendors and the relative lack of dispersion among the vendor prices (or, in those instances in which there were outliers or significant yield variances, the FHLBanks' additional analyses), each FHLBank believes its final prices result in reasonable estimates of fair value and that the fair value measurements are classified appropriately in the fair value hierarchy. Based on the lack of significant market activity for private-label residential MBS and home equity loan ABS, the recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements for those securities were classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

As an additional step for certain securities, each FHLBank reviewed the final fair value estimates of its private-label residential MBS holdings as of December 31, 2012 for reasonableness using an implied yield test. Each FHLBank calculated an implied yield for certain of its private-label residential MBS using the estimated fair value derived from the process previously described and the security's projected cash flows from the FHLBank's OTTI process. These yields were compared to the market yield of comparable securities according to dealers and other third-party sources to the extent comparable market yield data was available. This analysis did not indicate that any material adjustments to the fair value estimates were necessary.

Investment securities-Non-MBS. To determine the estimated fair values of non-MBS investment securities, each FHLBank utilizes either a market approach using prices from third-party pricing services, generally consistent with the methodologies for MBS, or an income approach based on a market-observable interest rate curve adjusted for a spread, which may be based on unobservable information. Differing spreads may be applied to distinct term points along the discount curve in determining the fair values of instruments with varying maturities. Each FHLBank believes that its methodologies result in fair values that are reasonable and similar in all material respects based on the nature of the financial instruments being measured. The market-observable interest rate curves used by the FHLBanks and the related financial instrument they measure are as follows:

- Treasury Curve. U.S. Treasury obligations.
- *LIBOR Swap Curve*. Commercial paper, certificates of deposit, Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes, and Federal Family Education Loan Program ABS.
- U.S. Government Agency Fair Value Curve. Government-sponsored enterprises and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations.

<u>Advances.</u> Each FHLBank generally determines the fair values of its advances by calculating the present value of expected future cash flows from the advances, excluding the amount of the accrued interest receivable. The discount rates used in these calculations are equivalent to the replacement advance rates for advances with similar terms. Each FHLBank calculates its replacement advance rates at a spread to its cost of funds. Each FHLBank's cost of funds approximates the CO Curve. (See *Summary of Valuation Methodologies and Primary Inputs - Consolidated obligations* within this note for a discussion of the CO Curve.) To estimate the fair values of advances with optionality, market-based expectations of future interest rate volatility implied from current market prices for similar options are also used. In accordance with the FHFA's advances regulations, an advance with a maturity or repricing period greater than six months requires a prepayment fee sufficient to make an FHLBank financially indifferent to the borrower's decision to prepay the advances. Therefore, the fair values of advances do not assume prepayment risk.

The FHLBanks did not adjust their fair value measurement of advances for creditworthiness primarily because advances were fully collateralized. (See <u>Note - 8 Advances</u> for additional information.)

<u>Mortgage loans held for portfolio.</u> The fair values of mortgage loans are estimated based on quoted market prices for similar mortgage loans, if available, or modeled values. The modeled values generally start with prices for newly issued mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises or similar new mortgage loans, adjusted for underlying assumptions or characteristics. The prices are adjusted for differences in coupon, average loan rate, seasoning, credit risk, and cash flow remittance between the FHLBank's mortgage loans and the referenced mortgage-backed securities or mortgage loans. The prices of the referenced mortgage-backed securities and mortgage loans are highly dependent upon the underlying prepayment and other assumptions. Changes in the prepayment rates often have a material effect on the fair value estimates. The fair values of certain non-performing loans are estimated based on the values of the underlying collateral or the present values of future cash flows, which may include estimates of prepayment rates and other assumptions.

Accrued interest receivable and payable. The fair values approximate the carrying values.

Derivative assets/liabilities. Each FHLBank bases the fair values of derivatives with similar terms on available market prices, when available. However, active markets do not exist for many of the FHLBanks' derivatives. Consequently, fair values for these instruments are generally estimated using standard valuation techniques such as discounted cash flow analysis and comparisons to similar instruments. In limited instances, fair value estimates for interest-rate related derivatives are obtained from dealers and are corroborated by an FHLBank using a pricing model and observable market data. Each FHLBank is subject to credit risk in derivatives transactions due to the potential nonperformance of their derivatives counterparties, which are generally highly-rated institutions. To mitigate this risk, each FHLBank has entered into master netting agreements for interest-rate exchange agreements with its derivative counterparties. To further limit the FHLBank's net unsecured credit exposure to those counterparties, each FHLBank has entered into bilateral security agreements with all of its active derivatives counterparties that provide for the delivery of collateral at specified levels. Each FHLBank has evaluated the potential for the fair value of the instruments to be affected by counterparty credit risk and its own credit risk and has determined that no adjustments were significant to the overall fair value measurements.

The fair values of each FHLBank's derivative assets and liabilities include accrued interest receivable/payable and cash collateral remitted to/received from counterparties. The estimated fair values of the accrued interest receivable/payable and cash collateral approximate their carrying values due to their short-term nature. The fair values of derivatives are netted by counterparty pursuant to the provisions of each FHLBank's master netting agreements. If these netted amounts are positive, they are classified as an asset and, if negative, they are classified as a liability.

Each FHLBank's discounted cash flow analysis uses market-observable inputs. Inputs by class of derivative are as follows:

#### Interest-rate related:

- Discount rate assumption. Prior to December 31, 2012, the FHLBanks utilized the LIBOR Swap Curve. At December 31, 2012, the FHLBanks utilized the Overnight Index Swap (OIS) curve or the LIBOR swap curve depending on the terms of the derivative.
- Forward interest rate assumption. LIBOR swap curve.
- *Volatility assumption.* Market-based expectations of future interest rate volatility implied from current market prices for similar options.
- Prepayment assumption (if applicable).

#### TBAs:

- TBA securities prices. Market-based prices of TBAs are determined by coupon class and expected term until settlement.
- *TBA "drops."* TBA price "drops" are used to adjust base TBA prices and are a function of current short-term interest rates, prepayment estimates, and the supply and demand for pass-throughs in the current delivery month. TBA drops are obtained from a market-observable source.

# Mortgage delivery commitments:

• TBA securities prices. TBA security prices are adjusted for differences in coupon, average loan rate and seasoning.

<u>Deposits.</u> The fair values of deposits are generally equal to its carrying values because the deposits are primarily overnight instruments or due on demand. Each FHLBank determines the fair values of term deposits by calculating the present value of expected future cash flows from the deposits. The discount rates used in these calculations are the cost of deposits with similar terms.

<u>Securities sold under agreements to repurchase.</u> Each FHLBank determines the fair values of securities sold under agreements to repurchase using the income approach, which converts the expected future cash flows to a single present value using market-based inputs. The fair value also takes into consideration any derivative features, as applicable.

<u>Consolidated obligations</u>. Each FHLBank estimates the fair values of consolidated obligations based on prices received from pricing services, consistent with the methodology for MBS previously discussed, or by using standard valuation techniques and inputs based on the cost of raising comparable term debt.

The inputs used to determine the fair values of consolidated obligations are as follows:

- CO Curve and LIBOR Swap Curve. The Office of Finance constructs an internal curve, referred to as the CO Curve, using the U.S. Treasury Curve as a base curve that is then adjusted by adding indicative spreads obtained from market observable sources. These market indications are generally derived from pricing indications from dealers, historical pricing relationships, recent GSE trades and secondary market activity. The LIBOR Swap Curve is used for certain callable consolidated obligations.
- *Volatility assumption.* To estimate the fair values of consolidated obligations with optionality the FHLBanks use marketbased expectations of future interest rate volatility implied from current market prices for similar options.
- Spread adjustment. FHLBanks may apply an adjustment to the curve.

The FHLBanks monitor their own creditworthiness and determine if any credit risk adjustments are necessary in their fair value measurement of consolidated obligations.

<u>Mandatorily redeemable capital stock.</u> The fair value of capital stock subject to mandatory redemption is generally equal to its par value as indicated by contemporaneous member purchases and sales at par value. Fair value also includes an estimated dividend earned at the time of reclassification from equity to liabilities, until such amount is paid, and any subsequently declared dividend. FHLBank stock can only be acquired and redeemed at par value. FHLBank stock is not traded and no market mechanism exists for the exchange of stock outside the FHLBank System's cooperative structure.

<u>Commitments.</u> The fair value of the FHLBanks' commitments to extend credit for advances, letters of credit and standby bond-purchase agreements was immaterial at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

<u>Subordinated notes.</u> The FHLBank of Chicago estimates the fair values of its subordinated notes based on internal valuation models that use market-based yield curve inputs obtained from a third party.

<u>Subjectivity of estimates.</u> Estimates of the fair value of financial assets and liabilities using the methodologies described above are highly subjective and require judgments regarding significant matters such as the amount and timing of future cash flows, prepayment speed assumptions, expected interest rate volatility, possible distributions of future interest rates used to value options, and the selection of discount rates that appropriately reflect market and credit risks. The use of different assumptions could have a material effect on the fair value estimates.

#### **Fair Value Measurements**

Table 20.2 presents the fair value of assets and liabilities that are recorded on a recurring or non-recurring basis at December 31, 2012 and 2011, by level within the fair value hierarchy. The FHLBanks measure certain held-to-maturity securities and mortgage loans at fair value on a non-recurring basis due to the recognition of a credit loss. Real estate owned is measured using fair value when the asset's fair value less costs to sell is lower than its carrying amount.

#### Table 20.2 - Fair Value Measurements

(dollars in millions)

				December 31, 2012		
	Total			Level 2	Level 3	Netting Adjustment and Cash Collateral(1)
Recurring Fair Value Measurements - Assets						
Trading securities						
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 1,003	\$	-	\$ 1,003	\$ —	\$ —
Commercial paper	60		-	60	-	-
Certificates of deposit	325		-	325	-	-
Other U.S. obligations	310		—	310	—	-
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	7,983		-	7,983	-	-
State or local housing agency obligations	2		-	2	-	-
Other non-MBS	306		11	295	-	-
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS	38		-	38	-	-
GSE residential MBS	854		-	854	-	-
GSE commercial MBS	252		_	252	-	-
Total trading securities	11,133		11	11,122		_
Available-for-sale securities		-				
Other U.S. obligations	2,079		-	2,079	-	-
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	14,199		—	14,199	-	-
State or local housing agency obligations	20		-	20	-	-
Federal Family Education Loan Program ABS	7,452		_	7,452	-	-
Other non-MBS	1,343		11	1,332	—	-
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS	3,387		—	3,387	-	-
GSE residential MBS	23,397		-	23,397	-	-
GSE commercial MBS	147		—	147	-	-
Private-label residential MBS	13,695		-	-	13,695	-
Home equity loan ABS	14		_	-	14	-
Total available-for-sale securities	65,733		11	52,013	13,709	_
Advances(2)	7,910		_	7,910		
Derivative assets, net						
Interest-rate related	798		-	5,913	33	(5,148)
TBAs	1		-	1	—	-
Mortgage delivery commitments	16		_	16	_	_
Total derivative assets, net	815		-	5,930	33	(5,148)
Other assets	63		59	4	-	-
Total recurring assets at fair value	\$ 85,654	\$	81	\$ 76,979	\$ 13,742	\$ (5,148)

		December 31, 2012										
	Total			Level 1		Level 2		Level 3	Ne	etting Adjustment and Cash Collateral(1)		
Recurring Fair Value Measurements - Liabilities												
Consolidated Obligations												
Discount notes(3)	\$	3,198	\$	-	\$	3,198	\$	-	\$	—		
Bonds(4)		47,727		—		47,645		82		—		
Total consolidated obligations		50,925		_		50,843		82		—		
Derivative liabilities, net												
Interest-rate related		2,528		-		17,750		-		(15,222)		
Mortgage delivery commitments		16		—		16		—		—		
Total derivative liabilities, net		2,544				17,766		_		(15,222)		
Total recurring liabilities at fair value	\$	53,469	\$	_	\$	68,609	\$	82	\$	(15,222)		
Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements - Assets	-				-							
Held-to-maturity securities												
Private-label residential MBS	\$	65	\$	-	\$	-	\$	65				
Mortgage loans held for portfolio		261		—		—		261				
Real estate owned		26		-		3		23				
Total non-recurring assets at fair value	\$	352	\$	_	\$	3	\$	349				

	December 31, 2011											
	Total		Level 1		Level 2	Level 3	1	Netting Adjustment and Cash Collateral(1)				
Recurring Fair Value Measurements - Assets												
Trading securities												
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 1,061	\$	-	\$	1,061	\$ -	- \$	. –				
Commercial paper	599		—		599	-	-	—				
Certificates of deposit	1,020		-		1,020	-	-	—				
Other U.S. obligations	9		—		9	-	-	_				
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	9,697		—		9,697	-	-	—				
State or local housing agency obligations	3		-		3	-	-	_				
Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes	5,179	1	_		5,179	-	_	_				
Other non-MBS	296		10		286	-	-	_				
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS	43		_		43	-	-	_				
GSE residential MBS	549		—		549	-	-	_				
GSE commercial MBS	249		—		249	-	-	-				
Total trading securities	18,705		10		18,695	-		_				
Available-for-sale securities												
Certificates of deposit	3,954		—		3,954	-	-	_				
Other U.S. obligations	1,015		—		1,015	-	-	—				
GSE and Tennessee Valley Authority obligations	14,981		-		14,981	-	-	_				
Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program debentures and promissory notes	9,546		_		9,546	-	-	_				
Federal Family Education Loan Program ABS	8,159		_		8,159	-	-	_				
Other non-MBS	1,165		11		1,154	-	-	_				
Other U.S. obligations residential MBS	3,118		_		3,118	-	-	_				
GSE residential MBS	21,761		_		21,761	-	-	—				
GSE commercial MBS	153		_		153	-	-	—				
Private-label residential MBS	14,195		—		-	14,19	5	—				
Home equity loan ABS	15		_		_	1	5	—				
Total available-for-sale securities	78,062		11		63,841	14,21	0	-				

	December 31, 2011										
		Total		Level 1		Level 2		Level 3	Ne	tting Adjustment and Cash Collateral(1)	
Advances(2)		8,738		_		8,738		-		_	
Derivative assets, net											
Interest-rate related		628		_		7,645		37		(7,054)	
Mortgage delivery commitments		8		_		8		-		—	
Total derivative assets, net		636		_		7,653		37		(7,054)	
Other assets		36		33		3		_		—	
Total recurring assets at fair value	\$	106,177	\$	54	\$	98,930	\$	14,247	\$	(7,054)	
Recurring Fair Value Measurements - Liabilities					_						
Consolidated Obligations											
Discount notes(3)	\$	19,862	\$	—	\$	19,862	\$	_	\$	—	
Bonds(4)		39,068		-		38,981		87		—	
Total consolidated obligations		58,930		_	_	58,843		87	_	_	
Derivative liabilities, net											
Interest-rate related		3,562		—		20,607		_		(17,045)	
TBAs		4		_		4		-		-	
Mortgage delivery commitments		4		—		4		_		-	
Total derivative liabilities, net		3,570		_		20,615		_		(17,045)	
Optional advance commitments, included in other liabilities		2		_		2		_		_	
Total recurring liabilities at fair value	\$	62,502	\$	_	\$	79,460	\$	87	\$	(17,045)	
Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements - Assets											
Held-to-maturity securities											
Private-label residential MBS	\$	234	\$	_	\$	_	\$	234			
Home equity loan ABS		6		_		_		6			
Total held-to-maturity securities	-	240	-	-	_	_		240			
Mortgage loans held for portfolio		249		_		_		249			
Real estate owned		25		-		3		22			
Total non-recurring assets at fair value	\$	514	\$	_	\$	3	\$	511			

(1) Amounts represent the effect of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow an FHLBank to net settle positive and negative positions and also cash collateral and related accrued interest held or placed with the same counterparties.

(2) Includes \$7,900 million and \$8,693 million of advances recorded under the fair value option and \$10 million and \$45 million of hedged advances recorded at fair value at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(3) Represents consolidated discount notes recorded under the fair value option at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(4) Includes \$47,645 million and \$38,981 million of consolidated bonds recorded under the fair value option and \$82 million and \$87 million of hedged consolidated bonds recorded at fair value at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### Level 3 Disclosures for All Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Table 20.3 presents a rollforward of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3 during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

### Table 20.3 - Rollforward of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

(dollars in millions)

	Available-for Private-Label sidential MBS 14,195		Equity Loan		ve Assets(1)		
Re	sidential MBS	Home		Intere	st Bata		
\$	14,195		Home Equity Loan ABS		Interest-Rate Related		ated Bonds
		\$	15	\$	37	\$	(87)
	2		_		-		-
	_		_		(4)		5
	3		1		-		_
	(85)		(1)		-		-
	1		_		_		_
)	54		1		_		-
	1,742		1		_		_
	377		_		_		_
	(129)		—		_		-
	(2,622)		(3)		-		-
	157		—		_		-
\$	13,695	\$	14	\$	33	\$	(82)
; \$	(100)	\$	_	\$		\$	5
	) \$ \$ \$		$ \begin{array}{c}\\ 3\\ (85)\\ 1\\ 1\\ 54\\ 1,742\\ 377\\ (129)\\ (2,622)\\ 157\\ $$13,695$ $	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

	Year Ended December 31, 2011									
	Available-for-Sale Securities					atives, Net(1)				
	Private-Label Residential MBS		Hom	e Equity Loan ABS	Interest-Rate Related		Consol	idated Bonds		
Balance, at beginning of period	\$	8,047	\$	15	\$	29	\$	(78)		
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized)										
Included in net gains (losses) on sale of available-for-sale securities		11		—		_		_		
Included in net gains (losses) on changes in fair value included in earnings		(519) <sup>(a)</sup>		(1) <sup>(a)</sup>		8		(9)		
Included in AOCI		899		5		-		-		
Purchases, issuances and settlements						-		—		
Sales		(293)		—		-		-		
Settlements		(2,533)		(4)		-		-		
Transfers from held-to-maturity to available-for-sale securities(2)		8,583		—		-		-		
Balance, at end of period	\$	14,195	\$	15	\$	37	\$	(87)		
Total amount of gains (losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains/losses relating to assets and liabilities held at end of the period	\$	(518)	\$	(1)	\$	8	\$	(9)		

	Year Ended December 31, 2010									
		Available-for-	urities	Derivat	ives, Net(1)					
		rivate-Label sidential MBS	Home Equity Loan ABS		Interest-Rate Related		Consolio	lated Bonds		
Balance, at beginning of period	\$	5,695	\$	14	\$	23	\$	(71)		
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized)										
Included in net gains (losses) on sale of available-for-sale securities		10		—		—		—		
Included in net gains (losses) on changes in fair value included in earnings		(362) <sup>(a)</sup>		(1) <sup>(a)</sup>		6		(7)		
Included in AOCI		1,353		8		—		—		
Purchases, issuances and settlements		(1,717)		(6)		—		—		
Transfers from held-to-maturity to available-for-sale securities(2)		3,068		—		—		-		
Balance, at end of period	\$	8,047	\$	15	\$	29	\$	(78)		
Total amount of gains (losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains/losses relating to assets and liabilities held at end of the period	\$	(331)	\$	(1)	\$	6	\$	(7)		

(a) Represents OTTI related to the credit loss recognized in earnings for AFS securities.

(1) Balances exclude netting adjustments and cash collateral.

(2) During 2012, 2011 and 2010, certain FHLBanks elected to transfer certain private-label residential MBS that had credit-related OTTI from their respective HTM portfolio to their respective AFS portfolio. (See <u>Note 7 - Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis</u> for additional information on these transfers.) For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of these securities continued to be determined using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

#### **Fair Value Option**

The fair value option provides an irrevocable option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets, financial liabilities, unrecognized firm commitments, and written loan commitments not previously carried at fair value. It requires entities to display the fair value of those assets and liabilities for which the entity has chosen to use fair value on the face of the statement of condition. Fair value is used for both the initial and subsequent measurement of the designated assets, liabilities and commitments, with the changes in fair value recognized in net income. Interest income and interest expense on advances and consolidated obligations at fair value are recognized solely on the contractual amount of interest due or unpaid. Any transaction fees or costs are immediately recognized into non-interest income or non-interest expense.

The FHLBanks of New York, Cincinnati, Chicago, Des Moines, Dallas, San Francisco and Seattle (Electing FHLBanks) have each elected the fair value option for certain advances, certain optional advance commitments and/or certain consolidated obligations that either do not qualify for hedge accounting or may be at risk for not meeting hedge effectiveness requirements, primarily in an effort to mitigate the potential income statement volatility that can arise from economic hedging relationships in which the carrying value of the hedged item is not adjusted for changes in fair value.

#### Table 20.4 - Fair Value Option - Financial Assets and Liabilities

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31, 2012										
		Advances		Consolidated Discount Notes	Cor	nsolidated Bonds		Other Liabilities			
Balance, at beginning of period	\$	8,693	\$	(19,862)	\$	(38,981)	\$	(2)			
New transactions elected for fair value option		1,362		(3,396)		(64,873)		_			
Maturities and terminations		(2,128)		20,066		56,196		-			
Net gains (losses) on financial instruments held under fair value option		(21)		3		11		2			
Change in accrued interest and other		(6)		(9)		2		-			
Balance, at end of period	\$	7,900	\$	(3,198)	\$	(47,645)	\$	_			

	Year Ended December 31, 2011									
		Advances		Consolidated Discount Notes	Co	nsolidated Bonds		Other Liabilities		
Balance, at beginning of period	\$	10,494	\$	(5,820)	\$	(47,395)	\$	(11)		
New transactions elected for fair value option		2,049		(20,134)		(65,098)		(3)		
Maturities and terminations		(4,023)		6,107		73,687		_		
Net gains (losses) on financial instruments held under fair value option		184		(2)		(188)		12		
Change in accrued interest and other		(11)		(13)		13		-		
Balance, at end of period	\$	8,693	\$	(19,862)	\$	(38,981)	\$	(2)		

	Year Ended December 31, 2010									
		Advances		Consolidated Discount Notes	Con	solidated Bonds	c	Other Liabilities		
Balance, at beginning of period	\$	21,620	\$	_	\$	(53,805)	\$	—		
New transactions elected for fair value option		3,593		(8,607)		(79,469)		(7)		
Maturities and terminations		(14,505)		2,799		85,816		-		
Net gains (losses) on financial instruments held under fair value option		(163)		(2)		63		(4)		
Change in accrued interest and other		(51)		(10)		—		—		
Balance, at end of period	\$	10,494	\$	(5,820)	\$	(47,395)	\$	(11)		

For instruments for which the fair value option has been elected, the related contractual interest income, contractual interest expense and the discount amortization on fair value option discount notes are recorded as part of net interest income in the Combined Statement of Income. The remaining changes in fair value for instruments for which the fair value option has been elected are recorded as net gains (losses) on financial instruments held under fair value option in the Combined Statement of Income. The change in fair value does not include changes in instrument-specific credit risk. Each of the Electing FHLBanks determined that no adjustments to the fair values of its instruments recorded under the fair value option for instrument-specific credit risk were necessary at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Table 20.5 presents the difference between the aggregate unpaid balance outstanding and the aggregate fair value for advances and consolidated obligations for which the fair value option has been elected as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### Table 20.5 - Aggregate Unpaid Balance and Aggregate Fair Value

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012					December 31, 2011						
	ate Unpaid bal Balance		Aggregate Fair Value	(Un	ir Value Over/ nder) Aggregate npaid Principal Balance		regate Unpaid ncipal Balance		Aggregate Fair Value	(ι	Fair Value Over/ Jnder) Aggregate Unpaid Principal Balance	
Advances(1)	\$ 7,611	\$	7,900	\$	289	\$	8,267	\$	8,693	\$	426	
Consolidated discount notes	3,196		3,198		2		19,859		19,862		3	
Consolidated bonds	47,591		47,645		54		38,914		38,981		67	

(1) At December 31, 2012 and 2011, none of the advances were 90 days or more past due or had been placed on non-accrual status.

#### Note 21 - Commitments and Contingencies

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Commitments**

#### Table 21.1 - Off-Balance Sheet Commitments

(dollars in millions)

		December 31, 2012							
Notional amount	Expire	Expire Within One Year		Expire After One Year		Total		Total	
Standby letters of credit outstanding(1)	\$	38,583	\$	19,128	\$	57,711	\$	53,663	
Commitments for standby bond purchases		1,054		2,194		3,248		3,125	
Unused lines of credit - advances		1,995		—		1,995		2,067	
Commitments to purchase mortgage loans		1,071		—		1,071		1,024	
Commitments to fund additional advances		639		370		1,009		967	
Unsettled consolidated bonds, at par(2)		6,609		_		6,609		5,236	
Unsettled consolidated discount notes, at par		3,420		—		3,420		58	

(1) Excludes unconditional commitments to issue standby letters of credit of \$429 million and \$170 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(2) Unsettled consolidated bonds of \$6,050 million and \$4,715 million were hedged with associated interest-rate swaps at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Standby Letters of Credit. A standby letter of credit is a financing arrangement between an FHLBank and its member. Standby letters of credit are executed for members for a fee. If an FHLBank is required to make payment for a beneficiary's draw, the payment amount is converted into a collateralized advance to the member. The original terms of these standby letters of credit range from less than one month to 20 years, with a final expiration in 2031. The carrying value of guarantees related to standby letters of credit are recorded in other liabilities and were \$103 million and \$102 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Each FHLBank monitors the creditworthiness of its members that have standby letters of credit. In addition, standby letters of credit are fully collateralized at the time of issuance. As a result, each FHLBank has deemed it unnecessary to record any additional liability on these commitments.

<u>Standby Bond-Purchase Agreements.</u> Certain FHLBanks have entered into standby bond-purchase agreements with state housing authorities within their district whereby these FHLBanks agree to provide liquidity for a fee. If required, the affected FHLBanks will purchase and hold the state housing authority's bonds until the designated marketing agent can find a suitable investor or the state housing authority repurchases the bond according to a schedule established by the standby bond-purchase agreement. Each standby bond-purchase agreement dictates the specific terms that would require the affected FHLBank to purchase the bond. The standby bond-purchase commitments entered into by these FHLBanks have original expiration periods of up to seven years, currently expiring no later than 2016, although some are renewable at the option of the affected FHLBank. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the FHLBanks had standby bond-purchase any bonds under these agreements.

<u>Commitments to Purchase Mortgage Loans.</u> An FHLBank may enter into commitments that unconditionally obligate it to purchase mortgage loans. Commitments are generally for periods not exceeding three months. These outstanding commitments represented obligations of the FHLBanks to purchase closed mortgage loans from their members and net delivery commitments related to the MPF Xtra product. Delivery commitments are recorded at fair value as derivative assets or derivative liabilities in the Combined Statement of Condition. Under the MPF Xtra product, the FHLBank of Chicago enters into delivery commitments to purchase MPF Xtra mortgage loans from the participating financial institutions and simultaneously enters into delivery commitments to resell these loans to Fannie Mae. The outstanding delivery commitments issued by the FHLBank of Chicago were \$495 million and \$250 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011. For derivatives and hedging activities disclosure purposes, the delivery commitments issued by the FHLBank of Chicago and by Fannie Mae are considered separate derivatives.

#### **Pledged Collateral**

The FHLBanks generally execute derivatives with large banks and major broker-dealers and generally enter into bilateral pledge (collateral) agreements. The FHLBanks had pledged securities, as collateral, to counterparties that have market risk exposure from the FHLBanks related to derivatives. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the pledged securities that cannot be sold or repledged had a carrying value of \$906 million and \$965 million while the pledged securities that can be sold or repledged had a carrying value of \$111 million and \$792 million.

#### Lease Commitments

The FHLBanks charged to operating expenses net rental and related costs of approximately \$23 million, \$24 million and \$24 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010.

#### Table 21.2 - Future Minimum Lease Payments

(dollars in millions)

Year		Premises	Equipment(1)	Total		
2013	\$	18	\$ 9	\$ 27		
2014		16	8	24		
2015		17	3	20		
2016		17	-	17		
2017		16	-	16		
Thereafter		79	-	79		
Total	\$	163	\$ 20	\$ 183		
	_					

(1) Includes minimum lease payments for both operating and capital leases.

Lease agreements for FHLBank premises generally provide for increases in the basic rentals resulting from increases in property taxes and maintenance expenses. These increases are not expected to have a material effect on the FHLBanks.

#### Lehman Bankruptcy

On September 15, 2008, Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. (LBHI), the parent company of Lehman Brothers Special Financing (LBSF) and a guarantor of LBSF's obligations, announced it had filed a petition for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. This filing precipitated the termination of the FHLBanks' derivatives transactions with LBSF. Each affected FHLBank calculated its resulting settlement amount, including in that calculation any unreturned collateral pledged in connection with those transactions.

Several FHLBanks received a derivatives alternative dispute resolution (ADR) notice from the LBHI bankruptcy estate relating to the unwinding of derivatives transactions between LBSF and individual FHLBanks in 2008. Under the derivatives ADR notice, an FHLBank may agree to the demand, deny the demand or make a counteroffer and ultimately arrive at a settlement of the demand. Some of these FHLBanks have settled their disputes with the LBHI bankruptcy estate. Each of the FHLBanks of New York and Cincinnati has disclosed information regarding its legal proceedings in connection with LBHI's insolvency in its individual 2012 SEC Form 10-K.

#### **Other Legal Proceedings**

The FHLBanks are subject to other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. After consultation with legal counsel, management of each FHLBank does not anticipate that the ultimate liability, if any, arising out of these matters will have a material effect on its FHLBank's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### Note 22 - Subsequent Events

Subsequent events have been evaluated from January 1, 2013 through the time of publication of this Combined Financial Report. No significant subsequent events were identified, except for the declaration of dividends or repurchase of excess capital stock, which generally occur in the normal course of business unless there are regulatory or self-imposed restrictions.

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS CONDENSED COMBINING SCHEDULES—STATEMENTS OF CONDITION DECEMBER 31, 2012

(dollars in millions, except par value)		Combined	bining stments	Boston		New York	
Assets							
Cash and due from banks	\$	18,560	\$ _	\$	241	\$	7,553
Investments		265,825	(219)		15,554		17,459
Advances		425,750	-		20,790		75,888
Mortgage loans held for portfolio, net		49,425	_		3,479		1,843
Other assets		2,894	(2)		145		246
Total assets	\$	762,454	\$ (221)	\$	40,209	\$	102,989
Liabilities	T		 	_			
Deposits	\$	13,721	\$ (15)	\$	595	\$	2,055
Consolidated obligations							
Discount notes		216,282	-		8,639		29,780
Bonds		475,856	(278)		26,120		64,784
Total consolidated obligations		692,138	(278)		34,759		94,564
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock		6,929	-		216		23
Other liabilities		7,117	(4)		1,073		856
Total liabilities		719,905	(297)		36,643		97,498
Capital							
Capital stock							
Class B putable (\$100 par value) issued and outstanding		33,021	—		3,455		4,797
Class A putable (\$100 par value) issued and outstanding		514	—		_		_
Total capital stock		33,535	-		3,455		4,797
Retained earnings							
Unrestricted		7,935	77		524		798
Restricted		2,589	—		64		96
Total retained earnings		10,524	77		588		894
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(1,510)	(1)		(477)		(200)
Total capital		42,549	76		3,566		5,491
Total liabilities and capital	\$	762,454	\$ (221)	\$	40,209	\$	102,989

Pit	Pittsburgh		Atlanta		Cincinnati		Indianapolis		Chicago		Des Moines		Dallas		Topeka		San Francisco		Seattle
\$	1,351	\$	4,083	\$	16	\$	105	\$	3,564	\$	252	\$	921	\$	370	\$	104	\$	_
	19,057		30,454		19,950		16,845		40,750		13,433		16,200		10,775		40,528		25,039
	40,498		87,503		53,944		18,130		14,530		26,614		18,395		16,573		43,750		9,135
	3,533		1,244		7,530		6,001		10,432		6,952		121		5,941		1,289		1,060
	177		421		122		147		308		116		118		160		750		186
\$	64,616	\$	123,705	\$	81,562	\$	41,228	\$	69,584	\$	47,367	\$	35,755	\$	33,819	\$	86,421	\$	35,420
\$	1,000	\$	2,094	\$	1,176	\$	1,787	\$	816	\$	1,085	\$	1,178	\$	1,182	\$	227	\$	541
	24,148		31,737		30,840		8,924		31,260		8,675		6,984		8,669		5,209		21,417
	35,136		82,947		44,346		27,408		32,569		34,345		25,698		21,974		70,310		10,497
	59,284		114,684		75,186		36,332		63,829		43,020		32,682		30,643		75,519		31,914
	432		40		211		451		6		9		5		6		4,343		1,187
	472		612		452		442		1,485		419		119		267		719		205
	61,188		117,430		77,025		39,012		66,136		44,533		33,984		32,098		80,808		33,847
	2,815		4,898		4,010		1,634		1,650		2,063		1,217		859		4,160		1,463
	—		—		—		—		—		—		—		405		_		109
	2,815		4,898		4,010		1,634		1,650		2,063		1,217		1,264		4,160		1,572
	529		1,362		479		550		1,584		593		550		454		246		189
	30		73		59		41		107		29		22		28		2,001		39
	559		1,435		538		591		1,691		622		572		482		2,247		228
	54		(58)		(11)		(9)		107		149		(18)		(25)		(794)		(227)
	3,428		6,275		4,537		2,216		3,448		2,834		1,771		1,721		5,613		1,573
\$	64,616	\$	123,705	\$	81,562	\$	41,228	\$	69,584	\$	47,367	\$	35,755	\$	33,819	\$	86,421	\$	35,420

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS CONDENSED COMBINING SCHEDULES—STATEMENTS OF CONDITION DECEMBER 31, 2011

(dollars in millions, except par value)	Combined	bining tments	Boston		New York	
Assets						
Cash and due from banks	\$ 20,182	\$ -	\$	112	\$	10,878
Investments	271,265	(263)		21,379		14,237
Advances	418,157	_		25,195		70,864
Mortgage loans held for portfolio, net	53,377	_		3,109		1,408
Other assets	3,105	(1)		173		275
Total assets	\$ 766,086	\$ (264)	\$	49,968	\$	97,662
Liabilities						
Deposits	\$ 12,564	\$ (19)	\$	654	\$	2,102
Consolidated obligations						
Discount notes	190,149	_		14,652		22,123
Bonds	506,975	(261)		29,879		67,441
Total consolidated obligations	697,124	(261)		44,531		89,564
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock	8,013	_		228		54
Other liabilities	8,564	(38)		1,066		896
Total liabilities	726,265	(318)		46,479		92,616
Capital						
Capital stock						
Class B putable (\$100 par value) issued and outstanding	32,485	_		3,626		4,491
Class A putable (\$100 par value) issued and outstanding	655	_		—		-
Pre-conversion putable (\$100 par value) issued and outstanding	2,402	—		—		_
Total capital stock	35,542	_		3,626		4,491
Retained earnings						
Unrestricted	6,603	56		375		722
Restricted	1,974	—		23		24
Total retained earnings	8,577	56		398		746
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(4,298)	(2)		(535)		(191)
Total capital	39,821	54		3,489		5,046
Total liabilities and capital	\$ 766,086	\$ (264)	\$	49,968	\$	97,662

Pit	ttsburgh	sburgh Atlanta		Cincinnati		Indianapolis		Chicago		Des Moines		Dallas		Topeka		San Francisco		Seattle	
\$	634	\$	6	\$	2,034	\$	513	\$	1,002	\$	240	\$	1,152	\$	116	\$	3,494	\$	1
	16,639		36,138		21,941		15,203		40,503		14,637		13,538		10,576		39,368		27,369
	30,605		86,971		28,424		18,568		15,291		26,591		18,798		17,394		68,164		11,292
	3,883		1,633		7,850		5,955		14,118		7,138		163		4,934		1,829		1,357
	233		522		148		136		341		127		119		170		697		165
\$	51,994	\$	125,270	\$	60,397	\$	40,375	\$	71,255	\$	48,733	\$	33,770	\$	33,190	\$	113,552	\$	40,184
\$	1,099	\$	2,655	\$	1,083	\$	629	\$	648	\$	750	\$	1,522	\$	998	\$	156	\$	287
	10,922		24,330		26,136		6,536		25,404		6,810		9,799		10,251		19,152		14,034
	35,613		90,662		28,855		30,358		39,880		38,012		20,070		19,895		83,350		23,221
	46,535		114,992		54,991		36,894		65,284		44,822		29,869		30,146		102,502		37,255
	45		286		275		453		4		6		15		8		5,578		1,061
	652		776		489		451		2,027		343		659		337		611		295
	48,331		118,709		56,838		38,427		67,963		45,921		32,065		31,489		108,847		38,898
	3,389		5,718		3,126		1,563		-		2,109		1,256		791		4,795		1,621
	-		_		—		—		—		—		—		536		_		119
	_		_		_				2,402		_				_		_		-
	3,389		5,718		3,126		1,563		2,402		2,109		1,256		1,327	_	4,795		1,740
	431		1,235		432		484		1,289		562		489		396		-		132
	4		19		12		13		32		7		6		6	_	1,803		25
	435		1,254		444		497		1,321		569		495		402		1,803		157
	(161)		(411)		(11)		(112)		(431)		134		(46)		(28)		(1,893)		(611)
	3,663		6,561		3,559		1,948		3,292		2,812		1,705		1,701	_	4,705		1,286
\$	51,994	\$	125,270	\$	60,397	\$	40,375	\$	71,255	\$	48,733	\$	33,770	\$	33,190	\$	113,552	\$	40,184

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS CONDENSED COMBINING SCHEDULES—STATEMENTS OF INCOME YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 and 2011

(dollars in millions)	Combined	Combining Adjustments	Boston	New York		
December 31, 2012						
Interest income						
Advances	\$ 3,447	\$ —	\$ 357	\$ 523		
Investments	4,555	(15)	237	314		
Mortgage loans	2,189	-	136	66		
Other interest income	3	-	-	-		
Total interest income	10,194	(15)	730	903		
Interest expense						
Consolidated obligations - Discount notes	524	-	12	57		
Consolidated obligations - Bonds	5,471	13	405	376		
Other interest expense	147	-	1	3		
Total interest expense	6,142	13	418	436		
Net interest income	4,052	(28)	312	467		
Provision (reversal) for credit losses	21	-	(3)	1		
Net interest income after provision (reversal) for credit losses	4,031	(28)	315	466		
Non-interest income (loss)						
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses	(112)	_	(7)	(2)		
Other	(48)	42	(15)	34		
Total non-interest income (loss)	(160)	42	(22)	32		
Non-interest expense	969	(7)	63	97		
Assessments	296		23	40		
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,606	\$ 21	\$ 207	\$ 361		
		,				
December 31, 2011						
Interest income						
Advances	\$ 3,597	\$ —	\$ 356	\$ 571		
Investments	5,237	(14)	260	319		
Mortgage loans	2,639	-	149	63		
Other interest income	2					
Total interest income	11,475	(14)	765	953		
Interest expense						
Consolidated obligations - Discount notes	529	-	9	35		
Consolidated obligations - Bonds	6,645	11	449	406		
Other interest expense	130		1	4		
Total interest expense	7,304	11	459	445		
Net interest income	4,171	(25)	306	508		
Provision (reversal) for credit losses	71		(1)	3		
Net interest income after provision (reversal) for credit losses	4,100	(25)	307	505		
Non-interest income (loss)						
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses	(856)	-	(77)	(6)		
Other	(246)	11	24	(74)		
Total non-interest income (loss)	(1,102)	11	(53)	(80)		
Non-interest expense	1,057	(6)	65	123		
Assessments	348		29	58		
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,593	\$ (8)	\$ 160	\$ 244		

Pittsburgh		Atlanta		Cincinnati		Indianapolis		Chicago	Des Moines		Dallas	Topeka		San Francisco	5	eattle
ė	202	<u>ب</u>	204	¢	264	ć 475	ć	244	ć 274	<i>.</i>	405	ć	455	ć 505	<i>~</i>	07
\$	293		294	\$	261	\$ 175	\$	241		Ş		\$	155		\$	97
	270		603 76		347	211		1,129	221		106		144	832		156
	168 —		76 —		313	258 1		546 —	284		8		194 2	77		63
	731		973		921	645		1,916	776	_	309		495	1,494		316
	/31		575		521			1,510		-			455			510
	18		25		31	8		307	12		8		9	21		16
	501		568		570	380		980	523		140		264	574		177
	2		4		12	15		57	_		_		2	51		_
	521	!	597		613	403		1,344	535	-	148		275	646		193
	210		376		308	242		572	241	_	161		220	848		123
	_		6		1	8		9	_		_		3	(1)		(3)
	210		370		307	234		563	241		161		217	849		126
		-								_						
	(11)		(16)		_	(4)		(15)	_		_		(2)	(44)		(11)
	18		71		13	(9)		(20)	(57)		2		(42)	(120)		35
	7		55		13	(13)		(35)	(57)		2		(44)	(164)		24
	73	:	125		58	60		111	60		73		51	134		71
	14		30		27	18		42	13		9		12	60		8
\$	130	\$	270	\$	235	\$ 143	\$	375	\$ 111	\$	81	\$	110	\$ 491	\$	71
\$	255	\$	258	\$	236	\$ 169	\$	259	\$ 271	\$	5 222	\$	166	\$ 709	\$	125
	320		754		440	234		1,248	307		91		183	964		131
	201		97		335	300		737	325		10		196	113		113
			_		_			_					2			—
	776	1,	109		1,011	703		2,244	903		323		547	1,786		369
	11		17		28	8		357	6		4		10	34		10
	610		628		720	449		1,276	660		167		303	707		259
	1		5		14	15		74	1				3	12		_
	622		650		762	472		1,707	667		171		316	753		269
	154	4	459 5		249	231		537	236		152		231	1,033		100
	10		5 454		12 237	5 226		19 518	9	-	-		1 230	4		4
	144		454		237			518			152		230	1,029		96
	(45)	1.	118)			(27)		(68)			(6)		(5)	(413)		(01)
	(45)	(.	118)		(5)	(27)		(68)	— (72)		(6) (4)		(5) (74)	(413)		(91) 155
	(33)		104)		(5)	(33)		(63)	(72)	_	(10)	_	(74)	(645)		64
	(55)		104)		(5) 57	(53)		(83)	57		(10)		54	(043)		67
	8		43		37	25		47	20		84 10		20	42		9
\$	38	Ś	184	Ś	138		Ś	224		Ś		Ś	77		Ś	84
Ŷ	30	Ŷ		-	130	- 110	- -	227	7 70	-	+0	Ý		7 210	Ŷ	

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS CONDENSED COMBINING SCHEDULES—STATEMENTS OF INCOME YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

\_\_\_\_\_

(dollars in millions)	Co	Combined		bining tments	Boston	Ne	ew York
Interest income							
Advances	\$	5,127	\$	-	\$ 427	\$	617
Investments		6,180		(17)	278		399
Mortgage loans		3,187		—	166		65
Other interest income		4		—	-		—
Total interest income		14,498		(17)	871		1,081
Interest expense							
Consolidated obligations - Discount notes		667		—	30		42
Consolidated obligations - Bonds		8,462		(13)	542		572
Other interest expense		147		—	1		8
Total interest expense		9,276		(13)	573		622
Net interest income		5,222		(4)	298		459
Provision (reversal) for credit losses		58		—	7		1
Net interest income after provision (reversal) for credit losses		5,164		(4)	291		458
Non-interest income (loss)							
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses		(1,071)		_	(84)		(9)
Other		(353)		77	(2)		25
Total non-interest income (loss)		(1,424)		77	(86)		16
Non-interest expense		932		(6)	60		98
Assessments		727		-	38		100
Net income (loss)	\$	2,081	\$	79	\$ 107	\$	276

Pitts	burgh	Atlanta		Cincinnati	Inc	dianapolis	c	hicago	Des	s Moines		Dallas	 Topeka	San Franci	sco	Seattle
\$	328	\$ 3	20	\$ 294	\$	214	\$	516	\$	562	\$	326	\$ 210	\$1,	123	\$ 190
	405	9	60	547		276		1,296		368		141	243	1,	087	197
	242	1	21	413		349		962		357		13	174		138	187
	—		—			1		_		—		_	3		—	—
	975	1,4	01	1,254		840		2,774		1,287		480	 630	2,	348	574
	19		29	41		15		387		10		11	21		40	22
	721	8	23	919	I	544		1,534		861		234	355		995	375
	1		5	19		14		76		1		1	4		17	-
	741	8	57	979		573		1,997		872		246	380	1,	052	397
	234	5	44	275		267		777		415	_	234	 250	1,	296	177
	(2)		—	13		1		21		12		_	2		2	1
	236	5	44	262		266		756		403		234	248	1,	294	176
					-											
	(159)	(1	43)	_		(70)		(163)		—		(2)	(4)	(	331)	(106)
	2		56	20	I	11		36		(162)		(12)	(151)	(	273)	20
	(157)	(	87)	20		(59)		(127)		(162)		(14)	(155)	(	604)	(86)
	68		79	56		55		131		60		77	47		145	62
	3	1	00	62		41		132		48		38	12		146	7
\$	8		78	\$ 164	\$	111	\$	366	\$	133	\$	105	\$ 34	\$	399	\$ 21

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS CONDENSED COMBINING SCHEDULES—STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012, 2011 AND 2010

(dollars in millions)	ombined	ibining stments		Boston	Ne	w York
December 31, 2012			_			
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,606	\$ 21	\$	207	\$	361
Other comprehensive income (loss)						
Net unrealized gains/losses on available-for-sale securities	559	_		26		6
Net unrealized gains/losses on held-to-maturity securities transferred from available-for- sale securities	2	_		_		_
Net non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale securities	2,146	_		_		_
Net non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on held-to-maturity securities	180	_		66		12
Net unrealized gains/losses relating to hedging activities	(85)	1		(33)		(25)
Pension and postretirement benefits	 (14)	 		(1)		(2)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	2,788	1		58		(9)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 5,394	\$ 22	\$	265	\$	352
December 31, 2011						
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,593	\$ (8)	\$	160	\$	244
Other comprehensive income (loss)						
Net unrealized gains/losses on available-for-sale securities	418	-		(34)		(7)
Net unrealized gains/losses on held-to-maturity securities transferred from available-for- sale securities	3	_		_		-
Net non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale securities	(1,851)	_		_		_
Net non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on held-to-maturity securities	3,316	_		171		17
Net unrealized gains/losses relating to hedging activities	(617)	-		(32)		(97)
Pension and postretirement benefits	 (21)	 		(2)		(7)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	1,248	_		103		(94)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 2,841	\$ (8)	\$	263	\$	150
December 31, 2010						
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,081	\$ 79	\$	107	\$	276
Other comprehensive income (loss)						
Net unrealized gains/losses on available-for-sale securities	384	—		75		26
Net unrealized gains/losses on held-to-maturity securities transferred from available-for- sale securities	14	_		_		_
Net non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale securities	876	_		_		_
Net non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on held-to-maturity securities	1,708	_		307		18
Net unrealized gains/losses relating to hedging activities	(312)	_		_		8
Pension and postretirement benefits	(10)	-		1		(4)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	2,660	-		383		48
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 4,741	\$ 79	\$	490	\$	324
			-		_	

Pitts	burgh		Atlanta		incinnati	Indianapolis		Chicago	Des	Moines	_	Dallas		Topeka	Sar	n Francisco	s	eattle
\$	130	\$	270	\$	235	\$ 143	\$	375	\$	111	\$	81	\$	110	\$	491	\$	71
ç	150	ç	270	ç	235	Ş 145	ç	375	Ş	111	ç	01	ç	110	Ş	491	Ş	/1
	29		-		1	(3)		463		15		18		-		(1)		5
	_		_		_	_		2		_		_		_		_		_
	187		357		_	109		18		_		_		_		1,088		387
	107											10						
	_		_		_	-		85 (29)		_		10		3		12 1		(8)
	(1)		(4)		(1)	(3)		(29)		_		_		_		(1)		_
	215		353	_	(1)	103		538		15	_	28		3		1,099		384
\$	345	\$	623	\$	235		\$	913	\$	126	\$	109	\$		\$	1,590	\$	455
		<u> </u>		—		-	_		<u> </u>		-		-		<u> </u>		_	
\$	38	\$	184	\$	138	\$ 110	\$	224	\$	78	\$	48	\$	77	\$	216	\$	84
	7		_		(1)	20		365		45		5		_		2		16
					(-)	20				10		0				-		10
	_		—		_	_		3		—		—		—		—		-
	54		(6)		-	(50)		8		-		_		-		(1,836)		(21)
	_		_		_	7		164		_		12		(4)		2,888		61
	-		_		-	-		(488)		_		_		_		-		-
	_		(3)		(3)	1		_		(2)		_		(1)		(4)		_
	61		(9)		(4)	(22)		52		43		17		(5)		1,050		56
\$	99	\$	175	\$	134	\$ 88	\$	276	\$	121	\$	65	\$	72	\$	1,266	\$	140
\$	8	\$	278	\$	164	\$ 111	\$	366	\$	133	\$	105	\$	34	\$	399	\$	21
	1		_		_	(6)		168		125		_		_		-		(5)
	_		_		_	_		14		_		_		_		_		_
	470		347		_	(69)		21		_		_		_		_		107
	_					318		293				2		(10)		641		138
	_		_		_	- 318		(320)		_		3		(10)		- 041		130
	1		(5)		1	(4)		(320)		_		_		(1)		_		2
	472		342	_	1	239		175		125	_	3	_	(11)		641		242
\$	480	\$	620	\$	165		\$	541	\$	258	\$		\$	23	\$	1,040	\$	263
_		-		-					_		-		-		_			

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS CONDENSED COMBINING SCHEDULES—STATEMENTS OF CAPITAL YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012, 2011 AND 2010

(dollars in millions)	Combined	Combining Adjustments	Boston	New York
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 42,809	\$ (17)	\$ 2,764	\$ 5,603
Adjustment for cumulative effect of accounting change - fair value guidance for scope exception related to embedded credit derivative	25	_	_	_
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	3,627	-	25	1,875
Repurchase/redemption of capital stock	(6,511)	_	_	(2,357)
Net shares reclassified (to)/from mandatorily redeemable capital stock	(409)	-	(3)	(48)
Dividends of capital stock	46	_	_	-
Comprehensive income (loss)	4,741	79	490	324
Dividends				
Cash	(541)	-	_	(253)
Stock	(46)	_	_	-
Balance, December 31, 2010	43,741	62	3,276	5,144
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	4,867	-	102	2,396
Repurchase/redemption of capital stock	(7,662)	-	-	(2,430)
Net shares reclassified (to)/from mandatorily redeemable capital stock	(3,430)	-	(141)	(4)
Dividends of capital stock	32	-	_	-
Comprehensive income (loss)	2,841	(8)	263	150
Dividends				
Cash	(536)	_	(11)	(210)
Stock	(32)	-	-	-
Balance, December 31, 2011	39,821	54	3,489	5,046
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	9,898	-	68	3,550
Repurchase/redemption of capital stock	(10,728)	—	(238)	(3,236)
Net shares reclassified (to)/from mandatorily redeemable capital stock	(1,211)	-	(1)	(8)
Dividends of capital stock	34	_	—	_
Comprehensive income (loss)	5,394	22	265	352
Dividends				
Cash	(625)	-	(17)	(213)
Stock	(34)	-	-	-
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$ 42,549	\$ 76	\$ 3,566	\$ 5,491

Pitt	sburgh	At	lanta	Cin	cinnati	Indiana	apolis	Ch	icago	Des	Moines		Dallas	1	opeka	San Fra	ncisco	Se	eattle
\$	3,713	\$	8,253	\$	3,467	\$	1,746	\$	2,378	\$	2,911	\$	2,822	\$	1,946	\$	6,230	\$	993
	_		_		_		_		25		_		_		_		_		_
	195		252		70		40		70		481		450		107		60		2
	(195)		(754)		_		(126)		_		(737)		(1,387)		(14)		(941)		_
	(32)		(398)		(41)		(30)		(65)		(22)		(3)		(279)		588		(76)
	_		_		_		_		_		_		9		37		-		_
	480		620		165		350		541		258		108		23		1,040		263
	_		(27)		(138)		(33)		_		(61)		_		_		(29)		_
	_		_		_		_		_		_		(9)		(37)		_		_
	4,161		7,946		3,523		1,947		2,949		2,830		1,990		1,783		6,948		1,182
	130		672		48		122		75		415		473		187		244		3
	(707)		(2,052)		_		(155)		_		(483)		(753)		(39)		(1,043)		—
	(20)		(126)		(14)		(14)		(6)		(6)		(70)		(302)		(2,688)		(39)
	-		—		-		—		_		-		5		27		_		—
	99		175		134		88		276		121		65		72		1,266		140
	-		(54)		(132)		(40)		(2)		(65)		_		-		(22)		-
			_				_				_		(5)		(27)		_		—
	3,663		6,561		3,559		1,948		3,292		2,812		1,705		1,701		4,705		1,286
	589		1,797		924		75		191		1,230		766		436		266		6
	(711)		(2 <i>,</i> 555)		-		-		(886)		(1,267)		(807)		(147)		(864)		(17)
	(452)		(62)		(40)		(4)		(57)		(9)		(2)		(382)		(37)		(157)
	—		—		—		-		—		-		4		30		—		-
	345		623		235		246		913		126		109		113		1,590		455
	(6)		(89)		(141)		(49)		(5)		(59)		_				(47)		_
	(0)		(69)		(141)		(49)		(3)		(58)		(4)		(30)		(47)		_
Ś		\$	6,275	\$	4,537	ć	2,216	\$	3,448	\$		\$	1,771	\$		\$	5,613	ć	1 572
Ş	3,428	Ş	0,275	Ş	4,537	ې 	2,210	ې 	3,448	ې 	2,834	Ş	1,771	ې ا	1,721	ې 	5,013	ې 	1,573

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS CONDENSED COMBINING SCHEDULES—STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

(dollars in millions)	Com	nbined	Combi Adjustn		Bos	ton	N	ew York
Operating activities								
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$	4,544	\$	—	\$	334	\$	679
Investing activities								
Net change/net proceeds and payments in								
Loans to FHLBanks		—		(35)		—		—
Premises, software and equipment		(54)		—		(2)		(2)
Investments		8,547		(5)		5,872		(3,109)
Advances		(9,990)		—		4,304		(5,303)
Mortgage loans held for portfolio		3,705		—		(390)		(439)
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed assets		131		-		9		2
Principal collected on other loans		2		-		-		_
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		2,341		(40)		9,793		(8,851)
Financing activities								
Net change in								
Deposits and pass-through reserves		745		5		(78)		(64)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other borrowings		(405)		—		—		_
Loans from FHLBanks		—		35		—		—
Net proceeds (payments) on derivative contracts with financing element		(1,188)		—		(32)		(286)
Net proceeds from issuance of consolidated obligations								
Discount notes	3,5	557,821		—	11	16,545		148,597
Bonds	4	418,255		—	:	11,307		45,604
Bonds transferred from other FHLBanks		_		(428)		428		_
Payments for maturing and retiring consolidated obligations								
Discount notes	(3,	531,705)		—	(12	22,558)		(140,944)
Bonds	(4	448,280)		—	(1	15,410)		(48,122)
Bonds transferred to other FHLBanks		-		428		-		_
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock		9,898		—		68		3,550
Payments for repurchase/redemption of mandatorily redeemable capital stock		(2,295)		-		(13)		(39)
Payments for repurchase/redemption of capital stock		(10,728)		-		(238)		(3,236)
Cash dividends paid		(625)		—		(17)		(213)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(8,507)		40		(9,998)		4,847
Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks		(1,622)		_		129		(3,325)
Cash and due from banks at beginning of the period		20,182		—		112		10,878
Cash and due from banks at end of the period	\$	18,560	\$	_	\$	241	\$	7,553

Pit	ttsburgh	Atlanta		Cincinnati	Indianap	olis		Chicago	De	s Moines		Dallas		Topeka	San Fra	ancisco		Seattle
Ś	917	\$ 4	22	\$ 403	\$	299	\$	287	\$	206	\$	217	\$	203	\$	582	\$	(5)
-			-	+	<u>+</u>		-		-		<u> </u>		-				-	(-7
	_		_	_		_		_		_		35		_		-		_
	(3)		(4)	(2)		(14)		(8)		(4)		(3)		(2)		(7)		(3)
	(2,677)	6,4	78	2,191	(1,	,546)		389		1,651		(3,287)		(211)		112		2,689
	(10,355)	(1,0	96)	(25,778)		386		750		(392)		352		755		24,256		2,131
	347	3	68	292		(55)		3,597		148		41		(1,034)		540		290
	_		13	_		-		68		28		-		8		3		—
	_		_			_		_		_		_		2		_		_
	(12,688)	5,7	59	(23,297)	(1,	,229)		4,796		1,431		(2,862)		(482)		24,904		5,107
	(76)	(5	00)	109	1,	157		168		338		(344)		166		(360)		224
	—		-	_		-		(400)		—		-		(5)		-		-
	—		-	-		-		-		_		-		(35)		-		—
	(125)	(3	47)	(114)		(83)		(77)		(9)		(107)		(68)		48		12
	454,911	331,2	82	250,629	146,	.060		554,365		324,662		411,402		67,339		49,244		702,785
	39,935	67,4	79	35,063	23,	148		46,649		24,090		22,957		19,854		53,478		28,691
	-		-	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		_
	(441,689)	(323,8	92)	(245,932)	(143,	.674)		(548,510)		(322,796)		(414,217)		(68,923)	(	63,180)		(695,390)
	(40,275)	(74,9	71)	(19,558)	(26,	.106)		(53,961)		(27,381)		(17,224)		(17,700)	(	66,189)		(41,383)
	-		-	-		-		-		(428)		-		-		-		-
	589	1,7		924		75		191		1,230		766		436		266		6
	(65)		08)	(104)		(6)		(55)		(6)		(12)		(384)		(1,272)		(31)
	(711)	(2,5		—		-		(886)		(1,267)		(807)		(147)		(864)		(17)
_	(6)		89)	(141)		(49)		(5)		(58)	_			_		(47)		_
	12,488	(2,1		20,876		522		(2,521)		(1,625)	_	2,414		533	(	28,876)		(5,103)
	717	4,0		(2,018)		408)		2,562		12		(231)		254		(3,390)		(1)
_	634	-	6	2,034		513	_	1,002	_	240	_	1,152	_	116		3,494	_	1
\$	1,351	\$ 4,0	83	\$ 16	\$	105	\$	3,564	\$	252	\$	921	\$	370	\$	104	\$	_

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS CONDENSED COMBINING SCHEDULES—STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

(dollars in millions)	Combined	Combining Adjustments		Boston	Ne	w York
Operating activities						
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 3,133	\$ —	\$	293	\$	702
Investing activities						
Net change/net proceeds and payment in						
Loans to FHLBanks	-	35		_		-
Premises, software and equipment	(54)	_		(2)		(4)
Investments	58,739	6		5,990		2,618
Advances	60,745	_		2,807		9,951
Mortgage loans held for portfolio	6,293	-		122		(147)
Mortgage loans held for sale	1,468	-		—		-
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed assets	140	-		11		2
Principal collected on other loans	2	-		—		-
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	127,333	41		8,928		12,420
Financing activities						
Net change in						
Deposits and pass-through reserves	(2,248)	(6	)	(77)		(334)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other borrowings	(773)	-		—		32
Loans from FHLBanks	-	(35	)	—		-
Net proceeds (payments) on derivative contracts with financing element	(936)	-		(39)		(375)
Net proceeds from issuance of consolidated obligations						
Discount notes	4,142,367	-		465,308		148,527
Bonds	409,198	-		12,816		54,660
Bonds transferred from other FHLBanks	_	(182	)	—		_
Payments for maturing and retiring consolidated obligations						
Discount notes	(4,146,624)	-		(469,179)	(	(145,794)
Bonds	(509,255)	_		(18,032)		(59,197)
Bonds transferred to other FHLBanks	_	182		—		(167)
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	4,867	-		102		2,396
Payments for repurchase/redemption of mandatorily redeemable capital stock	(2,483)	_		(3)		(13)
Payments for repurchase/redemption of capital stock	(7,662)	_		_		(2,430)
Cash dividends paid	(536)	_		(11)		(210)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(114,085)	(41	)	(9,115)		(2,905)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks	16,381	-		106		10,217
Cash and due from banks at beginning of the period	3,801	_		6		661
Cash and due from banks at end of the period	\$ 20,182	\$ —	\$	112	\$	10,878

Pittsb	ourgh	Atlanta	Cincinnati	Indianapolis	Chicago	Des Moines	Dallas	Topeka	San Francisco	Seattle
\$	400	\$ 723	\$ 356	\$ 208	\$ (752)	\$ 268	\$ 67	\$ 149	\$ 582	\$ 137
	_		_			_	(35)	_		
	(2)	(6)	(1)	(4)	(8)	(5)	(33)	(2)	(12)	(4)
	1,858	3,245	11,328	3,927	6,616	3,569	(1,479)	4,044	13,920	3,097
	(913)	2,499	1,677	(131)	3,580	2,839	6,781	2,074	27,474	2,107
	585	385	(114)	734	4,089	237	44	(755)	555	558
	_	_	_	_	, 	_	_	111	_	1,357
	_	17	_	_	68	35	_	7	_	_
	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	_
	1,528	6,140	12,890	4,526	14,345	6,675	5,307	5,481	41,937	7,115
	(66)	(477)	(371)	39	(171)	(439)	397	(232)	(312)	(199)
	-	-	-	-	(800)	-	-	(5)	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	-	-
	(102)	(502)	(171)	(101)	(112)	(10)	555	(71)	60	(68)
	87,088	833,339	413,489	289,603	646,974	325,050	135,286	61,943	56,361	679,399
1	19,624	85,905	18,026	30,570	36,067	35,575	11,697	13,011	56,156	35,091
	_	-	-	-	-	-	167	-	15	-
10	89,245)	(832,931)	(422,357)	(291,990)	(639,985)	(325,450)	(130,618)	(65,392)	(56,735)	(676,948)
•	18,150)	(832,931)	(422,337)	(32,062)	(54,387)	(41,395)	(130,018)	(14,638)	(93,645)	(44,530)
(1		(90,393)	(19,840)	(32,002)	(54,587)	(41,393)	(22,980)	(14,038)	(93,043)	(44,550)
	130	672	48	122	75	415	473	187	244	3
	(9)	(369)	(96)	(219)	(532)	(7)	(63)	(313)	(859)	_
	(707)	(2,052)	_	(155)	(/ _	(483)	(753)	(39)	(1,043)	_
	_	(54)	(132)	(40)	(2)	(65)			(22)	_
	(1,437)	(6,862)	(11,410)	(4,233)	(12,873)	(6,809)	(5,854)	(5,514)	(39,780)	(7,252)
	491	1	1,836	501	720	134	(480)	116	2,739	
	143	5	198	12	282	106	1,632	_	755	1
\$	634	\$6	\$ 2,034	\$ 513	\$ 1,002	\$ 240	\$ 1,152	\$ 116	\$ 3,494	\$ 1

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS CONDENSED COMBINING SCHEDULES—STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

(dollars in millions)	Co	mbined	oining ments	Bost	on	N	ew York
Operating activities							
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$	4,553	\$ -	\$	243	\$	760
Investing activities							
Net change/net proceeds and payments in							
Premises, software and equipment		(54)	-		(1)		(6)
Investments		(44,480)	(152)	(	6,064)		(968)
Advances		152,021	-		9,529		13,798
Mortgage loans held for portfolio		9,913	-		237		50
Mortgage loans held for sale		—	-		_		—
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed assets		154	-		10		_
Principal collected on other loans		2	-		_		_
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		117,556	(152)		3,711		12,874
Financing activities			 				
Net change in							
Deposits and pass-through reserves		(2,573)	(2)		(30)		(156)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other borrowings		4	_		_		9
Net proceeds (payments) on derivative contracts with financing element		(1,742)	_		(39)		(440)
Net proceeds from issuance of consolidated obligations							
Discount notes	6	,754,406	-	1,25	0,316		121,979
Bonds		533,165	-	3	0,042		68,041
Bonds transferred from other FHLBanks		_	(1,408)		653		225
Payments for maturing and retiring consolidated obligations							
Discount notes	(6	,758,372)	_	(1,25	4,064)		(133,402)
Bonds		(662,620)	154	(3	1,038)		(70,572)
Bonds transferred to other FHLBanks		_	1,408		_		—
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock		3,627	-		25		1,875
Payments for repurchase/redemption of mandatorily redeemable capital stock		(1,481)	-		(4)		(111)
Payments for repurchase/redemption of capital stock		(6,511)	-		_		(2,357)
Cash dividends paid		(541)	_		_		(253)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(142,638)	152	(	4,139)	-	(15,162)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks		(20,529)	_		(185)		(1,528)
Cash and due from banks at beginning of the period		24,330	_		191		2,189
Cash and due from banks at end of the period	\$	3,801	\$ _	\$	6	\$	661
				-		_	

Pi	ttsburgh	Atlanta	Cincinnati	Indianapolis	Chicago	Des Moines	Dallas	Topeka	San Francisco	Seattle
Ś	323	\$ 1,118	\$ 275	\$ 91	\$ 444	\$ 197	\$ 117	\$ 86	\$ 798	\$
Ş	525	\$ 1,110	\$ 275	<u>\$ 91</u>	Ş 444	\$ 197	\$ 117	\$ 60	\$ 790	\$ 101
	(3)	(10)	(3)	(1)	(5)	(3)	(6)	(2)	(11)	(3)
	(1,263)	(6,241)	(9,103)	(4,486)	(9,305)	2,262	1,202	1,504	(5,278)	(6,588)
	11,426	24,802	5,620	4,072	5,207	6,530	21,847	2,932	37,397	8,861
	670	484	1,564	565	5,464	250	52	(968)	656	889
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
	-	_	-	-	112	24	_	8	-	-
	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	2	-	_
	10,830	19,035	(1,922)	150	1,473	9,063	23,095	3,476	32,764	3,159
	(110)	79	(660)	(234)	(182)	(39)	(814)	140	(734)	169
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5)	-	_
	(149)	(735)	(174)	(143)	(118)	19	(19)	(93)	65	84
	78,071	1,077,317	675,426	695,302	1,237,058	338,200	112,253	96,901	90,552	981,031
	14,452	91,425	19,347	35,780	53,548	43,834	25,234	18,400	89,170	43,892
	-	162	162	-	206	-	-	-	-	-
	(75,200)	(1,070,502)	(663,615)	(692,628)	(1,240,774)	(340,405)	(115,874)	(94,786)	(89,239)	(987,883)
	(28,743)	(117,773)	(30,021)	(39,782)	(54,103)	(50,220)	(45,327)	(24,425)	(129,485)	(41,285)
	(744)	-	-	-	(162)	(502)	-	-	-	-
	195	252	70	40	70	481	450	107	60	2
	(6)	(57)	(360)	(127)	(1)	(23)	(4)	(282)	(506)	_
	(195)	(754)		(126)	-	(737)	(1,387)	(14)	(941)	-
	(12,420)	(27)	(138)	(33)	(4.459)	(61)	(25, 499)	(4.057)	(29)	(2,000)
	(12,429)	(20,613)		(1,951)	(4,458)	(9,453)	(25,488)	(4,057)	(41,087)	(3,990)
	(1,276)	(460) 465	(1,610)	(1,710)	(2,541)	(193) 299	(2,276) 3,908	(495) 495	(7,525)	(730) 731
\$	1,419 143		1,808 \$ 198	1,722 \$ 12	2,823 \$ 282	\$ 106			8,280 \$ 755	
Ş	143	ې ح	÷ 198	ې <u>12</u>	۶ <u>۲</u>	2 IO0	Ş 1,032	ې	<u>ې د</u>	ې <u>۱</u>

(This page intentionally left blank)

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

# **FHLBank Management and Compensation**

# **FHLBank Directors**

A board of at least 13 directors, or such other number as the FHFA determines appropriate, governs each FHLBank. The members of each FHLBank elect all of the FHLBank's directors, each of whom is elected for a four-year term, unless otherwise adjusted by the Director of the FHFA in order to achieve an appropriate staggering of terms (with approximately one-fourth of the directors' terms expiring each year). Directors may not serve more than three consecutive full terms. An FHLBank's board of directors must be comprised of a majority of member directors, who are directors or officers of members, and a minority of non-member independent directors must comprise not less than two-fifths of the members of the board of directors and two of these directors must hold public interest director positions.

To be eligible to serve as a member director, a candidate must be a citizen of the United States and be an officer or director of a member institution that is located in the state to which the Director of the FHFA has allocated the directorship and that meets all of the minimum capital requirements established by its appropriate regulator. For member directors, each eligible institution may nominate representatives from member institutions in its respective state to serve on the board of the directors. After the slate of nominees is finalized, each eligible institution may vote for the number of open member director seats in the state in which its principal place of business is located.

To be eligible to serve as a non-member independent director, an individual must be a citizen of the United States and a bona fide resident of that FHLBank's district. A non-member independent director may not be an officer of any FHLBank, or an officer, director or employee of an FHLBank member on whose board the individual sits or of any recipient of advances from an FHLBank. Under the Federal Home Loan Bank Act of 1932, as amended (FHLBank Act), there are two types of non-member independent directors:

- Public interest director. Each FHLBank is required to have at least two public interest directors. Before names are placed on the ballot, nominee eligibility will be verified through application and eligibility certification forms prescribed by the FHFA. Public interest directors must have more than four years' experience in representing consumer or community interests in banking services, credit needs, housing, or consumer financial protections. The FHFA deemed existing public interest directors who qualified and were designated under previous FHLBank Act provisions to be public interest directors for the remainder of their current terms.
- Other non-member independent directors. Non-member independent directors must have demonstrated knowledge or experience in auditing or accounting, derivatives, financial management, organizational management, project development or risk management practices, or other expertise established by FHFA regulations. In order for a non-member independent director candidate to be elected, a candidate must receive at least 20% of the votes that are eligible to be cast unless there are multiple nominees. The FHFA will impose the FHLBank Act's requirements on newly elected non-member independent directors.

The FHFA's regulation includes the following provisions:

- requires each FHLBank's board of directors to annually determine how many of its non-member independent directors should be designated public interest directors (provided that each FHLBank at all times has at least two public interest directors);
- states that where an FHLBank's board of directors acts to fill a member director vacancy that occurs mid-term, the
  eligible candidates for that position must be officers or directors of a member institution at the time the FHLBank board
  of directors acts, not as of the prior year-end; and
- permits an FHLBank that nominates more than one nominee for each open non-member independent director position to declare elected the nominee who receives the highest number of votes, even if the total votes received are less than 20% of the eligible votes.

Eligible members nominate representatives from members in their state to serve as member directors and non-member independent directors are nominated by each FHLBank's board of directors. For the election of both member directors and non-member independent directors, each eligible institution is entitled to cast one vote for each share of stock that it was required to hold as of December 31 of the calendar year immediately preceding the election year (the record date). The number of votes that any member may cast for any one directorship shall not exceed the average number of shares of stock required to be held as of the record date by all member institutions located in the member's state as of the record date.

The board of directors of each FHLBank has the responsibility to establish policies and programs that carry out the FHLBank's housing finance mission. Each board of directors adopts and reviews policies governing the FHLBank's credit, investment, and funding activities, and oversees the implementation of these policies. The directors also must adopt policies to manage the FHLBank's exposure to credit, liquidity, and interest-rate risk. In addition, each board of directors is responsible for monitoring that FHLBank's compliance with FHFA regulations.

The following persons are currently serving as Chair or Vice Chair of an FHLBank and has been provided for each FHLBank primarily based on the information disclosed in its annual report on SEC Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012:

Jan A. Miller, 62, is serving as Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of Boston. Mr. Miller has served as President and Trustee of Eastern Bank Corporation and Executive Vice President of Eastern Bank since November 2010. Both Eastern Bank Corporation and Eastern Bank are located in Boston, Massachusetts. Prior to serving in those positions, Mr. Miller served as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of Wainwright Bank & Trust Company, located in Boston, Massachusetts, since 1997. Prior to joining Wainwright Bank in 1994, Mr. Miller spent 19 years in various senior management positions at Shawmut Bank, N.A. Mr. Miller also serves as a director of each of Heritage Capital Management Inc. and Legal Sea Foods, LLC. Mr. Miller is a past chairman of the Massachusetts Bankers Association, a member of the American Bankers Association Government Relations Council, and a former member of the FDIC Advisory Committee on Community Banking and has served in various other leadership positions in banking and community organizations throughout his career. Mr. Miller has served as a director of the FHLBank of Boston since January 1, 2004, and his current term as a director will conclude on December 31, 2013.

Jay F. Malcynsky, 59, is serving as Vice Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of Boston. Mr. Malcynsky has served as President and Managing Partner of Gaffney, Bennett and Associates, Inc., a Connecticut-based corporation specializing in government relations and political consulting since 1984. Mr. Malcynsky is also a practicing lawyer in Connecticut and Washington D.C., specializing in administrative law and regulatory compliance. Mr. Malcynsky previously served as a director of the FHLBank of Boston from 2002 to 2004. Mr. Malcynsky was reappointed as a director of the FHLBank of Boston on March 30, 2007, and his current term as director will conclude on December 31, 2016.

*Michael M. Horn*, 73, is serving as Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of New York. Mr. Horn has been a partner in the law firm of McCarter & English, LLP since 1990. He has served as the Commissioner of Banking for the State of New Jersey and as the New Jersey State Treasurer. He was also a member of the New Jersey State Assembly and served as a member of the Assembly Banking Committee. In addition, Mr. Horn served on New Jersey's Executive Commission on Ethical Standards both as its Vice Chair and Chairman, was appointed as a State Advisory Member of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council, and was a member of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. Mr. Horn is counsel to the New Jersey Bankers Association, was Chairman of the Bank Regulatory Committee of the Banking Law Section of the New Jersey State Bar Association, and is a Fellow of the American Bar Foundation. He served as a director of Ryan Beck & Co. through February 27, 2007. Mr. Horn's legal and regulatory experience, as indicated by his background, support his qualifications to serve on the FHLBank of New York's board of directors as an independent director.

José Ramon González, 58, is serving as Vice Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of New York and has been Senior Executive Vice President, Banking and Financial Services, of Oriental Financial Group, Inc. and FHLBank of New York member Oriental Bank & Trust since August 2010. He was President and Chief Executive Officer of Santander BanCorp and Banco Santander Puerto Rico from October 2002 until August 2008, and served as a director of both entities until August 2010. Mr. González joined the Santander Group in August 1996 as President and Chief Executive Officer of Santander Securities Corporation. He later served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Santander BanCorp and Banco Santander Puerto Rico and in April 2002 was named President and Chief Operating Officer of both entities. Mr. González is a past president of the Puerto Rico Bankers Association and a past president of the Securities Industry Association of Puerto Rico. Mr. González was at Credit Suisse First Boston from 1983 to 1986 as Vice President of Investment Banking, and from 1989 to 1995 as President and Chief Executive Officer of the firm's Puerto Rico subsidiary. From 1986 to 1989, Mr. González was President and Chief Executive Officer of the Government Development Bank for Puerto Rico. From 1980 to 1983, he was in the private practice of law in San Juan, Puerto Rico with the law firm of O'Neill & Borges.

*Dennis S. Marlo*, 70, has served on the board of directors of the FHLBank of Pittsburgh since November 2002 and is currently serving as its Chair. Mr. Marlo is currently Managing Director of Sanctuary Group LTD, a financial and executive advisory firm located in Malvern, Pennsylvania. Formerly he served as the Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Chief Risk Management Officer of Sovereign Bank, N.A. Prior to Sovereign, he was the Chief Executive Officer of Main Line Bank. Previously, he was employed for 25 years at KPMG, LLP and its predecessor organizations, where he retired as a partner in the firm. A graduate of LaSalle University and a Certified Public Accountant, Mr. Marlo also completed studies at the Graduate School of Community Bank Management, University of Texas/Austin. He is currently the Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Harcum College in Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania; a member of the board of directors of EnerSys in Reading, Pennsylvania; the board of directors of Main Line Health Real Estate, LP; the board of trustees of The Lankenau Medical Center Foundation in Wynnewood, Pennsylvania; and the Council of President's Associates of LaSalle University in Philadelphia. He is also a member of both the American and Pennsylvania Institutes of Certified Public Accountants and the Financial Managers Society, having served as the chairman of its national board of directors.

John K. Darr, 68, originally joined the board of directors of the FHLBank of Pittsburgh in January 2008 and is currently serving as its Vice Chair. Mr. Darr retired from the FHLBanks Office of Finance at the end of 2007, where he served as CEO and Managing Director for 15 years. He was responsible for issuing debt in the global capital markets on behalf of the FHLBanks, consistent with their mission of providing low-cost liquidity for member-owner financial institutions. He also was responsible for issuing the FHLBank System's Combined Financial Report and was intimately involved in the FHLBank System's SEC registration process. Mr. Darr has a total of 41 years of business experience, including several years as Treasurer of the FHLBank of San Francisco, serving as a control officer of three member institutions, and as CFO of Sallie Mae, CEO of a registered investment management company, and Managing Director of Mortgage Finance at a securities dealer. In addition to his service on the board of the FHLBank of Pittsburgh, Mr. Darr is a trustee of a mutual fund complex serving as a Trustee of Advisors Inner Circle Fund I, Advisors Inner Circle Fund II, and Bishop Street Funds. Mr. Darr also serves as a director of two non-profit entities, including Manna, Inc., a very low-income home builder, homeownership counseling, and mortgage lending entity in the District of Columbia. During his 17 years of service to this faith-based organization, Mr. Darr served as Chair of the Board's Audit and Finance Committee, as co-chair of its Leadership Committee and as a fund-raiser. Manna, Inc. is credited with having provided more than 1,000 units of affordable housing over the past 25 years as well as counseling hundreds of homebuyers.

Donna C. Goodrich, 50, is serving as Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of Atlanta. She has served as senior executive vice president of Branch Banking & Trust Company (BB&T) since December 2006. She also has served as deposit services manager of BB&T since 2004. Ms. Goodrich joined BB&T in 1985, and has held the positions of retail services officer, financial center manager, mergers and acquisition analyst, asset/liability management specialist, and deposit and corporate funding manager. She is a member of the Senior Leadership Team and serves on several committees, including the Market Risk, Liquidity and Capital Committee. Ms. Goodrich also serves as senior executive vice president of BB&T Corporation, the holding company of BB&T, a position she has held since December 2006. Ms. Goodrich is the immediate past chairman of the North Carolina Bankers Association. She has expertise in asset/liability management and finance.

*William C. Handorf, Ph.D.,* 68, is Vice Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of Atlanta. He has served as a professor of finance and real estate at The George Washington University's School of Business in Washington, D.C. since 1975. From 2001 to 2006, Mr. Handorf served as a director of the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond's Baltimore branch, including two years as chair. From 1992 to 1995, Mr. Handorf served as a private citizen director of the FHLBanks Office of Finance. Mr. Handorf has expertise in financial markets, banking, real estate investment, accounting, and derivatives.

*Carl F. Wick*, 73, has served as Chair of the FHLBank of Cincinnati since January 2007. Mr. Wick was previously Vice Chair of the FHLBank of Cincinnati board of directors since March 2005. Mr. Wick was employed by the NCR Corporation (one of the two leading manufacturers of IT banking systems in the world at the time) from 1966 to 1994, when he retired. He continued with NCR into 1997 on a contractual basis. Mr. Wick's work at NCR included training and support for NCR computer banking system installations; managing NCR's customer support and education centers in Chicago and Dallas and serving as a director in NCR's R&D division. Mr. Wick is the owner of Wick and Associates, a business consulting firm. He also served as a member of the Ohio Board of Education for 8½ years, chairing several key policy committees. He retired from the State Board in 2009. Mr. Wick's qualifications and insight provide valuable skills to the board in the important areas of technology, personnel, compensation and organizational development. Mr. Wick has been a member of the Council of Federal Home Loan Banks since 2005 and currently serves as Chairman of the Council. Mr. Wick is a graduate of The Ohio State University.

*B. Proctor Caudill, Jr.,* 63, was elected Vice Chair of the FHLBank of Cincinnati effective January 1, 2009. Mr. Caudill has served on the FHLBank of Cincinnati board of directors since January 2004. He has been involved in banking for over 40 years. He served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Peoples Bank, Morehead and Sandy Hook, Kentucky, from 1981 until July 2006. Since August 2006, Mr. Caudill has served as a director of Kentucky Bancshares, Inc. and its subsidiary, Kentucky Bank, of Paris, Kentucky.

*Paul C. Clabuesch*, 64, is Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of Indianapolis and is the past Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Thumb Bancorp, Inc., a bank holding company, and Thumb National Bank and Trust, in Pigeon, Michigan, a position in which he served from 1985 through 2009, when he was named Chairman emeritus of Thumb National Bank and Trust. Mr. Clabuesch's career with that bank began in 1973. During his career, Mr. Clabuesch held numerous leadership positions with the Michigan Bankers Association, including service as Chairman of its board, Treasurer, and membership on its executive council. Mr. Clabuesch was also named the Michigan Bankers Association's Banker of the Year in 2008. Mr. Clabuesch has served as a member of the Board of Trustees of Scheurer Hospital, Pigeon, Michigan, from 1975 to 2010. In 2011, Mr. Clabuesch was selected by the Department of the Treasury to serve on the board of directors for Rogers Bancshares, Inc., parent of Metropolitan National Bank of Little Rock, Arkansas.

*Jeffrey A. Poxon*, 66, serves as Vice Chair of the FHLBank of Indianapolis' board of directors. He retired as the Vice President-Investment Research of The Lafayette Life Insurance Company in Cincinnati, Ohio, in April 2012 having previously served as its Senior Vice President-Investments and Chief Investment Officer until his retirement in 2010. Mr. Poxon had been with that company since 1979. He is also a director of LSB Financial Corporation, Lafayette, Indiana and a director of its banking subsidiary, Lafayette Savings Bank, FSB in Lafayette, Indiana, having served in those capacities since 1992.

*Thomas L. Herlache*, 70, has been Chair of the FHLBank of Chicago since January 1, 2011. Mr. Herlache serves as a director of the board for Baylake Bank and Baylake Corp., a one-bank holding company, in Sturgeon Bay, Wisconsin. From 1983 to 2007, Mr. Herlache served as President, CEO, and Chairman of the Board for Baylake Bank and Baylake Corp. Mr. Herlache currently serves as a director on the Door County Memorial Hospital Board and as a president of the Sturgeon Bay Waterfront Redevelopment Authority. He has previously served on the Door County Board of Supervisors, the Door County Chamber of Commerce Board, as well as on the Sturgeon Bay Utility Commission from 1981 to 1986. Mr. Herlache served as President for part of his tenure at the Sturgeon Bay Utility Commission.

Steven F. Rosenbaum, 56, is Vice Chair of the FHLBank of Chicago and has served in that capacity since January 1, 2011. Mr. Rosenbaum has been employed by Prospect Federal Savings Bank since 1987. He has served as President and CEO since 1998 and, in 2006, was named Chairman of the Board. Prior to his service with Prospect Federal Savings Bank, he was a lobbyist with the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce. In addition, he serves on the board of the Illinois League of Financial Institutions (chairman from 2002 to 2003), is a member of the Mutual Institutions Committee for the American Bankers Association, and a member of the Illinois Board of Savings Institutions. He is a member of the board of directors of Brother Rice High School (Chicago, Illinois).

*Dale E. Oberkfell*, 57, the Chair of the FHLBank of Des Moines, has served in a variety of banking positions during his 30 years in the financial services industry. Mr. Oberkfell currently serves as executive vice president and chief financial officer (CFO) of Midwest BankCentre and treasurer and board secretary of Midwest BankCentre, Inc. Prior to joining Midwest BankCentre in January of 2012, he held various executive-level positions at Reliance Bank in Des Peres, Missouri, including president, chief operating officer and chief financial officer. During this period, he also served as executive vice president and CFO of Reliance Bancshares, Inc. in Des Peres, Missouri, and as an executive officer of Reliance Bank, FSB in Fort Myers, Florida. Mr. Oberkfell was also a partner at the Certified Public Accounting firm of Curmings, Oberkfell & Ristau, P.C. in St. Louis, Missouri. He is a licensed certified public accountant and is active in the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. He has held board positions for several organizations, including the West County YMCA, St. Louis Children's Choir, and Young Audiences. He currently serves on several non-profit boards, including Good Shepard Family and Children's Services and Washington University Alumni Boards. Mr. Oberkfell's position as an officer of a member institution and his involvement in and knowledge of accounting, auditing, internal controls, and financial management, as indicated by his background, support his qualifications to serve on the FHLBank of Des Moines' board of directors. Mr. Oberkfell also serves as Chair of the Executive and Governance Committee.

*Eric A. Hardmeyer*, 53, the Vice Chair of the FHLBank of Des Moines, joined the Bank of North Dakota in 1985 as a loan officer and served as senior vice president of Lending before becoming President and CEO in 2001. Mr. Hardmeyer is the past chairman of the North Dakota Bankers Association and also serves on the board of trustees of the Bismarck-Mandan Chamber of Commerce Foundation and the Missouri Valley YMCA. He is a member of the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis

Community Depository Institutions Advisory Council. Mr. Hardmeyer's position as an officer of a member institution and his involvement in and knowledge of economic development, accounting, auditing, and financial management, as indicated by his background, support Mr. Hardmeyer's qualifications to serve on the FHLBank of Des Moines' board of directors. Mr. Hardmeyer also serves as Vice Chair of the Executive and Governance Committee.

*James H. Clayton, 61*, is Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of Dallas and has served in that capacity since January 1, 2013. Mr. Clayton serves as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Planters Bank and Trust Company in Indianola, Mississippi. Mr. Clayton joined Planters Bank and Trust Company, a member of FHLBank of Dallas, in 1976 and has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since 2003. From 1984 to 2003, he served as a board member, President and Chief Executive Officer. Since 1984, Mr. Clayton has also served as a director of Planters Holding Company, a privately held enterprise. Mr. Clayton is a past president of the Indianola Chamber of Commerce and past chairman of the Mississippi Bankers Association. He previously served on the Government Relations Council of the American Bankers Association and was a member of its BankPac Committee. Mr. Clayton currently serves on the Council of Federal Home Loan Banks and is a member of the Chair and Vice Chair Committee of the Council of Federal Home Loan Banks. He also serves as Chairman of the Executive Committee of the FHLBank of Dallas's Board of Directors.

*Mary E. Ceverha*, 68, is Vice Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of Dallas and has served in that capacity since December 2005. From January 2005 to December 2005, she served as Acting Vice Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of Dallas. A civic volunteer who resides in Dallas, Texas, she has served as a director of the FHLBank of Dallas since 2004. Ms. Ceverha is also a current director and past president of Trinity Commons Foundation, Inc. Founded by Ms. Ceverha in 2001, this not-for-profit organization coordinates fundraising and other activities relating to the construction of the Trinity River Project, a public works redevelopment project in Dallas, Texas. Previously, she served on the steering committee of the President's Research Council for the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, which raises funds for medical research, and as a member of the Greater Dallas Planning Council. Ms. Ceverha is also a former board member and president of Friends of Fair Park, a non-profit citizens group dedicated to the preservation of Fair Park, a national historic landmark in Dallas, Texas, and she is a former Commissioner of the Dallas Housing Authority. From 1995 to 2004, she served on the Texas State Board of Health. Ms. Ceverha currently serves on the Council of Federal Home Loan Banks and is a member of the Chair and Vice Chair Committee of the Council of Federal Home Loan Banks. She also serves as Vice Chairman of the Executive Committee of the FHLBank of Dallas' board of directors.

*G. Bridger Cox, 60,* is the Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of Topeka and he has been Chairman and President of Citizens Bank & Trust Company, Ardmore, Oklahoma, since 1996. Although the Board of Directors did not participate in Mr. Cox's nomination since he is a member director, Mr. Cox is a graduate of the Stonier Graduate School of Banking at Rutgers University, possesses more than 30 years of banking management experience, has served on the board of directors of the Oklahoma Industrial Finance Authority and the Oklahoma Development Finance Authority, and has prior experience as an FHLBank director, that assists in his service as a director. Prior to his current term, Mr. Cox served as a member director of the FHLBank from January 1998 through December 2006.

*Robert E. Caldwell, II,* 42, is Vice Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of Topeka and has been President and CEO of Hampton Enterprises, Inc., a commercial real estate development, general contracting, construction management and property management firm, since 2006. Prior to 2006, he served as General Counsel for Linweld, Inc., a large independent manufacturer and distributor of industrial/medical gases and welding supplies. The board of directors of the FHLBank of Topeka considered Mr. Caldwell's qualifications, skills and attributes, including his B.S. in business administration, his J.D. and MBA, his experience as General Counsel for Linweld, Inc., a subsidiary of a Japanese public company, his service as President and CEO of a commercial real estate and construction company, and his prior service as an FHLBank director, when making his nomination. Mr. Caldwell currently serves as Vice Chair of the FHLBank of Topeka's board of directors where he previously served in that capacity from January 2004 through December 2006.

John F. Luikart, 63, is the Chair of the board of directors of FHLBank of San Francisco, and he has been president of Bethany Advisors LLC, San Francisco, California, since February 2007. He is also a trustee of four asbestos trusts, including the Western Asbestos Settlement Trust, since 2004. He was senior advisor to the CEO of Red Capital Group from July 2011 to July 2012 and was Chairman of Wedbush Securities Inc., Los Angeles, California, from 2006 to 2010. Previously, he was president and chief operating officer of Tucker Anthony Sutro from 2001 to 2002 and chairman and chief executive officer of Sutro & Co. from 1996 to 2002. He joined Sutro & Co. in 1988 as executive vice president of Capital Markets and became President in 1990. Mr. Luikart's current position as the principal executive officer of an investment and financial advisory firm; his previous positions as director or principal executive officer of investment banking firms (or their affiliates); and his experience in investment management, capital markets, corporate finance, securitization, and mortgage finance and his involvement in and knowledge of corporate governance, finance, auditing, accounting, internal controls, risk management, financial reporting, and financial management, as indicated by his background, support Mr. Luikart's qualifications to serve on the FHLBank of San Francisco's board of directors.

Douglas H. (Tad) Lowrey, 60, is the Vice Chair of the board of directors of the FHLBank of San Francisco, and he has been chairman and chief executive officer of CapitalSource Bank, Los Angeles, California, since October 2012 and July 2008, respectively. Mr. Lowrey served as president of CapitalSource Bank from its formation in July 2008 to October 2012. Prior to that, he was chairman of Wedbush Bank, a federally chartered savings bank, from its inception in February 2008 to July 2008, and he was executive vice president of its holding company, WEDBUSH Inc., a financial services investment and holding company in Los Angeles, California, from January 2006 to June 2008. Mr. Lowrey was chairman, president, and chief executive officer of Jackson Federal Bank, Fullerton, California, from February 1999 until its acquisition by Union Bank of California in October 2004. He has held positions as chief executive officer and chief financial officer for several savings institutions, as vice president of the Thrift Institutions Advisory Council to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Bank, and as a member of the Savings Association Insurance Fund Industry Advisory Committee to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. He previously served on the FHLBank of San Francisco's Board of Directors from 1996 to 1998 and from 1999 to 2003 and was its vice chairman in 2003. Mr. Lowrey's current position as the principal executive officer of an FHLBank of San Francisco member; his previous positions as principal executive officer, principal financial officer, director, and chairman of FHLBank of San Francisco members or other financial institutions; and his involvement in and knowledge of corporate governance, finance, auditing, accounting, internal controls, risk management, financial reporting, and financial management, as indicated by his background, support Mr. Lowrey's qualifications to serve on the board of directors of the FHLBank of San Francisco.

*William V. Humphreys*, 65, has served as a director of the FHLBank of Seattle since 2006 and as Chair since 2010. Mr. Humphreys has served as president, chief executive officer, and director of Citizens Bank, a commercial banking services provider and a publicly-traded bank holding company in Corvallis, Oregon, since 1996, and as President, Chief Executive Officer, and a Director of Citizen Bancorp, a publicly-traded holding company, since 1997. Mr. Humphreys currently serves as one of three FHLBank of Seattle representatives on the Council of Federal Home Loan Banks. Mr. Humphreys has served as Chair of the Oregon Bankers Association, board member of the American Bankers Association, and Director and Chairman of the State of Oregon Banking Board. He is currently a faculty member at Oregon Bankers Association Directors College. Mr. Humphreys' position as an officer and director of an FHLBank of Seattle member, his knowledge of community banking, and his experience in financial and balance sheet management, corporate governance, organizational leadership, risk assessment, and project development, support his qualifications to serve as a member director on the FHLBank of Seattle's board of directors.

*Craig E. Dahl*, 63, has served as a director of the FHLBank of Seattle since 2004 and as Vice Chair since 2005. Since 1996, Mr. Dahl has served as president, chief executive officer and director of Alaska Pacific Bank, a federally chartered savings bank, in Juneau, Alaska, and as president and chief executive officer of Alaska Pacific Bancshares, Inc., an Alaskan corporation and holding company of Alaska Pacific Bank, since 1999. Mr. Dahl currently serves as one of three FHLBank of Seattle representatives on the Council of Federal Home Loan Banks. Mr. Dahl has served on the Government Relations Council for the American Bankers Association and served two terms as president of the Alaska Bankers Association. Mr. Dahl's position as an officer and director of an FHLBank of Seattle member, his experience in corporate governance and strategic planning, and his leadership and management skills support his qualifications to serve as a member director on the FHLBank of Seattle's board of directors.

## **FHLBank Presidents**

Each FHLBank president reports to the board of directors of the respective FHLBank. Each FHLBank president participates in regular meetings with the presidents of the other FHLBanks. The responsibilities of the president include:

- management of the FHLBank;
- administration of the programs of the FHLBank; and
- compliance with the regulations and policies of the FHFA.

The following persons are currently serving as president of an FHLBank and has been provided for each FHLBank primarily based on the information disclosed in its annual report on SEC Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012:

*Edward A. Hjerpe III*, 54, has been President and Chief Executive Officer of the FHLBank of Boston since July 2009. Mr. Hjerpe came to the FHLBank of Boston from Strata Bank and Service Bancorp, Inc., where he was Interim Chief Executive Officer from September 2008 until joining the FHLBank of Boston. Mr. Hjerpe was a financial, strategy, and management consultant from August 2007 to September 2008, and both President and Chief Operating Officer of the New England Region of Webster Bank N.A. and Senior Vice President of Webster Financial Corporation from May 2004 to June 2007. Prior to those roles, Mr. Hjerpe served as Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer, and Chief Financial Officer at FIRSTFED AMERICA BANCORP, Inc. from July 1997 to May 2004. Mr. Hjerpe also worked at the FHLBank of Boston from 1988 to 1997, first as Senior Vice President and Director of Financial Analysis and Economic Research, and ultimately as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Hjerpe has been involved in numerous community, civic, industry, and nonprofit organizations over the course of his career. He currently serves as a member of the board of directors of the Office of Finance and as the 2013 chair of the FHLBank Presidents Conference. Mr. Hjerpe also serves as the chair of the board of Dental Services of Massachusetts and is a current member and the immediate past chair of the board of trustees of St. Anselm College in Manchester, New Hampshire. Mr. Hjerpe earned a B.A. in Business and Economics from St. Anselm College, and an M.A. and Ph.D. in Economics from the University of Notre Dame.

Alfred A. DelliBovi, 67, was elected President of the FHLBank of New York in November 1992. As president, he serves as the Chief Executive Officer and directs the FHLBank of New York's overall operations to facilitate the extension of credit products and services to the FHLBank of New York's member-lenders. Since 2005, Mr. DelliBovi has been a member of the board of directors of the Pentegra Defined Contribution Plan for Financial Institutions; he previously served on this board from 1994 through 2000. Since October 2009, he has served on the board of directors of the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions; he previously served on this board from 2001 through 2003. In addition, Mr. DelliBovi was appointed by the U.S. Department of the Treasury in September 2006 to serve as a member of the Directorate of the Resolution Funding Corporation, and he was appointed Chairman in September 2007; he served on this board until October 2009. In November 2009, Mr. DelliBovi was appointed to serve as Chair of the board of the Financing Corporation. Mr. DelliBovi previously served on the Financing Corporation board as Chair from November 2002 through November 2003, and he also served as Vice Chair of the Financing Corporation board from November 1996 to November 1997. Since July, 2010, Mr. DelliBovi, along with the eleven other FHLBank Presidents and five Independent Directors, has served as a director of the Office of Finance of the FHLBanks. In December 2011, Mr. DelliBovi was named to the Bipartisan Policy Center's Housing Commission. This 17 member national commission is in the process of developing a package of realistic and actionable policy recommendations to address the nation's troubled housing sector. Prior to joining the FHLBank of New York, Mr. DelliBovi served as Deputy Secretary of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, from 1989 until 1992. In May 1992, President Bush appointed Mr. DelliBovi Co-chairman of the Presidential Task Force on Recovery in Los Angeles. Mr. DelliBovi served as a senior official at the U.S. Department of Transportation in the Reagan Administration, was elected to four terms in the New York State Assembly and earned a Master of Public Administration degree from Bernard M. Baruch College, City University of New York.

*Winthrop Watson*, 58, was appointed as the FHLBank of Pittsburgh's President and Chief Executive Officer effective January 1, 2011. Previously, he was Chief Operating Officer of the Bank, a position that he assumed in November 2009. Mr. Watson served as Managing Director at J.P. Morgan in Hong Kong from 2007-2009 after serving the company in various capacities in New York for 22 years. In Hong Kong, he served as a senior client executive for J.P. Morgan's Asia Pacific central banks and sovereign wealth funds, head of its Asia Pacific debt capital markets, and as chairman of its Asia Pacific investment banking business evaluation committee. Earlier, Mr. Watson was Managing Director of J.P. Morgan in New York, where he helped build the company's investment and commercial banking franchise for U.S. government-sponsored enterprises, including the FHLBanks. His background also includes several financial advisory assignments on behalf of FHLBanks. Mr. Watson holds an MBA from Stanford University and a BA from the University of Virginia.

*W. Wesley McMullan*, 49, was appointed as the FHLBank of Atlanta's President and Chief Executive Officer in December 2010. Previously he served as Executive Vice President and Director of Financial Management, since 2004, with responsibility for sales, MPP sales, asset-liability management, liquidity management, other mission-related investments, customer systems and operations, and member education. Mr. McMullan joined the FHLBank of Atlanta as a credit analyst in 1988, and later earned promotions to assistant vice president in 1993, vice president in 1995, group vice president in 1998, and senior vice president in 2001. He is a Chartered Financial Analyst and earned a BS in Finance from Clemson University.

Andrew S. Howell, 51, was appointed as the FHLBank of Cincinnati's President and Chief Executive Officer in June 2012. Previously, he served as Executive Vice President-Chief Operating Officer of the FHLBank of Cincinnati since January 2008. Mr. Howell began his career at the FHLBank of Cincinnati in 1989 as the Credit Department Manager after being in several lending and management positions at Huntington Bank, Bank One and First National Bank of Cincinnati. Mr. Howell currently serves on the Board of Directors of the FHLBanks Office of Finance. Mr. Howell earned a bachelor's degree of Business Administration from the University of Kentucky, and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Cincinnati.

*Milton J. Miller, II,* 57, was selected by the FHLBank of Indianapolis' board of directors to serve as President and CEO of the FHLBank of Indianapolis effective July 16, 2007. Mr. Miller began his career at the FHLBank of Indianapolis in 1978 and held various positions, until his appointment as CFO in 1985, a position he held until he accepted early retirement from the FHLBank of Indianapolis in December 2006. Mr. Miller currently serves on the board of directors of the Office of Finance. In 2008, Mr. Miller was appointed to the board directors of Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions, which is part of Pentegra Retirement Services. Pentegra Retirement Services is a not-for-profit cooperative that provides full-service community bank retirement programs nationwide, including those provided to the employees of the FHLBank of Indianapolis. Mr. Miller earned a BS in Management and Administration in 1977 and an MBA in Finance in 1981, both from Indiana University, Bloomington. He earned his Chartered Financial Analyst designation in 1986.

Matthew R. Feldman, 59, became President and Chief Executive Officer of the FHLBank of Chicago in May 2008, after serving as Acting President from April 2008 until then. Mr. Feldman was Executive Vice President, Operations and Administration of the FHLBank of Chicago from 2006 to 2008, Senior Vice President, Risk Management from 2004 to 2006 and Senior Vice President, Manager of Operations Analysis from 2003 to 2004. Prior to his employment with the FHLBank of Chicago, Mr. Feldman was founder and Chief Executive Officer of Learning Insights, Inc. from 1996 to 2003. Mr. Feldman conceived, established, financed, and directed the operations of this privately held e-learning company of which he is still Non-Executive Chairman. Mr. Feldman was President of Continental Trust Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Continental Bank from 1992 to 1995 and Managing Director-Global Trading and Distribution of Continental Bank from 1988 to 1992. Mr. Feldman currently serves on the board of directors of the Office of Finance and on the board of the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions.

*Richard S. Swanson*, 63, has served as the FHLBank of Des Moines' President and CEO since June of 2006. Mr. Swanson joined the FHLBank of Des Moines following a career in bank management, corporate and financial law practice, and public service based in Seattle, Washington. From 2004 to 2006, he advised companies in the areas of corporate governance and finance, banking law, and SEC regulation as a principal of the law firm of Hillis, Clark, Martin & Peterson. From 1990 to 2003, Mr. Swanson was CEO and a director of HomeStreet Bank. He also served the FHLBank of Seattle as a member director from 1998 to 2003 and as vice chair from 2002 to 2003. Throughout his career, Mr. Swanson has been a director of public and private companies, as well as non-profit organizations and industry associations. He is recognized as a Board Leadership Fellow by the National Association of Corporate Directors, and currently serves on the Board of Directors of the FHLBanks' Office of Finance and, by appointment of the U.S. Department of the Treasury, as director and vice chair of the Resolution Funding Corporation. Mr. Swanson received his undergraduate degree from Harvard College, was a Marshall Scholar at Cambridge University and earned his law degree from Stanford Law School.

*Terry Smith*, 56, serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of the FHLBank of Dallas and has served in such capacity since August 2000. Prior to that, he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the FHLBank of Dallas, responsible for the financial and risk management, credit and collateral, financial services, accounting, and information systems functions. Mr. Smith joined the FHLBank of Dallas in January 1986 to coordinate the hedging and asset/liability management functions, and was promoted to Chief Financial Officer in 1988. He served in that capacity until his appointment as Chief Operating Officer in 1991. He also serves on the Council of Federal Home Loan Banks. Mr. Smith previously served on the board of directors of the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions and on the Investment Committee for the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions and on the Board of Directors of the FHLBanks Office of Finance and he has previously served as Chairman of the Risk Committee and as Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Office of Finance.

Andrew J. Jetter, 57, became President and Chief Executive Officer of FHLBank of Topeka in September 2002. He served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer from January 1998 to September 2002. Mr. Jetter joined the FHLBank of Topeka in 1987 as an attorney and was promoted to General Counsel in 1989, Vice President in 1993, and Senior Vice President in 1996.

*Dean Schultz*, 66, has been President and Chief Executive Officer of the FHLBank of San Francisco since April 1991. Mr. Schultz currently serves as Vice Chairman of the board of directors of the FHLBanks Office of Finance, which facilitates the issuance and servicing of consolidated obligations for the FHLBanks. He is also a director of Social Compact, an organization dedicated to increasing business leadership for and investment in lower-income communities. Prior to joining the FHLBank of San Francisco, he was Executive Vice President of the FHLBank of New York, where he had also served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel. From 1980 to 1984, he was Senior Vice President and General Counsel with First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Rochester, New York. He previously was a partner in a Rochester law firm.

*Michael L. Wilson*, 56, has served as president and chief executive officer of the FHLBank of Seattle since January 2012. Previously, Mr. Wilson served as executive vice president and chief business officer of the FHLBank of Des Moines from 2006 to 2012. From 1994 to 2006, Mr. Wilson served in a number of leadership roles at the FHLBank of Boston, including senior/ executive vice president and chief operating officer from 1999 to 2006. Prior to joining the FHLBank of Boston, Mr. Wilson served as director of the Office of Policy and Research at the Finance Board in Washington, D.C. Mr. Wilson is one of the FHLBank of Seattle's representatives on the Council of Federal Home Loan Banks and also serves on the board of the Office of Finance.

## **Chief Executive Officer, FHLBanks Office of Finance**

John D. Fisk, 56, began serving as Chief Executive Officer of the Office of Finance on January 1, 2008. Mr. Fisk has 30 years of experience in the fixed-income and mortgage markets. Prior to joining the Office of Finance in 2004, he was Executive Vice-President for Strategic Planning at MGIC, the nation's largest private mortgage insurer. Previously, Mr. Fisk held a series of increasingly responsible capital market and mortgage positions in 17 years at Freddie Mac. These included leading the securities sales & trading group and the REMIC Program. By the time of his departure in 2000, he was Executive Vice-President, responsible for all single-family mortgage business. A 1978 graduate of Yale University, Mr. Fisk earned his MBA from the Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania in 1982.

## FHLBanks Office of Finance Board of Directors

The Office of Finance board of directors is comprised of the twelve FHLBank presidents and five independent directors. H Ronald Weissman is the chair and Dean Schultz, chief executive officer and president of the FHLBank of San Francisco, is the vice chair. The following persons are currently serving as an independent director:

*H Ronald Weissman*, 68, was appointed as an independent director for a five-year term ending in 2015 and was also appointed Chair of the Office of Finance's board of directors. Prior to the reconstitution of the Office of Finance's board of directors in 2010, Mr. Weissman served as the private citizen member of the Office of Finance's board of directors and its designated financial expert. Previously, Mr. Weissman was a senior partner at Ernst & Young since 2002, served as Global Managing Partner for several of the firm's most significant financial services clients and had assumed significant corporate and client responsibilities until his retirement. Prior to joining Ernst & Young, he was a partner with Arthur Andersen. He presently serves as Chair of the Audit Committee for Encore Capital Group, Inc. and has held other board of director positions. Mr. Weissman, a Certified Public Accountant, holds an Advanced Professional Director Certification from the American College of Corporate Directors, a national public company director education and credentialing organization, an MBA from the Columbia University Graduate School of Business and a Bachelor of Arts degree from Union College in Schenectady, New York.

*J. Michael Davis*, 73, was originally appointed as an independent director for a one-year term ending in 2011. In 2011, he was re-elected to a five-year term expiring in 2016. Mr. Davis has over 40 years of experience within the financial services industry. He served on the board of the FHLBank of Topeka, including as vice chair and as a member of the Audit Committee. Mr. Davis held senior executive positions with several farm credit institutions within the Farm Credit System. He also has non-profit board of director experience as chair and treasurer.

Jonathan A. Scott, Ph.D., 63, was appointed as an independent director for a three-year term ending in 2013. Dr. Scott is a full professor of Finance at Temple University's Fox School of Business and Management, where he has been since 1991. At Temple he is currently the Academic Director of the Fox School's honors program, co-director of Temple's Center for Economics Education, and Managing Director of the Owl Fund. He also has six years experience in academic administration at Temple University and managed initiatives related to information technology, program cost analysis and performance metrics. Prior to joining the university, Dr. Scott was an executive for seven years at the FHLBank of Dallas, including service as the Chief Financial Officer in 1987-1988. Dr. Scott received a Ph.D. in Economics from Purdue University.

*Kathleen C. McKinney*, 58, was originally appointed as an independent director for a two-year term ending in 2012. In 2012, she was re-elected to a five-year term expiring in 2017. Ms. McKinney has over 35 years of experience as bond counsel and underwriter's counsel in the public finance, community facilities and economic development sector. She recently served as President of the National Association of Bond Lawyers and is a recognized speaker on public finance legal issues. She is past chair of the Furman University Board of Trustees.

*Walter H. Morris, Jr.,* 61, was appointed as an independent director for a four-year term ending in 2014. Mr. Morris has more than 30 years of financial services experience, retiring in 2010 from Ernst & Young after a 14-year career in advisory services working in real estate advisory, structured finance and fraud/dispute services. He directed or completed numerous advisory assignments for international banks, GSEs and U.S. government agencies while at Ernst & Young. In addition, Mr. Morris has 20 years experience in commercial and mortgage banking, including international and capital markets functions and foreign assignments in London, England and Paris, France. He also has extensive non-profit board of director experience. Mr. Morris received his MBA degree from the Harvard Graduate School of Business School and an undergraduate degree from Harvard College.

# Regulations Governing the Selection and Compensation of FHLBank and Office of Finance Employees

As specified in the FHLBank Act, the selection and compensation of FHLBank officers and employees are subject to the approval of the board of directors and management of each individual FHLBank. However, the Director of the FHFA has the authority to prohibit compensation that is not reasonable and comparable to compensation paid to executives at other financial institutions. The FHFA established several principles for the FHLBanks and the Office of Finance for setting executive compensation policies and practices to ensure sound incentive compensation practices:

- executive compensation must be reasonable and comparable to that offered to executives in similar positions at other comparable financial institutions;
- executive incentive compensation should be consistent with sound risk management and preservation of the par value of the FHLBank's capital stock;
- a significant percentage of an executive's incentive-based compensation should be tied to longer-term performance and outcome-indicators;
- a significant percentage of an executive's incentive-based compensation should be deferred and made contingent upon performance over several years; and
- the board of directors of each FHLBank and the Office of Finance should promote accountability and transparency with respect to the process of setting compensation.

Each FHLBank is responsible for establishing that FHLBank's compensation philosophy and objectives, and each FHLBank includes a compensation discussion and analysis relating to all material elements of the compensation of its named executive officers in its annual report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. (See <u>Explanatory Statement about Federal Home Loan Banks</u> <u>Combined Financial Report</u>.)

The FHFA exercises similar supervisory and examination authority over the Office of Finance and its board of directors as it exercises over an FHLBank and its board of directors. FHFA regulations require the Office of Finance board of directors to select, employ, determine the compensation for, and assign the duties of, the Office of Finance chief executive officer. (*See Office of Finance CEO 2012 Compensation Discussion and Analysis* for more information.)

# Overview and Objectives of FHLBank and Office of Finance Executive Compensation Programs

Each FHLBank strives to provide total compensation that promotes its mission. Compensation programs at each of the FHLBanks are generally intended to focus executives on achieving their individual FHLBank's mission and to associate executive pay with the FHLBank's corporate goals, performance targets, and strategic plan. Each FHLBank's board of directors determines total compensation for executives of that FHLBank, consisting of base salary, cash incentive compensation, and other benefits as described in Table S-1.

The Office of Finance is only responsible for the compensation policies for its employees. The Office of Finance seeks to provide a flexible and market-based approach to compensation that attracts, retains and motivates high performing, accomplished financial services executives who, by their individual and collective performance, achieve the Office of Finance's strategic business initiatives. The objectives of the program are to communicate goals and standards of performance for the successful achievement of the Office of Finance's mission. (See *Office of Finance CEO 2012 Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Compensation Program Overview Philosophy and Objectives* for more information.)

The following information has been provided for each FHLBank primarily based on the information disclosed in its annual report on SEC Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which in each case provides detail about the FHLBank's compensation philosophy and objectives. The presentations may not be consistent due to differing FHLBank practices and application and interpretation of the rules.

### Table S-1 - FHLBank Presidents and Office of Finance CEO Summary Compensation Table (whole dollars)

FHLBank	President/CEO Name	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change In Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation * (\$)	Total (\$)
Boston	Edward A. Hjerpe III	2012	\$ 650,000	\$ _	\$ 359,081	\$ 270,000	\$ 68,477	\$ 1,347,558
		2011	595,000	-	213,242	325,000	62,666	1,195,908
		2010	562,500	55,000	107,578	145,000	85,049	955,127
New York	Alfred A. DelliBovi	2012	763,415	-	541,013	1,149,000	124,514	2,577,942
		2011	709,263	-	523,180	1,444,000	120,417	2,796,860
		2010	678,721	_	526,090	1,434,998	69,177	2,708,986
Pittsburgh	Winthrop Watson(1)	2012	650,000	-	510,250	128,000	44,613	1,332,863
		2011	625,000	-	593,156	104,000	40,508	1,362,664
Atlanta	W. Wesley McMullan(2)	2012	650,000	100	634,959	888,648	58,112	2,231,819
		2011	650,000	148	599,362	1,089,000	58,710	2,397,220
		2010	474,492	140	354,457	478,000	30,660	1,337,749
Cincinnati	Andrew S. Howell(3)	2012	491,055	-	271,561	810,000	15,000	1,587,616
	David H. Hehman(4)	2012	274,426	-	274,192	3,466,000	47,878	4,062,496
		2011	621,150	-	512,671	2,217,000	25,932	3,376,753
		2010	645,506	-	547,269	1,382,000	14,700	2,589,475
Indianapolis	Milton J. Miller, II	2012	603,513	-	486,663	1,317,000	15,105	2,422,281
		2011	555,438	-	504,294	2,083,000	14,805	3,157,537
		2010	534,066	-	512,278	872,000	14,914	1,933,258
Chicago	Matthew R. Feldman	2012	720,000	-	708,840	496,000	15,000	1,939,840
		2011	695,000	-	1,081,420	409,000	14,700	2,200,120
		2010	650,000	-	-	248,000	14,700	912,700
Des Moines	Richard S. Swanson	2012	645,833	-	840,784	443,000	71,568	2,001,185
		2011	620,833	-	487,835	441,000	64,351	1,614,019
		2010	597,350	-	460,066	308,000	55,545	1,420,961
Dallas	Terry Smith	2012	767,350	-	473,001	194,000	597,683	2,032,034
		2011	745,000	-	334,557	278,000	600,854	1,958,411
		2010	730,000	-	322,291	175,000	477,986	1,705,277
Topeka	Andrew J. Jetter	2012	646,350	-	604,913	813,382	55,075	2,119,720
		2011	627,500	-	606,062	1,169,289	55,792	2,458,643
		2010	609,226	-	416,210	791,982	49,001	1,866,419
San Francisco	Dean Schultz(5)	2012	795,900	-	765,400	665,480	65,082	2,291,862
		2011	902,967	-	869,500	732,778	63,580	2,568,825
		2010	765,000	-	721,900	637,894	64,498	2,189,292
Seattle	Michael L. Wilson(6)	2012	526,885	-	158,144	246,000	119,587	1,050,616
Office of Finance	John D. Fisk	2012	607,717	-	691,135	449,000	33,709	1,781,561
		2011	590,017	-	584,141	499,000	32,517	1,705,675
		2010	578,448	-	548,628	262,000	27,996	1,417,072

<sup>\*</sup> Compensation in this column is further presented in Table S-2-All Other Compensation Table.

<sup>(1)</sup> Mr. Watson's 2012 non-equity incentive plan compensation was the incentive and transition plans described in the FHLBank of Pittsburgh's Form 10-K.

<sup>(2)</sup> The 2010 amounts for Mr. McMullan reflect his separate service as Executive Vice President and Director of Financial Management from January 1, 2010 through December 15, 2010, and his service as President and Chief Executive Officer, effective December 16, 2010. The amount under non-equity incentive plan compensation includes the dollar value of all earnings for services performed during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 pursuant to awards under the FHLBank of Atlanta incentive compensation plan and the dollar value of all interest earned on deferred incentive related to incentive compensation award is subject to mandatory deferral over three years and 25% and 34% of the 2010 and 2011 incentive compensation award is subject to mandatory deferral over three years) and also included is the the dollar value of all interest earned on deferred incentive related to incentive compensation awards for prior fiscal years.

<sup>(3)</sup> Mr. Andrew S. Howell became the President and Chief Executive Officer of FHLBank of Cincinnati in June 2012.

<sup>(4)</sup> Mr. Hehman's 2012 compensation was earned through June 1, 2012, the date of his retirement.

- (5) Mr. Schultz's 2011 salary includes \$122,667 for a vacation cash-out payment.
- (6) Mr. Michael L. Wilson became President and Chief Executive Officer of the FHLBank of Seattle on January 30, 2012.

## **FHLBank President Employment Agreements**

<u>FHLBank of Boston</u>. The FHLBank of Boston has a change-in-control agreement with Mr. Hjerpe. The FHLBank of Boston's board of directors had determined that having the change in control agreement in place would be an effective recruitment and retention tool since the events under which it provides payment to Mr. Hjerpe would provide a measure of protection to Mr. Hjerpe in the instance of the FHLBank of Boston's relocation in excess of 50 miles or his termination of employment or material diminution in duties or base compensation resulting from merger, consolidation, reorganization, sale of all or substantially all of the FHLBank of Boston's assets, or the liquidation or dissolution of the FHLBank of Boston. Under the terms of the change in control agreement, in the event that either:

- Mr. Hjerpe terminates his employment with the FHLBank of Boston for a good reason (as defined in the change in control agreement) that is not remedied within certain cure periods by the FHLBank of Boston; or
- the FHLBank of Boston (or the FHLBank of Boston's successor in the event of reorganization) terminates Mr. Hjerpe's employment without cause (as defined by the change in control agreement).

The FHLBank of Boston has agreed to pay Mr. Hjerpe an amount equal to his annualized base salary at the time of such termination to be paid in equal installments over the following 12 months according to the FHLBank of Boston's regular payroll cycle during such period. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the FHLBank of Boston's obligation to pay Mr. Hjerpe such amount will be subject to Mr. Hjerpe's execution of the FHLBank of Boston's standard release of claims agreement and the FHLBank of Boston's compliance with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements at the time such payment would otherwise be made. Payments to Mr. Hjerpe under the change in control agreement are in lieu of any severance payments that would be otherwise payable to him.

<u>FHLBank of New York.</u> The FHLBank of New York is an "at will" employer and does not provide written employment agreements to any of its employees. However, employees, including the president, receive:

- cash compensation (i.e., base salary, and, for exempt employees, "variable" or "at risk" short-term incentive compensation);
- retirement-related benefits (i.e., qualified defined benefit plan; qualified defined contribution plan; and nonqualified defined benefit portion of the benefit equalization plan); and
- health and welfare programs and other benefits.

Other benefits, which are available to all regular employees, include medical, dental, vision care, life, business travel accident insurance, and short- and long-term disability insurance, flexible spending accounts, an employee assistance program, educational development assistance, voluntary life insurance, long-term care insurance, fitness club reimbursement and severance pay. An additional benefit offered to all officers, age 40 or greater, or who are at vice-president rank or above, is a physical examination every 18 months.

<u>FHLBank of Pittsburgh.</u> In the event of a merger of the FHLBank of Pittsburgh with another FHLBank, where the merger results in the termination of employment (including resignation for good reason as defined under the change in control agreement) for the CEO, he is eligible for severance payments under his change in control agreement as follows:

- two years base salary;
- two times the incentive compensation award payout eligibility at target in the year of separation from service;
- FHLBank of Pittsburgh contributions for medical insurance for the benefits continuation period of 18 months at the same level that it contributes to medical insurance for its then-active employees; and
- individualized outplacement for up to 12 months.

With the appointment of Winthrop Watson as President and CEO effective January 1, 2011, the FHLBank of Pittsburgh executed an Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement, effective January 1, 2011. Accordingly, during 2012, Mr. Watson would also have been eligible for a payment equal to: (1) 12% of his compensation (as defined in the FHLBank of Pittsburgh's supplemental thrift plan) and (2) the retirement benefit amount that would have been provided under the qualified and nonqualified defined benefit plans if the President and CEO's qualified and nonqualified retirement plan benefits had vested as of December 31, 2012.

<u>FHLBank of Atlanta</u>. The FHLBank of Atlanta entered into an employment agreement with Mr. McMullan in connection with his appointment as president and chief executive officer (McMullan Agreement), effective as of December 16, 2010. The McMullan Agreement may be terminated at any time by the FHLBank of Atlanta, with or without "cause," or by Mr. McMullan, with or without "good reason," each as defined in the McMullan Agreement. Unless earlier terminated by either party as provided therein, the McMullan Agreement has a three-year term and will extend automatically for subsequent one-year periods unless either party elects not to renew. If during the term of his employment Mr. McMullan is terminated without "cause" or resigns for "good reason", the McMullan Agreement provides for severance pay in an amount equal to: (1) his thencurrent annual base salary, payable in a lump sum within 30 days, and (2) an amount equal to the amount that would have been payable pursuant to his incentive compensation award for the year in which the termination occurs, payable at the same time that such awards are paid to the FHLBank of Atlanta's senior executives. The McMullan Agreement does not provide for any severance pay in the event of a termination with "cause" a termination on account of his death or disability, or his resignation without "good reason".

<u>FHLBank of Cincinnati.</u> Other than normal pension benefits and eligibility to participate in the FHLBank of Cincinnati's retiree supplemental benefits program, no perquisites or other special benefits are provided to the president in the event of a change in control, resignation, retirement or other termination of employment.

<u>FHLBank of Indianapolis</u>. The FHLBank of Indianapolis maintains a key employee severance agreement for Mr. Miller. If a termination occurs under certain circumstances, Mr. Miller is entitled to 2.0 times the average of the three prior calendar years' base salary, bonus, and other cash compensation, salary deferrals and employer matching contributions to the qualified and non-qualified plans, compensation for the loss of the use of a company vehicle (if any), continued medical and dental insurance coverage for 36 months (subject to Mr. Miller paying the employee portion of the cost of such coverage), a gross-up amount to cover the increased tax liability, an additional three years credit to age and years of service for the supplemental executive retirement plan, and reimbursement for reasonable legal, accounting, financial advisory, and actuarial services. If the FHLBank of Indianapolis is not in compliance with any applicable regulatory capital or regulatory leverage requirement at the time payment under the agreement becomes due, or if the payment would cause the FHLBank of Indianapolis to fall below applicable regulatory requirements, the payment would be deferred until such time as the FHLBank of Indianapolis achieves compliance with its regulatory requirements.

<u>FHLBank of Chicago.</u> Mr. Feldman's employment agreement with the FHLBank of Chicago provides for a four-year employment term effective January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2014, unless terminated earlier as provided for in the agreement. The agreement provides for automatic one-year extensions until such date as the FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors or Mr. Feldman gives notice and terminates the automatic extension provision. Under this agreement, the FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors set Mr. Feldman's 2012 base salary at \$720,000 after considering his performance and accomplishments during 2011, and the overall competitive market data from the 2011 FHLBank System Key Position Survey. The FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors decided upon a base salary that would place Mr. Feldman near the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of the base salaries paid to other FHLBank presidents based upon the complex nature and operations of the FHLBank of Chicago relative to the other FHLBanks and the importance of his retention. The personnel and compensation committee reviews Mr. Feldman's performance annually and in its discretion may recommend an increase in salary to the FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors for approval.

Mr. Feldman is entitled to participate in the president's incentive compensation plan and the key employee long-term incentive compensation plan and the FHLBank of Chicago's board of directors may award a discretionary bonus to Mr. Feldman separate from any incentive compensation earned under these plans. Mr. Feldman is also entitled to participate in the FHLBank of Chicago's health insurance, life insurance, retirement and other benefit plans that are generally applicable to its other senior executives. Under the agreement, the FHLBank of Chicago has agreed to indemnify Mr. Feldman with respect to any tax liabilities and penalties and interest under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

Under Mr. Feldman's employment agreement, in the event his employment with the FHLBank of Chicago is terminated either by him with good reason (as defined in the agreement), by the FHLBank of Chicago other than for cause (as defined in

the agreement), by non-renewal by the FHLBank of Chicago of the agreement, or as a result of the death or disability of Mr. Feldman, Mr. Feldman is entitled to receive the following payments:

- all accrued and unpaid salary for time worked as of the date of termination;
- all accrued but unutilized vacation time as of the date of termination;
- salary continuation (at the base salary in effect at the time of termination) for a one-year period beginning on the date of termination;
- payment in a lump sum of an amount equal to the minimum total incentive compensation that Mr. Feldman would otherwise have been entitled to receive if all performance targets for the current calendar year had been met at a 100% level;
- continued participation in the FHLBank of Chicago's employee health care benefit plans in accordance with the terms of
  its then-current severance plan that would be applicable to him if his employment had been terminated pursuant to
  such plan, provided that the FHLBank of Chicago will continue paying the employer's portion of medical and/or dental
  insurance premiums for one year from the date of termination, and
- an additional amount under the FHLBank of Chicago's post-December 31, 2004 benefit equalization plan equal to the
  additional annual benefit as if such benefit had been calculated as though (i) Mr. Feldman were 3 years older than his
  actual age and (ii) Mr. Feldman had 3 additional years of service at the same rate of annual compensation in effect for
  the 12-month period ending on the December 31 immediately preceding the termination of Mr. Feldman's
  employment.

If Mr. Feldman's employment with the FHLBank of Chicago is terminated by the FHLBank of Chicago for cause or by Mr. Feldman other than for good reason, Mr. Feldman is entitled only to all accrued and unpaid salary for time worked as of the date of termination and all accrued but unutilized vacation time as of the date of termination.

The employment agreement provides that Mr. Feldman will not be entitled to any other compensation, bonus or severance pay from the FHLBank of Chicago other than as specified above and any vested rights which he has under any pension, thrift, or other benefit plan, excluding the severance plan. The right to receive termination payments as outlined above is contingent upon, among other things, Mr. Feldman signing a general release of all claims against the FHLBank of Chicago in such form as the FHLBank of Chicago requires.

<u>FHLBank of Des Moines.</u> If Mr. Swanson's employment is terminated by the FHLBank of Des Moines for cause, his death, disability or retirement, or by him without good reason, he is entitled to base salary through the date of termination, accrued but unpaid awards under any incentive plan in the amount equal to that which he would have received in the year of termination, accrued and earned vacation through the date of termination and all other vested benefits under the terms of the FHLBank of Des Moines's employee benefit plans. If his termination is due to death, disability or retirement, he is also entitled to accrued but unpaid incentive plan awards covering periods prior to the one in which he was terminated, the incentive plan award for the calendar year in which the date of termination occurs and prorated for the portion of the calendar year in which the coverage continuation rights that are available to such employees upon death, disability, or retirement.

If Mr. Swanson's employment is terminated by the FHLBank of Des Moines without cause, by him for good reason, or as a result of a merger or change in control, he is entitled to the following:

- severance payments equal to two times his base salary;
- one times his target annual incentive plan award in effect for the calendar year in which the date of termination occurs;
- the incentive plan award for the calendar year in which the date of termination occurs and pro-rated for the portion of the calendar year in which he was employed;

- the accrued but unpaid incentive plan awards covering periods prior to the one in which he was terminated and calculated in accordance with the terms of the incentive plan as if termination was due to death, disability or retirement; and
- State of Iowa benefits continuation, provided that the FHLBank of Des Moines will continue to pay its portion of the medical and/or dental insurance premiums for him for the one-year period following the date of termination.

<u>FHLBank of Dallas.</u> On November 20, 2007 (effective date), the FHLBank of Dallas entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Smith. The employment agreement provides that Mr. Smith's employment will continue for three years from the effective date unless terminated earlier for any of the following reasons: (1) death; (2) disability; (3) termination by the FHLBank of Dallas for cause; (4) termination by the FHLBank of Dallas for other than cause; or (5) termination by Mr. Smith with good reason. As of each anniversary of the effective date, an additional year is automatically added to the unexpired term of the employment agreement unless either the FHLBank of Dallas or Mr. Smith gives a notice of non-renewal. In 2012, neither the FHLBank of Dallas nor Mr. Smith gave a notice of non-renewal. As a result, an additional year was added to the unexpired term of Mr. Smith's employment agreement.

In the event that Mr. Smith's employment with the FHLBank of Dallas is terminated either by him for good reason or by the FHLBank of Dallas other than for cause, or in the event that either the FHLBank of Dallas or Mr. Smith gives notice of non-renewal and the FHLBank of Dallas relieves him of his duties under the employment agreement, Mr. Smith shall be entitled to receive:

- all accrued and unpaid base salary for time worked through the date of termination of Mr. Smith's employment;
- all accrued but unutilized vacation time as of the termination date;
- base salary continuation (at the base salary in effect at the time of termination) from the termination date through the end of the remaining term of the employment agreement;
- continued participation in any incentive compensation plan in existence as of the termination date, provided that all
  other eligibility and performance objectives are met, as if Mr. Smith had continued employment through December 31
  of the year in which the termination occurs (Mr. Smith will not be eligible for incentive compensation with respect to
  any year following the year of termination);
- continuation of any elective health care benefits that are being provided to Mr. Smith as of his termination date for one year; and
- a lump sum payment equal to the cost of COBRA continuation coverage under the health care benefits plan of the kind Mr. Smith then subscribes to for the number of months for which base salary is payable in excess of one year.

In addition to the amounts above, Mr. Smith would be entitled to receive the amounts in his supplemental executive retirement plan accounts in a lump sum distribution provided he is vested in such benefits at the time of a triggering event. Further, if the triggering event is related to a significant transaction (e.g., merger, consolidation or liquidation) then Mr. Smith would also be entitled to receive a pro-rata portion of any long-term incentive awards that had not otherwise become vested as of the date of the significant transaction.

<u>FHLBank of Topeka</u>. The FHLBank Topeka does not have a separate employment agreement with its president. The FHLBank Topeka provides severance benefits to its executive officers pursuant to the FHLBank of Topeka's officer severance policy. The policy's primary objective is to provide a level of protection to officers, including the president, from loss of income during a period of unemployment. An officer of the FHLBank of Topeka is eligible to receive severance pay under the policy if the FHLBank of Topeka terminates the officer's employment with or without cause, subject to certain limitations. Provided the requirements of the policy are met and the president provides the FHLBank of Topeka an enforceable release, the president will receive severance pay equal to 52 weeks of the president's final annual base salary. Upon termination or change in control, the president would be entitled to receive:

- the severance payment,
- any earned but unpaid incentive awards,

- the respective aggregate balance that would be payable under the nonqualified deferred compensation plans within ninety days of termination of employment due to death, disability or retirement, and
- the payment that may be due under the benefit equalization plan upon a change in control.

<u>FHLBank of San Francisco.</u> The FHLBank of San Francisco's president is employed on an at-will basis. The president may resign at any time, and the FHLBank of San Francisco may terminate his employment at any time, for any reason or no reason, with or without cause and with or without notice. The FHLBank of San Francisco's board of directors approved a change in control severance agreement for Mr. Schultz, which became effective as of June 1, 2011. The agreement provides for a severance payment and continued benefits if Mr. Schultz's employment terminates under certain circumstances in connection with a change in control (as defined in the agreement) of the FHLBank of San Francisco. In particular, under the terms of Mr. Schultz's agreement, if he terminates his employment for good reason (as defined in the agreement), he shall be entitled to receive, in lieu of any severance benefits to which Mr. Schultz may otherwise be entitled under any severance plan or program of the FHLBank of San Francisco, the following:

- his fully earned but unpaid base salary through the date of termination (together with all other amounts and benefits to which Mr. Schultz is entitled under any benefit plan or practice of the FHLBank of San Francisco other than the FHLBank of San Francisco's corporate senior officer severance policy);
- severance pay in an amount equal to the sum of two times Mr. Schultz's annual base salary plus two times his annual incentive amounts (as defined in the agreement);
- continued health and life insurance coverage (as defined in the agreement) for up to 180 days after the first anniversary
  of the date of termination of Mr. Schultz's employment (or if earlier, the date he accepts employment from an employer
  with comparable benefits); and
- executive-level outplacement services at the FHLBank of San Francisco's expense, not to exceed \$25,000.

If the FHLBank of San Francisco is not in compliance with any applicable regulatory capital or regulatory leverage requirement, or if any of the payments required to be made pursuant to the severance pay described above would cause the FHLBank of San Francisco to fall below such applicable regulatory requirements, such payment shall be delayed until such time as the FHLBank of San Francisco achieves compliance with its regulatory capital requirements.

<u>FHLBank of Seattle</u>. In January 2012, the FHLBank of Seattle entered into an employment agreement with Michael L. Wilson, effective as of January 30, 2012. The initial term of the employment agreement is for two years, which can be automatically extended for successive one year periods, unless Mr. Wilson or the FHLBank of Seattle provides timely notice of non-extension. Mr. Wilson is entitled to reimbursement of relocation expenses up to a maximum of \$125,000, provided that such amount must be repaid in full in the event Mr. Wilson's employment is terminated by the FHLBank of Seattle for cause or Mr. Wilson terminates employment without good reason prior to January 30, 2013. Half of this amount must be repaid in the event of such a termination after January 30, 2013 but prior to January 30, 2014. If Mr. Wilson's employment is terminated by the FHLBank of Seattle for cause or Mr. Wilson terminates employment without good reason, he is entitled to receive the following accrued obligations:

- his base salary through the date of termination;
- accrued but unpaid awards under the incentive compensation plans in accordance with the terms of such plans;
- accrued but unused vacation time; and
- other vested benefits under the terms of the FHLBank of Seattle's employee benefit plans

If Mr. Wilson's employment is terminated by the FHLBank of Seattle without cause or by Mr. Wilson for good reason, he is entitled to receive:

- the accrued obligations;
- severance pay equal to one times his then-current base salary; and
- FHLBank of Seattle's-paid premiums for medical and dental insurance coverage for 18 months.

In the event of Mr. Wilson's termination of employment without cause or by Mr. Wilson for good reason within 12 months following a change in control, Mr. Wilson is entitled to the immediately foregoing benefits, except that severance pay will be equal to two times his then-current base salary. The foregoing payments are conditional on Mr. Wilson's execution of a release of claims against the FHLBank of Seattle in a form reasonably acceptable to the FHLBank of Seattle. In the event of Mr. Wilson's termination of employment due to disability, he is entitled to the accrued obligations plus FHLBank of Seattle paid premiums for medical and dental insurance coverage for 18 months, subject to Mr. Wilson's execution of a release of claims.

## Table S-2 - All Other Compensation Table

(whole dollars)

FHLBank*	President/CEO Name	Year	Termination of Employment or Change of Control if Triggered (\$)	Contribution or Other Allocations Made by the FHLBank to Vested and/or Unvested Defined Contribution Plans (\$)	Dollar Value of any Insurance Premiums Paid by the FHLBank with Respect to Life Insurance for the Benefit of the President/ CEO (\$)	Gross-ups or Other Amounts Reimbursed for the Payment of Taxes (\$)	Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits * (\$)	Other (\$)	Total (\$)
Boston	Edward A. Hjerpe III(1)	2012	\$ —	\$ 52,420	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 16,057	\$ —	\$ 68,477
		2011	-	42,155	-	-	20,511	-	62,666
		2010	-	33,750	-	-	51,299	-	85,049
New York	Alfred A. DelliBovi(2)	2012	-	15,000	12,000	-	23,889	73,625	124,514
		2011	-	14,700	12,000	-	25,165	68,552	120,417
		2010	-	14,700	13,200	-	36,331	4,946	69,177
Pittsburgh	Winthrop Watson	2012	-	44,589	-	-	-	24	44,613
		2011	-	40,484	_	_	-	24	40,508
Atlanta	W. Wesley McMullan(3)	2012	-	39,000	_	48	19,064	-	58,112
		2011	-	39,510	-	-	19,200	-	58,710
		2010	_	27,960	_	_	2,700	-	30,660
Cincinnati	Andrew S. Howell	2012	-	15,000	-	-	-	-	15,000
	David H. Hehman(4)	2012	-	15,000	-	-	32,878	-	47,878
		2011	-	14,700	-	-	11,232	-	25,932
		2010	-	14,700	-	-	-	-	14,700
Indianapolis	Milton J. Miller, II	2012	-	15,000	105	-	-	-	15,105
		2011	-	14,700	105	-	-	-	14,805
		2010	-	14,700	214	-	-	-	14,914
Chicago	Matthew R. Feldman	2012	-	15,000	-	-	-	-	15,000
		2011	-	14,700	-	-	-	-	14,700
		2010	-	14,700	-	-	-	-	14,700
Des Moines	Richard S. Swanson(5)	2012	_	55,568	-	-	16,000	-	71,568
		2011	-	51,851	-	-	12,500	-	64,351
		2010	-	43,045	-	-	12,500	-	55,545
Dallas	Terry Smith(6)	2012	-	503,654	-	13,102	24,379	56,548	597,683
		2011	-	492,458	-	14,736	22,081	71,579	600,854
		2010	-	369,289	-	16,156	31,161	61,380	477,986
Topeka	Andrew J. Jetter	2012	_	45,880	1,443	-	_	7,752	55,075
		2011	_	47,747	1,487	-	_	6,558	55,792
		2010	-	45,296	2,100	-	-	1,605	49,001
San Francisco	Dean Schultz(7)	2012	-	47,754	2,880	-	9,376	5,072	65,082
		2011	-	46,818	2,880	-	9,847	4,035	63,580
		2010	-	45,900	4,080	-	13,447	1,071	64,498
Seattle	Michael L. Wilson(8)	2012	-	18,525	-	-	15,078	85,984	119,587
Office of Finance	John D. Fisk(9)	2012	-	15,000	-	-	13,536	5,173	33,709
		2011	-	14,700	-	-	13,506	4,311	32,517
		2010	-	14,700	-	-	13,296	-	27,996

<sup>\*</sup> Only individual amounts greater than \$25,000 are required to be disclosed in the footnotes.

(1) Perquisites and other benefits amount for Mr. Hjerpe includes the following: personal use of an FHLBank of Boston-owned vehicle, parking, reimbursement for mass transportation, spousal travel expenses and airline program memberships.

- (2) Perquisites and other benefits amount for 2012 for Mr. DelliBovi includes the following: personal use of an FHLBank of New York-provided vehicle, payment of vision insurance premium, payments for dollar amount of funds matched in connection with the Pentegra Defined Contribution Plan for Financial Institutions, payment of group term life insurance premium and supplemental individual term life insurance premium, payment of long term disability insurance premium, payment of health insurance premium, payment of dental insurance premium, payment of employee assistance program premium and reimbursement for financial counseling costs for participants in terminated plans.
- (3) Perquisites for Mr. McMullan include a \$1,500 per month automobile allowance. Perquisites are valued at the actual amounts paid by the FHLBank of Atlanta and the value of each perquisite was less than \$25,000.
- (4) Perquisites and other benefits amount for Mr. Hehman includes the following: personal use and subsequently a retirement gift of an FHLBank of Cincinnati-owned vehicle, professional income tax service, spousal travel and a membership in a waiting area designated for frequent airline travelers.
- Perquisites and other benefits amount for Mr. Swanson includes the following: personal use of an FHLBank of Des Moines-provided vehicle and financial planning allowance.
   Perquisites and other benefits amount for Mr. Smith includes the following: personal use of an FHLBank of Dallas-leased vehicle and spousal travel and meal cost
- reimbursements in connection with some of the FHLBank of Dallas' board functions. Other includes payouts for unused vacation and unused flex leave. (7) Perquisites and other benefit amounts for Mr. Schultz include the following: personal use of an FHLBank of San Francisco-provided vehicle, financial planning, health club
- (7) Perquisites and other benefit amounts for Mr. Schultz include the following: personal use of an FHLBank of San Francisco-provided vehicle, financial planning, health club membership dues and parking expenses.
- (8) Perquisites and other benefits amount for Mr. Wilson include FHLBank of Seattle car allowance, office parking and health club membership. Other includes relocation benefits paid to Mr. Wilson in 2012, as part of his employment terms.
- (9) Perquisites and other benefits amount for Mr. Fisk includes the personal use of an Office of Finance-provided vehicle.

## Table S-3 - Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Year 2012

(whole dollars)

				Estimated Future Page	youts ı	Inder Non-Equity I	ncentiv	e Plan Awards
FHLBank	President/CEO Name		Grant Date	Threshold (\$)		Target (\$)	Ma	aximum (\$)
Boston	Edward A. Hjerpe III	(1)	-	\$ 93,600	\$	187,200	\$	280,800
New York	Alfred A. DelliBovi		3/22/2012	167,951		305,366		580,196
Pittsburgh	Winthrop Watson	(2)	—	204,100		357,500		510,250
		(3)	_	130,000		227,500		325,000
Atlanta	W. Wesley McMullan		1/26/2012	208,000		416,000		624,000
Cincinnati	Andrew S. Howell	(4)	3/15/2012	220,073		330,108		440,145
		(5)	3/15/2012	69,973		155,496		256,568
	David H. Hehman	(4)(6)	3/15/2012	134,824		202,235		269,647
		(5)(6)	3/15/2012	11,996		26,658		43,986
Indianapolis	Milton J. Miller, II	(2)	12/1/2011	1,509		226,317		301,757
		(7)	12/1/2011	205,779		274,372		342,965
Chicago	Matthew R. Feldman	(8)	1/23/2012	-		216,000		360,000
		(9)	1/23/2012	-		216,000		360,000
Des Moines	Richard S. Swanson	(2)	4/11/2012	162,500		243,750		325,000
		(10)	4/11/2012	162,500		243,750		325,000
		(11)	4/11/2012	162,500		243,750		325,000
Dallas	Terry Smith	(2)	—	88,284		254,377		299,267
		(12)	—	140,885		266,117		352,214
Topeka	Andrew J. Jetter	(13)	1/1/2012	379,260		505,680		632,100
		(12)	1/1/2012	189,630		252,840		316,050
San Francisco	Dean Schultz	(2)	—	159,200		318,400		398,000
		(12)	—	159,200		318,400		398,000
Seattle	Michael L. Wilson	(2)	1/30/2012	52,688		105,377		184,410
		(12)	1/30/2012	114,000		228,000		342,000
Office of Finance	John D. Fisk	(14)	2/1/2012	121,543		243,087		410,209
		(15)	2/1/2012	121,543		243,087		410,209

(1) Represents estimate of annual short-term incentive compensation for January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 under the FHLBank of Boston 2012 executive incentive compensation plan. The estimated future payout for the long-term component of the 2012 executive incentive compensation plan is based, in part, on the results of the short-term component at year-end 2012. The actual future payout for the long-term component will then be interpolated between threshold and target, or between target and excess, depending on the actual results of achievement for the long-term component goal as determined at year-end 2014.

	Estima	Estimated Future Payouts under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards for the Long-term Component (whole dollars):								
If the Short-term component results in:	Tł	Threshold				Excess				
Threshold	\$	31,200	\$	62,400	\$	93,600				
Target		62,400		124,800		187,200				
Excess		93,600		187,200		280,800				

- (2) Represents estimate of annual short-term incentive compensation for January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.
- (3) The FHLBank of Pittsburgh's transition plan was put into place to assist in the transition of the FHLBank of Pittsburgh's executive incentive plan from a plan without a deferred component to one with a deferred component, where the annual goal under the 2012 incentive plan serves as the 2012 goal under the transition plan. The award levels were established to bridge the cash component levels until the ongoing deferral payments are fully implemented and are expressed as a percent of base salary.
- (4) Represents estimated payout under the FHLBank of Cincinnati's incentive plan; 50% of this payout will be awarded in cash following the plan year, the other 50% will be mandatorily deferred for three years. The final value of the deferred award can be increased, decreased or remain the same based on the achievement level of the deferred goals during the three-year period.
- (5) Represents estimated payout under the transition plan for the FHLBank of Cincinnati; designed only for the 2012-2014 period.
- (6) Mr. Hehman's estimated future payouts under the FHLBank of Cincinnati's incentive plan and the transition plan were pro-rated based on his retirement date of June 1. 2012. As such, he is ineligible for the 2013 - 2015 deferred portion of the estimated future payout under the FHLBank of Cincinnati's incentive plan.
- (7) Represents the estimated payout under the deferred incentive plan for the FHLBank of Indianapolis; payout is based upon the earn-out under the annual incentive plan and upon attaining the minimum threshold over 3-year deferral period (2013-2015).
- (8) Represents the potential payouts under Mr. Feldman's incentive compensation plan for the period from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.
- (9) Represents the potential payout under the FHLBank of Chicago' key employee long term incentive compensation for the period from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2014.
   (10) Represents estimate of deferred incentive compensation for the FHLBank of Des Moines for the four-year performance cycle beginning January 1, 2012 and ending December 31, 2015.
- (11) Represents estimate of gap-year incentive compensation for the FHLBank of Des Moines for the three-year performance cycle beginning January 1, 2012 and ending December 31, 2014.
- (12) Represents estimate of long-term incentive compensation for the three-year performance cycle beginning January 1, 2012 and ending December 31, 2014.
- (13) Represents applicable range of estimated future payouts for the FHLBank of Topeka for two separate three-year performance periods and do not represent amounts actually earned or awarded for fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Payments are calculated using the base salary in effect on January 1 at the beginning of the performance period. Awards, if any, under this plans are payable in the year following the end of the three-year performance period.
- (14) Represents estimated payout under the combined incentive award, approved by the Office of Finance Board of Directors in 2012, which has two components: 50% annual and 50% deferred. These amounts represent the award opportunity for the annual portion payable in 2013.
- (15) Represents estimated payout under the combined incentive award, approved by the Office of Finance Board of Directors in 2012, which has two components: 50% annual and 50% deferred. The first half of the deferred portion is payable in 2014 subject to Board approval and the second half is payable in 2015 subject to Board approval.

#### Table S-4 - Pension Benefits for Year 2012

(whole dollars)

FHLBank	President/CEO Name	Plan Name*	Number of Years Credited Service	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During 2012 (\$)
Boston	Edward A. Hjerpe III(1)	Pentegra DBP	20.7	\$ 941,000	\$ —
		BEP	3.5	237,000	-
New York	Alfred A. DelliBovi(2)	Pentegra DBP	19.8	1,834,000	-
		BEP	19.8	7,072,000	_
Pittsburgh	Winthrop Watson(3)	Pentegra DBP	2.6	97,000	-
		SERP	3.1	190,000	-
Atlanta	W. Wesley McMullan(4)	Pentegra DBP	24.8	1,196,000	_
		BEP	24.8	2,723,000	-
Cincinnati	Andrew S. Howell(5)	Pentegra DBP	22.5	1,223,000	-
		BEP	22.5	1,581,000	-
	David H. Hehman(5)	Pentegra DBP	34.4	198,000	2,198,000
		BEP	34.4	11,351,000	1,580,000
Indianapolis	Milton J. Miller, II(6)(7)	Pentegra DBP	35	782,000	_
		SERP	35	6,042,000	_
Chicago	Matthew R. Feldman(8)	Pentegra DBP	8.8	552,000	-
		BEP	8.8	1,077,000	-
Des Moines	Richard S. Swanson(9)	Pentegra DBP	5.6	458,000	_
		BEP	5.6	1,219,000	-
Dallas	Terry Smith (10)	Pentegra DBP	27	2,019,000	-
Topeka	Andrew J. Jetter(11)	Pentegra DBP	24.6	1,351,000	_
		BEP	24.6	4,186,000	-
San Francisco	Dean Schultz(12)	BEP	27.8	3,156,688	-
		SERP	10	1,961,618	-
		СВР	27.8	448,644	-
		FIRF	11	494,359	-
		DCP	27.8	64,090	-
Seattle	Michael L. Wilson(13)	Pentegra DBP	18	1,027,000	_
		BEP	1	75,000	_
Office of Finance	John D. Fisk(14)	Pentegra DBP	8.1	476,000	-
		SRP	8.1	1,368,000	-

- Pentegra DBP = Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions BEP = Benefit Equalization Plan
   SERP = Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan
   FIRF = Financial Institutions Retirement Fund
  - CBP = Cash Balance Plan
  - DCP = Deferred Compensation Plan
- (1) Formula: 1.5% × high five-year average compensation × credited years of service, subject to a maximum annual benefit amount not to exceed 80% of high five-year average compensation.
  - Compensation is the highest five-year compensation (salary and incentive) paid in the year.
  - The regular form of retirement benefits is a straight-life annuity including a lump-sum retirement death benefit.
- Mr. Hjerpe's credited years of service for the Pentegra DBP includes 13.6 years of service at the FHLBank of Boston and 7.1 years of service at a previous employer that participated in the Pentegra DBP.
- (2) Formula: 2.5% × years of benefit service (not to exceed 30) × highest consecutive three-year average earnings. Earnings are defined as base salary plus short-term incentives, and overtime, subject to the annual Internal Revenue Code limit. The normal form of payment is a life annuity with a 12 year guaranteed payment, which means that if retiree dies prior to receiving 12 years of annuity payments, the retiree's beneficiary will receive a lump sum equal to the remaining unpaid payments in the 12 year period.
- (3) Formula: 1.5% × years of benefit service × high five-year average compensation. Compensation covered for the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan includes annual base salary, subject to IRS limitations. Compensation covered for the SERP includes annual base salary and annual incentive compensation, without regard to IRS limitations. The regular form of retirement benefits provides a single life annuity; a lump sum option is also available.
- (4) The "Present Value of Accumulated Benefit" is the present value of the annual pension benefit that was earned as of December 31, 2012, assuming retirement at age 65. Benefits under the plan were calculated using a 4.05% discount rate; 4.10% was used to calculate benefits under the excess plan.
- (5) Formula: 2.5% × years of benefit service × highest three-year average compensation.
   Compensation is defined as base salary and annual incentive compensation and excludes any deferred incentive payments or payments received from the LTI Plan.
- The regular form of retirement benefits is a single-life annuity including a lump-sum retirement death benefit.
  (6) The years of credited service for Mr. Miller in Table S-4 have been increased by three years as a result of the terms of the early retirement incentive package. The early retirement incentive was offered to all employees are 50 or older with 10 or more years of service as of December 15, 2006.
- (7) Formula: 2.5% × years of benefit service × high three-year average compensation plus, at age 66, an annual retiree cost of living adjustment of three percent without regard to the IRS limits
  - The remuneration covered includes salary, bonus, and any other compensation (except for long-term incentive plan), that is reflected on the Internal Revenue Service Form W-2 (exclusive of any compensation deferred from a prior year).
  - The regular form of retirement benefits provides for a lump sum payment or annual installments up to 20 years or a combination of lump sum and annual payments.
     Benefit payments commencing before age 65 are reduced by applying an early retirement factor based on the employee's age when payments begin. The allowance payable at age 65 would be reduced by 3% for each year under age 65. If the sum of the age and years of vesting service at termination of employment is at least 70, the retirement allowance would be reduced by 1.5% for each year under age 65.
- (8) Formula: 2.25% × the number of years credited service × highest five-year compensation. Compensation is the average annual salary (base and short-term incentive compensation) for the five consecutive years of highest salary. At December 31, 2012, the additional present value of accrued benefit due Mr. Feldman under section (7)(b)(vi) of his employment agreement is \$1,317,000. The regular form of retirement benefits is an annuity or a lump-sum retirement death benefit.
- (9) Formula: 2.25% × the number of years credited service × highest three consecutive year's average compensation. Average compensation is defined as the total taxable compensation as reported on the IRS Form W-2.
- (10) Formula: (3% × years of service credited prior to July 1, 2003 × high three-year average compensation (consecutive years)) plus (2% × years of service credited on or after July 1, 2003 × high three-year average compensation (consecutive years)). The particle plus instruction of the particle of

The pension plan limits the maximum years of benefit service to 30 years. Compensation covered by the plan includes taxable compensation as reported on Mr. Smith's W-2 (exclusive of any compensation deferred from a prior year) plus any pre-tax contributions to the FHLBank of Dallas' Section 401(k) plan and/or Section 125 cafeteria plan, subject to the 2012 IRS limitation of \$250,000 per year. For 2013, the IRS increased the maximum compensation limit to \$255,000 per year.

The regular form of retirement benefit is a single life annuity that includes a lump-sum death benefit. The normal retirement age is 65, but Mr. Smith is eligible to receive an unreduced retirement benefit beginning at age 60. The FHLBank of Dallas does not have a supplemental defined benefit plan that covers compensation in excess of the IRS maximum limit; accordingly, Table S-4 reflects the estimated pension benefits payable to Mr. Smith based solely on the IRS compensation limit as his compensation exceeded such limit.

(11) Formula: Starting September 2003 Pentegra Defined Plan Benefit = 2.0% × years of benefit service (not to exceed 30 years) × high three-year average compensation. Benefit service begins one year after employment.

Prior to September 2003 FIRF Benefit = 2.25% × years of benefit service (not to exceed 30 years) × high three-year average compensation. Benefit service begins one year after employment.

Compensation covered includes annual base salary plus incentive compensation subject to the 2012 annual IRS limitation of \$250,000.

The regular form of retirement benefits provides a single life annuity, a lump sum payment or other additional payment options.

(12) Benefit Equalization Plan

The Benefit Equalization Plan is an unfunded and non-qualified plan that is designed to restore retirement benefits lost under the Cash Balance Plan and the FHLBank of San Fransisco's savings plan (a defined contribution plan) because of compensation and benefits limitations imposed on the Cash Balance Plan and the savings plan under the Internal Revenue Code (IRC). An employee's benefits that would have been credited under the Cash Balance Plan or the savings plan but for the limitations imposed on those plans under the IRC are credited as supplemental cash balance benefits under the BEP and the credits accrue interest at an annual rate of 6% until paid. The amounts credited or accrued under the BEP vest according to the corresponding provisions of the Cash Balance Plan and the savings plan.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

The SERP, is an unfunded and non-qualified retirement benefit plan that provides a cash balance benefit to the FHLBank of San Francisco's senior officers that is in addition to the Cash Balance Plan benefits. For this plan, years of credited service represent the years of participation since the inception of the plan in 2003. The SERP supplements the Cash Balance Plan benefits to provide a competitive postretirement compensation package that is intended to help the FHLBank of San Francisco attract and retain key senior officers who are critical to the success of the FHLBank of San Francisco.

Cash Balance Plan and the Financial Institutions Retirement Fund

The FHLBank of San Francisco began offering benefits under the Cash Balance Plan on January 1, 1996. The CBP is a tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan that covers employees who have completed six months of service, including the president. Each year, eligible employees accrue benefits equal to 6% of their total annual compensation (which includes base salary and short-term cash incentive compensation) plus interest equal to 6% of their account balances accrued through the prior year, referred to as the annual benefit component of the CBP.

The benefits under the CBP annual benefit component are fully vested after an employee completes 3 years of service. Vested amounts are generally payable in a lump sum or in an annuity when the employee leaves the FHLBank of San Francisco.

Prior to offering benefits under the CBP, the FHLBank of San Francisco participated in the Financial Institutions Retirement Fund. The FIRF is a multiple-employer tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan. The FHLBank of San Francisco withdrew from the FIRF on December 31, 1995 at which time benefits earned under the FIRF were fully vested and the value of those benefits was then frozen. As of December 31, 1995, the FHLBank of San Francisco calculated each participant's FIRF benefit based on the participant's then-highest three consecutive years' average pay multiplied by the participant's years of service multiplied by two percent, referred to as the frozen FIRF benefit. Upon retirement, participants will be eligible to receive their frozen FIRF benefits.

In addition, to preserve the value of the participant's frozen FIRF benefit, the FHLBank of San Francisco maintains the ratio of each participant's frozen FIRF annuity payments to the participant's highest three consecutive years' average pay as of December 31, 1995 (annuity ratio), which is referred to as the net transition benefit component of the CBP. Upon retirement, each participant with a frozen FIRF benefit will receive a net transition benefit under the CBP that equals his or her highest three consecutive years' average pay at retirement multiplied by his or her annuity ratio minus the frozen FIRF benefit. Deferred Compensation Plan

The FHLBank of San Francisco's Deferred Compensation Plan is an unfunded and non-qualified plan, consisting of three components: (1) employee deferral of current compensation, short-term incentive and long-term incentive, when applicable; (2) make-up matching contributions that would have been made by the FHLBank of San Francisco under the savings plan had the base salary compensation not been deferred; and, (3) make-up pension benefits that would have been earned under the Cash Balance Plan had total annual compensation (base salary and short-term cash incentive compensation) not been deferred.

(13) Pentegra DB Plan

The Pentegra DB Plan at the FHLBank of Seattle provides a normal retirement benefit equal to 2.5% of the participant's average annual compensation for the three highest consecutive years during the participant's years of credited service, multiplied by the participant's years of credited service, subject to IRC compensation limits and vesting provisions. Compensation is defined as base salary plus overtime and bonuses.

Retirement Benefit Equalization Plan

The FHLBank of Seattle's retirement BEP is a non-qualified defined benefit pension plan that provides eligible executives, whose benefits under the Pentegra DB Plan are limited by the IRC limits, including the annual compensation limit, with a supplemental pension benefit. This supplemental benefit is equal to the benefit that would have been paid from the Pentegra DB Plan in the absence of the IRC limits, less the amount that the executive actually receives from the Pentegra DB Plan.

(14) Formula: Starting July 2011 - 2.0% × years of benefit service × high three-year average compensation. Benefits earned from April 2003 to June 2011 are frozen under the prior benefit formula of 2.25%.

#### Table S-5 - Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation for Year 2012

(whole dollars)

FHLBank	President/CEO Name	President/CEO Contributions (\$)	FHLBank Contributions (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Earnings (\$)	Aggregate Balance at 12/31/12 (\$)
Boston	Edward A. Hjerpe III	\$ 26,210	\$ 37,420	\$ —	\$ 13,747	\$ 178,891
Pittsburgh	Winthrop Watson	103,789	40,683	_	25,931	350,172
Atlanta	W. Wesley McMullan	22,000	24,000	_	27,156	322,070
Chicago	Matthew R. Feldman	28,200	—	118,870	493	263,870
Des Moines	Richard S. Swanson	92,609	40,994	_	43,163	365,690
Dallas	Terry Smith	2,040	488,654	30,744	241,525	2,932,921
Topeka	Andrew J. Jetter	15,440	30,880	-	60,159	1,179,335
San Francisco	Dean Schultz	—	—	492,854	17,372	18
Seattle	Michael L. Wilson	3,325	2,850	-	-	6,175
Office of Finance	John D. Fisk	54,511	56,511	_	138,881	1,181,645

## Office of Finance CEO 2012 Compensation Discussion and Analysis

### Compensation Philosophy and Objectives.

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee (HR Committee) serves as the compensation committee of the Office of Finance Board of Directors. The compensation program for the Office of Finance CEO is designed to provide a flexible and market-based approach to compensation that attracts, motivates and retains an executive with the skills and expertise necessary to enable the Office of Finance to meet or exceed its business goals. To achieve these objectives, the Office of Finance compensates the CEO using a total compensation program approach that combines base salary, short- and long-term variable (incentive-based) compensation, retirement benefits and modest fringe benefits. The objectives of the compensation program are to establish and communicate short- and long-term standards of performance for the successful achievement of the Office of Finance's mission and to recognize, motivate and reward the CEO commensurate with his contributions.

The Office of Finance Board of Directors believes that its compensation philosophy is effective in attracting, retaining and motivating a highly qualified individual. The Office of Finance Board of Directors reviews annually the compensation program to ensure that it is consistent with and supports the Office of Finance's business strategies and objectives. The FHFA's five guiding principles for sound incentive compensation practices were incorporated into the development, implementation, and review of compensation policies and practices for the Office of Finance CEO in 2012.

## Competition and Compensation Benchmarking.

Role of the HR Committee and the Office of Finance Board of Directors in Setting Executive Compensation. The HR Committee and the Office of Finance Board of Directors align the executive compensation program with the Office of Finance's business objectives and focus the CEO's efforts on fulfilling these goals. The HR Committee reviews the CEO's performance and researches and recommends the CEO salary to the Office of Finance Board of Directors. The percentage of salary increase that will apply to a base pay merit adjustment for each year is recommended by the HR Committee for approval by the Office of Finance Board of Directors. The retirement benefit plans that are offered, and any changes to those plans from year to year, are approved by the Office of Finance Board of Directors after a recommendation by the HR Committee. The HR Committee also recommends the goals, payouts and qualifications for both the annual executive non-equity incentive compensation plan and the long-term non-equity incentive compensation plan for approval by the Office of Finance Board of Directors.

*Role of Compensation Consultant in Setting Executive Compensation.* The salary and benefit benchmarks used by the Office of Finance to establish reasonable and competitive compensation for its employees are the competitor groups established by Aon Consulting and its affiliate, McLagan Partners, as presented in Table S-6. The benchmarking analysis included the following competitor positions: the CEO of each FHLBank; the head of debt capital markets for certain commercial banks; the CEO of the mortgage business for certain commercial banks; the proxy data for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; and the publicly available data for the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation.

Ally Financial Inc.	Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati	National Australia Bank
Astoria Federal Savings	Federal Home Loan Bank of Dallas	Natixis
Australia & New Zealand Banking Group	Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines	Opus Bank
Banco Santander	Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis	PNC Bank
Bank of the West	Federal Home Loan Bank of New York	Rabobank Nederland
Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ	Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh	Regions Financial Corporation
Bayerische Landesbank	Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco	Royal Bank of Canada
BBVA Compass	Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle	Royal Bank of Scotland
BMO Financial Group	Federal Home Loan Bank of Topeka	Societe Generale
BNP Paribas	Fifth Third Bank	Standard Bank
BOK Financial Corporation	FNB Omaha	Standard Chartered Bank
Branch Banking & Trust Co.	Freddie Mac	SunTrust Banks
Capital One	GE Capital	SVB Financial Group
CIBC World Markets	HSBC	TD Securities
Cole Taylor Bank	ING	The Bank of Nova Scotia
Commerzbank	KeyCorp	The CIT Group
Crédit Agricole CIB	Lloyds Banking Group	UniCredit
Fannie Mae	M&T Bank Corporation	Union Bank, N.A.
Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta	MetLife Bank	Webster Bank
Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston	Mitsubishi Securities	Wells Fargo Bank
Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago	Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd.	WestLB

## **Table S-6 - Benchmarking Institutions**

## Elements of Total Compensation Program.

*Base Salary.* Base salary is a key component of the Office of Finance's total CEO compensation program. In setting the base salary for the CEO, the Office of Finance Board of Directors has discretion to consider a wide range of factors, including the CEO's individual performance, the performance of the Office of Finance overall, the CEO's tenure and the amount of the CEO base salary relative to the base salaries paid to executives in similar positions in the 50th percentile of executive salaries in the Office of Finance's peer groups. The Office of Finance Board of Directors also considers the amount and relative percentage of the CEO's total compensation that is derived from base salary.

The Office of Finance Board of Directors approved, effective January 1, 2012, a 3% base salary increase for 2012, resulting in an annual base salary of \$607,717.

*Combined Executive Incentive Compensation Plan.* The Office of Finance's CEO Incentive Compensation Plan is an annual cash-based incentive compensation plan designed to promote and reward high levels of performance for accomplishing Office of Finance Board of Directors-approved goals. The annual goals reflect desired performance and the Office of Finance mission. Each goal is assigned a weight reflecting its relative importance and potential effect on the Office of Finance's strategic initiatives and annual business plan. The CEO is eligible to receive 50% of the combined plan award as a cash payment and 50% of the award is deferred for two years.

The Office of Finance Board of Directors approved four goals under the 2012 Combined Executive Incentive Compensation Plan that are intended to reinforce the strategic plan actions and value delivered by the Office of Finance to support the mission of the FHLBanks.

- Bank Stakeholders (25% weight) consisted of serving the needs of the FHLBanks individually and collectively.
- Investor Stakeholders (25% weight) consisted of managing relationships with investors and other constituencies to improve debt demand, enhance funding flexibility and maintain market confidence in FHLBank debt.
- Risk Management (25% weight) consisted of mitigating risk and improving results through sustained operational excellence.
- People (25% weight) consisted of being an agile, cohesive, high performing team with individual accountability.

The authorization for payment of awards is provided following a review of the year-end performance results by the Office of Finance Board of Directors at its first meeting subsequent to year end. The cash incentive payments are determined based on the actual performance in comparison with the performance levels established for each goal. If actual performance falls below the threshold level of performance, no payment is made for that goal. If actual performance exceeds the maximum level, only the value assigned as the performance maximum is paid. When actual performance falls between the assigned threshold, target and maximum performance levels, an interpolation is calculated for that goal. The achievement level for each goal is then multiplied by the corresponding incentive weight assigned to that goal and the results for each goal are summed to arrive at the final incentive award payable to the Office of Finance's CEO.

The CEO is assigned a combined incentive award opportunity, stated as a percentage of base salary, which corresponds to the level of organizational responsibility and ability to contribute to and influence overall Office of Finance performance. At its February 13, 2013 meeting, the Office of Finance Board of Directors authorized a Combined Executive Incentive Compensation Plan award of \$674,444 (110.9%) for John Fisk, of which 50%, \$337,222, was paid in 2013 and 50% is deferred over two years. The deferred award expected to be paid in 2014 is \$168,611, and the deferred award expected to be paid in 2015 is \$168,611. The deferred awards will be reviewed prior to payment based on established criteria by the Office of Finance Board of Directors.

# Table S-7 - Combined Executive Incentive Compensation Plan Results (whole dellare)

(whole dollars)

Goal	Weight	Overall Award Level	Total Award		
Bank Stakeholders	25%	Between target and maximum	\$	168,611	
Investor Stakeholders	25%	Between target and maximum		168,611	
Risk Management	25%	Between target and maximum		168,611	
People	25%	Between target and maximum		168,611	
Total	100%		\$	674,444	

Long-Term Non-Equity Incentive Compensation Plan. To remain market-competitive and to facilitate the long term focus on safe and sound operations, the Office of Finance Board of Directors had historically established an annual Long-Term Incentive opportunity based on a rolling three-year performance period. For example, payments earned for the 2010-2012 plan period were paid in 2013. The Office of Finance's Long-Term Incentive was a cash-based performance plan designed to promote high levels of performance, to create long-term ties between key employees and the Office of Finance, to establish a career orientation within the Office of Finance and to ensure retention of talent. The Long-Term Incentive Plan was replaced by the Combined Executive Incentive Compensation Plan created by Resolution dated May 9, 2011. 2010-2012 is the last Long-Term Incentive Plan period to be paid for the CEO.

On February 13, 2013, the Office of Finance Board of Directors approved a Long-Term Incentive payment to John Fisk of \$353,914 for the 2010-2012 plan, which concluded on December 31, 2012. The Office of Finance Board of Directors approved the payment based on a 100% deferral of the 2010 annual incentive, which was determined as follows:

# Table S-8 - 2010 - 2012 Long-term Non-Equity Incentive Compensation Plan Results

(whole dollars)

Goal	Weight	Overall Award Level	То	tal Award
Bank Stakeholders	40%	Between Target and Maximum	\$	96,952
Investor Stakeholders	30%	Between Target and Maximum		70,856
Operations	15%	Maximum		45,553
Combined Financial Reporting	15%	Maximum	\$	45,553
Total Quantitative Results	100%		\$	258,914
Qualitative Evaluation			\$	95,000
Total Quantitative and Qualitative			\$	353,914

*Retirement Benefits.* The Office of Finance maintains a comprehensive retirement program for the CEO comprised of a combination of two IRS qualified plans and two non-qualified plans.

- Qualified Defined Benefit Pension Plan The Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan is a funded tax-qualified plan that is maintained on a non-contributory basis, i.e., no employee contributions. Participants' pension benefits are 100% vested upon completion of six years of service. The pension benefits payable under the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan are determined under a pre-established formula that provides a single life annuity payable monthly at normal retirement (age 65), or other actuarially equivalent forms of benefit payments, including an early retirement option. The benefit formula through June 30, 2011 was 2.25% for each year of benefit service multiplied by the highest three-year average compensation. As of July 1, 2011, the benefit formula was reduced to 2.0% for each year of benefit service multiplied by the highest three-year average compensation.
- Non-qualified Defined Benefit Pension Plan The CEO is eligible to participate in the Supplemental Retirement Plan, an unfunded, non-qualified pension plan that mirrors the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan in all material respects. In the event that benefits payable from the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan have been reduced or otherwise limited by IRS provision, the executive's lost benefits are payable under the terms of the Supplemental Retirement Plan. Because this plan is a non-qualified plan, the benefits received from this plan do not receive the same funding protection associated with the qualified plan.
- Qualified Defined Contribution Plan The Pentegra Defined Contribution Plan for Financial Institutions is a tax-qualified defined contribution plan to which the Office of Finance makes tenure-based matching contributions. The matching contribution begins upon completion of one year of employment and subsequently increases based on length of employment to a maximum of six percent of base salary. Under the Pentegra Defined Contribution Plan, a participant may elect to contribute up to 50% of base salary on either a before-tax, i.e., 401(k), or after-tax basis. The plan permits participants to self-direct investment elections into one or more investment funds, which may be changed daily by the participants. A participant may withdraw vested account balances while employed, subject to certain IRS and plan limitations.
- Non-qualified Defined Contribution Plan The CEO is eligible to participate in the Supplemental Thrift Plan, an unfunded, non-qualified, contributory pension plan that mirrors the Pentegra Defined Contribution Plan in all material respects. This plan restores benefits that participants would have received absent IRS limits on contributions to the Pentegra Defined Contribution Plan. Under the Supplemental Thrift Plan, participants may elect to contribute up to 50% of base salary and up to 100% of incentive compensation on a pre-tax basis. As in the Pentegra Defined Contribution Plan, the employer match in the Supplemental Thrift Plan is tenure-based with a six percent maximum. This plan permits participants to self-direct investment elections into a choice of ten investment funds.

*Perquisites.* The perquisites provided by the Office of Finance represent a small fraction of the CEO's total compensation and are provided in accordance with market practices for executives in similar positions and with similar responsibilities. During 2012, the CEO was provided with an Office of Finance-owned vehicle for his business and personal use. The operating expenses associated with the vehicle were also provided. The CEO's personal use of the Office of Finance-owned vehicle, including use for the daily commute to and from work, is reported as a taxable fringe benefit.

*Financial Counseling.* The CEO is eligible for an annual reimbursement of personal financial counseling not to exceed \$10,000; however, this benefit was not used in 2012.

## **Director Compensation**

In accordance with the regulations of the FHFA under the FHLBank Act, the FHLBanks have established formal policies governing the compensation and travel reimbursement provided to their directors. The goal of the policies is to compensate members of the board of directors for work performed on behalf of the FHLBanks. Under these policies, compensation consists of per-meeting fees. The meeting fees compensate directors for:

- time spent reviewing materials sent to them on a periodic basis by the FHLBanks;
- preparation for meetings;
- participation in any other activities for the FHLBanks; and
- actual time spent attending the meetings of the board or its committee.

Directors are also reimbursed for reasonable FHLBank-related travel expenses, which are not included in Table S-9 - Director Compensation for Year 2012.

An FHFA rule allows each FHLBank to pay its directors reasonable compensation and expenses, subject to the authority of the Director of the FHFA to object to, and to prohibit prospectively, compensation and/or expenses that the Director of the FHFA determines are not reasonable. (See FHLBank Management and Compensation-FHLBank Directors and FHLBank Management and Compensation-FHLBank Directors and FHLBank Management and Compensation and compensation and compensation has been provided for each FHLBank primarily based on the information disclosed in its annual report on SEC Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

# Table S-9 - Director Compensation for Year 2012 (whole dollars)

(whole doll	ars)
-------------	------

FHLBank	Director Name	Position	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	All Other Compensation(\$)	Total (\$)
Boston	Jan A. Miller	Chair	\$ 60,000	\$ —	\$ 60,000
	Jay F. Malcynsky	Vice-Chair	55,000	-	55,000
New York	Michael M. Horn	Chair	100,000	-	100,000
	Josè Ramon Gonzàlez	Vice-Chair	85,000	-	85,000
Pittsburgh	Dennis S. Marlo	Chair	76,000	24	76,024
	John K. Darr	Vice-Chair	63,500	24	63,524
Atlanta	Scott C. Harvard	Chair	70,000	-	70,000
	William C. Handorf	Vice-Chair	65,000	-	65,000
Cincinnati	Carl F. Wick	Chair	78,000	970	78,970
	B. Proctor Caudill, Jr.	Vice-Chair	69,000	1,123	70,123
Indianapolis	Paul C. Clabuesch	Chair	110,000	-	110,000
	Jeffrey A. Poxon	Vice-Chair	85,000	_	85,000
Chicago	Thomas L. Herlache	Chair	73,393	-	73,393
	Steven F. Rosenbaum	Vice-Chair	67,500	-	67,500
Des Moines	Michael K. Guttau	Chair	75,000	_	75,000
	Eric A. Hardmeyer	Vice-Chair	65,000	_	65,000
Dallas	Lee R. Gibson	Chair	90,000	-	90,000
	Mary E. Ceverha	Vice-Chair	65,000	-	65,000
Topeka	Ronald K. Wente	Chair	100,000	-	100,000
	Robert E. Caldwell, II	Vice-Chair	85,000	-	85,000
San Francisco	Timothy R. Chrisman	Chair	95,000	-	95,000
	John F. Luikart	Vice-Chair	89,653	-	89,653
Seattle	William V. Humphreys	Chair	60,000	-	60,000
	Craig E. Dahl	Vice-Chair	55,000	-	55,000
Office of Finance(1)	H Ronald Weissman	Chair	125,000	-	125,000

(1) Dean Schultz, Chief Executive Officer and President of the FHLBank of San Francisco, serves as the Vice-Chair of the Office of Finance Board of Directors. Per FHFA regulation, an FHLBank president shall not receive any additional compensation or reimbursement as a result of his service as a director of the Office of Finance Board of Directors.

(This page intentionally left blank)

# Individual Federal Home Loan Bank Selected Financial Data and Financial Ratios

The following individual Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLBank) selected financial data and financial ratios are provided as a convenience to the reader. Please refer to *Explanatory Statement about Federal Home Loan Banks Combined Financial Report*, which discusses the independent management and operation of the FHLBanks; identifies the availability of other information about the FHLBanks; and describes where to find the periodic reports and other information filed by each FHLBank with the SEC.

# Individual FHLBank Selected Financial Data and Financial Ratios

(dollars in millions)	 Boston		New York	P	ittsburgh
Selected Statement of Condition Data(1)					
At December 31, 2012					
Assets					
Investments(2)	\$ 15,554	\$	17,459	\$	19,057
Advances	20,790		75 <i>,</i> 888		40,498
Mortgage loans held for portfolio	3,483		1,850		3,547
Allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans	(4)		(7)		(14)
Total assets	40,209		102,989		64,616
Consolidated obligations(3)					
Discount notes	8,639		29,780		24,148
Bonds	 26,120		64,784		35,136
Total consolidated obligations	 34,759		94,564		59,284
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock	216		23		432
Subordinated notes(4)	_		_		-
Total capital					
Capital stock(5)					
Class B putable	3,455		4,797		2,815
Class A putable	 				_
Total capital stock	3,455		4,797		2,815
Retained earnings	588		894		559
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	 (477)		(200)		54
Total capital	3,566		5,491		3,428
Asset composition (as a percentage of the individual FHLBank's total assets)					
Investments(2)	38.7%		17.0%		29.5%
Advances	51.7%		73.7%		62.7%
Mortgage loans, net	8.7%		1.8%		5.5%
Total retained earnings as a percentage of FHLBank's total assets	1.5%		0.9%		0.9%
FHLBank's total assets as a percentage of FHLBank System's total assets	5.3%		13.5%		8.5%
At December 31, 2011					
Assets					
Investments(2)	\$ 21,379	\$	14,237	\$	16,639
Advances	25,195		70,864		30,605
Mortgage loans held for portfolio	3,117		1,415		3,897
Allowance for credit losses on mortgage loans	(8)		(7)		(14)
Total assets	49,968		97,662		51,994
Consolidated obligations(3)	15,500		57,002		51,55
Discount notes	14,652		22,123		10,922
Bonds	29,879		67,441		35,613
Total consolidated obligations	 44,531		89,564		46,535
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock	 228	_	54		40,555
Subordinated notes(4)			-		-
Total capital					
Capital stock(5)					
Class B putable	2 626		4 401		2 200
Class A putable	3,626		4,491		3,389
	_		_		_
Pre-conversion putable(6)	 2.626				2 200
Total capital stock	3,626		4,491		3,389
Retained earnings	398		746		435
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	 (535)		(191)		(161)
Total capital	 3,489		5,046		3,663
Asset composition (as a percentage of the individual FHLBank's total assets)					
Investments(2)	42.8%		14.6%		32.0%
Advances	50.4%		72.6%		58.9%
Mortgage loans, net	6.2%		1.4%		7.5%
Total retained earnings as a percentage of individual FHLBank's total assets	0.8%		0.8%		0.8%
FHLBank's total assets as a percentage of FHLBank System's total assets	6.5%		12.7%		6.8

(1) The sum or recalculation of individual FHLBank amounts may not agree or may not be recalculated from the Combined Statement of Condition amounts due to interbank combining adjustments.

(2) Investments consist of interest-bearing deposits, deposits with other FHLBanks, securities purchased under agreements to resell, Federal funds sold, trading securities, available-for-sale securities, and held-to-maturity securities. See <u>Financial Discussion and Analysis - Combined Results of Operations - Interbank Transfers of Consolidated Bonds and Their Effect on Combined Net Income.</u>

(3)

	Atlanta	 Cincinnati	 ndianapolis	 Chicago		Des Moines		Dallas	 Topeka	Sa	an Francisco		Seattle
5	30,454	\$ 19,950	\$ 16,845	\$ 40,750	\$	13,433	\$	16,200	\$ 10,775	\$	40,528	\$	25,039
	87,503	53,944	18,130	14,530		26,614		18,395	16,573		43,750		9,135
	1,255	7,548	6,011	10,474		6,968		121	5,946		1,292		1,062
	(11)	(18)	(10)	(42)		(16)		_	(5)		(3)		(2
	123,705	81,562	41,228	69,584		47,367		35,755	33,819		86,421		35,420
	31,737	30,840	8,924	31,260		8,675		6,984	8,669		5,209		21,417
	82,947	44,346	27,408	32,569		34,345		25,698	21,974		70,310		10,497
	114,684	 75,186	 36,332	 63,829	_	43,020	-	32,682	 30,643		75,519	-	31,914
	40	 211	 451	 6	_	9		5	 6		4,343		1,187
	-	-	-	1,000		-		-	-		_		_
	4,898	4,010	1,634	1,650		2,063		1,217	859		4,160		1,463
	_	 	 	 _	_				 405				10
	4,898	4,010	1,634	1,650		2,063		1,217	1,264		4,160		1,572
	1,435	538	591	1,691		622		572	482		2,247		228
	(58)	 (11)	 (9)	 107		149		(18)	 (25)		(794)		(22)
	6,275	 4,537	 2,216	 3,448		2,834		1,771	 1,721		5,613		1,57
	24.6%	24.5%	40.9%	58.6%		28.4%		45.3%	31.9%		46.9%		70.
	70.7%	66.1%	44.0%	20.9%		56.2%		51.4%	49.0%		50.6%		25.
	1.0%	9.2%	14.6%	15.0%		14.7%		0.3%	17.6%		1.5%		3.
	1.2%	0.7%	1.4%	2.4%		1.3%		1.6%	1.4%		2.6%		0.
	16.2%	10.7%	5.4%	9.1%		6.2%		4.7%	4.4%		11.3%		4.0
	36,138	\$ 21,941	\$ 15,203	\$ 40,503	\$	14,637	\$	13,538	\$ 10,576	\$	39,368	\$	27,369
	86,971	28,424	18,568	15,291		26,591		18,798	17,394		68,164		11,293
	1,639	7,871	5,958	14,163		7,157		163	4,937		1,835		1,36
	(6)	(21)	(3)	(45)		(19)		-	(3)		(6)		(
	125,270	60,397	40,375	71,255		48,733		33,770	33,190		113,552		40,18
	24,330	26,136	6,536	25,404		6,810		9,799	10,251		19,152		14,03
	90,662	 28,855	 30,358	 39,880	_	38,012		20,070	 19,895		83,350	_	23,22
	114,992	 54,991	 36,894	 65,284		44,822		29,869	 30,146		102,502		37,25
	286	275	453	4		6		15	8		5,578		1,06
	_	-	-	1,000		-		-	-		-		-
	5 740	2.426	1 5 6 2			2 100		1 250	701		4 705		1.03
	5,718	3,126	1,563 —	_		2,109		1,256	791 536		4,795		1,62 11
	_	_	_	2,402		_		_	- 530		_		-
	5,718	 3,126	1,563	 2,402		2,109		1,256	1,327		4,795		1,74
	1,254	444	497	1,321		569		495	402		1,803		15
	(411)	 (11)	 (112)	(431)	_	134		(46)	 (28)		(1,893)		(61
	6,561	 3,559	 1,948	 3,292		2,812		1,705	 1,701		4,705		1,28
	28.8%	36.3%	37.7%	56.8%		30.0%		40.1%	31.9%		34.7%		68.
	69.4%	47.1%	46.0%	21.5%		54.6%		55.7%	52.4%		60.0%		28.
	1.3%	13.0%	14.7%	19.8%		14.6%		0.5%	14.9%		1.6%		3.
		0.7%	1.2%	1.9%		1.2%			1.2%		1.6%		0.4
	1.0%	0.770	1.2/0	1.570		1.270		1.5%	1.2/0		1.070		

The FHLBank of Chicago has \$1.0 billion of subordinated notes outstanding that mature on June 13, 2016. The subordinated notes are not obligations of, and are not (4) guaranteed by, the U.S. government or any of the FHLBanks other than the FHLBank of Chicago. FHLBank capital stock is redeemable at the request of a member subject to the statutory redemption periods and other conditions and limitations. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> to

(5)

The corresponding balances for pre-conversion putable capital stock at December 31, 2011 relate solely to the FHLBank of Chicago, which did not implement its capital plan until January 1, 2012. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements.) (6)

# Individual FHLBank Selected Financial Data and Financial Ratios (continued)

(dollars in millions)	Boston	Ne	w York	Pitt	sburgh
Selected Other Data					
December 31, 2012					
Advance concentrations - top five borrowers	22%		59%		80%
Capital stock concentrations - top five stockholders	48%		54%		58%
Regulatory capital-to-assets ratio(7)	10.6%		5.6%		5.9%
Cash and stock dividends					
Year-to-date December 31, 2012	\$ 17	\$	213	\$	6
Year-to-date December 31, 2011	\$ 11	\$	210	\$	—
Year-to-date December 31, 2010	\$ _	\$	253	\$	—
Weighted-average dividend rate					
Year-to-date December 31, 2012	0.50%		4.50%		0.18%
Year-to-date December 31, 2011	0.30%		4.50%		—
Year-to-date December 31, 2010	—		5.29%		—
Return on average equity(8)					
Year-to-date December 31, 2012	6.03%		6.88%		3.75%
Year-to-date December 31, 2011	4.73%		4.83%		0.98%
Year-to-date December 31, 2010	3.52%		5.24%		0.21%
Return on average assets					
Year-to-date December 31, 2012	0.45%		0.35%		0.23%
Year-to-date December 31, 2011	0.30%		0.24%		0.07%
Year-to-date December 31, 2010	0.17%		0.25%		0.01%
Net interest margin(9)					
Year-to-date December 31, 2012	0.68%		0.46%		0.37%
Year-to-date December 31, 2011	0.58%		0.51%		0.30%
Year-to-date December 31, 2010	0.47%		0.43%		0.39%
Net interest spread					
Year-to-date December 31, 2012	0.58%		0.42%		0.30%
Year-to-date December 31, 2011	0.51%		0.47%		0.17%
Year-to-date December 31, 2010	0.40%		0.37%		0.27%

The regulatory capital ratio is calculated based on the FHLBank's total regulatory capital as a percentage of total assets held at period-end. (See <u>Note 17 - Capital</u> to the accompanying combined financial statements.) Return on average equity is net income expressed as a percentage of average total capital. Net interest margin is net interest income, before provision (reversal) for credit losses, represented as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. (7)

(8) (9)

At	tlanta	C	incinnati	Ind	ianapolis	 Chicago	Des Moines	Dallas	 Topeka	Sa	an Francisco	 Seattle
	58%		73%		48%	57%	39%	34%	49%		60%	71
	47%		53%		40%	37%	25%	24%	32%		62%	62
	5.2%		5.8%		6.5%	4.8%	5.7%	5.0%	5.2%		12.4%	8.4
	89	\$	141	\$	49	\$ 5	\$ 58	\$ 4	\$ 30	\$	47	\$ -
	54	\$	132	\$	40	\$ 2	\$ 65	\$ 5	\$ 27	\$	22	\$ -
	27	\$	138	\$	33	\$ -	\$ 61	\$ 9	\$ 37	\$	29	\$ -
	1.69%		4.44%		3.13%	0.17%	2.82%	0.38%	2.26%		0.97%	-
	0.84%		4.25%		2.50%	0.08%	3.00%	0.38%	1.99%		0.29%	-
	0.35%		4.38%		1.87%	—	2.50%	0.38%	2.36%		0.34%	-
	4.26%		6.20%		6.89%	12.90%	3.98%	4.77%	6.23%		9.44%	4.9
	2.52%		3.89%		5.63%	7.22%	2.78%	2.73%	4.43%		3.43%	6.4
	3.42%		4.67%		6.13%	14.00%	4.57%	4.23%	1.79%		6.13%	1.8
	0.22%		0.35%		0.35%	0.54%	0.23%	0.23%	0.32%		0.48%	0.1
	0.15%		0.21%		0.26%	0.28%	0.15%	0.14%	0.21%		0.15%	0.1
	0.19%		0.24%		0.24%	0.41%	0.22%	0.20%	0.08%		0.24%	0.0
	0.240/		0.46%		0.50%	0.040/	0.40%	0.45%	0.640/		0.040/	0.0
	0.31%		0.46%		0.59%	0.84%	0.49%	0.45%	0.64%		0.84%	0.3
	0.37%		0.37%		0.55%	0.69%	0.44%	0.46%	0.63%		0.74%	0.2
	0.38%		0.40%		0.57%	0.89%	0.67%	0.44%	0.60%		0.79%	0.3
	0.27%		0.40%		0.52%	0.77%	0.42%	0.41%	0.58%		0.80%	0.3
	0.32%		0.29%		0.47%	0.62%	0.36%	0.43%	0.59%		0.71%	0.2
	0.32%		0.30%		0.48%	0.83%	0.59%	0.42%	0.54%		0.76%	0.3

# INDEX OF TABLES CONTAINED IN THE COMBINED FINANCIAL REPORT

	Page
Tables Included in Business	
Table 1 - FHLBanks' Asset Composition	2
Table 2 - FHLBanks' Liability and Capital Composition	3
Table 3 - Employees	19
Tables Included in Properties and Geographic Distribution	
Table 4 - Properties and Geographic Distribution	29
Tables Included in Market for Capital Stock and Related Stockholder Matters	
Table 5 - Regulatory Capital Stock Held by Type of Member	31
Table 6 - Membership by Type of Member	31
Table 7 - Top 10 Regulatory Capital Stockholders by Holding Company at December 31, 2012	32
Table 8 - Top 5 Regulatory Capital Stockholders by FHLBank at December 31, 2012	32
Tables Included in Financial Discussion and Analysis of Combined Financial Condition and Combined Results of Operations	
Table 9 - Advances Outstanding by Product Type	41
Table 10 - Advance Originations and Repayments	41
Table 11 - Advances at Par Value by Type of Borrower	42
Table 12 - Member Borrowers by Type of Member	42
Table 13 - Top 10 Advance Holding Borrowers by Holding Company at December 31, 2012	42
Table 14 - Top 5 Advance Holding Borrowers by FHLBank at December 31, 2012	43
Table 15 - Total Investments	45
Table 16 - Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio, Net	49
Table 17 - Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio - Characteristics and Credit Losses	50
Table 18 - Interest Shortfall on Nonaccrual Loans and Loans Modified in Troubled Debt Restructurings	50
Table 19 - Consolidated Obligations Outstanding	51
Table 20 - Net Proceeds and Payments for Consolidated Obligations	51
Table 21 - Short-Term Consolidated Obligations Outstanding	51
Table 22 - Par Value of Consolidated Bonds Outstanding by Payment Terms	52
Table 23 - Percentage of Total Consolidated Bonds Issued by Bond Type	52
Table 24 - Percentage of Total Consolidated Bonds Issued by Transaction Type	53
Table 25 - Term Deposits Issued in Amounts of \$100 Thousand or More	53
Table 26 - Total Capital and Capital-to-Assets Ratios	54
Table 27 - GAAP Capital Components as a Percentage of Total Capital	55
Table 28 - Changes in Net Income	55
Table 29 - Net Interest Income after Provision (Reversal) for Credit Losses	56
Table 30 - Spread and Yield Analysis	57
Table 31 - Rate and Volume Analysis	58
Table 32 - Changes in Non-Interest Income (Loss)	59
Table 33 - Other-than-Temporary Impairment Losses	60
Table 34 - Gains (Losses) on Financial Instruments Held under Fair Value Option	61
Table 35 - Net Gains (Losses) on Derivatives and Hedging Activities	61
Table 36 - Changes in Non-interest Expense	62
Table 37 - Assessments	63
Table 38 - Effect of Combining Adjustments on Combined Statement of Income	64
Table 39 - Comprehensive Income (Loss)	64
Table 40 - Payments Due or Expiration Terms by Type of Contractual Obligation	70
Table 41 - Significant Inputs for Private-label Residential MBS and Home Equity Loan ABS	72
Table 42 - Adverse Case Scenario Recovery Ranges of Housing Price Change	73
Table 43 - Base Case and Adverse Case Scenarios	73
Table 44 - FHLBanks' Long-Term Credit Ratings, Short-Term Credit Ratings and Outlook at March 15, 2013	85
Table 45 - Lending Values by Type of Collateral for all Borrowers	87
Table 46 - Advances, Other Credit Products and Collateral Outstanding	88
Table 47 - Type of Collateral Securing Advances to Borrowers with at Least \$1.0 Billion of Advances Outstanding	89
Table 48 - Investment Ratings	91

	Page
Table 49 - Credit Ratings of Private-Label Mortgage-Backed Securities at December 31, 2012	93
Table 50 - Private-Label Mortgage-Backed Securities in a Gross Unrealized Loss Position	97
Table 51 - Unpaid Principal Balance by Fixed or Variable Rate	97
Table 52 - Unsecured Credit Exposure by Investment Type	99
Table 53 - Ratings of Unsecured Investment Credit Exposure by Domicile of Counterparty	100
Table 54 - Contractual Maturity of Unsecured Investment Credit Exposure by Domicile of Counterparty	101
Table 55 - MPP and MPF Product Comparison at December 31, 2012	102
Table 56 - Seriously Delinquent Conventional MPF Loans with Primary Mortgage Insurance	105
Table 57 - Seriously Delinquent Conventional MPP Loans with Primary Mortgage Insurance	105
Table 58 - MPF and MPP Conventional Loans by FICO <sup>®</sup> Score and Delinquency Rate	107
Table 59 - MPF and MPP Conventional Loans by LTV Ratio at Origination	107
Table 60 - State Concentrations of MPF Program	108
Table 61 - State Concentrations of MPP	108
Table 62 - Derivative Counterparty Credit Exposure at December 31, 2012	109
Tables Included in Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	
Table 63 - Individual FHLBank's Market Value of Equity and Duration of Equity Disclosures	113
Table 64 - Duration of Equity	114
Table 65 - Duration Gap	114
Table 66 - Hedging Strategies	115
Table 67 - Effect of Derivatives and Hedging Activities	117
Tables included in Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	
Table 68 - Selected Quarterly Combined Results of Operations (Unaudited)	119
Tables Included in Principal Accounting Fees and Services	
Table 69 - Principal Accounting Fees and Services	122
Tables Included in Notes to Combined Financial Statements	
Table 4.1 - Trading Securities by Major Security Type	F-23
Table 4.2 - Net Gains (Losses) on Trading Securities	F-23
Table 5.1 - Available-for-Sale (AFS) Securities by Major Security Type	F-23
Table 5.2 - AFS Securities in a Continuous Unrealized Loss Position	F-24
Table 5.3 - AFS Securities by Contractual Maturity	F-25
Table 5.4 - Interest-Rate Payment Terms of AFS Securities	F-25
Table 5.5 - Proceeds from Sale and Gross Gains and Losses on AFS Securities	F-26
Table 6.1 - HTM Securities by Major Security Type	F-26
Table 6.2 - HTM Securities in a Continuous Unrealized Loss Position	F-27
Table 6.3 - HTM Securities by Contractual Maturity	F-28
Table 6.4 - Interest Rate Payment Terms of HTM Securities	F-28
Table 6.5 - Proceeds and Gains (Losses) from Sale of HTM Securities	F-29
Table 7.1 - Recovery Ranges of Housing Price Change	F-30
Table 7.2 - Significant Inputs for OTTI	F-31
Table 7.3 - HTM Securities Transferred to AFS Securities	F-32
Table 7.4 - Total Securities Other-than-Temporarily Impaired during the Life of the Security	F-33
Table 7.5 - Rollforward of the Amounts Related to Credit Losses Recognized into Earnings	F-33
Table 8.1 - Advances Redemption Terms	F-34
Table 8.2 - Advances by Year of Contractual Maturity or Next Call Date and Next Put or Convert Date	F-34
Table 8.3 - Advances by Current Interest Rate Terms	F-35
Table 9.1 - Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio	F-36
Table 9.2 - Mortgage Loans Held for Portfolio by Collateral/Guarantee Type	F-36
Table 10.1 - Rollforward of Allowance for Credit Losses on Mortgage Loans	F-38
Table 10.2 - Allowance for Credit Losses and Recorded Investment by Impairment Methodology	F-39
Table 10.3 - Recorded Investment in Delinquent Mortgage Loans	F-39
Table 10.4 - Individually Evaluated Impaired Loan Statistics by Product Class Level	F-40
Table 10.5 - Average Recorded Investment of Individually Impaired Loans and Related Interest Income Recognized	F-41

	Page
Table 10.6 - Changes in the MPP Lender Risk Account	F-42
Table 10.7 - Performing and Non-Performing Troubled Debt Restructurings	F-43
Table 10.8 - Troubled Debt Restructurings - Recorded Investment Balance at Modification Date	F-43
Table 10.9 - Recorded Investment of Troubled Debt Restructurings that Subsequently Defaulted	F-43
Table 11.1 - Fair Value of Derivative Instruments	F-48
Table 11.2 - Net Gains (Losses) on Derivatives and Hedging Activities	F-49
Table 11.3 - Effect of Fair Value Hedge-Related Derivative Instruments	F-49
Table 11.4 - Effect of Cash Flow Hedge-Related Derivative Instruments	F-50
Table 11.5 - Credit Risk Exposure	F-51
Table 12.1 - Deposits	F-52
Table 13.1 - Consolidated Bonds Outstanding by Contractual Maturity	F-53
Table 13.2 - Consolidated Discount Notes Outstanding	F-53
Table 13.3 - Consolidated Bonds Outstanding by Call Features	F-54
Table 13.4 - Consolidated Bonds Outstanding by Contractual Maturity or Next Call Date	F-54
Table 13.5 - Consolidated Bonds by Interest-Rate Payment Type	F-54
Table 14.1 - Analysis of AHP Liability	F-55
Table 17.1 - Risk-Based Capital Requirements at December 31, 2012	F-57
Table 17.2 - Regulatory Capital Requirements at December 31, 2012	F-58
Table 17.3 - Leverage Capital Requirements at December 31, 2012	F-58
Table 17.4 - Retained Earnings	F-59
Table 17.5 - Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock Rollforward	F-60
Table 17.6 - Excess Capital Stock not Reclassified as Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock	F-60
Table 17.7 - Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock by Contractual Year of Redemption	F-61
Table 18.1 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	F-64
Table 19.1 - Pentegra DB Plan Net Pension Cost and Funded Status	F-65
Table 19.2 - Benefit Obligation, Fair Value of Plan Assets and Funded Status	F-66
Table 19.3 - Amounts Recognized in AOCI	F-67
Table 19.4 - Net Periodic Benefit Cost and Other Amounts Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	F-67
Table 19.5 - Amortization for Next Fiscal Year	F-67
Table 19.6 - Benefit Obligation Key Assumptions	F-68
Table 19.7 - Net Periodic Benefit Cost Key Assumptions	F-68
Table 19.8 - Postretirement Benefit Plans Assumed Health Care Cost Trend Rates	F-68
Table 19.9 - Estimated Future Benefit Payments	F-69
Table 19.10 - FHLBank of San Francisco's Cash Balance Plan's Fair Value of Plan Assets by Asset Category	F-69
Table 19.11- FHLBank of San Francisco's Cash Balance Plan's Weighted-Average Asset Allocation by Asset Category	F-69
Table 20.1 - Fair Value Summary	F-71
Table 20.2 - Fair Value Measurements	F-76
Table 20.3 - Rollforward of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities	F-79
Table 20.4 - Fair Value Option - Financial Assets and Liabilities	F-80
Table 20.5 - Aggregate Unpaid Balance and Aggregate Fair Value	F-81
Table 21.1 - Off-Balance Sheet Commitments	F-82
Table 21.2 - Future Minimum Lease Payments	F-83
Tables Included in Supplemental Information	
Table S-1 - FHLBank Presidents and Office of Finance CEO Summary Compensation Table	S-11
Table S-2 - All Other Compensation Table	S-17
Table S-3 - Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Year 2012	S-18
Table S-4 - Pension Benefits for Year 2012	S-19
Table S-5 - Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation for Year 2012	S-21
Table S-6 - Benchmarking Institutions	S-22
Table S-7 - Combined Executive Incentive Compensation Plan Results	S-23
Table S-8 - 2010 - 2012 Long-term Non-Equity Incentive Compensation Plan Results	S-24
Table S-9 - Director Compensation for Year 2012	S-25